

EQUADIFF 5

Viorel Barbu

Necessary conditions for the multiple integral problem and elliptic variational inequalities

In: Michal Greguš (ed.): Equadiff 5, Proceedings of the Fifth Czechoslovak Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications held in Bratislava, August 24-28, 1981. BSB B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1982. Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, Bd. 47. pp. 30--33.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702252>

Terms of use:

© BSB B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

NECESSARY CONDITIONS FOR THE MULTIPLE
INTEGRAL PROBLEM AND ELLIPTIC
VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

Viorel Barbu

University of Iași, Romania

We are here concerned with the optimization problem

$$(1) \quad \min \left\{ \int_{\Omega} L(y(x), \nabla y(x)) dx; y \in K \right\}$$

where $K = \{y \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega); y(x) \geq \psi(x) \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega\}$ (the case $K = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is allowed). Here Ω is a bounded, open subset of \mathbb{R}^n with a sufficiently smooth boundary Γ and $\psi \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ is a given function such that $\psi \leq 0$ on Γ . The integrand $L: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to satisfy the condition:

(1) $L(y, z) \geq 0$ for all $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and for some positive M .

(2) $L(y+u, z+v) \leq \exp M(|(u, v)| (L(y, z) + M|(u, v)|(1 + |(y, z)|)))$
for all (y, z) and (u, v) in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Given a locally Lipschitzian function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we shall denote by $\nabla \varphi$ the gradient of φ and by $D\varphi$ the mapping

$$(3) \quad D\varphi(y) = \bigcap_{\delta > 0} \bigcap_{\nu(N)=0} \overline{\text{conv}} \nabla \varphi(S(y, \delta) \setminus N)$$

where ν is the Lebesgue measure and $S(y, \delta)$ is the ball of radius δ and center y .

If $\partial \varphi$ is the generalized gradient in the sense of Clarke of φ then as is readily seen we have: $D\varphi \subset \partial \varphi$.

THEOREM 1 Assume that condition (1) holds. If $y^* \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ is optimal in problem (1) then there exists a function $\eta \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and a Radon measure μ on Ω

such that $\operatorname{div} \eta - \mu \in L^1(\Omega)$ and

$$(4) \quad (\operatorname{div} \eta - \mu, \eta) \in DL(y^*, \nabla y^*) \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega$$

$$(5) \quad \mu \leq 0 \text{ on } \Omega; \mu = 0 \text{ on } \operatorname{int} \{x \in \Omega; y^*(x) > \psi(x)\}.$$

The special case $K = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of this theorem has been proved via a minimax theorem by Clarke [3].

We give a brief outline of the proof. The detailed proof may be found in [2]. For $\lambda > 0$ consider the problem

$$(6) \quad \min \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (L^\lambda(y, \nabla y) + x_\lambda(x, y)) dx + \frac{1}{2} \|y - y^*\|_m^2; y \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \right\}$$

wherein $\|\cdot\|_m$ is the norm of $H_0^m(\Omega)$, $m > n+2$, $x_\lambda(x, y) = (2\lambda)^{-1} |(y - \psi(x))^-|^2$ and

$$L^\lambda(y, z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} L(y - \lambda \theta, z - \lambda \tau) \rho(\theta, \tau) d\theta d\tau$$

(ρ is a mollifier on \mathbb{R}^{2n}). Let y_λ be optimal in problem (6).

Since L^λ and x_λ are differentiable it follows that there exists $\eta_\lambda \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\operatorname{div} \eta_\lambda \in L^1(\Omega) + H^{-m}(\Omega)$ and

$$(7) \quad \eta_\lambda = \nabla_2 L^\lambda(y_\lambda, \nabla y_\lambda), \operatorname{div} \eta_\lambda = \nabla_1 L^\lambda(y_\lambda, \nabla y_\lambda) + \nabla x_\lambda(x, y_\lambda) + A(y_\lambda - y^*)$$

where $\nabla L^\lambda = (\nabla_1 L^\lambda, \nabla_2 L^\lambda)$ and A is the canonical isomorphism of $H_0^m(\Omega)$ onto $H^{-m}(\Omega)$.

Next it follows that $y_\lambda - y^* \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $H_0^m(\Omega)$ and by (2) we deduce that $\{\nabla L^\lambda(y_\lambda, \nabla y_\lambda)\}$ is a weakly compact subset of $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. Thus we may assume that

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta_\lambda &\longrightarrow \eta \quad \text{weakly in } L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \\ \nabla_1 L^\lambda(y_\lambda, \nabla y_\lambda) &\longrightarrow \zeta \quad \text{weakly in } L^1(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Then arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3 in [1] we find that

$(\zeta(x), \eta(x)) \in DL(y^*(x), \nabla y^*(x))$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Finally, there is $\mu \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ such that $x_\lambda(x, y_\lambda) \rightarrow \mu$ weakly in $L^1(\Omega) + H^{-m}(\Omega)$.

Since $x_\lambda(x, y_\lambda) = -\lambda^{-1} (y_\lambda - \psi(x))^- \leq 0$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$ we may infer

that μ is a negative measure on Ω . Then letting λ tend to zero in (7) we find (4) as claimed.

We continue with the following consequence of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2 In Theorem 1 assume in addition that $L(y, \cdot)$ is convex for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and for each $k > 0$ there exists C_k such that

$$(9) \quad L(y, z) \geq k|z|^p - C|y|^p - C_k \quad \text{for all } (y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

where C is independent of k, y, z and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then there exist the functions $y^* \in K$, $\gamma \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and a Radon measure μ on Ω such that $\text{div } \gamma - \mu \in L^1(\Omega)$ and satisfying Eqs. (4), (5).

To prove the theorem consider on the space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ the functional $I(y) = \int_{\Omega} L(y, \nabla y) dx$ if $y \in K$, $I(y) = +\infty$ if $y \notin K$. According to a general result given in [5], the functional I is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous. On the other hand, (9) implies that every level subset $\{y \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega); I(y) \leq \lambda\}$ is weakly compact. Hence the functional I has at least one minimum point which by Theorem 1 is a solution to (4), (5).

Given a function $f \in L_{1,0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ we set (see [4])

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(y) &= \bigcap_{\delta > 0} \bigcap_{\mathcal{V}(N)=0} \overline{\text{conv}} f([y-\delta, y+\delta] \setminus N) = \\ &= [m(f(y)), M(f(y))], \quad y \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} M(f(y)) &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{ess sup}_{u \in [y-\delta, y+\delta]} f(u); \quad m(f(y)) = \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{ess inf}_{u \in [y-\delta, y+\delta]} f(u). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the variational inequality (the "obstacle problem")

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i(y_{x_i}))_{x_i} - f(y) &\leq 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i(y_{x_i}))_{x_i} - f(y) &= 0 \quad \text{on } \{y > \psi\}, \\ y &\geq \psi \quad \text{on } \Omega; \quad y = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ are continuous monotone increasing functions satisfying the conditions

$$(12) \quad a_i(0) = 0; |a_i(r)| \leq M \left(\int_0^r a_i(s) ds + |r| + 1 \right) \text{ for all } r \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(13) \quad \lim_{|r| \rightarrow \infty} r^{-1} \int_0^r a_i(s) ds = +\infty,$$

while f is a L_{loc}^∞ function on \mathbb{R} which satisfies

$$(14) \quad f(x)(x-0) \geq 0; |f(x)| \leq M \left(\int_0^x f(y) dy + |x| + 1 \right) \text{ a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

THEOREM 3 Under the above assumptions Eq.(11) has at least one solution $y \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ in the following sense: there exists a Radon measure μ on Ω and $q \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i(y_{x_i}))_{x_i} - q = \mu \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega$$

$$\mu \leq 0 \text{ on } \Omega; \mu = 0 \text{ on } \text{int} \{y > \Psi\}$$

$$q(x) \in \tilde{f}(y(x)) \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega; a_i(y_{x_i}) \in L^1(\Omega); i = 1, \dots, n.$$

To prove the theorem it suffices to apply Theorem 2 where

$$L(y, z) = \int_0^y f(x) dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{z_i} a_i(s) ds; (y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Theorem 3 extends some recent results in [6], [7].

References

1. V.BARBU, J.Math.Anal.Appl.80(1981),566-597.
2. V.BARBU, Math.Annalen (to appear).
3. F.H.CLARKE, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.64(1977),260-264.
4. A.F.FILIPPOV, Transl.Amer.Math.Soc.42(1964),199-227.
5. A.D.IOFFE, SIAM J.Control Optimiz.15(1955),521-538.
6. J.RAUCH, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.64(1977),275-282.
7. G.A.STUART and J.F.TOLAND, J.London.Math.Soc.21(1980), 319-328.