

E. A. Coddington

Differential subspaces associated with pairs of ordinary differential operators

In: Jiří Fábera (ed.): Equadiff IV, Czechoslovak Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications. Proceedings, Prague, August 22-26, 1977. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 703. pp. [64]--72.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702204>

Terms of use:

© Springer-Verlag, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

DIFFERENTIAL SUBSPACES ASSOCIATED WITH PAIRS
OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS
E. A. Coddington, Los Angeles

1. Introduction. This is an account of some joint work in progress with H.S.V. de Snoo. It represents an attempt to place a study of boundary value and eigenvalue problems, associated with a pair of ordinary differential expressions L, M , in the general framework of two earlier papers by E.A. Coddington and A. Dijksma [7], [8]. In the first of these we showed how to describe very general eigenvalue problems, for the case when M is the identity and L is formally symmetric, and to obtain eigenfunction expansion results for these problems. In the second we described abstractly the adjoints of subspaces (multi-valued operators) in Banach spaces in terms of generalized boundary conditions, and applied these results to a study of boundary value problems with not necessarily formally symmetric differential expressions L .

There is a large literature devoted to problems for two expressions L, M . We mention the recent work by F. Brauer [2], [3], [4], F. Browder [5], [6], Å. Pleijel [9], C. Bennewitz [1]. We deal with systems, not necessarily formally symmetric L , and we do not assume that the order of M is less than the order of L . From the point of view of subspaces, if a subspace S is associated with a right definite M , then S^{-1} is a problem associated with a left definite case. The set of Hilbert spaces which we allow differ from those considered by Bennewitz in [1].

We settle some notation matters. Let \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C} denote the real and complex numbers. We consider an open real interval $\iota = (a, b)$, and the set $F_m(\iota)$ of all vector valued functions $f : \iota \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$. By $C(\iota)$ we denote the set of all continuous $f \in F_m(\iota)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} C^k(\iota) &= \{f \in F_m(\iota) \mid f^{(k)} \in C(\iota)\}, \\ C_0^k(\iota) &= \{f \in C^k(\iota) \mid \text{support of } f \text{ is compact}\}, \\ C_0^\infty(\iota) &= \bigcap_k C_0^k(\iota). \end{aligned}$$

By $L_{loc}^2(\iota)$ we mean the set of all $f \in F_m(\iota)$ such that

$$\int_J |f|^2 < \infty, \quad \text{each compact subinterval } J \subset \iota,$$

where $|f|^2 = f^* f$, and we let

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(\iota) &= \{f \in L_{loc}^2(\iota) \mid \int_\iota |f|^2 < \infty\}, \\ L_0^2(\iota) &= \{f \in L^2(\iota) \mid \text{support of } f \text{ is compact}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $f, g \in F_m(\iota)$, we use the notations

$$(f, g)_{2, J} = \int_J g^* f, \quad (f, g)_2 = \int_\iota g^* f,$$

if the components of g^* are integrable on the compact subinterval $J \subset \iota$, or on ι , respectively. Note that we do not assume f, g are in $L^2_{loc}(\iota)$ or $L^2(\iota)$.

2. Hilbert spaces associated with positive differential expressions. Let M be the formal ordinary differential expression of order ν

$$M = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} Q_k D^k, \quad D = d/dx,$$

where the Q_k are $m \times m$ complex matrix-valued functions whose columns are in $C^k(\iota)$, and $Q_\nu(x)$ is invertible for $x \in \iota$. We want to associate an inner product with this M by first defining

$$(2.1) \quad (\varphi, \psi) = (M\varphi, \psi)_2, \quad \varphi, \psi \in C_0^\infty(\iota).$$

If this is to be an inner product on $C_0^\infty(\iota)$ we must have

$$(2.2) \quad M = M^+ = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} (-1)^k D^k Q_k^*,$$

$$(M\varphi, \varphi)_2 \geq 0, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\iota),$$

and we assume this. From this it follows that ν is even, $\nu = 2\mu$, and $(-1)^\mu Q_\nu(x) > 0$, $x \in \iota$, in the sense that

$$\xi^* (-1)^\mu Q_\nu(x) \xi \geq c(x) \xi^* \xi, \quad \xi \in C^m,$$

for some $c(x) > 0$. We can write such an M in the form

$$M = \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} \sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1} (-1)^j D^j Q_{jk} D^k,$$

where $Q_{jk}^* = Q_{kj}$, and $Q_{jj} \in C^j(\iota)$, $Q_{j+1j} \in C^{j+1}(\iota)$, $Q_{jj+1} \in C^{j+1}(\iota)$. Using this form for M the formula (2.1) can be written as

$$(\varphi, \psi) = (M\varphi, \psi)_2 = \int_{\iota} \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} \sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1} (D^j \psi^*) Q_{jk} (D^k \varphi), \quad \varphi, \psi \in C_0^\infty(\iota),$$

and the right side is denoted by $(\varphi, \psi)_D$, the Dirichlet inner product.

The definition (2.1) gives an inner product $(,)$ on $C_0^\infty(\iota)$ under the assumption (2.2), and $\| \cdot \| = (,)^{1/2}$ is a norm on $C_0^\infty(\iota)$. Let \mathfrak{S}_M denote the completion of $C_0^\infty(\iota)$; it is a Hilbert space. In many cases \mathfrak{S}_M can be imbedded into $L^2_{loc}(\iota)$, and this is assured if we assume:

(A₁) for each compact subinterval $J \subset \iota$ there is a $c(J) > 0$ such that

$$\|\varphi\| \geq c(J) \|\varphi\|_{2,J}, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\iota).$$

Then the identity map on $C_0^\infty(\iota)$ has an extension which is an injection of \mathfrak{S}_M

into $L_{loc}^2(\iota)$, and we can identify \mathfrak{S}_M as a subset of $L_{loc}^2(\iota)$. We have

$$(f, \varphi) = (f, M\varphi)_2, \quad f \in \mathfrak{S}_M, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\iota),$$

$$\|f\| \geq c(J)\|f\|_{2,J}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{S}_M,$$

and the injection $\mathfrak{S}_M \rightarrow L_{loc}^2(\iota)$ implies the existence of an injection $G_M : L_0^2(\iota) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_M$ with the properties:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (f, G_M h) &= (f, h)_2, \quad f \in \mathfrak{S}_M, \quad h \in L_0^2(\iota), \\ G_M M\varphi &= \varphi, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\iota), \\ M G_M h &= h, \quad h \in L_0^2(\iota), \\ (\mathfrak{R}(G_M))^c &= \mathfrak{S}_M, \end{aligned}$$

where A^c denotes the closure of a set A , and $\mathfrak{R}(G_M)$ denotes the range of G_M .

An important special case is obtained if instead of (A_1) we assume

$$(A_1') \quad \|\varphi\| \geq c\|\varphi\|_2, \quad \text{for some } c > 0.$$

Then $\mathfrak{S}_M \subset L^2(\iota)$ and G_M has an extension, call it G_M also, to an injection $G_M : L^2(\iota) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_M$ such that (2.3) is valid with $L_0^2(\iota)$ replaced by $L^2(\iota)$ everywhere. In fact, assuming (A_1') we can identify G_M more precisely. Let M_0 be the operator in $L^2(\iota)$ with domain $\mathfrak{D}(M_0) = C_0^\infty(\iota)$ given by $M_0\varphi = M\varphi$. It is a symmetric operator which is bounded below by $c > 0$ if (A_1') holds, and thus has a Friedrichs extension which is a selfadjoint operator M_F having the same lower bound c . Its inverse M_F^{-1} exists on all of $L^2(\iota)$ and one can show that $G_M = M_F^{-1}$, and that \mathfrak{S}_M is the domain $\mathfrak{D}(M_F^{1/2})$ of the positive square root $M_F^{1/2}$ of M_F .

There exist other Hilbert spaces \mathfrak{S} having the essential properties of \mathfrak{S}_M . Let \mathfrak{S} be any Hilbert space with inner product $(,)$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$ satisfying:

$$(A_2) \quad \begin{aligned} C_0^\infty(\iota) &\subset \mathfrak{S} \subset L_{loc}^2(\iota), \\ (f, \varphi) &= (f, M\varphi)_2, \quad f \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\iota), \\ \|f\| &\geq c(J)\|f\|_{2,J}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad c(J) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for each compact subinterval $J \subset \iota$. We have $(C_0^\infty(\iota))^c = \mathfrak{S}_M'$, and in fact

$$\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}_M \oplus \mathfrak{N}_M,$$

an orthogonal sum, where

$$\mathfrak{N}_M = \{f \in C^v(\iota) \cap \mathfrak{S} \mid Mf = 0\}.$$

Clearly $\dim \mathfrak{N}_M \leq \nu m$. As before there exists an injection $G : L_0^2(\iota) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad & (f, Gh) = (f, h)_2, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}, \quad h \in L_0^2(\iota), \\
 & GM\varphi = \varphi, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\iota), \\
 & MGh = h, \quad h \in L_0^2(\iota), \\
 & (\mathfrak{R}(G))^c = \mathfrak{D}, \\
 & G_M = P_M G,
 \end{aligned}$$

where P_M is the orthogonal projection of \mathfrak{D} onto \mathfrak{D}_M . If instead of (A_2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A_2') \quad & C_0^\infty(\iota) \subset \mathfrak{D} \subset L^2(\iota), \\
 & (f, \varphi) = (f, M\varphi)_2, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\iota), \\
 & \|f\| \geq c \|f\|_2, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}, \quad c > 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

then G has an extension to all of $L^2(\iota)$ satisfying (2.4) with $L_0^2(\iota)$ replaced by $L^2(\iota)$.

3. Examples. Let H be a positive selfadjoint extension of M_0 in $L^2(\iota)$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad (Hf, f)_2 = (Mf, f)_2 \geq (c(J))^2 (f, f)_{2, J}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}(H), \quad c(J) > 0,$$

for each compact subinterval $J \subset \iota$, and let \mathfrak{D}_H be the completion of $\mathfrak{D}(H)$ with

$$(f, g) = (Mf, g)_2, \quad f, g \in \mathfrak{D}(H).$$

This is a Hilbert space, and it will be in $L_{loc}^2(\iota)$ if the following is assumed:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A_3) \quad & f_n \in \mathfrak{D}(H), \quad \|f_n - f_m\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \|f_n\|_{2, J} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for each compact subinterval} \\
 & J \subset \iota, \quad \text{implies } \|f_n\| \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}_H$ satisfies (A_2) . As an example consider $M = -D^2$, $m = 1$, $\iota = (0, \infty)$. The maximal operator M_{\max} for M in $L^2(\iota)$ has a domain \mathfrak{D}_{\max} consisting of all $f \in L^2(\iota)$ such that f' is absolutely continuous on each compact subinterval $J \subset [0, \infty)$, and $Mf \in L^2(\iota)$. The selfadjoint extensions of M_0 are obtained from M_{\max} by imposing a homogeneous boundary condition at 0. Let H_h be the selfadjoint extension of M_0 given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{D}(H_h) &= \{f \in \mathfrak{D}_{\max} \mid f'(0) = hf(0)\}, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}, \\
 &= \{f \in \mathfrak{D}_{\max} \mid f(0) = 0\}, \quad h = \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have for $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}(H_h)$

$$\begin{aligned} (H_h f, g)_2 &= hf(0)\bar{g}(0) + (f', g')_2^2, & h \in \mathbb{R}, \\ &= (f', g')_2^2, & h = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Only for $0 \leq h \leq \infty$ will H_h satisfy $(H_h f, f)_2 \geq 0$ for $f \in \mathfrak{D}(H_h)$. In case $0 < h \leq \infty$ we can show that for each compact subinterval $J \subset [0, \infty)$ there is a $c(J) > 0$ such that

$$(H_h f, f)_2^{1/2} = \|f\| \geq c(J) \|f\|_{2,J}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}(H_h),$$

and (A_3) is valid. Then the Hilbert space completion \mathfrak{H}_h of $\mathfrak{D}(H_h)$ is in $L_{loc}^2(\iota)$ and the form of the inner product persists, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g) &= hf(0)\bar{g}(0) + (f', g')_2, & f, g \in \mathfrak{H}_h, & \quad 0 < h < \infty, \\ (f, g) &= (f', g')_2, & f, g \in \mathfrak{H}_h, & \quad h = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover it can be shown that $\mathfrak{N}_M = \text{span}\{1\}$ if $0 < h < \infty$ and $\mathfrak{N}_M = \{0\}$ if $h = \infty$. None of these \mathfrak{H}_h are contained in $L^2(\iota)$, for there exists a sequence $\varphi_n \in C_0^2(\iota) \subset \mathfrak{D}(H_h)$ such that $\|\varphi_n\|^2 = (\varphi_n', \varphi_n') \rightarrow 0$ but $\|\varphi_n\|_2 \rightarrow +\infty$. In case $h = 0$ we get an inner product $(f, g) = (f', g')_2$ on $\mathfrak{D}(H_0)$, but the completion \mathfrak{H}_0 of $\mathfrak{D}(H_0)$ is not contained in $L_{loc}^2(\iota)$. There exists a sequence $\varphi_n \in \mathfrak{D}(H_0)$ such that $\|\varphi_n\| \rightarrow 0$ but $\|\varphi_n\|_{2,J} \rightarrow \infty$ on each proper compact subinterval $J \subset [0, \infty)$.

There may exist positive selfadjoint extensions H of M_0 in $L^2(\iota)$ satisfying a global inequality:

$$(Hf, f)_2 = (Mf, f)_2 \geq c^2(f, f)_2, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}(H), \quad c > 0.$$

If \mathfrak{H}_H is the completion of $\mathfrak{D}(H)$ with $(f, g) = (Mf, g)_2$, $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}(H)$, then $\mathfrak{H}_H \subset L^2(\iota)$ and $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_H$ satisfies (A_2) . In fact $\mathfrak{H}_H = \mathfrak{D}(H^{1/2})$ and $G = H^{-1}$ in this case.

Another method of constructing an \mathfrak{H} satisfying (A_2) is as follows. Let \mathfrak{N}_M be any linear subset of $\mathfrak{N}_M = \{f \in C^v(\iota) \mid Mf = 0\}$ with any inner product $(,)_0$ such that

$$\|f_0\|_0 \geq c_0(J) \|f_0\|_{2,J}, \quad f_0 \in \mathfrak{N}_M,$$

for some $c_0(J) > 0$ and each compact subinterval $J \subset \iota$. Let $(,)_1$, for the moment, denote the inner product on \mathfrak{H}_M . Define $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_M \oplus \mathfrak{N}_M$ with the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g) &= (f_1, g_1)_1 + (f_0, g_0)_0, \\ f &= f_1 + f_0, \quad g = g_1 + g_0, \quad f_1, g_1 \in \mathfrak{H}_M, \quad f_0, g_0 \in \mathfrak{N}_M. \end{aligned}$$

Then (A_2) is valid. As an example we could use $(f, g)_0 = (f, g)_2$, or $(f, g)_0 = (f, g)_D$.

4. Maximal and minimal subspaces. Let M be as before, and let L be another formal differential operator

$$L = \sum_{k=0}^n P_k D^k,$$

where the P_k are $m \times m$ complex matrix-valued functions on ι whose columns are in $C^k(\iota)$, and $P_n(x)$ is invertible for $x \in \iota$ if $n > \nu$. We consider any Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} satisfying (A_2) . In $\mathfrak{S}^2 = \mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathfrak{H}$ we define the maximal linear manifolds

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \{ \{f, g\} \in \mathfrak{S}^2 \mid f \in C^r(\iota), \quad g \in C^\nu(\iota), \quad Lf = Mg \}, \\ T^+ &= \{ \{f, g\} \in \mathfrak{S}^2 \mid f \in C^r(\iota), \quad g \in C^\nu(\iota), \quad L^+f = Mg \}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = \max(n, \nu)$, and the minimal linear manifolds

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{ \{ \varphi, GL\varphi \} \mid \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\iota) \}, \\ S^+ &= \{ \{ \varphi, GL^+\varphi \} \mid \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\iota) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now S, S^+ are (the graphs of) operators, whereas T, T^+ need not be operators. In fact,

$$T(0) = \{ g \in \mathfrak{H} \mid \{0, g\} \in T \} = T^+(0) = \mathfrak{H}_M,$$

and this implies S, S^+ are densely defined if and only if $\mathfrak{H}_M = \{0\}$. It is clear that $S \subset T, S^+ \subset T^+$, and if we put $T_0 = S^c, T_1 = T^c, T_0^+ = (S^+)^c, T_1^+ = (T^+)^c$, we have $T_0 \subset T_1, T_0^+ \subset T_1^+$ and these are subspaces (closed linear manifolds) in \mathfrak{S}^2 .

On $\mathfrak{S}^2 \times \mathfrak{S}^2$ we introduce the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ given by

$$\langle u, v \rangle = (g, h) - (f, k), \quad u = \{f, g\}, v = \{h, k\} \in \mathfrak{S}^2.$$

If $Ju = \{g, -f\}$ then $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle Ju, v \rangle = -\langle u, Jv \rangle$. If A is any linear manifold in \mathfrak{S}^2 its adjoint A^* is the subspace defined by

$$A^* = \{ v \in \mathfrak{S}^2 \mid \langle u, v \rangle = 0, \quad \text{all } u \in A \}.$$

The following result describes the adjoints of S, T, S^+, T^+ and their properties.

THEOREM. We have

- (i) $S^* = \{ \{f, g\} \in \mathfrak{S}^2 \mid (g, M\varphi)_2 = (f, L\varphi)_2, \quad \text{all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\iota) \} = T_1^+$,
 - (ii) $T_1^+ \ominus T_0^+ = T^+ \cap JT$,
 - (iii) $(S^+)^* = \{ \{f, g\} \in \mathfrak{S}^2 \mid (g, M\varphi)_2 = (f, L^+\varphi)_2, \quad \text{all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\iota) \} = T_1$,
 - (iv) $T_1 \ominus T_0 = T \cap JT^+$,
 - (v) $T_1(0) = T_1^+(0) = T(0) = T^+(0) = \mathfrak{H}_M$,
 - (vi) $\nu(T_1^+ - \ell I) = \nu(T^+ - \ell I) = \{ f \in \mathfrak{H} \cap C^r(\iota) \mid L^+f = \ell Mf \}$,
- where $\ell \in \mathbb{C}, \quad n > 2\mu,$
 $\ell \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad n < 2\mu,$

$$\begin{aligned} \ell &\in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{L}} \sigma(Q_{2\mu}^{-1}(x)P_{2\mu}^*(x)), \quad n = 2\mu, \\ \text{(vii)} \quad v(T_1 - \ell I) &= v(T - \ell I) = \{f \in \mathfrak{D} \mid f \in C^r(\mathcal{L}), \quad Lf = \ell Mf\}, \\ \text{where} \quad \ell &\in \mathbb{C}, \quad n > 2\mu, \\ \ell &\in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad n < 2\mu \\ \ell &\in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{L}} \sigma(Q_{2\mu}^{-1}(x)P_{2\mu}^*(x)), \quad n = 2\mu. \end{aligned}$$

In the above theorem, I denotes the identity operator, $v(A)$ represents the null space of a linear manifold A ,

$$v(A) = \{f \in \mathfrak{D} \mid \{f, 0\} \in A\},$$

and $\sigma(B)$ is the spectrum of a matrix B , that is, the set of its eigenvalues. This result shows that T, T^+ can be regarded as smooth versions of $(S^+)^*, S^*$, respectively, and that the only nonsmooth elements in the latter subspaces come from $T_0^+ \setminus S^+$ and $T_0 \setminus S$, respectively. Although S, S^+ are operators their closures T_0, T_0^+ need not be; they are operators if and only if $\mathfrak{D}(T^+), \mathfrak{D}(T)$ are dense in \mathfrak{D} , respectively.

5. Boundary value problems. We are now in a position to apply the results in [8] to describe the subspaces A, A^+ satisfying

$$T_0 \subset A \subset T_1, \quad T_0^+ \subset A^+ \subset T_1^+.$$

Let $\dim(T_1 \ominus T_0) = \dim(T_1^+ \ominus T_0^+) = t \leq 2m$. Then a sample result is the following.

THEOREM. Let A be a subspace satisfying

$$(i) \quad T_0 \subset A \subset T_1, \quad \dim(A/T_0) = d.$$

Then

$$(ii) \quad T_0^+ \subset A^* \subset T_1^+, \quad \dim(A^*/T_0^+) = t - d,$$

and there exist subspaces M_1, M_1^+ such that

$$(iii) \quad \begin{aligned} M_1 &\subset T_1 \ominus T_0, \quad M_1^+ \subset T_1^+ \ominus T_0^+, \\ \dim M_1 &= d, \quad \dim M_1^+ = t - d, \end{aligned}$$

$$M_1^+ \subset M_1^* ,$$

and

$$A = T_0 \oplus M_1, \quad A^* = T_0^+ \oplus M_1^+,$$

$$(iv) \quad A = T_1 \cap (M_1^+)^*, \quad A^* = T_1^+ \cap M_1^* .$$

Conversely, if M_1, M_1^+ satisfy (iii) then $A = T_0 \oplus M_1$ satisfies (i), and (ii), (iv) are valid.

The descriptions of A, A^* given via $A = T_1 \cap (M_1^+)^*, A^* = (T_1^+ \cap M_1^*)$ show how A, A^* are obtained from T_1, T_1^+ by the imposition of generalized boundary conditions. For example, we have

$$A = T_1 \cap (M_1^+)^* = \{w \in T_1 \mid \langle w, m_1^+ \rangle = 0\}$$

where $m_1^+ = (m_1^+, \dots, m_1^+ \text{ } t-d)$ is a $1 \times (t-d)$ matrix whose elements form a basis for M_1^+ .

It is important to note that A, A^* will contain nonsmooth elements in general, for T_0, T_0^+ contain such elements. This even occurs in cases when \mathfrak{S} satisfies the more stringent assumption $(A_2^!)$. However, there exist smooth versions of A, A^* , for we can show that if

$$\tilde{A} = T \cap (M_1^+)^*, \quad \tilde{A}^+ = T^+ \cap M_1^*,$$

then $(\tilde{A})^c = A, (\tilde{A}^+)^c = A^*$. Now $\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}^+ \subset C^r(\mathcal{L}) \times C^v(\mathcal{L})$ and are obtained by restrictions defined by elements in $C^r(\mathcal{L}) \times C^r(\mathcal{L})$. In case $(A_2^!)$ holds the boundary conditions, in some cases, can be reduced to conditions of the usual type for \mathcal{L} in $L^2(\mathcal{L}) \times L^2(\mathcal{L})$.

More general problems can be treated. Let B, B^+ be subspaces in \mathfrak{S}^2 such that

$$\dim B = p < \infty, \quad \dim B^+ = p^+ < \infty,$$

and consider

$$A_0 = T_0 \cap (B^+)^*, \quad A_0^+ = T_0^+ \cap B^*,$$

where

$$A_0^* = T_1^+ \dot{+} B^+, \quad (A_0^+)^* = T_1 \dot{+} B$$

are algebraic direct sums. If $A_1^+ = A_0^*, A_1 = (A_0^+)^*$, then we have $A_0 \subset A_1, A_0^+ \subset A_1^+$, and we can characterize those A, A^* satisfying

$$A_0 \subset A \subset A_1, \quad A_0^+ \subset A^* \subset A_1^+,$$

via generalized boundary conditions; see [8]. The major problem remaining is to see what these conditions reduce to in significant special cases.

6. The symmetric case. The minimal linear manifold S is symmetric ($S \subset S^*$) if and only if $L = L^+$, and we now assume this. Then S has selfadjoint extensions $H = H^*$ in \mathfrak{S}^2 if and only if

$$\dim v(T - \ell I) = \dim v(T - \bar{\ell} I), \quad \text{some } \ell \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}.$$

More generally, if $A_0 = T_0 \cap B^*, \dim B = p < \infty, B \subset \mathfrak{S}^2$, where $A_0^* = T_1^+ \dot{+} B$ is a direct sum, then A_0 is symmetric and has selfadjoint extensions in \mathfrak{S}^2 if and only if S does. Now A_0 always has selfadjoint extensions H in a larger space $\mathfrak{R}^2 \supset \mathfrak{S}^2, \mathfrak{R}$ a Hilbert space. If P is the orthogonal projection of \mathfrak{R} onto \mathfrak{S} , then $R(\ell)$ defined by

$$R(\ell)f = P(H - \ell I)^{-1}f, \quad f \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R},$$

is called a generalized resolvent of A_0 associated with the extension H .

We have

$$\{R(\ell)f, \ell R(\ell)f + f\} \in A_0^* = T_1 \dot{+} B, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D},$$

and we can show that $R(\ell)$ is an integral operator on $\mathfrak{R}(G)$:

$$R(\ell)Gh(x) = \int_{\mathcal{L}} K(x,y,\ell)h(y) dy, \quad h \in L_0^2(\mathcal{L}).$$

In the case $Mf = f$ this fact has been used to obtain an eigenfunction expansion result and Titchmarsh-Kodaira formula for the extension H . The carrying over of this method to the present case seems to require a special choice of basis for the solutions of $(L - \ell M)f = 0$. A second method for obtaining the eigenfunction expansion result in the case $Mf = f$ was presented in [7], and A. Dijkma and H.S.V. de Snoo have carried out this program in the present case, but a regularity result is required to complete the argument. We hope that both of these programs will be completed soon.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bennowitz, Spectral theory for pairs of differential operators, Ark. Mat. 15 (1977), 33-61.
- [2] F. Brauer, Singular self-adjoint boundary value problems for the differential equation $Lx = \lambda Mx$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1958), 331-345.
- [3] F. Brauer, Spectral theory for the differential equation $Lu = \lambda Mu$, Canad. J. Math. 10 (1958), 413-428.
- [4] F. Brauer, Spectral theory for linear systems of differential equations, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 17-34.
- [5] F. Browder, Eigenfunction expansions for non-symmetric partial differential operators, I, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 365-381.
- [6] F. Browder, Eigenfunction expansions for non-symmetric partial differential operators, II, Amer. J. Math. 81 (1959), 1-22.
- [7] E.A. Coddington and A. Dijkma, Self-adjoint subspaces and eigenfunction expansions for ordinary differential subspaces, J. Differential Equations 20 (1976), 473-526.
- [8] E.A. Coddington and A. Dijkma, Adjoint subspaces in Banach spaces, with applications to ordinary differential subspaces, to appear in Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.
- [9] Å. Pleijel, Spectral theory for pairs of formally selfadjoint ordinary differential operators, J. Indian Math. Soc. 34 (1971), 259-268.

Author's address: Mathematics Department, University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024 U.S.A.