

Toposym 4-B

H. Patkowska

A class α and compacta which are quasi-homeomorphic with surfaces

In: Josef Novák (ed.): General topology and its relations to modern analysis and algebra IV, Proceedings of the fourth Prague topological symposium, 1976, Part B: Contributed Papers. Society of Czechoslovak Mathematicians and Physicist, Praha, 1977. pp. [348]--352.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700668>

Terms of use:

© Society of Czechoslovak Mathematicians and Physicist, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A CLASS α AND COMPACTA
WHICH ARE QUASI-HOMEOMORPHIC WITH SURFACES

H. PATKOWSKA

Warsaw

We shall consider metrizable spaces only. A map f of a compactum X into a space Y is said to be an ε -mapping if $\text{diam}(f^{-1}(y)) < \varepsilon$ for every $y \in f(X)$. Given two compact spaces X and Y , X is said to be Y -like if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an ε -mapping of X onto Y . The spaces X and Y are said to be quasi-homeomorphic if X is Y -like and Y is X -like. A compact space X is said to be quasi-embeddable into a space Y if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an ε -mapping of X into Y .

Mardešić and Segal [5] proved the following theorem: If X is a connected polyhedron then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) X is embeddable into S^2 .
- (ii) X is quasi-embeddable into S^2 .
- (iii) X does not contain any homeomorphic images of Kuratowski graphs K_1 and K_2 and any 2-umbrella.

Recall that K_1 is the 1-skelton of a 3-simplex with the mid-points of a pair of non-adjacent edges joined by a segment, K_2 is the 1-skelton of a 4-simplex. The n -umbrella is the one-point union of an n -ball and of an arc, relative to an interior point of the ball and an end-point of the arc.

I was looking for a bigger class such that the equivalences (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) hold for each member of this class and I have proved in [7] that this is the case for the class α defined as follows:

Definition. A locally connected continuum X belongs to the class α iff there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that no simple closed curve $S \subset X$ with $\text{diam}(S) < \varepsilon$ is a retract of X .

It is easy to see that the class α contains all compact, connected LC^1 -spaces, and therefore it contains all compact, connected ANR-s too. Consequently, the equivalences (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) hold for each member X of these classes.

The following characterization of the connected planeable ANR-sets and of the planeable AR-sets follows: X is a connected planeable ANR iff $X \in \alpha$, X satisfies the condition (iii) and X is not homeomorphic with S^2 . X is a planeable AR iff X is a locally connected continuum such that no simple closed curve $S \subset X$ is a retract of X , X satisfies the condition (iii) and X is not homeomorphic with S^2 .

These results and the methods of the investigation of the class α as developed in [7] have been used to solve the following question raised by Mardešić and Segal in [6]: Is it true that any locally connected 2-dimensional compactum X which is M -like, where M is a surface (i.e. a closed 2-manifold), is homeomorphic with M ?

I have proved that this is indeed the case. The proof will be published in detail in [8]. Here are the main ideas of the proof.

Ganea in [3] has proved this theorem under the additional assumption that X is an ANR. So we are going to prove only that our assumptions imply that X is an ANR. To do this - using the results concerning the class α , as mentioned on the beginning - we prove the following main lemmas:

Lemma 1. Let Y be a compact space such that the group $H_1(Y)$ (the Čech homology group with integer coefficients) is finitely generated. If X is a locally connected continuum which is Y -like, then $X \in \alpha$.

Lemma 2. Assume that $X \in \alpha$ and that for every $\lambda > 0$ there is a set $A \subset X$ homeomorphic either with K_1 or with K_2 and such that $\text{diam}(A) < \lambda$. Then for each $k=1,2,\dots$ there is a sequence B_1, \dots, B_k of disjoint subsets of X , each of which is homeomorphic either with K_1 or with K_2 .

Lemma 3. Assume that $X \in \alpha$, X does not contain any 2-umbrella and there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that there is no set $A \subset X$ with $\text{diam}(A) < \lambda$ homeomorphic either with K_1 or with K_2 . Then for every $x \in X$ there is a neighborhood of x in X being a compact planeable AR-set.

Using the Bennet's result [1] that the 2-umbrella is not quasi-embeddable in S^2 and E^2 and using the theory of the universal covering spaces we proved also the following lemma:

Lemma 4. The 2-umbrella is not quasi-embeddable in any 2-manifold.

Now, we proceed as follows: Assume that X is a locally connected compactum, $\dim X \geq 2$ and X is M -like, where M is a surface. Since M is connected and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an ε -mapping of X onto M , it follows that X is connected. Now, lemma 1 implies that $X \in \alpha$. Since $\dim M = 2$ and ε -mappings cannot diminish the dimension, it follows that $\dim X = 2$. By lemma 4, X does not contain any 2-umbrella. Assume that for every $\lambda > 0$ there is a set $A \subset X$ with $\text{diam}(A) < \lambda$ homeomorphic either with K_1 or with K_2 . It has been proved by Borsuk in [2] that the surface M does not contain any subset which is the union of $k = \gamma(M) + 1$ components, each of which is homeomorphic either with K_1 or with K_2 , where $\gamma(M)$ denotes the genus of M . Using lemma 2 and the fact that each ε -mapping with sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ maps the given sequence of disjoint compact sets onto a sequence of disjoint sets, we obtain a contradiction with the Borsuk's result. Consequently, X satisfies all the assumptions of lemma 3, and therefore (by Hanner theorem) X is an ANR. Using now the Ganea's result [3] mentioned above, we conclude:

Theorem 1. If X is a locally connected compactum, $\dim X \geq 2$ and X is M -like, where M is a surface, then X is homeomorphic with M .

This implies easily the following

Corollary. If X is a compactum quasi-homeomorphic with a surface M then X is homeomorphic with M .

Using similar methods we proved the following theorem, which generalizes the Borsuk's result [2] that each locally planeable ANR-set is embeddable into a surface.

Theorem 2. Each locally planeable space $X \in \alpha$ is embeddable into a surface.

Lemma 4 leads naturally to the following question:

Question 1. Is it true that the n -umbrella is not quasi-embedd-

able in any n -manifold?

Note, that it has been proved by Mardesić and Segal in [5] that the n -umbrella is not quasi-embeddable into S^n and E^n . However, our method of the proof of lemma 4 gives the positive answer to question 1 only if we know that the universal covering space for a given n -manifold is either E^n or S^n (or is embeddable into S^n).

The following other questions concerning quasi-homeomorphisms appear under the investigation of this subject:

Question 2. Is any crumpled n -cube quasi-homeomorphic with the usual n -cube I^n ? Or, if not, is it I^n -like?

Recall that the crumpled n -cube is the closure of a component of $S^n \setminus S$, where S is any $(n-1)$ -sphere topologically embedded in S^n . The question concerning crumpled cubes is closely related to the next one, which is suggested by the Ganea's example [4] of a 3-dimensional ANR-set, which is quasi-homeomorphic with S^3 , but not homeomorphic with S^3 .

Question 3. Is any decomposition space of S^n such that the non-degenerate elements are simple arcs (or AR-sets, or even compact sets with the trivial shape) quasi-homeomorphic with S^n ? Or, if not, is it S^n -like? The same question concerns the decomposition space of any n -manifold.

The following question is known to many people, but seems to be a difficult one:

Question 4. Let N and M be two compact n -manifolds such that N is M -like. Is it true that N is homeomorphic with M ?

References.

[1] R. Bennet, Locally connected 2-cell and 2-sphere-like continua, P.A.M.S. 17 (1966), pp. 674-681.

[2] K. Borsuk, On embedding curves in surfaces, Fund. Math. 59 (1966), pp. 73-89.

[3] T. Ganea, On ξ -maps onto manifolds, ibidem 47 (1959), pp. 35-44.

[4] -, A note on ξ -maps onto manifolds, Mich. Math. J. 9 (1962), pp. 213-215.

[5] S. Mardešić and J. Segal, A note on polyhedra embeddable in the plane, Duke Math. J. 33 (1966), pp. 633-638.

[6] -, ξ -mappings onto polyhedra, Trans. A.M.S. 199 (1963), pp. 146-164.

[7] H. Patkowska, Some theorems about the embeddability of ANR-sets into decomposition spaces of E^n , Fund. Math. 70 (1971), pp. 271-306.

[8] -, A class α and locally connected continua which can be ξ -mapped onto a surface, Fund. Math. (in print).

Warsaw University, Warsaw, Poland.