Jan Mařík

Multipliers of various classes of derivatives

Jan Mařík, Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University,
East Lansing, Michigan 48824

MULTIPLIERS OF VARIOUS CLASSES OF DERIVATIVES

(Lecture presented at Real Analysis Symposium in Waterloo.)

Let f be a function (= mapping to $(-\infty,\infty)$) on the interval
$J = [0,1]$ and let Φ be a system of functions on J. We say
that f is a multiplier of Φ if and only if $f\varphi \in \Phi$ for each
$\varphi \in \Phi$. The system of all multipliers of Φ will be denoted by
$M(\Phi)$. If, e.g., Φ is closed under multiplication and if the func-
tion $\varphi(x) = 1(x \in J)$ belongs to Φ, then, obviously, $M(\Phi) = \Phi$. It
is well known, however, that derivatives behave badly with respect
to multiplication. It is therefore of some interest to investigate
the system $M(\Phi)$, if Φ is a "reasonable" class of derivatives.

Let D $[C, \Delta, C_{ap}]$ be the system of all finite derivatives
[continuous functions, differentiable f., approximately continu-
ous f.] on J. For each system Φ of functions on J let
Φ^{+} $[b\Phi]$ be the system of all nonnegative [bounded] elements of
Φ.

R.J. Fleissner characterized in [1] and [2] the system $M(D)$.
For this purpose he introduced the notion of a function of distant
bounded variation. This notion can be defined in various ways.
It seems that the simplest way is the following: Let f be a
function on J. We say that f is of distant bounded variation
if and only if

$$\lim \sup_{h \to 0+} \text{var}(x+h, x+2h, f) < \infty \quad \text{for each} \quad x \in [0,1)$$

and

$$\lim \sup_{h \to 0+} \text{var}(x-2h, x-h, f) < \infty \quad \text{for each} \quad x \in (0,1].$$

The first of these two conditions is, of course, equivalent to

$$\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \text{var}(x+\tfrac{1}{n}, x+\tfrac{2}{n}, f) < \infty \quad \text{for each} \quad x \in [0,1)$$

where n is an integer; similarly for the second.

If we denote by Y the system of all functions of distant bounded variation, we may express Fleissner's result by

$$M(D) = D \cap Y.$$

Fleissner posed in [1] the problem of characterizing the system $M(SD)$, where SD is the class of all summable (Lebesgue integrable) derivatives. This problem has been solved in [3]. Here I will formulate the corresponding result in a slightly different way.

If f is a function on an interval $[a,b]$ and if n is a natural number, let $v(n,a,b,f)$ be the least upper bound of all sums $\sum_{k=1}^{n} |f(y_k) - f(x_k)|$, where $a \leq x_1 < y_1 \leq x_2 < y_2 \leq \ldots \leq x_n < y_n \leq b$. Let V be the system of all functions f on J such that

(1) $\quad \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} v(n, x+\tfrac{1}{n}, x+\tfrac{2}{n}, f) < \infty \quad \text{for each} \quad x \in [0,1)$

and

(2) $\quad \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} v(n, x-\tfrac{2}{n}, x-\tfrac{1}{n}, f) < \infty \quad \text{for each} \quad x \in (0,1].$

It is obvious that $Y \subset V$. A solution of the mentioned problem is now given by the relation

$$M(SD) = D \cap V.$$

Another "natural" class of derivatives is D^+. The vector space E generated by it is, obviously, the system of all functions $f \in D$ such that $|f| \leqq g$ for some $g \in D$ (so that, e.g., $bD \subset E$). To describe $M(E)$ we need the following notation. If f is a bounded nonnegative function on an interval $[a,b]$ and if r is a natural number, we set

$$A(r,a,b,f) = r^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sup f([x_{k-1}, x_k]),$$

where $x_k = a + k(b-a)/r$. Then $M(E)$ is the system of all bounded functions f on J such that

$$\lim_{r,n \to \infty} A(r,x,x+\tfrac{1}{n}, |f - f(x)|) = 0 \quad \text{for each} \quad x \in [0,1)$$

and

$$\lim_{r,n \to \infty} A(r,x-\tfrac{1}{n},x, |f - f(x)|) = 0 \quad \text{for each} \quad x \in (0,1].$$

It is not difficult to prove that $M(D^+) = (M(E))^+$ and that

(3) $$M(D) \subset M(SD) \subset M(E) \subset bC_{ap}$$

with proper inclusions. Further we have $M(D) \setminus C \neq \emptyset$, $\Delta \setminus M(D) \neq \emptyset$, $C \setminus M(SD) \neq \emptyset$. Some elements of $C \cap M(SD)$ are nowhere differentiable. These facts show that the role of

continuity or differentiability in the investigation of multipliers is smaller than we might expect. We have, however, $\Delta \subseteq M(SD)$ and $C \subseteq M(E)$.

Let $f \in bC_{ap}$ and let T be the set of all points of discontinuity of f. If $f \in M(D)$, then T is finite. If $f \in M(SD)$, then T is countable and each nonempty subset of T has an isolated point; in particular, T is nowhere dense. If $f \in M(E)$, then T has measure zero (so that f is Riemann integrable). We see that the set of points of discontinuity of a function belonging to some of the first three systems in (3) is, in some sense, small. There is, however, a function $f \in M(D^+)$ such that $T \cap I$ is uncountable for each interval $I \subseteq J$.

Let Z be the system of all continuous functions of bounded variation on J. Since $Z \subseteq M(D)$ and $C \setminus M(SD) \neq \emptyset$, we see that neither of the first two systems in (3) is closed under uniform convergence. It can be shown, however, that the third is. Moreover, if $f \in M(E)$ and if φ is a function continuous on $(-\infty, \infty)$, then the composite function $\varphi \circ f$ belongs again to $M(E)$.

I would like to illustrate the situation by a few examples.

It is easy to construct a function $f \in bC_{ap}$ such that $f(0) = 0$, f is continuous on $(0,1]$ and that $f(2^{-n}) = 1$, $\mathrm{var}(2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}, f) = 2$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Then $f \in M(D) \setminus C$.

It is also easy to construct a function $f \in C$ for which (1) does not hold; then, of course, $f \in C \setminus M(SD)$.

Let S be the Cantor set. Let f be a function on J with the following properties: $f = 0$ on S; if $I = (a,b)$

is a component of $J \setminus S$, $b - a = 3^{-n}$, let $f = 0$ on $(a, \alpha] \cup [\beta, b)$, $f(c) = 1$ and let f be linear on $[\alpha, c]$ and on $[c, \beta]$, where $c = (a + b)/2 = (\alpha + \beta)/2$, $\beta - \alpha = 9^{-n}$. Then $f \in M(D^+)$ and f is discontinuous at each point of S.

Let $1 > a_1 > a_2 > \dots$, $a_n \to 0$, $a_n/a_{n+1} \to 1$. There is a function $f \in C_{ap}$ such that $f(0) = 0$, f is continuous on $(0,1]$, $f(a_n) = 2$ for each n and $0 \leqq f \leqq 2$ on J. It is easy to construct a function $g \in D^+$ such that $g(0) = 1$ and that $fg \geqq g$ on $(0,1]$. (Such a g may be continuous on $(0,1]$.) Since $(fg)(0) = 0$, we cannot have $fg \in D$. We see that the function f belongs to bC_{ap} and is Riemann integrable, but does not belong to $M(E)$.

Proofs of the above results will appear in Real Analysis Exchange.

REFERENCES

[1] R.J. Fleissner, Multiplication and the fundamental theorem of calculus: A survey, Real Analysis Exchange, Vol. 2, No. 1-1976, 7-34.

[2] _____, Distant bounded variation and products of derivatives, Fund. Math. XCIV(1977), 1-11.

[3] Jan Mařík, Multipliers of summable derivatives, Real Analysis Exchange, Vol. 8, No. 2 (1982-83), 486-493.

145