

Mařík, Jan: Scholarly works

Jan Mařík

On generalized derivatives

Real Anal. Exchange 3 (2) (1977/78), 87-92

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/502123>

Terms of use:

© Michigan State University Press, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

Jan Mařík, Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824

On Generalized Derivatives

Terminology and introduction. Let $R = (-\infty, \infty)$.

The words measure, almost etc. refer to the Lebesgue measure in R . If $S \subset R$ and $x \in R$, we write $d(x, S) = \inf \{|y-x|; y \in S\}$. If, moreover, S is measurable, then mS denotes its measure. The notions of the k th Peano derivative f_k and of the k th approximate Peano derivate $f_{(k)}$ of a function f are defined in the usual way (see, e.g., [1] and [3]); $f^{(k)}$ means the classical k th derivative.

Property Z of a real function g on R is defined as follows: If $x \in R$, $\epsilon > 0$, $n > 0$, then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for each interval $I \subset (x - \delta, x + \delta)$ with either $g(I) \subset [g(x), \infty)$ or $g(I) \subset (-\infty, g(x)]$ we have

$$(1) \quad m\{y \in I; |g(y) - g(x)| \geq \epsilon\} \leq n \cdot (mI + d(x, I)).$$

Property Z was introduced in [4] by Weil. He proved, among other things, that if $k > 0$ and if f_k exists everywhere, then f_k has Property Z. The proof, however, is complicated. In [1], Babcock generalized this result replacing f_k by $f_{(k)}$, but a part of his proof (actually a part of the proof of Lemma 6.1)

consists of hints how to modify the mentioned proof in [4]. The main purpose of this note is to prove a proposition (namely the present Theorem 1) enabling us to simplify the proof of Babcock's assertion which is stated here as Theorem 2. The present Theorem 3 is a simultaneous generalization of Lemma 3.4 in [2] and (with $j=k$) of Theorem 3 in [3].

At this opportunity I would like to express my thanks to Prof. C. E. Weil for his encouragement to write this note.

Lemma 1. Let f be a monotone differentiable function on a bounded interval I . Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and let $m\{x \in I; |f'(x)| \geq \epsilon\} \geq \beta$. Then there is an interval $J \subset I$ such that $mJ = \beta/4$ and that $|f| \geq \epsilon\beta/4$ on J .

Proof. We may suppose that $f' \geq 0$ on I . Let (a,b) be the interior of I . There is a $c \in [a,b]$ such that $f \leq 0$ on (a,c) and $f \geq 0$ on (c,b) . Set $B = \{x \in I; f'(x) \geq \epsilon\}$. If $m(B \cap (c,b)) \geq \beta/2$ and if $x \in (b - \beta/4, b)$, then $f(x) \geq \int_c^x f' \geq \epsilon m(B \cap (c,x)) \geq \epsilon(m(B \cap (c,b)) - (b-x)) \geq \epsilon(\beta/2 - \beta/4) = \epsilon\beta/4$. If $m(B \cap (a,c)) \geq \beta/2$, then, analogously, $f \leq -\epsilon\beta/4$ on $(a, a + \beta/4)$.

Lemma 2. Let I be a bounded interval and let j be a natural number. Let g be a function such that

either $g^{(j)} \geq 0$ on I or $g^{(j)} \leq 0$ on I . Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and let $m\{x \in I; |g^{(j)}(x)| \geq \epsilon\} \geq \beta$. Then there is an interval $J \subset I$ such that $mJ = \beta/4^j$ and that $|g| \geq \epsilon \beta^j / 4^{1+2+\dots+j}$ on J .

(Follows by induction from Lemma 1.)

Theorem 1. Let k be a natural number, let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let f be a function such that $f^{(k)}(x)$ exists. Define $P(y) = \sum_{i=0}^k (y-x)^i \cdot f^{(i)}(x) / i!$ ($y \in \mathbb{R}$). Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\eta > 0$. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ with the following properties:

a) If I is a subinterval of $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$, j an integer with $0 < j \leq k$ and if either $f^{(j)} \leq P^{(j)}$ on I or $f^{(j)} \geq P^{(j)}$ on I , then

$$(2) \quad m\{y \in I; |f^{(j)}(y) - P^{(j)}(y)| \geq \epsilon |y-x|^{k-j}\} \leq \eta \cdot (mI + d(x, I)).$$

b) If I is any subinterval of $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$, then (2) holds with $j = 0$.

Proof. Let $g = f - P$, $\alpha = 4^{1+2+\dots+k}$. There is a measurable set A and a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that x is a point of density of A and that, for each $y \in A \cap (x - \delta_1, x + \delta_1)$, we have

$$(3) \quad 3^k \alpha |g(y)| \leq \epsilon \eta^k |y-x|^k.$$

Further, there is a $\delta \in (0, \delta_1)$ such that, for each $h \in (0, 3\delta)$, we have

$$(4) \quad 3 \cdot 4^j m([x-h, x+h] \setminus A) \leq h \eta.$$

Now let I be a subinterval of $(x-\delta, x+\delta)$ and let j be an integer, $0 \leq j \leq k$. Let

$$B = \{y \in I; |g^{(j)}(y)| \geq \epsilon |y-x|^{k-j}\}, \beta = \frac{1}{3} mB,$$

$h = mI + d(x, I)$. Now (2) becomes $3\beta \leq \eta h$. Thus, we may suppose that $\beta > 0$. Let $C = B \setminus (x-\beta, x+\beta)$.

Now $h < 3\delta$, $I \subset [x-h, x+h]$, $|g^{(j)}| \geq \epsilon \beta^{k-j}$ on C and $mC \geq \beta$. If $j > 0$ and if either $g^{(j)} \geq 0$ on I or $g^{(j)} \leq 0$ on I , then, by Lemma 2, there is a set $S \subset I$ such that

$$(5) \quad mS \geq \beta/4^j$$

and that

$$(6) \quad \alpha |g| \geq \epsilon \beta^{k-j} \cdot \beta^j = \epsilon \beta^k \text{ on } S;$$

if $j = 0$, then these relations hold with $S = C$. If there is a $y \in S \cap A$, then, by (6) and (3), $3^k \epsilon \beta^k \leq 3^k \alpha |g(y)| \leq \epsilon \eta^k h^k$ so that $3\beta \leq \eta h$. If $S \cap A = \emptyset$, then, by (5) and (4), $3\beta/4^j \leq 3mS \leq 3m([x-h, x+h] \setminus A) \leq h \eta / 4^j$ whence $3\beta \leq \eta h$ again.

Lemma 3. Let k be a natural number and let f be a function such that $f^{(k)} \geq 0$ on an interval I . Then $f^{(k)} = f_{(k)}$ on I .

(See [1], Theorem 4.1.)

Theorem 2. Let k be a natural number and let f be a function such that $f_{(k)}$ exists everywhere. Then $f_{(k)}$ has Property Z.

Proof. Let $x \in R$, $\epsilon > 0$, $\eta > 0$. Choose a δ according to Theorem 1. If P is as above, then, obviously, $P^{(k)} = f_{(k)}(x)$. Let I be a subinterval of $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$ such that either $f_{(k)}(y) \leq f_{(k)}(x)$ for each $y \in I$ or $f_{(k)}(y) \geq f_{(k)}(x)$ for each $y \in I$. By Lemma 3, $f^{(k)} = f_{(k)}$ on I . Thus, (1) with $g = f_{(k)}$ is the same as (2) with $j = k$.

Lemma 4. Let j be a natural number. Let ϕ be a positive continuous function on an interval I . Let g be a function such that $g_{(j)}$ exists (everywhere) on I and let $|g_{(j)}| \geq \phi$ almost everywhere on I . Then $g^{(j)}$ exists on I and either $g^{(j)} > 0$ on I or $g^{(j)} < 0$ on I .

Proof. Let $x \in I$. There is an $\epsilon > 0$ and an interval J such that $x \in J \subset I$ and that $\phi > \epsilon$ on J . Thus, $|g_{(j)}| > \epsilon$ almost everywhere on J . According to Corollary on p. 291 in [1] we have $|g_{(j)}| \geq \epsilon$ on J ; in particular, $g_{(j)}(x) \neq 0$. It follows from Corollary on p. 290 in [1] that either $g_{(j)} > 0$ on I or $g_{(j)} < 0$ on I . Now we apply Lemma 3.

Theorem 3. Let j, k be integers, $0 \leq j \leq k$, $k > 0$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let f be a function such that $f^{(k)}(x)$ exists. Define $P(y) = \sum_{i=0}^k (y-x)^i \cdot f^{(i)}(x) / i!$ ($y \in \mathbb{R}$). Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\eta > 0$. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ with the following property: If L is a subinterval of $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$ such that $f^{(j)}$ exists on L and that $|f^{(j)}(y) - P^{(j)}(y)| \geq \epsilon |y - x|^{k-j}$ for almost all $y \in L$, then $mL \leq \eta d(x, L)$.

Proof. Let δ be chosen according to Theorem 1, where η is replaced by $\eta_1 = \eta / (1 + \eta)$. Now let L be as above. If $L \cap (x, \infty) \neq \emptyset$, set $I = L \cap (x, \infty)$; otherwise set $I = L \cap (-\infty, x)$. If $j > 0$, then it follows easily from Lemma 4 that either $f^{(j)} > P^{(j)}$ on I or $f^{(j)} < P^{(j)}$ on I . According to Theorem 1 we have $mI \leq \eta_1 (mI + d(x, I))$ whence $mI \leq \eta d(x, I)$. In particular, $d(x, I) > 0$ so that $I = L$.

References

- [1] B.S. Babcock, On properties of the approximate Peano derivatives, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 212 (1975), 279-294.
- [2] R.J. O'Malley and C.E. Weil, The oscillatory behavior of certain derivatives, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 234, 2 (1977), 467-481.
- [3] C.E. Weil, On properties of derivatives, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1965), 363-376.
- [4] _____, A property for certain derivatives, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 23 (1973), 527-536.

Received April 4, 1978