

Walid Nefzi

Higher order Riesz transforms for the Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 69 (2019), No. 1, 257–273

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/147631>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2019

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## HIGHER ORDER RIESZ TRANSFORMS FOR THE DUNKL ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK OPERATOR

WALID NEFZI, Tunis

Received June 6, 2017. Published online July 26, 2018.

*Dedicated to my Professor Néjib Ben Salem*

*Abstract.* The aim of this paper is to extend the study of Riesz transforms associated to Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator considered by A. Nowak, L. Roncal and K. Stempak to higher order.

*Keywords:* Dunkl Laplacian; Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator; generalized Hermite polynomial; Riesz transform

*MSC 2010:* 26A33, 42C10, 42C20, 43A15, 47G40

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

Consider the finite reflection group generated by  $\sigma_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$  (see [2]),

$$\sigma_j(x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_d) = (x_1, \dots, -x_j, \dots, x_d),$$

and isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2^d = \{0, 1\}^d$ .

The reflection  $\sigma_j$  is in the hyperplane orthogonal to  $e_j$ , the  $j$ th coordinate vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Given a root system  $R$  by  $R = \{\pm\sqrt{2}e_j : j = 1, \dots, d\}$ , and the positive root system  $R_+$  defined by  $R_+ = \{\sqrt{2}e_j : j = 1, \dots, d\}$ , we recall the nonnegative multiplicity function  $k : R \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which is  $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$ -invariant, so only values of  $k$  on  $R_+$  are considered. Hence  $k = (\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \alpha_d + \frac{1}{2})$ , such that  $\alpha_j \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ .

Let  $T_j^\alpha$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ ,  $\alpha \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \infty)^d$ , be the Dunkl differential-difference operators, (see [11]) defined by

$$T_j^\alpha = \partial_j f(x) + \left(\alpha_j + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_j x)}{x_j}, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

here  $\partial_j$  is the  $j$ th partial derivative and  $\sigma_j$  denotes the reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to  $e_j$ , the  $j$ th coordinate vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

In Dunkl's theory the operator

$$\Delta_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^d (T_j^\alpha)^2$$

plays the role of the Euclidean Laplacian. The explicit form is

$$\Delta_\alpha f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2}(x) + \frac{2\alpha_j + 1}{x_j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) - \left( \alpha_j + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_j x)}{x_j^2} \right).$$

We recall the definition of the Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator, given in [10] by

$$L_\alpha = -\Delta_\alpha + 2x \cdot \nabla.$$

Note that  $\Delta_\alpha$ , when restricted to the even subspace

$$(1) \quad \{f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d): \forall j = 1, \dots, d, f(x) = f(\sigma_j x)\}$$

coincides with the multi-dimensional Bessel differential operator

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \left( \partial_j^2 + \frac{2\alpha_j + 1}{x_j} \partial_j \right),$$

and consequently  $L_\alpha$  reduces to the Laguerre-type operator

$$(2) \quad L_\alpha = -\Delta + 2x \cdot \nabla - \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{2\alpha_j + 1}{x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

The corresponding measure  $\mu_\alpha$  has the form

$$d\mu_\alpha(x) = \prod_{j=1}^d |x_j|^{2\alpha_j+1} e^{-x_j^2} dx_j, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We denote by  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mu_\alpha)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the Lebesgue space constituted of measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . By  $\langle f, g \rangle_\alpha$  we mean  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d\mu_\alpha(x)$  whenever the integral makes sense.

Given  $\alpha \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \infty)^d$ , the associated generalized Hermite polynomials (see [1], [9], [10]) are tensor products

$$\mathcal{H}_n^\alpha(x) = \mathcal{H}_{n_1}^{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{H}_{n_d}^{\alpha_d}, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d,$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{n_i}^{\alpha_i}$  are the one-dimensional generalized Hermite polynomials

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{2n_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i) &= (-1)^{n_i} \left( \frac{n_i!}{\Gamma(n_i + \alpha_i + 1)} \right)^{1/2} L_{n_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i^2), \\ \mathcal{H}_{2n_i+1}^{\alpha_i}(x_i) &= (-1)^{n_i} \left( \frac{n_i!}{\Gamma(n_i + \alpha_i + 2)} \right)^{1/2} x_i L_{n_i}^{\alpha_i+1}(x_i^2),\end{aligned}$$

here  $L_{n_i}^{\alpha_i}$  denotes the Laguerre polynomial of degree  $n_i$  and order  $\alpha_i$  (see [4]).

The system  $\{\mathcal{H}_n^\alpha: n \in \mathbb{N}^d\}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mu_\alpha)$  consisting of eigenfunctions of  $L_\alpha$  (see [10]), recall that

$$L_\alpha \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha = 2|n| \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha,$$

where we denote  $|n| = n_1 + \dots + n_d$ .

We define the  $j$ th partial “derivative”  $\delta_{\alpha,j}$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq d$ , related to  $L_\alpha$ , by

$$\delta_{\alpha,j} = T_j^\alpha.$$

The formal adjoint of  $\delta_{\alpha,j}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mu_\alpha)$  is

$$\delta_{\alpha,j}^* = -T_j^\alpha + 2x_j.$$

This precisely means that

$$\langle \delta_{\alpha,j} f, g \rangle_\alpha = \langle f, \delta_{\alpha,j}^* g \rangle_\alpha, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{C}_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

A direct computation shows that

$$L_\alpha + (2|\alpha| + 2d) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d (\delta_{\alpha,j}^* \delta_{\alpha,j} + \delta_{\alpha,j} \delta_{\alpha,j}^*).$$

We recall that for  $1 \leq j \leq d$  (see [7])

$$\delta_{\alpha,j} \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha = m(n_j, \alpha_j) \mathcal{H}_{n-e_j}^\alpha,$$

where

$$m(n_j, \alpha_j) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2n_j} & \text{if } n_j \text{ is even,} \\ \sqrt{2n_j + 4\alpha_j + 2} & \text{if } n_j \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

by convention,  $\mathcal{H}_{n-e_j}^\alpha \equiv 0$  if  $n_j = 0$ .

Note that for each  $j$  the system  $\{\delta_{\alpha,j}\mathcal{H}_n^\alpha : n_j \geq 1\}$  is orthogonal in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mu_\alpha)$ .

The self-adjoint extension of  $L_\alpha$  initially considered on  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is given by the operator

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} 2|n| \langle f, \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha \rangle_\alpha \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha,$$

and defined on the domain

$$\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_\alpha) = \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mu_\alpha) : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} |2|n| \langle f, \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha \rangle_\alpha|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

The spectrum of  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$  is the discrete set  $\{2m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and the spectral decomposition of  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$  is

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha f = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2m \mathcal{P}_m^\alpha f, \quad f \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}_\alpha),$$

where the spectral projections are

$$\mathcal{P}_m^\alpha f = \sum_{|n|=m} \langle f, \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha \rangle_\alpha \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha.$$

Observe that since zero is an eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ , then denoting by  $\Pi_0$  the orthogonal projection operator onto the orthogonal complement of the subspace spanned by the constant functions, it is also given

$$\Pi_0 f = f - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) d\mu_\alpha(y).$$

We have for  $M \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{-M/2} \Pi_0 f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2m)^{-M/2} \mathcal{P}_m^\alpha f,$$

and this operator is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mu_\alpha)$ .

The Riesz transforms related to the Dunkl harmonic oscillator and to the Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator have been intensively studied in recent years by many authors, see e.g. [6], [7], [8], and references therein. In [7] Nowak, Roncal and Stempak introduced the Riesz transforms of order one related to the Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator  $L_\alpha$  and they proved that these transforms are  $L^p$  bounded with  $1 < p < \infty$  in the one-dimensional setting. The aim of this paper is to present an extension of this result to the Riesz-Dunkl transforms of order  $M$  with  $M \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . We note that for technical reasons, we have considered the  $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$  group case.

According to a general principle, see [3], we now define higher order Riesz-Dunkl transforms in the following way: let  $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  be a multi-index and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \infty)^d$ . Then for  $M \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the family of the Riesz-Dunkl transforms  $(\mathcal{R}_\tau^\alpha)$  of order  $M$  such that  $|\tau| = \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_d = M$  (the length of  $\tau$ ) is given by

$$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha, M} = (\mathcal{R}_\tau^\alpha)_{|\tau|=M} = (\delta_\alpha^\tau \mathcal{L}_\alpha^{-M/2} \Pi_0)_{|\tau|=M},$$

where

$$\delta_\alpha^\tau = \delta_{\alpha,1}^{\tau_1} \dots \delta_{\alpha,d}^{\tau_d}.$$

In the one-dimensional case, to prove our main result Theorem 1, we split a function  $f$  into its even, and odd parts  $f_e$  and  $f_o$  and we observe that if the order  $m$  is odd then the Riesz-Dunkl transform of order  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$   $\mathcal{R}_m^\alpha f_e$  is odd and  $\mathcal{R}_m^\alpha f_o$  is even, and if the order  $m$  is even then  $\mathcal{R}_m^\alpha f_e$  is even and  $\mathcal{R}_m^\alpha f_o$  is odd.

Due to these symmetries we consider the operators  $\mathcal{R}_{e,m}^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{o,m}^\alpha$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)$  emerging naturally from restrictions of  $\mathcal{R}_m^\alpha$  to the subspaces of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_\alpha)$  of even and odd functions, respectively.

The  $L^p$ -boundedness of the even and odd Riesz-Dunkl operators follows from the  $L^p$ -boundedness of the Riesz-Laguerre-type transforms and of shift and multiplier operators depending on  $m$ .

In the  $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$  group case we investigate a natural variant of the Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator by means of the Dunkl gradient rather than the Euclidean one, then we obtain higher order Riesz-Dunkl transforms which are  $L^2$ -contractions. The  $L^p$ -boundedness of these Riesz-Dunkl transforms is proved in the one-dimensional case.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give the expansions of higher order Riesz transforms associated with the Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator of  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} \langle f, \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha \rangle \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mu_\alpha)$  and we study the  $L^2$ -boundedness of this transform.

In Section 3, for the one-dimensional case, we establish  $L^p$ -boundedness of shift operators, we define and study the Riesz-Laguerre-type transforms of order  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . After that, we prove our main result.

Finally in Section 4, we discuss higher order Riesz transforms related to the alternative Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator by the methods developed in the previous section.

2. HIGHER ORDER RIESZ TRANSFORMS ASSOCIATED WITH  
THE DUNKL ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK OPERATOR

Let  $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  be a multi-index and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \infty)^d$ , we denote by  $\delta_\alpha^\tau$  the operator

$$\delta_\alpha^\tau = \delta_{\alpha,1}^{\tau_1} \dots \delta_{\alpha,d}^{\tau_d}.$$

It is natural to define the Riesz transform of order  $M \in \mathbb{N}^*$  for the Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator by

$$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha,M} = (\mathcal{R}_\tau^\alpha)_{|\tau|=M} = (\delta_\alpha^\tau \mathcal{L}_\alpha^{-M/2} \Pi_0)_{|\tau|=M},$$

where  $|\tau| = \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_d$  is the length of  $\tau$ .

In order to study the higher order Riesz transforms  $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha,M}$  of order  $M \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we shall see how  $\delta_\alpha^\tau$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}_n^\alpha$ .

We begin by observing that

$$\delta_{\alpha,j}^{\tau_j} \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha = m(n_j, \alpha_j, \tau_j) \mathcal{H}_{n-\tau_j e_j}^\alpha$$

by the convention that  $\mathcal{H}_{n-\tau_j e_j}^\alpha \equiv 0$  if  $n_j < \tau_j$ , so we take  $m(n_j, \alpha_j, \tau_j) = 0$  if  $n_j < \tau_j$ .

Otherwise  $m(n_j, \alpha_j, \tau_j)$  is given by the next lemma.

**Lemma 1.** (i) If  $\tau_j = 1$ , then  $m(n_j, \alpha_j, 1) = m(n_j, \alpha_j)$  given by

$$m(n_j, \alpha_j) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2n_j} & \text{if } n_j \text{ is even,} \\ \sqrt{2n_j + 4\alpha_j + 2} & \text{if } n_j \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) If  $2 \leq \tau_j \leq n_j$  and  $\tau_j$  even, then

$$m(n_j, \alpha_j, \tau_j) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2^{\tau_j} n_j (n_j - 2) \dots (n_j - \tau_j + 2) (n_j + 2\alpha_j)} \times \sqrt{(n_j + 2\alpha_j - 2) \dots (n_j + 2\alpha_j - \tau_j + 2)}}{\sqrt{2^{\tau_j} (n_j - 1) (n_j - 3) \dots (n_j - \tau_j + 1) (n_j + 2\alpha_j + 1)}} & \text{if } n_j \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2^{\tau_j} (n_j - 1) (n_j - 3) \dots (n_j - \tau_j + 1) (n_j + 2\alpha_j + 1)} \times \sqrt{(n_j + 2\alpha_j - 1) \dots (n_j + 2\alpha_j - \tau_j + 3)}}{\sqrt{2^{\tau_j} n_j (n_j - 2) \dots (n_j - \tau_j + 2) (n_j + 2\alpha_j)}} & \text{if } n_j \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) If  $3 \leq \tau_j \leq n_j$  and  $\tau_j$  odd, then

$$m(n_j, \alpha_j, \tau_j) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2^{\tau_j} n_j (n_j - 2) \dots (n_j - \tau_j + 1) (n_j + 2\alpha_j)} \times \sqrt{(n_j + 2\alpha_j - 2) \dots (n_j + 2\alpha_j - \tau_j + 3)}}{\sqrt{2^{\tau_j} (n_j - 1) (n_j - 3) \dots (n_j - \tau_j + 2) (n_j + 2\alpha_j + 1)}} & \text{if } n_j \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2^{\tau_j} (n_j - 1) (n_j - 3) \dots (n_j - \tau_j + 2) (n_j + 2\alpha_j + 1)} \times \sqrt{(n_j + 2\alpha_j - 1) \dots (n_j + 2\alpha_j - \tau_j + 2)}}{\sqrt{2^{\tau_j} n_j (n_j - 2) \dots (n_j - \tau_j + 1) (n_j + 2\alpha_j)}} & \text{if } n_j \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have in [7] that for  $1 \leq j \leq d$

$$\delta_{\alpha,j} \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha = m(n_j, \alpha_j) \mathcal{H}_{n-e_j}^\alpha,$$

where

$$m(n_j, \alpha_j) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2n_j} & \text{if } n_j \text{ is even,} \\ \sqrt{2n_j + 4\alpha_j + 2} & \text{if } n_j \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

by convention,  $\mathcal{H}_{n-e_j}^\alpha \equiv 0$  if  $n_j = 0$ . So we obtain (i).

To prove (ii) and (iii) we give some computations of  $\delta_{\alpha,j}^{\tau_j} \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha$ :

If  $n_j$  is even we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\alpha,j} \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha &= \sqrt{2n_j} \mathcal{H}_{n-e_j}^\alpha \\ \delta_{\alpha,j}^2 \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha &= \sqrt{2^2 n_j (n_j + 2\alpha_j)} \mathcal{H}_{n-2e_j}^\alpha \\ \delta_{\alpha,j}^3 \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha &= \sqrt{2^3 n_j (n_j + 2\alpha_j) (n_j - 2)} \mathcal{H}_{n-3e_j}^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $n_j$  is odd we show that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\alpha,j} \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha &= \sqrt{2(n_j + 2\alpha_j + 1)} \mathcal{H}_{n-e_j}^\alpha \\ \delta_{\alpha,j}^2 \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha &= \sqrt{2^2 (n_j + 2\alpha_j + 1) (n_j - 1)} \mathcal{H}_{n-2e_j}^\alpha \\ \delta_{\alpha,j}^3 \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha &= \sqrt{2^3 (n_j + 2\alpha_j + 1) (n_j - 1) (n_j + 2\alpha_j - 1)} \mathcal{H}_{n-3e_j}^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by iteration method, we deduce the results.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** For  $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \infty)^d$ , we have

$$\delta_\alpha^\tau \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha = (\delta_{\alpha,1}^{\tau_1} \delta_{\alpha,2}^{\tau_2} \dots \delta_{\alpha,d}^{\tau_d}) \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha = \mathcal{M}(n, \alpha, \tau) \mathcal{H}_{n-\sum_{j=1}^d \tau_j e_j}^\alpha,$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}(n, \alpha, \tau) = \prod_{j=1}^d m(n_j, \alpha_j, \tau_j).$$

Also, for  $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , we have

$$0 \leq \mathcal{M}(n, \alpha, \tau) \leq C(|n| + 2|\alpha| + 1)^{|\tau|/2},$$

where  $|\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^d |\alpha_j|$  and  $C$  is a positive constant independent of significant quantities.

And  $\mathcal{M}(n, \alpha, \tau)$  vanishes if and only if there exists  $1 \leq j \leq d$  such that  $n_j - \tau_j < 0$ .



Proof. A direct composition gives

$$(\delta_{\alpha,1}^{\tau_1} \delta_{\alpha,2}^{\tau_2} \dots \delta_{\alpha,d}^{\tau_d}) \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^d m(n_j, \alpha_j, \tau_j) \mathcal{H}_{n - \sum_{j=1}^d \tau_j e_j}^\alpha,$$

where  $m(n_j, \alpha_j, \tau_j)$  is defined as in the previous lemma.

For  $1 \leq j \leq d$ , we see that each factor under the square root in the expression of  $m(n_j, \alpha_j, \tau_j)$  is bounded by  $|n| + 2|\alpha| + 1$  and there is  $\tau_j$  factors, so

$$m(n_j, \alpha_j, \tau_j) \leq C(|n| + 2|\alpha| + 1)^{\tau_j/2}.$$

We deduce that

$$\mathcal{M}(n, \alpha, \tau) \leq C(|n| + 2|\alpha| + 1)^{|\tau|/2}.$$

□

The higher order Riesz-Dunkl transform  $\mathcal{R}_\tau^\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{H}_n^\alpha$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_\tau^\alpha \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha = \frac{\mathcal{M}(n, \alpha, \tau)}{(2|n|)^{|\tau|/2}} \mathcal{H}_{n - \sum_{j=1}^d \tau_j e_j}^\alpha.$$

So the higher order Riesz-Dunkl transform  $\mathcal{R}_\tau^\alpha$  of  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} \langle f, \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha \rangle_\alpha \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mu_\alpha)$  is given by

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{R}_\tau^\alpha f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d, |n| > 0} \frac{\mathcal{M}(n, \alpha, \tau)}{(2|n|)^{|\tau|/2}} \langle f, \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha \rangle_\alpha \mathcal{H}_{n - \sum_{j=1}^d \tau_j e_j}^\alpha.$$

From equality (3) and Lemma 2, the  $L^2$ -boundedness can easily be seen.

**Remark 1.** We note that  $\mathcal{R}_\tau^\alpha$  is not a contraction on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mu_\alpha)$  if  $\alpha \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \infty)^d$ .

### 3. $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -HIGHER ORDER RIESZ TRANSFORMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE DUNKL ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK OPERATOR

Our main result, Theorem 1 below, is an extension to higher order of Nowak, Roncal and Stempak's  $L^p$  results given in [7] for the Riesz transform  $\mathcal{R}_1^\alpha$  related to the Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator in one-dimension setting.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $d = 1$  and assume that  $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ . Then for each  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the Riesz-Dunkl transform  $\mathcal{R}_m^\alpha$  of order  $m$ , associated with the Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator, defined on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_\alpha)$  by (3), extends to a bounded operator on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_\alpha)$ .*

First of all we recall some results in the one-dimensional setting and in the case when the order of the Riesz-Dunkl transform is one.

By the change of variable  $x \mapsto x^2$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , the authors in [7] translate some results from the classical Laguerre setting to the so called “squared” Laguerre setting.

For  $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ , the restriction of  $\mu_\alpha$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+$  will be denoted by the same symbol. The Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator (2) in this case is

$$\mathbb{L}_\alpha = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{2\alpha + 1 - 2x^2}{x} \frac{d}{dx},$$

which is positive and symmetric in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)$ . The Laguerre polynomials  $L_n^\alpha(x^2)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are eigenfunctions of  $\mathbb{L}_\alpha$ ,

$$\mathbb{L}_\alpha L_n^\alpha(x^2) = 4nL_n^\alpha(x^2),$$

and the set  $\{\mathbb{L}_\alpha L_n^\alpha(x^2) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  forms an orthogonal basis in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)$ .

Also the authors in [7] considered the polynomials

$$\varphi_n^\alpha(x) = \left(\frac{2n!}{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}\right)^{1/2} L_n^\alpha(x^2)$$

and

$$\psi_n^\alpha(x) = \left(\frac{2n!}{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 2)}\right)^{1/2} xL_n^{\alpha+1}(x^2),$$

which form two orthonormal bases in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)$ .

These polynomials  $\varphi_n^\alpha$  and  $\psi_n^\alpha$  coincide, up to constant factors independent of  $n$  and  $\alpha$ , with the generalized Hermite polynomials  $\mathcal{H}_{2n}^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{2n+1}^\alpha$ , respectively.

The definition of the first order Riesz-Dunkl transform is inherited from the classical Laguerre setting given by [5], and induced by the mapping

$$\mathcal{R}_\varphi^\alpha : \varphi_n^\alpha \rightarrow -\psi_{n-1}^\alpha, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\psi_{-1}^\alpha \equiv 0$ .

Muckenhoupt proved in [5] the following:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then*

$$\|\mathcal{R}_\varphi^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)},$$

with a constant  $C$  independent of  $f \in L^2 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)$ .

In [7] the authors give the adjoint operator of  $\mathcal{R}_\varphi^\alpha$ , taken in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)$ , by the mapping

$$\mathcal{R}_\psi^\alpha: \psi_n^\alpha \rightarrow -\varphi_{n+1}^\alpha, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

they proved by Theorem 2 and duality that for  $1 < p < \infty$

$$(4) \quad \|\mathcal{R}_\psi^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)},$$

with a constant  $C$  independent of  $f \in L^2 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)$ .

Also, they translate the multiplier theorem below, given in [3], to the squared Laguerre setting after restricting it to one dimension and taking  $\beta = 1$ ,

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ . Assume that  $h$  is an analytic function in a neighborhood of the origin. Let  $\{\xi(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $\xi(n) = h(n^{-1})$  for  $n \geq n_0 \geq 0$ . Then the multiplier operator given by*

$$\mathcal{M}_\xi: \varphi_n^\alpha \rightarrow \xi(n)\varphi_n^\alpha$$

satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{M}_\xi f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)},$$

with a constant  $C$  independent of  $f \in L^2 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)$ .

In our context, in order to prove our Theorem 1 we consider the right and left shift operators of order  $m$ , for  $m \geq 1$ , related to the system  $\{\varphi_n^\alpha\}$ , respectively denoted by

$$\mathcal{S}_{r,m}: \varphi_n^\alpha \rightarrow \varphi_{n+m}^\alpha$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{l,m}: \varphi_n^\alpha \rightarrow \varphi_{n-m}^\alpha,$$

where  $\varphi_{n-m}^\alpha \equiv 0$  if  $n - m < 0$ .

We establish  $L^p$ -boundedness of these shift operators, which may be regarded as an extension of Theorem 6.3 stated in [7].

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ . Then the shift operators of order  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  defined above satisfy*

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{l,m} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{r,m} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)},$$

with a constant  $C$  independent of  $f \in L^2 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)$ .

**Proof.** If  $m \leq n$ , we can see that

$$\mathcal{S}_{l,m} : \varphi_n^\alpha \rightarrow \varphi_{n-m}^\alpha,$$

so

$$\mathcal{S}_{l,m}(\varphi_n^\alpha) = (\mathcal{S}_l)^m(\varphi_n^\alpha),$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_l$  is the left shift operator of order 1 given in [7] and verifies that

$$\|\mathcal{S}_l f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)}.$$

We deduce that

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{l,m} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C_m \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)},$$

where  $C_m$  is a positive constant depending on  $m$ .

Similarly we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{r,m} : \varphi_n^\alpha \rightarrow \varphi_{n+m}^\alpha$$

so

$$\mathcal{S}_{r,m}(\varphi_n^\alpha) = (\mathcal{S}_r)^m(\varphi_n^\alpha)$$

with  $\mathcal{S}_r$  the right shift operator of order 1 which verifies that

$$\|\mathcal{S}_r f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)}.$$

We deduce that

$$\|\mathcal{S}_{r,m} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C(m) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)},$$

where  $C(m)$  is a positive constant depending on  $m$ . □

Now we define the operators  $\mathcal{R}_{\varphi,m}^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\psi,m}^\alpha$ , for  $m \geq 1$ , induced, respectively, by the mappings

$$\mathcal{R}_{\varphi,m}^\alpha : \varphi_n^\alpha \rightarrow (-1)^m \psi_{n-m}^\alpha, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\psi_{n-m}^\alpha \equiv 0$  if  $m > n$ , and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\psi,m}^\alpha : \psi_n^\alpha \rightarrow (-1)^m \varphi_{n+m}^\alpha, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We establish  $L^p$ -boundedness of these transforms in the theorem below.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then*

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{\varphi,m}^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{\psi,m}^\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)},$$

with a constant  $C$  independent of  $f \in L^2 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)$ .

Proof. We have, for  $n \geq m$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\varphi,m}^{\alpha}(\varphi_n^{\alpha}) = (-1)^{m-1} \mathcal{R}_{\varphi}^{\alpha} \mathcal{S}_{l,m-1}(\varphi_n^{\alpha}).$$

We can deduce the  $L^p$ -boundedness of  $\mathcal{R}_{\varphi,m}^{\alpha}$  by Theorem 2 and Theorem 4.

On the other hand

$$\mathcal{R}_{\psi,m}^{\alpha}(\psi_n^{\alpha}) = (-1)^{m-1} \mathcal{S}_{r,m-1} \mathcal{R}_{\psi}^{\alpha}(\psi_n^{\alpha}),$$

so the  $L^p$ -boundedness of  $\mathcal{R}_{\psi,m}^{\alpha}$  is a consequence of Theorem 4 and inequality (4).  $\square$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. In the one-dimensional setting for  $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  and for the Riesz-Dunkl transform of order  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , defined on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_{\alpha})$  by

$$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha,m} = \mathcal{R}_m^{\alpha} = \delta_{\alpha}^m \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^{-m/2} \Pi_0,$$

and for

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \mathcal{H}_n^{\alpha} \rangle_{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_n^{\alpha},$$

we have

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{R}_m^{\alpha} f = \sum_{n > 0} \frac{\mathcal{M}(n, \alpha, m)}{(2n)^{m/2}} \langle f, \mathcal{H}_n^{\alpha} \rangle_{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_{n-m}^{\alpha}.$$

Given  $f \in L^2 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_{\alpha})$ , we decompose it into its even and odd parts,

$$f = f_e + f_o.$$

Then to prove Theorem 1 it is sufficient to show the  $L^p$  estimates

$$\|\mathcal{R}_m^{\alpha} f_e\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_{\alpha})} \leq C \|f_e\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_{\alpha})}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{R}_m^{\alpha} f_o\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_{\alpha})} \leq C \|f_o\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_{\alpha})}.$$

Since the generalized Hermite polynomial  $\mathcal{H}_n^{\alpha}$  is even if  $n$  is even and odd for  $n$  odd, expansions of  $f_e$  and  $f_o$  are given only by even and odd  $\mathcal{H}_n^{\alpha}$ , respectively.

In view of (5), we observe that if the order  $m$  is odd, then  $\mathcal{R}_m^{\alpha} f_e$  is odd and  $\mathcal{R}_m^{\alpha} f_o$  is even.

And if the order  $m$  is even, then  $\mathcal{R}_m^{\alpha} f_e$  is even and  $\mathcal{R}_m^{\alpha} f_o$  is odd.

Due to these symmetries we consider the operators  $\mathcal{R}_{e,m}^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{o,m}^\alpha$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)$  emerging naturally from restrictions of  $\mathcal{R}_m^\alpha$  to the subspaces of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_\alpha)$  of even and odd functions, respectively.

Observe that by relation (5) we have:

(i) If  $m$  is even, then

$$\mathcal{R}_{e,m}^\alpha : \varphi_n^\alpha \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{M}(2n, \alpha, m)}{(4n)^{m/2}} \varphi_{n-m/2}^\alpha,$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{o,m}^\alpha : \psi_n^\alpha \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{M}(2n+1, \alpha, m)}{(4n+2)^{m/2}} \psi_{n-m/2}^\alpha.$$

Thus we can see that

$$\mathcal{R}_{e,m}^\alpha(\varphi_n^\alpha) = \mathcal{M}_{\xi_1} \mathcal{S}_{l,m/2}(\varphi_n^\alpha)$$

with

$$\xi_1(n) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(2n, \alpha, m)}{(4n)^{m/2}}.$$

And

$$\mathcal{R}_{o,m}^\alpha(\psi_n^\alpha) = \mathcal{R}_{\varphi, m/2+1}^\alpha \mathcal{M}_{\xi_2} \mathcal{R}_\psi^\alpha(\psi_n^\alpha)$$

with

$$\xi_2(n) = (-1)^{m/2} \frac{\mathcal{M}(2n+1, \alpha, m)}{(4n+2)^{m/2}}.$$

Consequently, the relevant  $L^p$  estimate follows by relation (4) accordingly with Theorems 3, 4 and 5.

(ii) On the other hand, if  $m$  is odd, then

$$\mathcal{R}_{e,m}^\alpha : \varphi_n^\alpha \rightarrow (-1)^{(m+1)/2} \frac{\mathcal{M}(2n, \alpha, m)}{(4n)^{m/2}} \varphi_{n-(m+1)/2}^\alpha$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{o,m}^\alpha : \psi_n^\alpha \rightarrow (-1)^{(m-1)/2} \frac{\mathcal{M}(2n+1, \alpha, m)}{(4n+2)^{m/2}} \varphi_{n-(m-1)/2}^\alpha.$$

Thus we can see that

$$\mathcal{R}_{e,m}^\alpha(\varphi_n^\alpha) = \mathcal{R}_{\varphi, (m+1)/2} \mathcal{M}_{\xi_3}(\varphi_n^\alpha),$$

with

$$\xi_3(n) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(2n, \alpha, m)}{(4n)^{m/2}}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha,m}^\alpha(\psi_n^\alpha) = \mathcal{M}_{\xi_4} \mathcal{S}_{l,(m+1)/2} \mathcal{R}_\psi^\alpha(\psi_n^\alpha),$$

with

$$\xi_4(n) = (-1)^{(m+1)/2} \frac{\mathcal{M}(2n+1, \alpha, m)}{(4n+2)^{m/2}}.$$

Thus we see again that the relevant  $L^p$  estimate follows by relation (4) and Theorems 3, 4 and 5.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** We conjecture in our context that an analogue of Theorem 1 holds for arbitrary dimension  $d$  and  $\alpha \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \infty)^d$ .

#### 4. HIGHER ORDER RIESZ TRANSFORMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ALTERNATIVE DUNKL ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK OPERATOR

In this section we consider the alternative Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator given in [7] by

$$\tilde{L}_\alpha = -\Delta_\alpha + 2x \cdot \nabla_\alpha,$$

where the Dunkl gradient  $\nabla_\alpha$  is defined by

$$\nabla_\alpha = (T_1^\alpha, \dots, T_d^\alpha).$$

The authors in [7] define, in the  $\mathbb{Z}_2^d$  group case, the Riesz-Dunkl transforms of order one associated with  $\tilde{L}_\alpha$ . These transforms are contractions in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mu_\alpha)$ , which is not true in the case of  $L_\alpha$ .

Similarly as  $L_\alpha$ , when restricted to the even subspace (1),  $\tilde{L}_\alpha$  coincides with the Laguerre-type operator (2), and for  $\alpha = (-\frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2})$  it reduces to the classic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator. We recall that

$$\tilde{L}_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^d \delta_{\alpha,j}^* \delta_{\alpha,j}.$$

It follows that  $\tilde{L}_\alpha$  is formally symmetric and nonnegative in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mu_\alpha)$ .

Also, we have

$$\tilde{L}_\alpha \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha = \left( 2|n| + \sum_{\{j: n_j \text{ odd}\}} (4\alpha_j + 2) \right) \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha = \left( \sum_{j=1}^d [m(n_j, \alpha_j)]^2 \right) \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha.$$

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\alpha$  be the self-adjoint extension of  $\tilde{L}_\alpha$  whose spectral decomposition is given by  $\mathcal{H}_n^\alpha$ .

Let  $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  be a multi-index and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \infty)^d$ , we denote by  $\delta_\alpha^\tau$  the operator

$$\delta_\alpha^\tau = \delta_{\alpha,1}^{\tau_1} \dots \delta_{\alpha,d}^{\tau_d}.$$

It is natural to define the Riesz transform of order  $M \in \mathbb{N}^*$  for the alternative Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck  $\tilde{L}_\alpha$  operator by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\alpha, M} = (\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_\tau^\alpha)_{|\tau|=M} = (\delta_\alpha^\tau \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\alpha^{-M/2} \Pi_0)_{|\tau|=M},$$

where  $|\tau| = \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_d$  is the length of  $\tau$ .

So the higher order Riesz-Dunkl transform  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_\tau^\alpha$  of  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} \langle f, \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha \rangle_\alpha \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mu_\alpha)$  is given by

$$(6) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_\tau^\alpha f = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}^d \\ |n| > 0}} \frac{\mathcal{M}(n, \alpha, \tau)}{\left( \sum_{j=1}^d [m(n_j, \alpha_j)]^2 \right)^{|\tau|/2}} \langle f, \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha \rangle_\alpha \mathcal{H}_{n - \sum_{j=1}^d \tau_j e_j}^\alpha.$$

From formula (6) and Lemma 2, the  $L^2$ -boundedness can easily be seen directly.

**Remark 3.** By Plancherel's theorem the mapping

$$f \rightarrow \left( \sum_{|\tau|=M} |\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_\tau^\alpha f|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

is a contraction on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mu_\alpha)$ .

We now state an analogue of Theorem 1 in the context of  $\tilde{L}_\alpha$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $d = 1$  and assume that  $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ . Then for each  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the Riesz-Dunkl transform  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_m^\alpha$  of order  $m$ , associated with the alternative Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator, defined on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_\alpha)$  by (6), extends to a bounded operator on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}, d\mu_\alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1 and arrive at the operators  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{e,m}^\alpha$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{o,m}^\alpha$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)$ . Then to prove this theorem, it is sufficient to show the  $L^p$  estimates for these two operators.

We recall that in one-dimensional setting, for  $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  and for the Riesz-Dunkl transform of order  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , defined on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, d\mu_\alpha)$  by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\alpha, m} = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_m^\alpha = \delta_\alpha^m \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\alpha^{-m/2} \Pi_0,$$



and for

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha \rangle_\alpha \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha,$$

we have

$$(7) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_m^\alpha f = \sum_{n>0} \frac{\mathcal{M}(n, \alpha, m)}{[m(n, \alpha)]^m} \langle f, \mathcal{H}_n^\alpha \rangle_\alpha \mathcal{H}_{n-m}^\alpha.$$

Notice that by (7) we have:

(i) If  $m$  is even, then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{e,m}^\alpha = \mathcal{M}_{\xi_1} \mathcal{S}_{l,m/2}$$

with

$$\xi_1(n) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(2n, \alpha, m)}{[m(2n, \alpha)]^m}.$$

And

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{o,m}^\alpha = \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{\varphi, m/2+1}^\alpha \mathcal{M}_{\xi_2} \mathcal{R}_\psi^\alpha$$

with

$$\xi_2(n) = (-1)^{m/2} \frac{\mathcal{M}(2n+1, \alpha, m)}{[m(2n+1, \alpha)]^m}.$$

(ii) If  $m$  is odd, then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{e,m}^\alpha = \mathcal{R}_{\varphi, (m+1)/2} \mathcal{M}_{\xi_3}$$

with

$$\xi_3(n) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(2n, \alpha, m)}{[m(2n, \alpha)]^m}.$$

And

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{o,m}^\alpha = \mathcal{M}_{\xi_4} \mathcal{S}_{l, (m+1)/2} \mathcal{R}_\psi^\alpha$$

with

$$\xi_4(n) = (-1)^{(m+1)/2} \frac{\mathcal{M}(2n+1, \alpha, m)}{[m(2n+1, \alpha)]^m}.$$

Consequently, the relevant  $L^p$  estimate follows by relation (4) accordingly with Theorems 3, 4 and 5.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thank the referee for a constructive criticism resulting in various improvements of the paper.

## References

- [1] *T. S. Chihara*: Generalized Hermite Polynomials. Thesis (Ph.D.). Purdue University, West Lafayette, 1955. [MR](#)
- [2] *C. D. Dunkl*: Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* *311* (1989), 167–183. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [3] *P. Graczyk, J. J. Loeb, I. López, A. Nowak, W. Urbina*: Higher order Riesz transforms, fractional derivatives, and Sobolev spaces for Laguerre expansions. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* *84* (2005), 375–405. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *N. N. Lebedev*: Special Functions and Their Applications. Dover Publications, New York, 1972. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [5] *B. Muckenhoupt*: Conjugate functions for Laguerre expansions. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* *147* (1970), 403–418. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [6] *W. Nefzi*: Higher order Riesz transforms for the Dunkl harmonic oscillator. *Taiwanese J. Math.* *19* (2015), 567–583. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] *A. Nowak, L. Roncal, K. Stempak*: Riesz transforms for the Dunkl Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator. *Colloq. Math.* *118* (2010), 669–684. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [8] *A. Nowak, K. Stempak*: Riesz transforms for the Dunkl harmonic oscillator. *Math. Z.* *262* (2009), 539–556. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [9] *M. Rosenblum*: Generalized Hermite polynomials and the Bose-like oscillator calculus. *Nonselfadjoint Operators and Related Topics* (A. Feintuch et al., eds.). *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications* 73, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994, pp. 369–396. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [10] *M. Rösler*: Generalized Hermite polynomials and the heat equation for Dunkl operators. *Commun. Math. Phys.* *192* (1998), 519–542. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [11] *M. Rösler*: Dunkl operators: Theory and applications. *Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions* (E. Koelink et al., eds.). *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1817, Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 93–135. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

*Author's address:* Walid Nefzi, University of Tunis El Manar, Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, LR11ES11 Analyse Mathématiques et Applications, 2092 Tunis, Tunisia, e-mail: [wahidahla@yahoo.fr](mailto:wahidahla@yahoo.fr).