Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Václav Kryštof

Generalized versions of Ilmanen lemma: Insertion of $C^{1,\omega}$ or $C^{1,\omega}_{\mathrm{loc}}$ functions

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 59 (2018), No. 2, 223–231

Persistent URL: `http://dml.cz/dmlcz/147254`

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2018

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* `http://dml.cz`

Comment.Math.Univ.Carolin. 59,2 (2018) 223–231

Generalized versions of Ilmanen lemma: Insertion of $C^{1,\omega}$ or $C^{1,\omega}_{\mathrm{loc}}$ functions

Václav Kryštof

Abstract. We prove that for a normed linear space X, if $f_1\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and semiconvex with modulus ω, $f_2\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and semiconcave with modulus ω and $f_1 \le f_2$, then there exists $f \in C^{1,\omega}(X)$ such that $f_1 \le f \le f_2$. Using this result we prove a generalization of Ilmanen lemma (which deals with the case $\omega(t) = t$) to the case of an arbitrary nontrivial modulus ω. This generalization (where a $C^{1,\omega}_{\mathrm{loc}}$ function is inserted) gives a positive answer to a problem formulated by A. Fathi and M. Zavidovique in 2010.

Keywords: Ilmanen lemma; $C^{1,\omega}$ function; semiconvex function with general modulus

Classification: 26B25

1. Introduction

Suppose $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex set. We say that $f\colon A \to \mathbb{R}$ is classically semiconvex if there exists $C > 0$ such that the function $x \mapsto f(x) + C|x|^2$, $x \in A$, is convex. We say that $f\colon A \to \mathbb{R}$ is classically semiconcave if $-f$ is classically semiconvex. T. Ilmanen proved the following result (so called Ilmanen lemma) [9, Proof of 4F from 4G, page 199].

Ilmanen lemma. *Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and $f_1, f_2\colon G \to \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $f_1 \le f_2$ and that for every $a \in G$ there exists $r > 0$ such that $U := U(a, r) \subset G$, $f_1\restriction_U$ is classically semiconvex and $f_2\restriction_U$ is classically semiconcave. Then there exists $f \in C^{1,1}_{\mathrm{loc}}(G)$ such that $f_1 \le f \le f_2$.*

Alternative proofs of Ilmanen lemma can be found in [1] and [7].

We will work with semiconvex, or semiconcave, functions with general modulus (see Definition 2.2 and cf. [2, Definition 2.1.1]). Note that the classically semiconvex functions coincide with semiconvex functions with modulus $\omega(t) = Ct$ where $C > 0$.

A. Fathi and M. Zavidovique (see [7, Problem 5.1]) asked if Ilmanen lemma can be generalized to the case of a general modulus ω.

More precisely, suppose that $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open set, ω a modulus and $f_1, f_2\colon G \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous functions such that $f_1 \le f_2$ and for every $a \in G$ there exist

DOI 10.14712/1213-7243.2015.245

The research was supported by the grant GA ČR P201/15-08218S.

$C, r > 0$ such that $f_1 \restriction_{U(a,r)}$ is semiconvex with modulus $C\omega$ and $f_2 \restriction_{U(a,r)}$ is semiconcave with modulus $C\omega$. Then the question is whether there exists $f \in C^{1,\omega}_{\mathrm{loc}}(G)$ with $f_1 \le f \le f_2$.

We prove (see Theorem 4.5) that the answer is positive if the modulus ω satisfies $\liminf_{t\to 0^+} \omega(t)/t > 0$ (even if G is an open subset of a Hilbert space). Note (see implication (2) below) that if $\liminf_{t\to 0^+} \omega(t)/t = 0$, then f_1 (or f_2), is convex (or concave, respectively) on every convex $A \subset G$. In such a case it is well known that the answer is negative for many open G.

The proof of Theorem 4.5 is based on Corollary 3.2 which is a special case of Theorem 3.1 (which has a short and quite simple proof).

Corollary 3.2 can be equivalently reformulated (without using the symbol $SC^{\omega}(X)$) in the following way. Suppose that X is a normed linear space, ω a modulus and $f_1, f_2 \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous functions such that f_1 is semiconvex with modulus ω, f_2 is semiconcave with modulus ω and $f_1 \le f_2$. Then there exists $f \in C^{1,\omega}(X)$ such that $f_1 \le f \le f_2$.

So, Corollary 3.2 generalizes [1, Theorem 2].

2. Preliminaries

If X is a normed linear space, then we set $U(a, r) := \{x \in X \colon \|x - a\| < r\}$, $a \in X$, $r > 0$, and $\operatorname{supp} f := \overline{\{x \in X \colon f(x) \neq 0\}}$, $f \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$.

Notation 2.1. We denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the set of all $\omega\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ which are non-decreasing and satisfy $\lim_{t\to 0^+} \omega(t) = 0$.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a normed linear space, $A \subset X$ a convex set and $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$.

- We say that $f \colon A \to \mathbb{R}$ is semiconvex with modulus ω if

$$f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda) f(y) + \lambda(1-\lambda)\|x - y\|\omega(\|x - y\|)$$

  for every $x, y \in A$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.
- We say that $f \colon A \to \mathbb{R}$ is semiconcave with modulus ω if $-f$ is semiconvex with modulus ω.
- We denote by $SC^{\omega}(A)$ the set of all $f \colon A \to \mathbb{R}$ which are semiconvex with modulus $C\omega$ for some $C > 0$. We denote by $-SC^{\omega}(A)$ the set of all $f \colon A \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $-f \in SC^{\omega}(A)$.

If G is an open subset of a normed linear space and $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$, then we denote by $C^{1,\omega}(G)$ the set of all Fréchet differentiable $f \colon G \to \mathbb{R}$ such that f' is uniformly continuous with modulus $C\omega$ for some $C > 0$, and we denote by $C^{1,\omega}_{\mathrm{loc}}(G)$ the set of all $f \colon G \to \mathbb{R}$ which are locally $C^{1,\omega}$.

The following lemma is well known and follows directly from the definition (for (iv) cf. [2, Proposition 2.1.5]).

Lemma 2.3. *Let X, A and ω be as in Definition 2.2. Then the following hold.*

(i) *Let $f\colon A \to \mathbb{R}$. Then f is semiconvex with modulus ω if and only if f is semiconvex with modulus ω on every line, i.e., for every $x, h \in X$, $\|h\| = 1$, the function $t \mapsto f(x + th)$, $t \in \{t \in \mathbb{R}\colon x + th \in A\}$, is semiconvex with modulus ω.*

(ii) *Let $f\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ be semiconvex with modulus ω and let $z \in X$. Then the function $x \mapsto f(x + z)$, $x \in X$, is semiconvex with modulus ω.*

(iii) *Let $f_1, f_2\colon A \to \mathbb{R}$ be semiconvex with modulus ω, let $a_1, a_2 \in [0, \infty)$ and let $a_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2 + a_3$ is semiconvex with modulus $(a_1 + a_2)\omega$.*

(iv) *Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^A$ be such that every $s \in \mathcal{S}$ is semiconvex with modulus ω and $f(x) := \sup\{s(x)\colon s \in \mathcal{S}\} \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in A$. Then the function f is semiconvex with modulus ω.*

The notion of semiconvex functions is (up to a multiplicative constant) equivalent to the notion of strongly paraconvex functions (for the definition see [13]). More precisely, suppose that A is a convex subset of a normed linear space, $f\colon A \to \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$ and set $\alpha(t) := t\omega(t)$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, then (cf. [4, Theorem 4.16])

$$f \in SC^{\omega}(A) \Leftrightarrow f \ \text{ is strongly } \alpha(\cdot)\text{-paraconvex.} \tag{1}$$

We also have

$$\left(f \in SC^{\omega}(A), \liminf_{t\to 0^+} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} = 0\right) \Rightarrow f \ \text{ is convex.} \tag{2}$$

For this implication see [13, Proposition 7] (the proof is not quite rigorous but one can easily correct it) or [4, Corollary 3.6]. Hence we may (and sometimes will) consider only the case $\liminf_{t\to 0^+} \omega(t)/t > 0$. Note that for $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$\liminf_{t\to 0^+} \frac{\omega(t)}{t} > 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall d \in [0, \infty)\, \exists M \in (0, \infty)\, \forall t \in [0, d] \ \ t \le M\omega(t). \tag{3}$$

We will need the following two propositions. The first one was proved in [5, Proposition 2.8].

Proposition 2.4. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$ and let $f\colon I \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Then the following hold.*

(i) *If f is semiconvex with modulus ω, then $f'_+(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $x \in I$ and*

$$f'_+(x_1) - f'_+(x_2) \le 2\omega(x_2 - x_1), \qquad x_1, x_2 \in I,\ x_1 \le x_2.$$

(ii) *If $f'_+(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $x \in I$ and*

$$f'_+(x_1) - f'_+(x_2) \le \omega(x_2 - x_1), \qquad x_1, x_2 \in I,\ x_1 \le x_2,$$

then f is semiconvex with modulus ω.

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be a normed linear space, $A \subset X$ an open convex set and $f \in \bigcup_{\omega\in\mathcal{M}} SC^{\omega}(A)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *The function f is locally Lipschitz.*

(ii) *The function f is continuous.*
(iii) *The function f is locally bounded.*

Proof: Obviously (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). If (iii) holds, then (i) holds by (1) and [13, Proposition 5]. $\square$

We will need the following theorem whose part (i) is well known. Part (ii) is essentially known at least in its local version (see [2, Theorem 3.3.7, page 60], [6, Theorem A.19], and [10, Theorem 6.1]) but the present version is probably new.

Theorem 2.6. *Let X be a normed linear space, $A \subset X$ an open convex set and $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$. Then the following hold (where $C(A)$ denotes the set of all continuous $f\colon A \to \mathbb{R}$).*
(i) $C^{1,\omega}(A) \subset C(A) \cap SC^\omega(A) \cap (-SC^\omega(A))$.
(ii) *If $A = X$ or A is bounded, then*

$$C^{1,\omega}(A) = C(A) \cap SC^\omega(A) \cap (-SC^\omega(A)). \tag{4}$$

Proof: (i) It follows easily from Lemma 2.3 (i) and [2, Proposition 2.1.2]. It can be also deduced from Lemma 2.3 (i) and Proposition 2.4 (ii).

(ii) Let $f \in C(A) \cap SC^\omega(A) \cap (-SC^\omega(A))$. By Proposition 2.5, f is locally Lipschitz. Hence f and $-f$ have nonempty Clarke subdifferential at every point of A (cf. [3, Proposition 1.5, page 73]). Thus, by (1) and [14, Theorem 3], there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $x \in A$ we can find $\phi_x, \psi_x \in X^*$ with

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+h) - f(x) - \phi_x(h) &\geq -C\|h\|\omega(\|h\|), \qquad h \in A - x,\\ -f(x+h) + f(x) - \psi_x(h) &\geq -C\|h\|\omega(\|h\|), \qquad h \in A - x. \end{aligned}$$

Adding these two inequalities together and using the standard argument we obtain that $\psi_x = -\phi_x$, $x \in A$. Hence for every $x \in A$

$$|f(x+h) - f(x) - \phi_x(h)| \leq C\|h\|\omega(\|h\|), \qquad h \in A - x,$$

and $f'(x) = \phi_x$. Thus $f \in C^{1,\omega}(A)$ by [8, Corollary 126, page 58]. $\square$

Remark 2.7. The corollary [8, Corollary 126, page 58] and the proof of Theorem 2.6 show that (4) holds also for A such that there exist $a \in X$, $r > 0$ and a sequence $(u_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in X such that $\|u_n\| = n$ and $\overline{U(a + u_n, rn)} \subset A$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But (4) does not hold for an arbitrary open convex set A ([12, Example 2.10, Remark 2.11]). However, if $\omega(t) = t$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, then (4) holds for any open convex A (see [12, Theorem 2.9 (iv)]).

3. Insertion of a $C^{1,\omega}$ function on the whole space

Here we prove the principal observation of this article. The main idea is based on the choice of the function s in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a normed linear space, $f_1, f_2\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{M}$. Suppose that f_1 is semiconvex with modulus ω_1, f_2 is semiconcave with modulus ω_2 and $f_1 \leq f_2$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the set of all $s\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ which are semiconvex with modulus ω_1 and satisfy $s \leq f_2$. Then the function*

$$f(x) := \sup\{s(x)\colon s \in \mathcal{S}\}, \qquad x \in X,$$

is semiconvex with modulus ω_1, semiconcave with modulus ω_2 and satisfies $f_1 \leq f \leq f_2$.

Proof: It is clear that $f_1 \leq f \leq f_2$. By Lemma 2.3 (iv), f is semiconvex with modulus ω_1. Now we will prove that f is semiconcave with modulus ω_2.

Let $u, v \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0,1]$. Set $w := \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v$ and define a function s by

$$\begin{aligned} s(x) = {} & \lambda f(x - w + u) + (1-\lambda) f(x - w + v) \\ & - \lambda(1-\lambda)\|u - v\|\omega_2(\|u - v\|), \qquad x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3 (ii), (iii), s is semiconvex with modulus $\lambda\omega_1 + (1-\lambda)\omega_1 = \omega_1$. Since f_2 is semiconcave with modulus ω_2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(x) &\leq \lambda f_2(x - w + u) + (1-\lambda) f_2(x - w + v) - \lambda(1-\lambda)\|u - v\|\omega_2(\|u - v\|) \\ &\leq f_2(\lambda(x - w + u) + (1-\lambda)(x - w + v)) = f_2(x), \qquad x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and consequently $s \leq f$. So

$$f(\lambda u + (1-\lambda)v) \geq s(w) = \lambda f(u) + (1-\lambda) f(v) - \lambda(1-\lambda)\|u - v\|\omega_2(\|u - v\|).$$

$\square$

Corollary 3.2. *Let X be a normed linear space, $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$, $f_1 \in SC^{\omega}(X)$ and $f_2 \in -SC^{\omega}(X)$. Suppose that f_1, f_2 are continuous and $f_1 \leq f_2$. Then there exists $f \in C^{1,\omega}(X)$ such that $f_1 \leq f \leq f_2$.*

Proof: By Theorem 3.1 there exists $f \in SC^{\omega}(X) \cap (-SC^{\omega}(X))$ such that $f_1 \leq f \leq f_2$. Since f_1, f_2 are continuous, f is locally bounded. Hence, by Proposition 2.5, f is continuous and thus, by Theorem 2.6, $f \in C^{1,\omega}(X)$. $\square$

4. Insertion of a $C^{1,\omega}_{\mathrm{loc}}$ function

In this section we will use Corollary 3.2 and partitions of unity to obtain a version (Theorem 4.5) of Ilmanen lemma which works with locally semiconvex and locally semiconcave functions defined on an open subset of a Hilbert space. Recall that Theorem 4.5 gives a positive answer to a problem formulated by A. Fathi and M. Zavidovique (see [7, Problem 5.1]).

We will need the following obvious fact.

Fact 4.1. Let X, Y be normed linear spaces, $A \subset X$, and $f\colon A \to Y$. If A is bounded and f is uniformly continuous with some modulus $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$, then f is bounded.

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be a normed linear space, $A \subset X$ a bounded open convex set, $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$, $g_1 \in C^{1,\omega}(A)$ and $g_2 \in SC^{\omega}(A)$. Suppose that $g_1 \geq 0$, g_2 is Lipschitz, and $\liminf_{t\to 0^+} \omega(t)/t > 0$. Then $g_1 g_2 \in SC^{\omega}(A)$.*

Proof: By Fact 4.1, g_1' is bounded and thus, by [8, Proposition 71, page 29], g_1 is Lipschitz. By the assumptions and Fact 4.1 we can find $C > 0$ big enough such that $0 \leq g_1 \leq C$, $|g_2| \leq C$, g_1' is uniformly continuous with modulus $C\omega$, g_2 is semiconvex with modulus $C\omega$ and g_1, g_2 are C-Lipschitz. By (3) there exists $M > 0$ such that $t \leq M\omega(t)$, $t \in [0, \operatorname{diam}(A)]$. We will show that $g_1 g_2$ is semiconvex with modulus $(2M+3)C^2\omega$.

Let $x, h \in X$, $\|h\| = 1$. Set $I := \{t \in \mathbb{R}\colon x + th \in A\}$ and for $i = 1, 2$ define a function $f_i(t) := g_i(x+th)$, $t \in I$. By Lemma 2.3 (i), it is sufficient to show that $f_1 f_2$ is semiconvex with modulus $(2M+3)C^2\omega$. Since g_1' is uniformly continuous with modulus $C\omega$, we easily obtain that $f_1'(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $t \in I$ and

$$|f_1'(t_1) - f_1'(t_2)| \leq C\omega(t_2 - t_1), \qquad t_1, t_2 \in I,\ t_1 \leq t_2.$$

By Lemma 2.3 (i), f_2 is semiconvex with modulus $C\omega$ and thus, by Proposition 2.4 (i), $(f_2)'_+(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $t \in I$ and

$$(f_2)'_+(t_1) - (f_2)'_+(t_2) \leq 2C\omega(t_2 - t_1), \qquad t_1, t_2 \in I,\ t_1 \leq t_2.$$

Clearly f_1, f_2 are C-Lipschitz and hence also $|f_1'| \leq C$ and $|(f_2)'_+| \leq C$. Thus $(f_1 f_2)'_+(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $t \in I$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_1 f_2)'_+(t_1) &- (f_1 f_2)'_+(t_2) \\
&= f_1'(t_1) f_2(t_1) + f_1(t_1)(f_2)'_+(t_1) - f_1'(t_2) f_2(t_2) - f_1(t_2)(f_2)'_+(t_2) \\
&= f_1'(t_1)(f_2(t_1) - f_2(t_2)) + f_2(t_2)(f_1'(t_1) - f_1'(t_2)) \\
&\quad + (f_2)'_+(t_1)(f_1(t_1) - f_1(t_2)) + f_1(t_2)((f_2)'_+(t_1) - (f_2)'_+(t_2)) \\
&\leq C^2(t_2 - t_1) + C^2\omega(t_2 - t_1) + C^2(t_2 - t_1) + 2C^2\omega(t_2 - t_1) \\
&\leq (2M+3)C^2\omega(t_2 - t_1)
\end{aligned}$$

for every $t_1, t_2 \in I$, $t_1 \leq t_2$. Hence $f_1 f_2$ is semiconvex with modulus $(2M+3)C^2\omega$ by Proposition 2.4 (ii). $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be a normed linear space, $f\colon X \to \mathbb{R}$, and $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$. Suppose that there exists an open convex set $U \subset X$ such that $\operatorname{supp} f \subset U$ and $f{\restriction_U}$ is semiconvex with modulus ω. Then f is semiconvex with modulus 2ω.*

Proof: By Lemma 2.3 (i) we may suppose that $X = \mathbb{R}$. Then f is continuous on U by [2, Theorem 2.1.7]. Since $\operatorname{supp} f \subset U$, it follows that f is continuous and

$f'(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus U$. By Proposition 2.4 (i), $f'_+(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $x \in U$ and

$$f'_+(x_1) - f'_+(x_2) \leq 2\omega(x_2 - x_1) \tag{5}$$

for every $x_1, x_2 \in U$, $x_1 \leq x_2$. Let $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_1 \leq x_2$. By Proposition 2.4 (ii) it is enough to show that (5) holds. This is clear if $x_1, x_2 \in U$ or $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus U$. Suppose that $x_1 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus U$ and $x_2 \in U$. Then $f'(x_1) = 0$ and there exists $c \in U$ such that $x_1 < c \leq x_2$ and $f'(c) = 0$. Hence

$$f'_+(x_1) - f'_+(x_2) = f'_+(c) - f'_+(x_2) \leq 2\omega(x_2 - c) \leq 2\omega(x_2 - x_1).$$

The case $x_1 \in U$, $x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus U$ is analogous. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let X be a Hilbert space, $a \in X$, $r > 0$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$. Suppose that $\liminf_{t \to 0^+} \omega(t)/t > 0$. Then there exists $b \in C^{1,\omega}(X)$ such that $0 \leq b \leq 1$, $\operatorname{supp} b \subset U(a, 2r)$ and $b = 1$ on $U(a, r)$.*

Proof: Set $g(x) := \|x - a\|^2$, $x \in X$, and $\varphi(t) := t$, $t \in [0, \infty)$. It is well known that $g \in C^{1,\varphi}(X)$, g is Lipschitz on $U := U(a, 2r)$ and that we can find $f \in C^{1,\varphi}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$, $\operatorname{supp} f \subset (-1, 4r^2)$ and $f = 1$ on $[0, r^2]$.

Set $b = f \circ g$. Then clearly $0 \leq b \leq 1$, $\operatorname{supp} b \subset U$ and $b = 1$ on $U(a, r)$. By Fact 4.1 and [8, Proposition 128, page 59] we have $b\restriction_U \in C^{1,\varphi}(U)$. Hence, $b\restriction_U \in C^{1,\omega}(U)$ by (3). Since $\operatorname{supp} b \subset U$, we easily obtain that $b \in C^{1,\omega}(X)$. □

Theorem 4.5. *Let X be a Hilbert space, $G \subset X$ an open set, $f_1, f_2 \colon G \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$. Suppose that f_1, f_2 are continuous, $f_1 \leq f_2$, $\liminf_{t \to 0^+} \omega(t)/t > 0$ and the following condition holds.*

- *For every $a \in G$ there exist $r, C > 0$ such that $U := U(a, r) \subset G$, $f_1\restriction_U$ is semiconvex with modulus $C\omega$ and $f_2\restriction_U$ is semiconcave with modulus $C\omega$.*

Then there exists $f \in C^{1,\omega}_{loc}(G)$ such that $f_1 \leq f \leq f_2$.

Proof: We claim that for every $a \in G$ there exists $r_a > 0$ and $F_a \in C^{1,\omega}(X)$ such that $U(a, r_a) \subset G$ and

$$f_1(x) \leq F_a(x) \leq f_2(x), \qquad x \in U(a, r_a). \tag{6}$$

To prove this, choose $a \in G$. By the assumptions and Proposition 2.5 there exists $r_a > 0$ such that $U := U(a, 2r_a) \subset G$, f_1, f_2 are Lipschitz on U, $f_1\restriction_U \in SC^\omega(U)$ and $f_2\restriction_U \in -SC^\omega(U)$. By Lemma 4.4 there exists $b \in C^{1,\omega}(X)$ such that $b \geq 0$, $\operatorname{supp} b \subset U$ and $b = 1$ on $U(a, r_a)$. For $i = 1, 2$ we define a function

$$b_i(x) := \begin{cases} b(x) f_i(x), & x \in U, \\ 0, & x \in X \setminus U. \end{cases}$$

Then $b_1 \leq b_2$, $\operatorname{supp} b_1 \subset U$, $\operatorname{supp} b_2 \subset U$, and b_1, b_2 are continuous. By Lemma 4.2 we have $b_1\restriction_U \in SC^\omega(U)$ and $-b_2\restriction_U \in SC^\omega(U)$. Thus $b_1 \in SC^\omega(X)$ and $-b_2 \in$

$SC^{\omega}(X)$ by Lemma 4.3. Hence, by Corollary 3.2, there exists $F_a \in C^{1,\omega}(X)$ such that $b_1 \leq F_a \leq b_2$. Then (6) holds and we are done.

Since $\{U(a, r_a)\colon a \in G\}$ forms an open cover of G, we can, by [15, Theorem 3] and [11, Lemma 2.5], find a locally finite C^{∞}-partition of unity $\mathcal{Q}$ on G subordinated to $\{U(a, r_a)\colon a \in G\}$. So, for every $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ there exists $a_q \in G$ such that $\operatorname{supp} q \subset U(a_q, r_{a_q})$. Set

$$f(x) := \sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} q(x) F_{a_q}(x), \qquad x \in G.$$

It follows from [8, Proposition 71, page 29] that q, q' and F_{a_q} are locally Lipschitz whenever $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Hence, $qF_{a_q} \in C^{1,\omega}_{\mathrm{loc}}(X)$, $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, by (3) and [8, Proposition 129, page 59]. Since $\mathcal{Q}$ is locally finite, it follows that f is well defined and $f \in C^{1,\omega}_{\mathrm{loc}}(G)$. Finally, for every $x \in G$ we have $\sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} q(x) f_i(x) = f_i(x)$, $i = 1, 2$, and $q(x) f_1(x) \leq q(x) F_{a_q}(x) \leq q(x) f_2(x)$, $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Thus $f_1 \leq f \leq f_2$. $\square$

Theorem 4.5 holds also for some non-Hilbertian Banach spaces as noted in the following remark.

Remark 4.6. If, in Theorem 4.5, X is a Banach space and G admits locally finite $C^{1,\omega}$-partitions of unity, then the proof works essentially the same. Moreover, it can be proved that if a Banach space X admits an equivalent norm with modulus of smoothness of power type 2 (e.g. $X = \ell^p$ for $p \geq 2$) and $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$ is such that $\liminf_{t \to 0^+} \omega(t)/t > 0$, then every open $G \subset X$ admits locally finite $C^{1,\omega}$-partitions of unity. The proof of this fact is quite technical and thus we restricted ourselves to the case of a Hilbert space.

Acknowledgment. I thank Luděk Zajíček for many helpful suggestions that improved this article.

References

[1] Bernard P., *Lasry-Lions regularization and a lemma of Ilmanen*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova **124** (2010), 221–229.

[2] Cannarsa P., Sinestrari C., *Semiconcave Functions, Hamilton-Jacobi Equations, and Optimal Control*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, 58, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.

[3] Clarke F. H., Ledyaev Yu. S., Stern R. J., Wolenski P. R., *Nonsmooth Analysis and Control Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 178, Springer, New York, 1998.

[4] Duda J., Zajíček L., *Semiconvex functions: representations as suprema of smooth functions and extensions*, J. Convex Anal. **16** (2009), no. 1, 239–260.

[5] Duda J., Zajíček L., *Smallness of singular sets of semiconvex functions in separable Banach spaces*, J. Convex Anal. **20** (2013), no. 2, 573–598.

[6] Fathi A., Figalli A., *Optimal transportation on non-compact manifolds*, Israel J. Math. **175** (2010), 1–59.

[7] Fathi A., Zavidovique M., *Ilmanen's lemma on insertion of $C^{1,1}$ functions*, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova **124** (2010), 203–219.

[8] Hájek P., Johanis M., *Smooth Analysis in Banach Spaces*, De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, 19, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2014.

[9] Ilmanen T., *The level-set flow on a manifold*, Differential Geometry: Partial Differential Equations on Manifolds (Los Angeles, CA, 1990), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 54, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1993, pp. 193–204.

[10] Jourani A., Thibault L., Zagrodny D., *$C^{1,\omega(\cdot)}$-regularity and Lipschitz-like properties of subdifferential*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **105** (2012), no. 1, 189–223.

[11] Koc M., Kolář J., *Extensions of vector-valued functions with preservation of derivatives*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **449** (2017), no. 1, 343–367.

[12] Kryštof V., *Semiconvex Functions and Their Differences*, Master Thesis, Charles University, Praha, 2016 (Czech).

[13] Rolewicz S., *On $\alpha(\cdot)$-paraconvex and strongly $\alpha(\cdot)$-paraconvex functions*, Control Cybernet. **29** (2000), no. 1, 367–377.

[14] Rolewicz S., *On the coincidence of some subdifferentials in the class of $\alpha(\cdot)$-paraconvex functions*, Optimization **50** (2001), no. 5–6, 353–360.

[15] Toruńczyk H., *Smooth partitions of unity on some non-separable Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **46** (1973), 43–51.

V. Kryštof:
Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Department of Mathematical Analysis, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8 - Karlín, Czech Republic

E-mail: krystof@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

(*Received* December 22, 2017)