

Benoît Florent Sehba

On the weighted estimate of the Bergman projection

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 68 (2018), No. 2, 497–511

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/147232>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2018

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON THE WEIGHTED ESTIMATE OF THE
BERGMAN PROJECTION

BENOÎT FLORENT SEHBA, Accra

Received October 27, 2016. First published February 8, 2018.

Abstract. We present a proof of the weighted estimate of the Bergman projection that does not use extrapolation results. This estimate is extended to product domains using an adapted definition of Békollé-Bonami weights in this setting. An application to bounded Toeplitz products is also given.

Keywords: Bergman space; reproducing kernel; Toeplitz operator; Békollé-Bonami weight

MSC 2010: 47B38, 30H20, 47B35, 42C40, 42A61

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

The upper-half plane is the set $\mathcal{H} := \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : y > 0\}$. For $\alpha > -1$ and $1 < p < \infty$, the weighted Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^p(\mathcal{H})$ consists of all analytic functions f on \mathcal{H} such that

$$(1.1) \quad \|f\|_{p,\alpha}^p := \int_{\mathcal{H}} |f(x + iy)|^p y^{\alpha} dx dy < \infty.$$

The Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^2(\mathcal{H})$ ($-1 < \alpha < \infty$) is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with kernel $K_w^{\alpha}(z) = K^{\alpha}(z, w) = (z - \bar{w})^{-(2+\alpha)}$. That is, for any $f \in A_{\alpha}^2(\mathcal{H})$, the following representation holds:

$$(1.2) \quad f(w) = P_{\alpha}f(w) = \langle f, K_w^{\alpha} \rangle_{\alpha} = \int_{\mathcal{H}} f(z) K^{\alpha}(w, z) dV_{\alpha}(z),$$

where for simplicity, we write $dV_{\alpha}(x+iy) = y^{\alpha} dx dy$. The positive Bergman operator P_{α}^{+} is defined by replacing K_w^{α} by $|K_w^{\alpha}|$ in the definition of P_{α} . Note that the boundedness of P_{α}^{+} implies the boundedness of P_{α} .

Let I be an interval in \mathbb{R} , we denote by Q_I the set

$$Q_I = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : x \in I, 0 < y < |I|\}.$$

Let ω be a positive locally integrable function defined on \mathcal{H} and $\alpha > -1$. We say ω is a Békollé-Bonami weight (or ω belongs to the class $B_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$) if

$$[\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} := \sup_{\substack{I \subset \mathbb{R} \\ I \text{ interval}}} \left(\frac{1}{|I|^{2+\alpha}} \int_{Q_I} \omega(z) dV_\alpha(z) \right) \left(\frac{1}{|I|^{2+\alpha}} \int_{Q_I} \omega(z)^{1-q} dV_\alpha(z) \right)^{p-1} < \infty,$$

$$pq = p + q.$$

In [2], [3], Békollé and Bonami proved that the Bergman projection P_α is bounded on $L^p(\mathcal{H}, \omega dV_\alpha)$ if and only if the weight ω is in the class $B_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$. Pretty recently, Pott and Reguera in [13] have been able to obtain the weighted norm estimate of this operator in terms of the characteristic $[\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}}$. More precisely, they proved the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Pott and Reguera [13]). *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $p = q(p - 1)$ and $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Suppose that $\omega \in B_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$. Then P_α is bounded on $L^p(\omega dV_\alpha)$. Moreover,*

$$(1.3) \quad \|P_\alpha\|_{L^p(\omega dV_\alpha) \rightarrow L^p(\omega dV_\alpha)} \leq C(p) [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}}^{\max\{1, q/p\}}.$$

The proof of the above theorem as presented in [13] is as follows: first, the authors proved that the estimate (1.3) holds for $p = 2$ and for all weights ω in the class $B_{2,\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$; secondly, they stated and proved a sharp extrapolation result from which they were able to extend the former estimate to the full range $1 < p < \infty$ and for all $\omega \in B_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$.

Our main aim in this note is to revisit the proof of the estimate (1.3), provide a kind of simplification by avoiding the use of extrapolation. This kind of direct proof has been already obtained for Calderón-Zygmund operators [11] and it seems natural to also provide a direct proof for the weighted estimate of the Bergman projection.

Let $n \geq 2$, the first octant is the set

$$(0, \infty)^n := \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : y_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, n\}$$

and the tube domain over the first octant is

$$\mathcal{H}^n := \mathbb{R}^n + i(0, \infty)^n = \{z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \Im z_j > 0, j = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Given $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the notation $\alpha < \beta$ or $\alpha = \beta$ means respectively that $\alpha_j < \beta_j$ or $\alpha_j = \beta_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. The notation $\alpha > \beta$ is equivalent to $\beta < \alpha$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$ is equivalent to $\alpha < \beta$ or $\alpha = \beta$. We also use the notation $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$, $-\mathbf{1} = (-1, \dots, -1)$, and $\underline{\infty} = (\infty, \dots, \infty)$.

For $\alpha > -\mathbf{1}$ and $1 < p < \infty$, the weighted Bergman space $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H}^n)$ consists of all analytic functions f on \mathcal{H}^n such that

$$(1.4) \quad \|f\|_{p,\alpha}^p := \int_{\mathcal{H}^n} |f(z_1, \dots, z_n)|^p dV_{\alpha_1}(z_1) \dots dV_{\alpha_n}(z_n) < \infty.$$

We will be also using the notation

$$dV_\alpha(z) = dV_{\alpha_1}(z_1) \dots dV_{\alpha_n}(z_n) := y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_n^{\alpha_n} dx_1 \dots dx_n dy_1 \dots dy_n.$$

The Bergman space $A_\alpha^2(\mathcal{H}^n)$, $-\mathbf{1} < \alpha < \underline{\infty}$, is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with kernel

$$K_w^\alpha(z) = K^{\alpha_1}(z_1, w_1) \dots K^{\alpha_n}(z_n, w_n) = \frac{1}{(z_1 - \bar{w}_1)^{2+\alpha_1}} \dots \frac{1}{(z_n - \bar{w}_n)^{2+\alpha_n}}.$$

That is, for any $f \in A_\alpha^2(\mathcal{H}^n)$, the following representation holds:

$$(1.5) \quad f(w) = P_\alpha f(w) = \langle f, K_w^\alpha \rangle_\alpha = \int_{\mathcal{H}^n} f(z) K^\alpha(w, z) dV_\alpha(z).$$

Let us introduce the class $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $\mathbb{R}^n \ni \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) > -\mathbf{1}$. We say a positive locally integrable function ω on \mathcal{H}^n belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)$ if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$(1.6) \quad \sup_{\xi=(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \cdot, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathcal{H}^{n-1}} [\omega(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \cdot, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_n)]_{\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha_k}(\mathcal{H})} \leq C.$$

We denote by $[\omega]_{\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)}$ the infimum of the constants C in (1.6).

Note that the class $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)$ is not empty; one easily checks that the weight $\omega = \prod_{j=1}^n \omega_j$ where $\omega_j \in \mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha_j}(\mathcal{H})$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)$.

From our definition of the class $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)$ and the one parameter estimate (1.3), we easily deduce the following result.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $p = q(p-1)$ and $-\mathbf{1} < \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) < \underline{\infty}$. Suppose that $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)$. Then P_α is bounded on $L^p(\mathcal{H}^n, \omega dV_\alpha(z))$. Moreover,*

$$(1.7) \quad \|P_\alpha\|_{L^p(\mathcal{H}^n, \omega dV_\alpha(z)) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{H}^n, \omega dV_\alpha(z))} \leq C(p) [\omega]_{\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)}^{n \times \max\{1, q/p\}}.$$

In the next section, we provide some useful results needed later. The simplified proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 3. In the last section, we give an application of the estimates (1.3) and (1.7) to the boundedness of the product of Toeplitz operators.

As usual, given two positive quantities A and B , the notation $A \lesssim B$ or $A \gtrsim B$ means that $A \leq CB$ or $B \leq CA$, respectively, for some absolute positive constant C . The notation $A \simeq B$ means that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. We will use $C(p)$ to say that the constant C depends only on p .

2. SOME USEFUL TOOLS

Given an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, the Carleson box associated with I is the subset Q_I of \mathcal{H} defined by

$$Q_I := \{x + iy \in \mathcal{H} : x \in I \text{ and } 0 < y < |I|\}.$$

The center of Q_I is the point $w_I := x_I + iy_I$ such that x_I is the center of the interval I and $y_I = |I|/2$. We have the following result.

Lemma 2.1. *Let I be a subinterval of \mathbb{R} and Q_I the associated Carleson box. Then for any $w \in Q_I$,*

$$|w_I - \bar{w}| \simeq y_I$$

where w_I is the center of Q_I .

Proof. Let $w = x + iy$. On the one hand, we have

$$|w_I - \bar{w}|^2 = (x_I - x)^2 + (y_I + y)^2 > y_I^2.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$|w_I - \bar{w}|^2 = (x_I - x)^2 + (y_I + y)^2 \leq 13y_I^2.$$

□

We recall that the normalized reproducing kernel k_w^α , $w = u + iv$, of $A_\alpha^2(\mathcal{H})$ is given by

$$k_w^\alpha(z) := \frac{K_w^\alpha(z)}{\|K_w^\alpha\|_{2,\alpha}} = \frac{v^{1+\alpha/2}}{(z - \bar{w})^{2+\alpha}}.$$

The following lemma is easy to check.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Then*

$$\|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \simeq y^{(2+\alpha)(2/p-1)/2}, \quad w = x + iy \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We have the following estimate.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any analytic function f on \mathcal{H} ,*

$$(2.1) \quad |f(z)|^p \leq C \|k_z^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-p} \|fk_z^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^p, \quad z \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha > -1$. If Q_I is centered at $z = x + iy$, then using the mean value property and the two previous lemmas we obtain that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)|^p &\leq \frac{C}{V_\alpha(Q_I)} \int_{Q_I} |f(w)|^p dV_\alpha(w) \\ &\simeq \frac{C}{y^{2+\alpha}} \int_{Q_I} |f(w)|^p dV_\alpha(w) \\ &= C \frac{y^{(2+\alpha)(p-2)/2}}{y^{(2+\alpha)p/2}} \int_{Q_I} |f(w)|^p dV_\alpha(w) \\ &\simeq C \|k_z^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-p} \int_{Q_I} |f(w)k_z^\alpha|^p dV_\alpha(w) \\ &\leq C \|k_z^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-p} \|fk_z^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^p. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. WEIGHTED INEQUALITIES FOR THE BERGMAN PROJECTION

Let us start by recalling some notions and notations. We consider the system of dyadic grids

$$\mathcal{D}^\beta := \{2^j([0, 1) + m + (-1)^j\beta) : m \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad \text{for } \beta \in \{0, 1/3\}.$$

For more on this system of dyadic grids and its applications, we refer to [1], [6], [7], [9], [13]. We also consider the following positive operators introduced by Pott and Reguera in [13]:

$$(3.1) \quad Q_\alpha^\beta f := \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^\beta} \left\langle f, \frac{1_{Q_I}}{|I|^{2+\alpha}} \right\rangle_\alpha 1_{Q_I}.$$

By comparing the positive kernel

$$K_\alpha^+(z, w) = \frac{1}{|z - w|^{2+\alpha}}$$

and the box-type kernel

$$K_\alpha^\beta(z, w) := \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^\beta} \frac{1_{Q_I}(z)1_{Q_I}(w)}{|I|^{2+\alpha}},$$

one obtains the following result (see [13] for details).

Proposition 3.1. *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{H})$, $f \geq 0$, and $z \in \mathcal{H}$,*

$$(3.2) \quad P_\alpha^+ f(z) \leq C \sum_{\beta \in \{0, 1/3\}} Q_\alpha^\beta f(z).$$

We also observe that if we put $\sigma = \omega^{1-q}$ and use the notation $|Q_I|_{\omega, \alpha} = \int_{Q_I} \omega \, dV_\alpha$ and $|Q_I|_\alpha = |Q_I|_{1, \alpha}$, then

$$[\omega]_{B_{p, \alpha}} = \sup_{I \subset \mathbb{R}} \frac{|Q_I|_{\omega, \alpha} |Q_I|_{\sigma, \alpha}^{p-1}}{|Q_I|_\alpha^p}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Our proof is inspired by the same type of proof for Calderón-Zygmund operators, (see [11]). We start by recalling that given a dyadic grid \mathcal{D}^β and a positive weight ω , the dyadic maximal function $M_{\omega, \alpha}^\beta$ is defined for any $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$M_{\omega, \alpha}^\beta f = \sup_{I \in \mathcal{D}^\beta} \frac{1_{Q_I}}{|Q_I|_{\omega, \alpha}} \int_{Q_I} |f| \omega \, dV_\alpha.$$

We observe that using for example the techniques in [5], one obtains the following estimate for $1 < p < \infty$:

$$(3.3) \quad \|M_{\omega, \alpha}^\beta f\|_{L^p(\omega \, dV_\alpha)} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{L^p(\omega \, dV_\alpha)}.$$

We recall that given Q_I , its upper-half is the set

$$T_I := \left\{ x + iy \in \mathcal{H} : x \in I, \text{ and } \frac{|I|}{2} < y < |I| \right\}.$$

It is clear that the family $\{T_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{D}}$ where \mathcal{D} is a dyadic grid in \mathbb{R} provides a tiling of \mathcal{H} .

Now observe that to prove Theorem 1.1, it is enough by Proposition 3.1 to prove that the following boundedness holds (with the right estimate of the norm):

$$(3.4) \quad Q_\alpha^\beta : L^p(\omega \, dV_\alpha) \rightarrow L^p(\omega \, dV_\alpha), \quad \beta \in \{0, 1/3\}$$

and also observe the usual fact that the latter is equivalent to

$$(3.5) \quad Q_\alpha^\beta(\sigma \cdot): L^p(\sigma dV_\alpha) \rightarrow L^p(\omega dV_\alpha), \quad \beta \in \{0, 1/3\}, \quad \sigma = \omega^{1-q}.$$

Let $f \in L^p(\sigma dV_\alpha)$ and $g \in L^q(\omega dV_\alpha)$ with $f, g > 0$. We aim at estimating

$$\langle Q_\alpha^\beta(\sigma f), g\omega \rangle_\alpha = \int_{\mathcal{H}} Q_\alpha^\beta(\sigma f) g\omega dV_\alpha.$$

We start with the case $p \geq 2$. Clearly, using the notation

$$B_{\sigma,\alpha}(f, Q_I) = \frac{1}{|Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}} \int_{Q_I} f\sigma dV_\alpha$$

and

$$B_{\omega,\alpha}(g, Q_I) = \frac{1}{|Q_I|_{\omega,\alpha}} \int_{Q_I} g\omega dV_\alpha,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &:= \langle Q_\alpha^\beta(\sigma f), g\omega \rangle_\alpha \\ &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^\beta} \langle \sigma f, 1_{Q_I} \rangle_\alpha \langle \omega g, 1_{Q_I} \rangle_\alpha |Q_I|^{-1-\alpha/2} \\ &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^\beta} B_{\sigma,\alpha}(f, Q_I) B_{\omega,\alpha}(g, Q_I) \frac{|Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha} |Q_I|_{\omega,\alpha}}{|Q_I|_\alpha} \\ &\leq [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^\beta} B_{\sigma,\alpha}(f, Q_I) B_{\omega,\alpha}(g, Q_I) \frac{|Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha} |Q_I|_{\omega,\alpha}}{|Q_I|_\alpha} \times \frac{|Q_I|_\alpha^p}{|Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{p-1} |Q_I|_{\omega,\alpha}} \\ &= [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^\beta} |Q_I|_\alpha^{p-1} |Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{2-p} B_{\sigma,\alpha}(f, Q_I) B_{\omega,\alpha}(g, Q_I). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $|Q_I|_\alpha \simeq |T_I|_\alpha$ and as $T_I \subset Q_I$ and $p \geq 2$, $|Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{2-p} \lesssim |T_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{2-p}$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$|T_I|_\alpha \leq |T_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{1/q} |T_I|_{\omega,\alpha}^{1/p}.$$

Thus

$$|Q_I|_\alpha^{p-1} |Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{2-p} \lesssim |T_I|_\alpha^{p-1} |T_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{2-p} \leq |T_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{1/p} |T_I|_{\omega,\alpha}^{1/q}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi &:= \langle Q_\alpha^\beta(\sigma f), g\omega \rangle_\alpha \\
&\lesssim [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^\beta} |T_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{1/p} |T_I|_{\omega,\alpha}^{1/q} B_{\sigma,\alpha}(f, Q_I) B_{\omega,\alpha}(g, Q_I) \\
&\leq [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \left(\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^\beta} |T_I|_{\sigma,\alpha} (B_{\sigma,\alpha}(f, Q_I))^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^\beta} |T_I|_{\omega,\alpha} (B_{\omega,\alpha}(g, Q_I))^q \right)^{1/q} \\
&= [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \left(\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^\beta} \int_{T_I} (B_{\sigma,\alpha}(f, Q_I))^p \sigma \, dV_\alpha \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}^\beta} \int_{T_I} (B_{\omega,\alpha}(g, Q_I))^q \omega \, dV_\alpha \right)^{1/p'} \\
&\leq [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \|M_{\sigma,\alpha}^\beta f\|_{L^p(\sigma \, dV_\alpha)} \|M_{\omega,\alpha}^\beta g\|_{L^q(\omega \, dV_\alpha)} \\
&\leq C(p) [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \|f\|_{L^p(\sigma \, dV_\alpha)} \|g\|_{L^q(\omega \, dV_\alpha)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the case $1 < p < 2$, we use the previous inequalities and duality. We observe that Q_α^β is self-adjoint with respect to the duality pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\alpha$. Hence

$$\|Q_\alpha^\beta\|_{L^p(\omega \, dV_\alpha) \rightarrow L^p(\omega \, dV_\alpha)} = \|Q_\alpha^\beta\|_{L^q(\sigma \, dV_\alpha) \rightarrow L^q(\sigma \, dV_\alpha)} \leq C(q) [\sigma]_{B_{q,\alpha}} \leq C(p) [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}}^{1/(p-1)}.$$

The proof is complete. \square

P r o o f of Proposition 1.2. Observe that $P_\alpha = P_{\alpha_1} \dots P_{\alpha_n}$ where P_{α_j} is the one parameter Bergman projection in the j th variable. It follows using the one parameter estimate of these projections in Theorem 1.1 that for any $f \in L^p(\mathcal{H}^n, \omega \, dV_\alpha(z))$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_\alpha f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{H}^n, \omega \, dV_\alpha(z))} &= \|P_{\alpha_1} \dots P_{\alpha_n} f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{H}^n, \omega \, dV_\alpha(z))} \\
&\leq C[\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha^n)}^{\max\{1, q/p\}} \|P_{\alpha_2} \dots P_{\alpha_n} f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{H}^n, \omega \, dV_\alpha(z))} \\
&\leq C[\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha^n)}^{2\max\{1, q/p\}} \|P_{\alpha_3} \dots P_{\alpha_n} f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{H}^n, \omega \, dV_\alpha(z))} \dots \\
&\leq C[\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha^n)}^{n\max\{1, q/p\}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{H}^n, \omega \, dV_\alpha(z))}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

4. APPLICATIONS

Before giving our applications, we recall a brief history of the so-called Sarason's conjecture. Let us denote by $d\nu$ the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit disc \mathbb{D} of \mathbb{C} .

For $\alpha > -1$, we denote by $d\nu_\alpha$ the normalized Lebesgue measure $d\nu_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha d\nu(z)$, c_α being the normalizing constant. The weighted Bergman space $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ is the space of holomorphic functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{A_\alpha^p}^p := \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p d\nu_\alpha(z) < \infty.$$

The Bergman space $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with kernel $K_w^\alpha(z) = K^\alpha(w, z) = (1 - w\bar{z})^{-(2+\alpha)}$. That is, for any $f \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$, the following representation holds:

$$(4.1) \quad f(w) = P_\alpha f(w) = \langle f, K_w^\alpha \rangle_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(z) K^\alpha(w, z) d\nu_\alpha(z), \quad w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{D}, d\nu_\alpha)$, we can densely define the Toeplitz operator T_f with symbol f on $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$ as

$$(4.2) \quad T_f(g) = P_\alpha(M_f)(g) = P_\alpha(fg)$$

where M_f is the multiplication operator by f . The Berezin transform is the operator defined on $L^1(\mathbb{D}, d\nu_\alpha)$ by

$$B_\alpha(f)(w) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(z) |k_w^\alpha(z)|^2 d\nu_\alpha(z)$$

where k_w^α is the normalized reproducing kernel of $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$.

The so-called Sarason conjecture said that given two functions $f, g \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$, the product $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if the following relation holds:

$$(4.3) \quad \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} (B_\alpha(|f|^2)(w))^{1/2} (B_\alpha(|g|^2)(w))^{1/2} = \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} \|f k_w^\alpha\|_{2,\alpha} \|g k_w^\alpha\|_{2,\alpha} < \infty.$$

We call (4.3) the Sarason condition. For $\alpha = -1$, that is in the case of the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, Nazarov has proved that the conjecture fails (see [12]), although (4.3) is necessary as proved by Treil (see [15]). For the usual Bergman spaces, $\alpha > -1$, there have been many works on the problem. It has been proved in [18] that condition (4.3) is necessary but the authors did not manage to prove whether the same condition

is sufficient or not (see also [8], [10], [14], [16], [17] for related discussions and other domains). It is only two years ago that Aleman, Pott and Reguera exhibited in [1] an example of $f, g \in A^2(\mathbb{D}) = A_0^2(\mathbb{D})$ such that (4.3) holds but the product $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ is not bounded on $A^2(\mathbb{D})$.

For $f \in L^2(\mathcal{H}, dV_\alpha)$, we can densely define the Toeplitz operator T_f with symbol f on $A_\alpha^2(\mathcal{H})$ as in (4.2). We recall that the normalized reproducing kernel k_w^α , $w = u + iv$, of $A_\alpha^2(\mathcal{H})$ is given by

$$k_w^\alpha(z) := \frac{K_w^\alpha(z)}{\|K_w^\alpha\|_{2,\alpha}} = \frac{v^{1+\alpha/2}}{(z - \bar{w})^{2+\alpha}}.$$

The following assertion can be observed as in [4]. It says that the boundedness of a Toeplitz product is equivalent to a two-weight problem for the Bergman projection.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Then $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if P_α is bounded from $L^p(\mathcal{H}, |g|^{-p} dV_\alpha)$ to $L^p(\mathcal{H}, |f|^p dV_\alpha)$.*

The next result says that if one of the symbols satisfies an invariant condition, then the Sarason condition is necessary and sufficient for the associated Toeplitz product to be bounded.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $p = q(p-1)$, and $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Suppose that f and g are analytic in \mathcal{H} with*

$$(4.4) \quad [f]_{p,\alpha} := \sup_{w \in \mathcal{H}} \|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|f^{-1} k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty.$$

Then $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if

$$(4.5) \quad [f, g]_{p,\alpha} := \sup_{w \in \mathcal{H}} \|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|g k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\|T_f T_{\bar{g}}\| \leq C(p) [f, g]_{p,\alpha} [f]_{p,\alpha}^{\max\{p,q\}}$$

and

$$[f, g]_{p,\alpha} \leq C(p) \|T_f T_{\bar{g}}\| [f]_{p,\alpha}.$$

Proof. Let us start with the sufficient part. We first observe that the condition on f provides in particular that the weight $\omega = |f|^p$ is in $B_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$. Clearly, for the

interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, let Q_I be its associated Carleson box and w its center. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \infty > [f]_{p,\alpha} &> \left(\int_{\mathcal{H}} |f|^p |k_w^\alpha|^p dV_\alpha \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathcal{H}} |f|^{-q} |k_w^\alpha|^q dV_\alpha \right)^{1/q} \\ &\geq \left(\int_{Q_I} |f|^p |k_w^\alpha|^p dV_\alpha \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{Q_I} |f|^{-q} |k_w^\alpha|^q dV_\alpha \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q_I|_\alpha^{p/2}} \int_{Q_I} |f|^p dV_\alpha \right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{|Q_I|_\alpha^{q/2}} \int_{Q_I} |f|^{-q} dV_\alpha \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{|Q_I|_\alpha} \int_{Q_I} \omega dV_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{|Q_I|_\alpha} \int_{Q_I} \omega^{1-q} \right)^{p-1} \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $\omega = |f|^p$, then

$$[\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} \lesssim [f]_{p,\alpha}^p.$$

Next, using Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |T_f T_{\bar{g}} h(z)| &= |f(z)| |P_\alpha(\bar{g}h)(z)| \\ &\leq |f(z)| \int_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{|g(w)||h(w)|}{|z-\bar{w}|^{2+\alpha}} dV_\alpha(w) \\ &= |f(z)| \int_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{|g(w)||f(w)||f(w)|^{-1}|h(w)|}{|z-\bar{w}|^{2+\alpha}} dV_\alpha(w) \\ &\leq [f, g]_{p,\alpha} |f(z)| \int_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{|f(w)|^{-1}|h(w)|}{|z-\bar{w}|^{2+\alpha}} dV_\alpha(w). \end{aligned}$$

Hence to prove that $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$, it is enough to prove that the positive operator

$$h \mapsto |f(z)| \int_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{|f(w)|^{-1} h(w)}{|z-\bar{w}|^{2+\alpha}} dV_\alpha(w), \quad z \in \mathcal{H}$$

is bounded on $L^p(\mathcal{H}, dV_\alpha)$. The boundedness of the latter is equivalent to the boundedness of P_α^+ on $L^p(\mathcal{H}, |f|^p dV_\alpha)$ which holds by Theorem 1.1 since the weight $\omega = |f|^p$ is in the class $B_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$, and with the right estimate. Thus

$$\|T_f T_{\bar{g}}\| \leq C(p) [\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}}^{\max\{1, q/p\}} [f, g]_{p,\alpha} \leq C(p) [f, g]_{p,\alpha} [f]_{p,\alpha}^{\max\{p, q\}}.$$

Let us now suppose that $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$. Then in particular we have

$$\|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1} \|f(w)\| \|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} = \|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1} \|\overline{f(w)} gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} = \|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1} \|T_g T_{\bar{f}} k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \leq \|T_g T_{\bar{f}}\|.$$

Using Lemma 2.3 again and the equivalence $\|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \simeq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} &\simeq \|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-1}\|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1}|f(w)||f(w)|^{-1}\|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \\ &\leq C\|T_gT_{\bar{f}}\|\|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-1}|f(w)|^{-1}\|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \\ &\leq C\|T_gT_{\bar{f}}\|\|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-1}\|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1}\|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \\ &\leq C\|T_gT_{\bar{f}}\|[f]_{p,\alpha} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Note that in the above theorem, we do not ask f and g to belong to $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$ and $A_\alpha^q(\mathcal{H})$, respectively, giving ourselves the flexibility to recover the Bergman projection by taking $f = g = 1$. This assumption is also motivated by the fact that constants (except zero) are not in $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$. Thus in the case of the unit disc or the unit ball, we can suppose that $f \in A_\alpha^p$ and $g \in A_\alpha^q$.

Taking $g = 1/f$ in the above theorem, we obtain that the invariant condition $[f]_{p,\alpha} < \infty$ is actually necessary and sufficient for the boundedness of $T_fT_{1/\bar{f}}$ on $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$, and we can even deduce more.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $pq = p + q$ and let f be analytic on \mathcal{H} . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) $T_fT_{1/\bar{f}}$ is bounded on $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$.
- (ii)

$$\sup_{w \in \mathcal{H}} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty.$$

- (iii) If $\omega = |f|^p$ and $\sigma = \omega^{1-q} = |f|^{-q}$, then

$$\sup_I \frac{|Q_I|_{\omega,\alpha}|Q_I|_{\sigma,\alpha}^{p-1}}{|Q_{I,\alpha}|^p} < \infty.$$

- (iv) P_α^+ is bounded on $L^p(\mathcal{H}, |f|^p d\nu_\alpha)$.

Proof. That (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is the beginning of the proof of the above theorem while (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) is Theorem 1.1. The implication (iv) \Rightarrow (i) is Proposition 4.1. To finish, we only have to prove that (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume that $T_fT_{1/\bar{f}}$ is bounded on $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$ so that $T_{1/f}T_{\bar{f}}$ is also bounded on $A_\alpha^q(\mathcal{H})$. Put $g = 1/f$. Then we obtain

$$|f(w)|\|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} = \|\overline{f(w)}gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} = \|T_gT_{\bar{f}}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \leq \|T_gT_{\bar{f}}\|\|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}.$$

That is, for all $w \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$(4.6) \quad |f(w)|\|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \leq \|T_gT_{\bar{f}}\|\|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}.$$

We also obtain

$$|g(w)| \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} = \|\overline{g(w)}fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} = \|T_f T_{\overline{g}} k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \leq \|T_f T_{\overline{g}}\| \|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}.$$

That is,

$$(4.7) \quad |g(w)| \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \leq \|T_f T_{\overline{g}}\| \|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}.$$

It follows from (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$|f(w)| |g(w)| \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \leq \|T_g T_{\overline{f}}\| \|T_f T_{\overline{g}}\| \|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}.$$

Recalling that $g = 1/f$ and using that $\|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \simeq 1$ for all $w \in \mathcal{H}$, we obtain that

$$\sup_{w \in \mathcal{H}} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Let us also observe the following result.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Assume that $1 < p < \infty$ and put $p = q(p-1)$. If f is analytic on \mathcal{H}^n with*

$$[f]_{p,\alpha} := \sup_{w \in \mathcal{H}^n} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty,$$

then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $1 \leq k \leq n$ and any $w = (w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{H}^{n-1}$,

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{H}} \|f_w k_z^{\alpha k}\|_{p,\alpha k} \|f_w^{-1} k_z^{\alpha k}\|_{q,\alpha k} \leq C \sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{H}^n} \|fk_\xi^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|f^{-1}k_\xi^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha},$$

where $f_w(z) = f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$, $\zeta_j = w_j$ for $j \neq k$ and $\zeta_k = z$.

Proof. We may suppose that $k = 1$. Let $w = (w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ be given. For any given $z \in \mathcal{H}$, we put $\zeta = (z, w_1, \dots, w_{n-1})$ and $\tilde{\alpha} = (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Then using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_w k_z^{\alpha_1}\|_{p,\alpha_1}^p &= \int_{\mathcal{H}} |f(u+iv, w)|^p |k_z^{\alpha_1}(u+iv)|^p v^{\alpha_1} dV(u+iv) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{H}} |f_{u+iv}(w)|^p |k_z^{\alpha_1}(u+iv)|^p v^{\alpha_1} dV(u+iv) \\ &\lesssim \|k_w^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{p,\tilde{\alpha}}^{-p} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \|f_{u+iv} k_w^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{p,\tilde{\alpha}}^p |k_z^{\alpha_1}(u+iv)|^p v^{\alpha_1} dV(u+iv) \\ &= \|k_w^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{p,\tilde{\alpha}}^{-p} \|fk_\zeta^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^p. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\|f_w^{-1}k_z^{\alpha_1}\|_{q,\alpha_1}^q \lesssim \|k_w^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{q,\tilde{\alpha}}^{-q} \|f^{-1}k_\xi^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^q.$$

Thus as $\|k_w^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{p,\tilde{\alpha}}\|k_w^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{q,\tilde{\alpha}} \simeq 1$, we obtain for any $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,

$$\|f_w k_z^{\alpha_k}\|_{p,\alpha_k} \|f_w^{-1}k_z^{\alpha_k}\|_{q,\alpha_k} \lesssim \sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{H}^n} \|fk_\xi^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|f^{-1}k_\xi^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}.$$

The proof is complete. \square

We have the following extension of Theorem 4.2 to the multi-parameter case.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $-1 < \alpha < \underline{\infty}$. Assume that $1 < p < \infty$ and put $p = q(p-1)$. Suppose that f and g are analytic in \mathcal{H}^n with*

$$(4.8) \quad [f]_{p,\alpha} := \sup_{w \in \mathcal{H}^n} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty.$$

Then $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded on $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H}^n)$ if and only if

$$[f, g]_{p,\alpha} := \sup_{w \in \mathcal{H}^n} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\|T_f T_{\bar{g}}\| \leq C(p) [f, g]_{p,\alpha} [f]_{p,\alpha}^{n \times \max\{p,q\}}$$

and

$$[f, g]_{p,\alpha} \leq C(p) \|T_g T_{\bar{f}}\| [f]_{p,\alpha}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 4.4 and the beginning of the proof of Theorem 4.2, we obtain that the condition (4.8) implies that the weight $\omega = |f|^p$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)$ with

$$[\omega]_{\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathcal{H}^n)} \lesssim [f]_{p,\alpha}^p.$$

Following the steps of the proof of Theorem 4.2 and using Proposition 1.2 we obtain the result. \square

To conclude, we remark that Proposition 4.3 also holds for the tube over the first octant.

References

- [1] *A. Aleman, S. Pott, M. C. Reguera*: Sarason conjecture on the Bergman space. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* *2017* (2017), 4320–4349. MR doi
- [2] *D. Bekollé*: Inégalité à poids pour le projecteur de Bergman dans la boule unité de \mathbb{C}^n . *Stud. Math.* *71* (1982), 305–323. (In French.) zbl MR doi
- [3] *D. Bekollé, A. Bonami*: Inégalités à poids pour le noyau de Bergman. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A* *286* (1978), 775–778. (In French.) zbl MR
- [4] *D. Cruz-Uribe*: The invertibility of the product of unbounded Toeplitz operators. *Integral Equations Oper. Theory* *20* (1994), 231–237. zbl MR doi
- [5] *J. García-Cuerva, J. L. Rubio de Francia*: *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 116 *Notas de Matemática* (104), North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam, 1985. zbl MR doi
- [6] *T. P. Hytönen, M. T. Lacey, C. Pérez*: Sharp weighted bounds for the q -variation of singular integrals. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* *45* (2013), 529–540. zbl MR doi
- [7] *T. Hytönen, C. Pérez*: Sharp weighted bounds involving A_∞ . *Anal. PDE* *6* (2013), 777–818. zbl MR doi
- [8] *J. Isralowitz*: Invertible Toeplitz products, weighted norm inequalities, and A_p weights. *J. Oper. Theory* *71* (2014), 381–410. zbl MR doi
- [9] *A. K. Lerner*: A simple proof of the A_2 conjecture. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* *2013* (2013), 3159–3170. zbl MR doi
- [10] *M. Michalska, M. Nowak, P. Sobolewski*: Bounded Toeplitz and Hankel products on weighted Bergman spaces of the unit ball. *Ann. Pol. Math.* *99* (2010), 45–53. zbl MR doi
- [11] *K. Moen*: Sharp weighted bounds without testing or extrapolation. *Arch. Math.* *99* (2012), 457–466. zbl MR doi
- [12] *F. Nazarov*: A counterexample to Sarason’s conjecture. Preprint available at <http://users.math.msu.edu/users/fedja/Preprints/Sarps.html>.
- [13] *S. Pott, M. C. Reguera*: Sharp Békollé estimates for the Bergman projection. *J. Funct. Anal.* *265* (2013), 3233–3244. zbl MR doi
- [14] *S. Pott, E. Strouse*: Products of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman spaces A_α^2 . *Algebra Anal.* *18* (2006), 144–161; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* *18* (2007), 105–118. zbl MR doi
- [15] *D. Sarason*: Products of Toeplitz operators. *Linear and Complex Analysis Problem Book 3, Part I* (V. P. Havin, N. K. Nikolski, eds.). *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1573, Springer, Berlin, 1994, pp. 318–319. zbl MR doi
- [16] *K. Stroethoff, D. Zheng*: Bounded Toeplitz products on the Bergman space of the polydisk. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *278* (2003), 125–135. zbl MR doi
- [17] *K. Stroethoff, D. Zheng*: Bounded Toeplitz products on Bergman spaces of the unit ball. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *325* (2007), 114–129. zbl MR doi
- [18] *K. Stroethoff, D. Zheng*: Bounded Toeplitz products on weighted Bergman spaces. *J. Oper. Theory* *59* (2008), 277–308. zbl MR

Author’s address: Benoit Florent Sehba, Department of Mathematics University of Ghana, P.O. Box LG 62, Legon, Accra, Ghana, e-mail: bfsehba@ug.edu.gh.