

Junping Liu; Changguo Wei

Unital extensions of AF -algebras by purely infinite simple algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 64 (2014), No. 4, 989–1001

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/144156>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2014

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

UNITAL EXTENSIONS OF AF -ALGEBRAS BY
PURELY INFINITE SIMPLE ALGEBRAS

JUNPING LIU, Shanghai, CHANGGUO WEI, Qingdao

(Received September 6, 2013)

Abstract. In this paper, we consider the classification of unital extensions of AF -algebras by their six-term exact sequences in K -theory. Using the classification theory of C^* -algebras and the universal coefficient theorem for unital extensions, we give a complete characterization of isomorphisms between unital extensions of AF -algebras by stable Cuntz algebras. Moreover, we also prove a classification theorem for certain unital extensions of AF -algebras by stable purely infinite simple C^* -algebras with nontrivial K_1 -groups up to isomorphism.

Keywords: AF -algebra; extension; purely infinite simple algebra

MSC 2010: 46L05, 46L35

1. INTRODUCTION

Great progress has been made in classifying simple C^* -algebras till now (see [4], [5], [7], [14], [13], [10], [9], [11], [16], etc.). But there are still many non-simple C^* -algebras in need of classification. Among these algebras, extension algebras are an important class. The existing results for classification of such algebras mainly focus on classification of non-unital extensions up to stable isomorphism, for example, [3], [17], [21].

Naturally, isomorphisms of unital extensions should also be considered. As we know, classification for unital extensions up to isomorphism is very different from the non-unital case. In [23], the second-named author considered unital extensions of AT -algebras and proved that the six-term exact sequence in K -theory together with the Elliott invariants of the ideal and quotient is a complete invariant.

This work was supported by Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundations (Grant No. ZR2011AM003 and BS2012SF031) and National Natural Science Foundations of China (Grant No. 11171315 and 11271224). It was also supported by the Research Center for Operator Algebras at ECNU.

As the succeeding work of [22], [21], [20], [23], [24], the purpose of this note is to classify unital essential extensions of AF -algebras by stable purely infinite simple algebras. Using the classification theory of C^* -algebras and the universal coefficient theorem for unital extensions obtained by the second author ([22], [23]), we give a complete characterization of isomorphisms between unital extensions of AF -algebras by stable Cuntz algebras. We also prove a classification theorem for certain unital extensions of AF -algebras by stable purely infinite simple C^* -algebras with nontrivial K_1 groups up to isomorphism.

2. PRELIMINARIES

First, we recall some notations for C^* -algebra extensions and their K -theory. One can see [1], [17], [18], [19], [22] for more details.

Let A and B be C^* -algebras. Recall that an extension of A by B is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} A \rightarrow 0$ of C^* -algebras. Denote this extension by e or (E, α, β) and denote by $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ the set of essential extensions of A by B .

Given an extension (E, α, β) , $\alpha(B)$ is an ideal of E . Hence there is a homomorphism σ from E into the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(B)$ of B . Let π be the quotient map from $\mathcal{M}(B)$ into the corona algebra $\mathcal{Q}(B)$. The Busby invariant of (E, α, β) is a homomorphism τ from A into $\mathcal{Q}(B)$ such that $\tau(a) = \pi(\sigma(x))$ for a in A , where x is in E and $\beta(x) = a$. Then τ is the only homomorphism making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & E & \xrightarrow{\beta} & A \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \tau \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}(B) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{Q}(B) \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

The extension (E, α, β) is essential if and only if τ is injective. It is called trivial if there is a homomorphism $\gamma: A \rightarrow E$ such that $\beta \circ \gamma = \text{id}_A$. The extension (E, α, β) is called unital if A is unital and τ is a unital homomorphism.

Definition 2.1. Suppose that $e_i: 0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} E_i \xrightarrow{\beta_i} A \rightarrow 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ are two extensions of A by B , with associated Busby invariants τ_i . We say that (E_1, α_1, β_1) and (E_2, α_2, β_2) are unitarily equivalent (denoted by $e_1 \stackrel{s}{\sim} e_2$), if there is a unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}(B)$ such that $\tau_2(a) = \pi(u)\tau_1(a)\pi(u)^*$ for every $a \in A$.

Denote by $\text{Ext}_s(A, B)$ the set of unitary equivalence classes of extensions of A by B .

It is known that $e_1 \stackrel{s}{\sim} e_2$ if and only if there exist a unitary element $u \in \mathcal{M}(B)$ and homomorphism $\varphi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & E_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \text{Adu} \downarrow & & \varphi \downarrow & & \text{id} \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & E_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & A & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

We say (E_1, α_1, β_1) and (E_2, α_2, β_2) are weakly unitarily equivalent (denoted by $e_1 \stackrel{w}{\sim} e_2$), if there is a unitary $v \in \mathcal{Q}(B)$ such that $\tau_2(a) = v\tau_1(a)v^*$ for every $a \in A$. Denote by $\mathbf{Ext}_w(A, B)$ the set of weakly unitary equivalence classes of extensions of A by B .

Denote by $\mathbf{Ext}_*^u(A, B)$ the equivalence classes of unital essential extensions of A by B for $* = s, w$.

Definition 2.2. Two extensions (E_1, α_1, β_1) and (E_2, α_2, β_2) are called congruent (denoted by $e_1 \equiv e_2$), if there is an isomorphism $\varphi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & E_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \text{id}_B \downarrow & & \varphi \downarrow & & \text{id}_A \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & E_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & A & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Definition 2.3. Two extensions (E_1, α_1, β_1) and (E_2, α_2, β_2) are called isomorphic (denoted by $e_1 \cong e_2$), if there are isomorphisms φ, η, ψ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & E_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \varphi \downarrow & & \eta \downarrow & & \psi \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & E_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & A & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

If B is a stable C^* -algebra, then the sum of two extensions τ_1 and τ_2 is the extension whose Busby invariant is $\tau_1 \oplus \tau_2: A \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(B) \oplus \mathcal{Q}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{Q}(B)) \cong \mathcal{Q}(B)$, where the last isomorphism is a standard isomorphism. So $\mathbf{Ext}_*(A, B)$ is a commutative semigroup with respect to the above addition, and the set of equivalence classes of essential trivial extensions of A by B is a subsemigroup of $\mathbf{Ext}_*(A, B)$.

Define $\text{Ext}_*(A, B)$ as the quotient of $\mathbf{Ext}_*(A, B)$ by the subsemigroup of essential trivial extensions of A by B for $* = s, w$. By ([1], Proposition 15.6.4), $\text{Ext}_s(A, B) \cong$

$\text{Ext}_w(A, B)$. So we write them as $\text{Ext}(A, B)$. When $e \in \text{Ext}(A, B)$, we write $[e]$ for the equivalence class of e in $\text{Ext}(A, B)$.

Let $e \in \text{Ext}(A, B)$ and let C, D be C^* -algebras. Assume that $\beta: B \rightarrow C$ is a surjective homomorphism and $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(D, A)$. Then there are two induced extensions unique up to congruence, such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} e\alpha: & 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha & & \\ e: & 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} e: & 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \\ \beta e: & 0 & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & E'' & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

One can see [8], [17] and [15] for details.

Let $e: 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of A by B . Denote by $K(e)$ the six-term exact sequence of e in K -theory:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) \\ \delta_1 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \delta_0 \\ K_1(A) & \longleftarrow & K_1(E) & \longleftarrow & K_1(B). \end{array}$$

Let $e_i: 0 \rightarrow B_i \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow A_i \rightarrow 0$, $i = 1, 2$, be two extensions. We say $(\alpha_*, \beta_*, \eta_*): K(e_1) \rightarrow K(e_2)$ a morphism if there are homomorphisms $\alpha_*: K_*(A_1) \rightarrow K_*(A_2)$, $\beta_*: K_*(B_1) \rightarrow K_*(B_2)$ and $\eta_*: K_*(E_1) \rightarrow K_*(E_2)$ such that the obvious diagram is commutative.

If $\alpha_*, \beta_*, \eta_*$ are isomorphisms, $K(e_1)$ and $K(e_2)$ are called isomorphic, written $K(e_1) \cong K(e_2)$. Furthermore, if $[p]_0 \in K_0(E_1)$ and $[q]_0 \in K_0(E_2)$ such that $\eta_0([p]_0) = [q]_0$, then the isomorphism is written by $(K(e_1), [p]_0) \cong (K(e_2), [q]_0)$. When $A_1 = A_2 = A$, $B_1 = B_2 = B$ and there is an isomorphism $(\text{id}_{K_*(A)}, \text{id}_{K_*(B)}, \eta_*): K(e_1) \rightarrow K(e_2)$, then they are called congruent, written $K(e_1) \equiv K(e_2)$. Similarly, $(K(e_1), [p]_0) \equiv (K(e_2), [q]_0)$.

In this paper, we only consider essential extensions.

3. EXTENSIONS BY CUNTZ ALGEBRAS

First, we calculate the K -theory of extensions of the AF -algebras by stable Cuntz algebras. Let A be an AF -algebra. Recall that the Elliott invariant of A is the tuple

$$(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, D(A)),$$

where $D(A)$ is the scale consisting of the images in $K_0(A)$ of projections of A . We denote it by $\text{Ell}(A)$. When A is a unital AF -algebra, we set $\text{Ell}(A) = (K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A]_0)$.

In this section, we write $B = \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$ or $B = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes \mathcal{K}$. It is known that $K_0(\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{Z}$, $K_0(\mathcal{O}_n \otimes \mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$, $K_1(\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0$ and $K_1(\mathcal{O}_n \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0$.

Assume that A is a unital separable AF -algebra and $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ is an extension of A by B . Then there exists a six-term exact sequence of K -groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_1(B) & \longrightarrow & K_1(E) & \longrightarrow & K_1(A) \\ \delta_0 \uparrow & & & & \delta_1 \downarrow \\ K_0(A) & \longleftarrow & K_0(E) & \longleftarrow & K_0(B). \end{array}$$

From $K_1(A) = 0$, we have $\delta_1 = \delta_0 = 0$ and $K_1(E) = 0$ too. Moreover, we also get a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_0(B) \longrightarrow K_0(E) \longrightarrow K_0(A) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Suppose that A is a unital C^* -algebra. Recall that (see [1]) a trivial unital extension τ is called strongly unital if τ can lift to a unital homomorphism from A to $M(B)$. Denote by $\text{Ext}_s^u(A, B)$ [$\text{Ext}_w^u(A, B)$] the quotient of $\mathbf{Ext}_s^u(A, B)$ [$\mathbf{Ext}_w^u(A, B)$] by strongly unital [unital-absorbing] extensions. Let e be an extension of A by B , e is called absorbing [unital-absorbing] if e is unitarily equivalent to $e \oplus \sigma$ for any trivial [strongly unital trivial] extension σ of A by B .

Lemma 3.1 ([22]). *Let A be a unital separable amenable C^* -algebra with $A \in \mathcal{N}$, let B be a purely infinite stable C^* -algebra. Then there is a short exact sequence of groups*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Sigma \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_s^u(A, B) \longrightarrow \Gamma \oplus \text{Hom}(K_1(A), K_0(B)) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\Sigma = \text{Ext}(K_0(A), [1_A]_0, K_0(B)) \oplus \text{Ext}(K_1(A), K_1(B))$$

and

$$\Gamma = \{f \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), K_1(B)); f([1_A]_0) = 0\}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that A is a unital separable AF-algebra and $B = \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$ or $B = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Then one has*

$$\text{Ext}_s^u(A, B) \cong \text{Ext}(K_0(A), [1_A]_0, K_0(B)).$$

Proof. It is known that $K_1(B) = 0$, $K_0(B) = \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} and hence $\Gamma = 0$. Since $K_1(A) = 0$, it shows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\text{Ext}_s^u(A, B) \cong \text{Ext}(K_0(A), [1_A]_0, K_0(B)).$$

□

Lemma 3.3 (see [3], [17]). *Let A and B be separable nuclear C^* -algebras in \mathcal{N} with B stable. Suppose x_1 and x_2 are elements of $\text{Ext}(A, B)$. Then $K(x_1) = K(x_2)$ in $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ if and only if there exist elements a of $KK(A, A)$ and b of $KK(B, B)$ with $K_*(a) = \text{id}_{K_*(A)}$ and $K_*(b) = \text{id}_{K_*(B)}$ such that $x_1 b = a x_2$.*

Note that a C^* -algebra B has the corona factorization property if and only if every full projection p in $M(B)$ is Murray-von Neumann equivalent to $1_{M(B)}$. By [6], [12], stable purely infinite simple C^* -algebras have the corona factorization property.

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that A is a unital separable AF-algebra and $B = \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$ or $B = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes \mathcal{K}$. If e_1 and e_2 in $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ are unital essential extensions, then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $E_1 \cong E_2$;
- (2) $e_1 \cong e_2$;
- (3) *there exist isomorphisms $\alpha: (K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A]_0) \rightarrow (K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1_A]_0)$, $\beta: K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ and $\eta: K_0(E_1) \rightarrow K_0(E_2)$ with $\eta([1_{E_1}]_0) = [1_{E_2}]_0$ such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_1) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \beta \downarrow & & \eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_2) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Proof. It is trivial to see that (2) \Rightarrow (1) by the definition of isomorphism of extensions. For (1) \Rightarrow (2), there is an isomorphism $\eta: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$. It holds that $\eta(B) = B$ since e_1, e_2 are essential extensions and B is simple. One thus get $e_1 \cong e_2$. It is obvious that (2) \Rightarrow (3), so we only need to show (3) \Rightarrow (2).

By the classification theorems for AF -algebras and purely infinite simple C^* -algebras, there are automorphisms $\varphi: A \rightarrow A$ and $\psi: B \rightarrow B$ such that $K_0(\varphi) = \alpha$ and $K_0(\psi) = \beta$. We have that $e_1\varphi^{-1} \cong e_1$ and $\psi^{-1}e_2 \cong e_2$ from ([17], Proposition 1.2) and the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 K(e_1\varphi^{-1}): & 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_1) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & \text{id}_{K_0(B)} \downarrow & & \text{id}_{K_0(E_1)} \downarrow & & K_0(\varphi^{-1}) \downarrow & & \\
 K(e_1): & 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_1) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & \beta \downarrow & & \eta \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow & & \\
 K(e_2): & 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_2) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & K_0(\psi^{-1}) \downarrow & & \text{id}_{K_0(E_2)} \downarrow & & \text{id}_{K_0(A)} \downarrow & & \\
 K(\psi^{-1}e_2): & 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_2) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) & \longrightarrow & 0.
 \end{array}$$

Therefore, one has

$$(K(e_1\varphi^{-1}), [1_{E_1}]_0) \cong (K(\psi^{-1}e_2), [1_{E_2}]_0)$$

from $K_0(\varphi) = \alpha$ and $K_0(\psi) = \beta$. According to Lemma 3.2, it follows that $[\tau_{e_1\varphi^{-1}}] = [\tau_{\psi^{-1}e_2}]$ in $\text{Ext}_s^u(A, B)$. Since B has the corona factorization property, every unital full extension by B is unital-absorbing. It follows that $\tau_{e_1\varphi^{-1}}$ and $\tau_{\psi^{-1}e_2}$ are unitarily equivalent. Therefore, $e_1\varphi^{-1}$ and $\psi^{-1}e_2$ are isomorphic and so $e_1 \cong e_2$. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that A is a unital separable AF -algebra and $B = \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$ or $B = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Suppose $e_i: 0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} E_i \xrightarrow{\psi_i} A \rightarrow 0$ are two unital essential extensions. Then $E_1 \cong E_2$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism*

$$\eta: (K_0(E_1), K_0(E_1)^+, [1_{E_1}]_0) \rightarrow (K_0(E_2), K_0(E_2)^+, [1_{E_2}]_0).$$

Proof. We only need to prove the “if” part. Because $K_0(A)$ is torsion-free group, the two extensions in K -theory

$$0 \longrightarrow K_0(B) \xrightarrow{K_0(\varphi_i)} K_0(E_i) \xrightarrow{K_0(\psi_i)} K_0(A) \longrightarrow 0, \quad i = 1, 2$$

are pure extensions. It is well-known that $K_0(B) = K_0(B)^+$ since B is purely infinite simple C^* -algebra. Moreover, one has $K_0(B) \subset K_0(E_i)^+$, $i = 1, 2$, and $K_0(\psi_i)(K_0(E_i)^+) \subseteq K_0(A)^+$, $i = 1, 2$.

Suppose that

$$\eta: (K_0(E_1), K_0(E_1)^+, [1_{E_1}]_0) \rightarrow (K_0(E_2), K_0(E_2)^+, [1_{E_2}]_0)$$

is an isomorphism. For any $x \in K_0(\varphi_1)(K_0(B))$, $K_0(\psi_2)(\eta(x))$ and $K_0(\psi_2)(\eta(-x))$ are all in $K_0(A)^+$ since $K_0(B)$ is a group. As A is unital and finite, $(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+)$ is an ordered abelian group. Hence $K_0(\psi_2)(\eta(x)) = 0$ and $\eta(x) \in K_0(\varphi_2)(K_0(B))$. It thus follows that $\eta(K_0(\varphi_1)(K_0(B))) \subset K_0(\varphi_2)(K_0(B))$. Conversely, considering the isomorphism η^{-1} and arguing similarly, one can get $\eta^{-1}(K_0(\varphi_2)(K_0(B))) \subset K_0(\varphi_1)(K_0(B))$. Hence $\eta(K_0(\varphi_1)(K_0(B))) = K_0(\varphi_2)(K_0(B))$. Therefore, there exist two isomorphisms $\alpha: K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A)$ and $\beta: K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \xrightarrow{K_0(\varphi_1)} & K_0(E_1) & \xrightarrow{K_0(\psi_1)} & K_0(A) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \beta \downarrow & & \eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \xrightarrow{K_0(\varphi_2)} & K_0(E_2) & \xrightarrow{K_0(\psi_2)} & K_0(A) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

We next prove that α is an order isomorphism and $\alpha([1_A]_0) = [1_A]_0$. It is easy to check that $\alpha([1_A]_0) = [1_A]_0$ since e_i ($i = 1, 2$) are unital extensions and $\eta([1_{E_1}]_0) = [1_{E_2}]_0$. As A and B are of real rank zero and $K_1(B) = 0$, this shows that $K_0(\psi_i)(K_0(E_i)^+) = K_0(A)^+$, $i = 1, 2$. So we have $\alpha(K_0(A)^+) = K_0(A)^+$ and it thus follows that $E_1 \cong E_2$ by Theorem 3.4. \square

When A is non-unital separable AF -algebra, by using the UCT instead of Lemma 3.2 one can similarly obtain the following corollary which is contained in [3].

Corollary 3.6. *Assume that A is a separable non-unital AF -algebra and $B = \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$ or $B = \mathcal{O}_n \otimes \mathcal{K}$. If e_1 and e_2 in $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ are non-unital essential extensions, then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $E_1 \cong E_2$;
- (2) $e_1 \cong e_2$;
- (3) *there exist isomorphisms $\alpha: (K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, D(A)) \rightarrow (K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, D(A))$, $\beta: K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ and $\eta: K_0(E_1) \rightarrow K_0(E_2)$ such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_1) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \beta \downarrow & & \eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_2) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) \longrightarrow 0; \end{array}$$

- (4) $(K_0(E_1), K_0(E_1)^+, D(E_1)) \cong (K_0(E_2), K_0(E_2)^+, D(E_2))$.

Remark 3.7. We mention that Corollary 3.6 also holds whenever A is unital separable AF -algebra and e_i , $i = 1, 2$, are non-unital essential extensions. Moreover, it is clear that Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.6 also hold whenever the Cuntz algebras are replaced by separable purely infinite simple nuclear C^* -algebras satisfying the UCT and having trivial K_1 -groups.

4. EXTENSIONS BY PURELY INFINITE SIMPLE C^* -ALGEBRAS

Next we consider the case of separable purely infinite simple nuclear C^* -algebras (which are also called Kirchberg algebras) with nontrivial K_1 -group.

For Kirchberg algebras B satisfying the UCT, the Elliott invariant $\text{Ell}(B)$ is $(K_0(B), K_1(B))$ [or $(K_0(B), [1_B]_0, K_1(B))$ when B is unital].

The following result (Theorem 4.1) concerning non-unital extensions is contained in [3], so we omit the proof.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that A is a separable AF -algebra, B is a non-unital separable purely infinite simple nuclear C^* -algebra satisfying the UCT and $e_i: 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ are non-unital essential extensions of A by B . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $E_1 \cong E_2$;
- (2) $e_1 \cong e_2$;
- (3) *there exist isomorphisms $\alpha_{\sharp}: \text{Ell}(A) \rightarrow \text{Ell}(A)$, $\beta_{\sharp}: \text{Ell}(B) \rightarrow \text{Ell}(B)$ and $\eta_{\sharp}: \text{Ell}(E_1) \rightarrow \text{Ell}(E_2)$ such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_1) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) & \longrightarrow & K_1(B) & \longrightarrow & K_1(E_1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \beta_0 \downarrow & & \eta_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta_1 & & \downarrow \eta_1 & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_2) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) & \longrightarrow & K_1(B) & \longrightarrow & K_1(E_2) & \longrightarrow & 0.
 \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.2 ([23]). *Let A be a separable nuclear C^* -algebra with unit. Then the natural homomorphism $\text{Ext}_w^u(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(A, B)$ is injective.*

Lemma 4.3 ([23]). *Let $e_i: 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ be an essential unital extension with Busby invariant τ_i for $i = 1, 2$. Suppose e_1 is weakly unitarily equivalent to e_2 by a unitary $u \in \mathcal{Q}(B)$. Then*

$$(K(e_1), [1]_0) \cong (K(e_2), [1]_0)$$

if and only if $\pi([u]_1)$ is in $G' = \{f([1_A]_0); f \in \text{Hom}(\text{Ker } \delta_0, \text{Coker } \delta_1)\}$, where $\pi: K_1(\mathcal{Q}(B)) \cong K_0(B) \rightarrow \text{Coker } \delta_1$ is the quotient map and δ_i is the index map from $K_i(A)$ to $K_{1-i}(B)$.

Lemma 4.4 ([22], Theorem 3.10). *Let A be a unital separable nuclear C^* -algebra with $A \in \mathcal{N}$. Then there is a short exact sequence of groups*

$$0 \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{Q}(B))/G \rightarrow \text{Ext}_s^u(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_w^u(A, B) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $G = \{f([1]_0); f \in \text{Hom}(K_0(A), K_0(B))\}$.

Theorem 4.5. *Assume that A is a unital separable AF-algebra, B is a non-unital separable purely infinite simple nuclear C^* -algebra satisfying the UCT and $e_i: 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ is a unital essential extension of A by B such that $\text{Ker } \delta_{e_i}^0$ is a direct summand of $K_0(A)$, where $\delta_{e_i}^0$ is the exponential map of e_i for $i = 1, 2$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $E_1 \cong E_2$;
- (2) $e_1 \cong e_2$;
- (3) *there are isomorphisms $\beta_*: K_*(B) \rightarrow K_*(B)$, $\eta_*: (K_*(E), [1]_0) \rightarrow (K_*(E), [1]_0)$ and $\alpha_*: (K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1]_0) \rightarrow (K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [1]_0)$ such that*

$$(\beta_*, \eta_*, \alpha_*): (K(e_1), [1_{E_1}]_0) \rightarrow (K(e_2), [1_{E_2}]_0)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We only need to show (3) \Rightarrow (2). Similarly to Theorem 3.4, there are isomorphisms $\varphi: A \rightarrow A$ and $\psi: B \rightarrow B$ such that $\varphi_* = \alpha_*$ and $\psi_* = \beta_*$. Hence, we have an extension isomorphism

$$(\text{id}_{K_*(B_2)}, \eta_*, \text{id}_{K_*(A_1)}): (K(\psi e_1), [1]_0) \longrightarrow (K(e_2 \varphi), [1]_0).$$

Therefore, $(K(\psi e_1), [1]_0) \cong (K(e_2 \varphi), [1]_0)$.

Similarly, there are isomorphisms $h: A \rightarrow A$ and $g: B \rightarrow B$ such that $K_*(h) = \text{id}_{K_*(A)}$, $K_*(g) = \text{id}_{K_*(B)}$ and

$$[e_1]KK(\psi)KK(g) = KK(h)KK(\varphi)[e_2]$$

in $\text{Ext}(A, B)$. Set $\sigma_1 = (g\psi)e_1$ and $\sigma_2 = e_2(\varphi h)$ with Busby invariants τ_1 and τ_2 , respectively. So there are two commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} e_1: & 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \downarrow g\psi & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ \sigma_1: & 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \sigma_2: & 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow \varphi h & & \\
 e_2: & 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0.
 \end{array}$$

Furthermore,

$$(K(\sigma_1), [1]_0) \equiv (K(\psi e_1), [1]_0), \quad (K(\sigma_2), [1]_0) \equiv (K(e_1 \varphi), [1]_0).$$

Then $(K(\sigma_1), [1]_0) \equiv (K(\sigma_2), [1]_0)$. So we have the following commutative diagram in K -theory

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 K(\sigma_1): & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_1) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\sigma_1}^0} & K_1(B) & \longrightarrow \\
 & & \downarrow \beta_0^{-1} & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow \beta_1^{-1} & \\
 K(e_1): & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_1) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{e_1}^0} & K_1(B) & \longrightarrow \\
 & & \downarrow \beta_0 & & \downarrow \eta_0 & & \downarrow \alpha_0 & & \downarrow \beta_1 & \\
 K(e_2): & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_2) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{e_2}^0} & K_1(B) & \longrightarrow \\
 & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha_0^{-1} & & \parallel & \\
 K(\sigma_2): & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_2) & \longrightarrow & K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\sigma_2}^0} & K_1(B) & \longrightarrow
 \end{array}$$

Note that $\delta_{\sigma_1}^0 = K_1(g\psi)\delta_{e_1}^0 = \beta_1\delta_{e_1}^0$, $\delta_{\sigma_2}^0 = \delta_{e_2}^0 K_0(\varphi h) = \delta_{e_2}^0 \alpha_0$ and $\delta_{\sigma_1}^0 = \delta_{\sigma_2}^0$. Since β_1 is an isomorphism, it follows that $\text{Ker } \delta_{\sigma_1}^0 = \text{Ker } \delta_{e_1}^0$. Hence $\text{Ker } \delta_{\sigma_1}^0$ is a direct summand of $K_0(A)$. Since $\delta_{\sigma_1}^1 = 0 = \delta_{\sigma_2}^1$, we have $\text{Coker } \delta_{\sigma_1}^1 = \text{Coker } \delta_{\sigma_2}^1 = K_0(B)$ and the quotient map π from $K_0(B)$ to $\text{Coker } \delta_{\sigma_1}^1$ is the identity map.

By the fact $[\sigma_1] = [\sigma_2]$ in $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ and Lemma 4.2, we have $[\sigma_1] = [\sigma_2]$ in $\text{Ext}_w^u(A, B)$. Since B has corona factorization property, every unital full extension by B is unital-absorbing. Hence there is a unitary $u \in \mathcal{Q}(B)$ such that $\tau_2 = \text{Ad } u \circ \tau_1$. By Lemma 4.3 we have $[u]_1 \in G'$. Hence there exists a homomorphism ϱ from $\text{Ker } \delta_{\sigma_1}^0$ to $K_0(B)$ such that $\varrho([1_A]_0) = [u]_1$. Since $\text{Ker } \delta_{\sigma_1}^0$ is a direct summand of $K_0(A)$, there exists a homomorphism $\tilde{\varrho}$ from $K_0(A)$ to $K_0(B)$ such that $\tilde{\varrho}|_{\text{Ker } \delta_{\sigma_1}^0} = \varrho$. Therefore, $[u]_1$ is in G . By Lemma 4.4, σ_1 is strongly unitarily equivalent to σ_2 . It follows that

$$e_1 \cong \sigma_1 \sim \sigma_2 \cong e_2.$$

□

Remark 4.6. If E_i ($i = 1, 2$) in Theorem 4.5 are of real rank zero, the exponential maps $\delta_{e_i}^0$, $i = 1, 2$, will be trivial and so the kernel observation holds true automatically. This special case is contained in [2] and [3] which deal with the class of extensions with real rank zero where the exponential maps $\delta_{e_i}^0$, $i = 1, 2$, are trivial. This is very different from the case we consider here.

Acknowledgement. The authors are very grateful to the referee for his or her suggestions.

References

- [1] *B. Blackadar: K-Theory for Operator Algebras.* (2nd ed.). Mathematical Sciences Research Institute 5, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [2] *S. Eilers, G. Restorff, E. Ruiz: The ordered K-theory of a full extension.* Can. J. Math. *66* (2014), 596–625.
- [3] *S. Eilers, G. Restorff, E. Ruiz: Classification of extensions of classifiable C^* -algebras.* Adv. Math. *222* (2009), 2153–2172.
- [4] *G. A. Elliott, G. Gong: On the classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero. II.* Ann. Math. (2) *144* (1996), 497–610.
- [5] *G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, L. Li: On the classification of simple inductive limit C^* -algebras. II: The isomorphism theorem.* Invent. Math. *168* (2007), 249–320.
- [6] *G. Elliott, D. Kucerovsky: An abstract Voiculescu-Brown-Douglas-Fillmore absorption theorem.* Pac. J. Math. *198* (2001), 385–409.
- [7] *G. Gong: On the classification of simple inductive limit C^* -algebras. I: The reduction theorem.* Doc. Math., J. DMV (electronic) *7* (2002), 255–461.
- [8] *D. Kucerovsky, P. W. Ng: The corona factorization property and approximate unitary equivalence.* Houston J. Math. (electronic) *32* (2006), 531–550.
- [9] *H. Lin: Approximately diagonalizing matrices over $C(Y)$.* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *109* (2012), 2842–2847.
- [10] *H. Lin: Asymptotic unitary equivalence and classification of simple amenable C^* -algebras.* Invent. Math. *183* (2011), 385–450.
- [11] *H. Lin: Approximate homotopy of homomorphisms from $C(X)$ into a simple C^* -algebra.* Mem. Am. Math. Soc. *205* (2010), 131 pages.
- [12] *H. Lin: Full extensions and approximate unitary equivalence.* Pac. J. Math. *229* (2007), 389–428.
- [13] *H. Lin: Classification of simple C^* -algebras of tracial topological rank zero.* Duke Math. J. *125* (2004), 91–119.
- [14] *H. Lin: Classification of simple C^* -algebras and higher dimensional noncommutative tori.* Ann. Math. (2) *157* (2003), 521–544.
- [15] *S. Maclane: Homology.* Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Bd. 114, Springer, Berlin, 1963. (In German.)
- [16] *N. C. Phillips: A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebras.* Doc. Math., J. DMV (electronic) *5* (2000), 49–114.
- [17] *M. Rørdam: Classification of extensions of certain C^* -algebras by their six term exact sequences in K-theory.* Math. Ann. *308* (1997), 93–117.
- [18] *M. Rørdam, F. Larsen, N. Laustsen: An Introduction to K-Theory for C^* -Algebras.* London Mathematical Society Student Texts 49, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

- [19] *M. Rørdam, E. Størmer*: Classification of Nuclear C^* -Algebras. Entropy in Operator Algebras. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences 126. Operator Algebras and Non-Commutative Geometry 7, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [20] *C. Wei*: Classification of extensions of torus algebra. II. *Sci. China, Math.* 55 (2012), 179–186.
- [21] *C. Wei*: Classification of extensions of $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras. *Int. J. Math.* 22 (2011), 1187–1208.
- [22] *C. Wei*: Universal coefficient theorems for the stable Ext-groups. *J. Funct. Anal.* 258 (2010), 650–664.
- [23] *C. Wei*: Classification of unital extensions and the BDF-theory. Submitted to *Houst. J. Math.*
- [24] *C. Wei, L. Wang*: Isomorphism of extensions of $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$. *Sci. China, Math.* 54 (2011), 281–286.

Authors' addresses: Junping Liu, Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, No. 500, Dongchuan Road, Shanghai, 200041, China, e-mail: jpliu@math.ecnu.edu.cn; Changguo Wei (corresponding author), School of Mathematical Sciences, Ocean University of China, 238 Songling Road, Qingdao, Shandong, 266100, China, e-mail: weicgqd@163.com.