

Robert El Bashir

A new proof of Prüfer's theorem

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 34 (1993), No. 1, 101--104

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142654>

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A New Proof of Prüfer's Theorem

ROBERT EL BASHIR*)

Praha

Received 22 June 1992

The aim of this paper is to give an easy and direct proof of the well-known fact [1; Prüfer's Theorem: A bounded Abelian group is a direct sum of cyclic groups; page 44].

Cílem je podat jednoduchý a přímý důkaz dobře známého faktu [1; Prüferova věta: Omezená Abelova grupa je direktním součtem cyklických grup; str. 44].

The aim of this paper is to give an easy and direct proof of the well-known fact [1; Prüfer's Theorem: A bounded Abelian group is a direct sum of cyclic groups; page 44].

By a bounded group we mean a group in which the orders of the elements remain under a fixed finite bound. We will prove this theorem only for a bounded Abelian p -group, because every torsion Abelian group is a direct sum of Abelian p -groups.

First, let G be a p -group, i.e. (generally not Abelian) group in which the orders of the elements are powers of one and the same prime p .

On G we define a relation \sim by

$$g \sim h \Leftrightarrow (\langle g \rangle \cap \langle h \rangle \neq \langle 0 \rangle).$$

Lemma 1.

- (i) *The relation \sim is a relation of equivalence on $G \setminus \{0\}$.*
- (ii) *There is (as a subset with exception of 0) only one subgroup of group G of order p in a class of equivalence \sim .*

We will prove only the transitivity of \sim . Let $g \sim h$ and $h \sim k$. Then there exists $n_1 \in \langle g \rangle \cap \langle h \rangle$ and $n_2 \in \langle h \rangle \cap \langle k \rangle$ of order p . In $\langle h \rangle$ there exists only one subgroup of order p . For it

$$\langle n_1 \rangle = \langle n_2 \rangle \leq \langle g \rangle \cap \langle k \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad g \sim k.$$

*) Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, 186 00 Praha 8, Sokolovská 83, Czechoslovakia

(ii) Follows from the definition of \sim .

In the following G will be an Abelian group.

A *maximal collection* will be a complete system of representants of the classes of the equivalence \sim where each of the representants is maximal with respect to the order in the corresponding class of equivalence.

Of course, a maximal collection exists if and only if every class of equivalence has bounded orders of the elements.

Lemma 2. *Let G be an Abelian p -group $\neq \langle 0 \rangle$ with elements of finite heights (with exception of 0). Then every class of equivalence has bounded orders of elements and every maximal collection is a generating system of G .*

Proof. At first we will prove that the classes of equivalence have bounded orders. From Lemma 1(ii) we see that in every class of equivalence there exists an element of order p which is also an element of $\langle h \rangle$ for every h from this class of equivalence – and for it this class of equivalence has bounded orders because that element of order p has finite height (all elements of G have finite heights).

Let M be a maximal collection and let $g \in G$, $g \neq 0$. We will find $h_1, \dots, h_s \in M$ such that $g \in \langle h_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle h_s \rangle$.

In M there is some maximal element h_1 of the same class of equivalence, which g is an element of. Here $\frac{\text{ord}(g)}{p} g$ is an element of order p , which is equivalent to g . We have $\text{ord}(h_1) \geq \text{ord}(g)$ because h_1 is maximal.

From Lemma 1(ii) it follows: there exists $a_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{\text{ord}(g)}{p} g = \frac{\text{ord}(g)}{p} a_1 h_1$. Since $0 = \frac{\text{ord}(g)}{p} (a_1 h_1 - g)$, $\text{ord}(a_1 h_1 - g) \leq \frac{\text{ord}(g)}{p}$.

Let $b_1 = a_1 h_1 - g$. If $\text{ord}(b_1) = 1$, then $b_1 = a_1 h_1 - g = 0$ and $g \in \langle h_1 \rangle$. If $\text{ord}(b_1) > 1$ we repeat the procedure (which we did with g) for b_1 and we will get $b_2 = a_2 h_2 - b_1 = a_2 h_2 - (a_1 h_1 - g)$ (for some $h_2 \in M$ and $a_2 \in \mathbb{N}$). Now,

$$\text{ord}(b_2) \leq \frac{\text{ord}(b_1)}{p} = \frac{\text{ord}(a_1 h_1 - g)}{p} \leq \frac{\text{ord}(g)}{p^2}.$$

The order of g is a power of p . Hence there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\text{ord}(b_s) = 1$, i.e. for some $h_1, \dots, h_s \in M$ is $b_s = a_s h_s - a_{s-1} h_{s-1} + \dots + (-1)^{s-1} a_1 h_1 + (-1)^s g = 0$ and $g \in \langle h_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle h_s \rangle$.

The Proof of Prüfer's Theorem. We may assume that G is a non-zero bounded Abelian p -group.

We will lead the proof in this way: we will choose some maximal collection M , then we will form a direct sum and verify, that this direct sum contains some maximal collection as a subset. From Lemma 2 it will follow that such direct sum must be the whole group G and the proof will be complete.

At first we line up the elements of a chosen maximal collection M into an unincreasing (as to orders) ordinal sequence h_1, h_2, \dots . We can do this because G is a bounded group.

Now we will form a suitable direct sum $\bigoplus_{\alpha < \gamma} \langle g_\alpha \rangle$.

Let us put $g_1 = h_1$ and in the following we will work with the sequence h_2, \dots . If we already have g_α , $\alpha < \beta$, we take the smallest ordinal δ , for which $(\bigoplus_{\alpha < \beta} \langle g_\alpha \rangle) \cap \langle h_\delta \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle$, and put $g_\beta = \chi_\delta$.

In the following we will work with the sequence $h_{\delta+1}, \dots$.

We will finish this process as soon as there is no such δ . From the sequence h_1, h_2, \dots we have chosen a subsequence $(g_\alpha \mid \alpha < \gamma)$. From the construction of this subsequence it follows: $\bigoplus_{\alpha < \beta} \langle g_\alpha \rangle \cap \langle g_\beta \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle$ for every $\beta < \gamma$. Thus the sum $\bigoplus_{\alpha < \gamma} \langle g_\alpha \rangle$ is direct.

It remains to prove that $\bigoplus_{\alpha < \gamma} \langle g_\alpha \rangle$ contains some maximal collection. It will be verified if $\bigoplus_{\alpha < \gamma} \langle g_\alpha \rangle$ contains some maximal element from the same class of equivalence for every h_ε from the original maximal collection M , $h_\varepsilon \notin (g_\alpha \mid \alpha < \gamma)$. Let us take such an h_ε . From the construction of the sequence $(g_\alpha \mid \alpha < \gamma)$ we can see that there exists an ordinal $\beta < \gamma$, for which $\bigoplus_{\alpha < \beta} \langle g_\alpha \rangle \cap \langle h_\varepsilon \rangle \neq \langle 0 \rangle$ and $\text{ord}(g_\alpha) \geq \text{ord}(h_\varepsilon)$ for every $\alpha < \beta$. (This is due to the fact that the original maximal collection M was lined up into an unincreasing sequence with respect to the orders.) Thus there exists an element k of order p with $k \in \bigoplus_{\alpha < \beta} \langle g_\alpha \rangle \cap \langle h_\varepsilon \rangle$. We can write $k = a_1 g_1 + \dots + a_n g_n$ for some $g_1, \dots, g_n \in (g_\alpha \mid \alpha < \beta)$. Now, $pa_1 g_1 + \dots + pa_n g_n = 0$, because k is of order p . Then $pa_j g_j = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ (the sum $\bigoplus_{\alpha < \beta} \langle g_\alpha \rangle$ is direct) and $\text{ord}(a_j g_j) \leq p$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Further, we make use of $\text{ord}(g_j) \geq \text{ord}(h_\varepsilon)$.

Hence there exist $m_j \in \langle g_j \rangle$ with $\frac{\text{ord}(h_\varepsilon)}{p} m_j = a_j g_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $H_\varepsilon = m_1 + \dots + m_n$. Clearly, $\frac{\text{ord}(h_\varepsilon)}{p} H_\varepsilon = k$, since $k \in \langle H_\varepsilon \rangle \cap \langle h_\varepsilon \rangle$ and

$H_\varepsilon \sim h_\varepsilon$. At the same time $\text{ord}(H_\varepsilon) = \text{ord}(h_\varepsilon)$, because $\frac{\text{ord}(h_\varepsilon)}{p} H_\varepsilon$ is an element of order p . The element H_ε is maximal in the same class of equivalence as h_ε and H_ε is also an element of $\bigoplus_{\alpha < \gamma} \langle g_\alpha \rangle$.

By the construction of the elements H_ε , the set

$$\{g_\alpha \mid \alpha < \gamma\} \cup \{H_\varepsilon \mid h_\varepsilon \in M \setminus \{g_\alpha \mid \alpha < \gamma\}\} \subseteq \bigoplus_{\alpha < \gamma} \langle g_\alpha \rangle$$

is a maximal collection and from Lemma 2 it follows $\bigoplus_{\alpha < \gamma} \langle g_\alpha \rangle = G$.

Reference

- [1] FUCHS L.: Abelian groups, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest 1966.