

Guo-Fang Zhang

Some properties of relatively strong pseudocompactness

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 58 (2008), No. 4, 1145–1152

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140446>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2008

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SOME PROPERTIES OF RELATIVELY STRONG
PSEUDOCOMPACTNESS

GUO-FANG ZHANG, Siping

(Received January 27, 2007)

Abstract. In this paper, we study some properties of relatively strong pseudocompactness and mainly show that if a Tychonoff space X and a subspace Y satisfy that $Y \subset \overline{\text{Int } Y}$ and Y is strongly pseudocompact and metacompact in X , then Y is compact in X . We also give an example to demonstrate that the condition $Y \subset \overline{\text{Int } Y}$ can not be omitted.

Keywords: relative topological properties, pseudocompact spaces, compact space

MSC 2010: 54D20, 54D30

1. INTRODUCTION

A. V. Arhangel'skii and H. M. M. Genedi [3] introduced the theory of relative topological properties in 1989. Many results on “absolute” topological properties can be interpreted as theorems on relative topological properties, which is a guideline of studying relative topology. In [2], it was shown that if Y is strongly pseudocompact and paracompact in X , then Y is compact in X . We know that pseudocompact metacompact spaces are compact [6], so it is natural to consider the following question:

Question. Let X be a Tychonoff space and Y a subspace of X such that Y is strongly pseudocompact and metacompact (strongly metacompact) in X . Is then Y compact in X ?

In this paper, we provide an example to answer negatively the above question and also obtain the following theorem:

This work is supported by NSFC, project 10571081.

Theorem. *Let Y be a subspace of a Tychonoff space X satisfying $Y \subset \overline{\text{Int } Y}$, where $\overline{\text{Int } Y}$ denotes the closure of $\text{Int } Y$ in X . If Y is metacompact and strongly pseudocompact in X , then Y is compact in X .*

Throughout this paper, we assume that all spaces are T_1 . Unless otherwise stated, when we say that a set U is open (closed), we mean it is open (closed) in X even if U is a subset of a subspace Y of X , \overline{U} denotes the closure of U in X and $\text{Int } U$ denotes the interior of U in X . For any set $A \subset X$ and collection \mathcal{U} of subsets of X , $\text{St}(A, \mathcal{U})$ denotes the set $\bigcup\{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$. A collection \mathcal{U} of subsets of X is said to be *point finite (locally finite) on a subset A* [5] of X if for each $x \in A$ the collection $\{U \in \mathcal{U} : x \in U\}$ is finite (there is a neighborhood V of x in X such that $\{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite). Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} be two collections of subsets of X . \mathcal{V} is said to be a *partial refinement* of \mathcal{U} if for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$ there is a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $V \subset U$. If in the above definition \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{U} are two covers of X , we say that \mathcal{V} is a *refinement* [4] of \mathcal{U} .

Other undefined notions and terminologies are as in [4].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let Y be a subspace of a space X . Y is said to be *strongly pseudocompact in X* [2] (see also [1]) if every family $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of open subsets of X which is locally finite on Y and satisfies $U_\alpha \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$, is finite. The following theorem characterizes strong pseudocompactness of Y in X in terms of collections similar to collections with the finite intersection property.

Theorem 2.1. *Let Y be a subspace of X . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) Y is strongly pseudocompact in X .
- (2) For every decreasing sequence $W_1 \supset W_2 \supset \dots$ of open subsets of X which satisfies $W_i \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, $\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{W_i}\right) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.
- (3) If $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a countable collection of open subsets of X such that $V_{i_1} \cap V_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap V_{i_k} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for every finite set $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots\}$, then $\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{V_i}\right) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. First we will show that (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose that $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a decreasing sequence of open subsets of X such that $W_i \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. By the definition of strong pseudocompactness of Y in X , $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is not locally finite at some point y_0 of Y . So every neighborhood U of y_0 in X meets infinitely many W_i 's, hence $y_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{W_i}$.

To prove that (2) \Rightarrow (3) it suffices to consider the decreasing sequence $V_1, V_1 \cap V_2, \dots$. It is easy to see that the sequence satisfies condition (2), so $\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{V_i}\right) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.

Finally, we shall show that (3) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a collection of open subsets of X locally finite on Y and such that $U_i \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for each i . Let $V_k = \bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} U_i$. Then the collection $\{V_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies condition (3), which implies that $\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{V_k}\right) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. So there is a $y_0 \in Y$ such that $y_0 \in \overline{V_k}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is locally finite on Y , there exists a neighborhood U_{y_0} of y_0 in X and a finite subcollection $\{U_{i_1}, U_{i_2}, \dots, U_{i_m}\}$ of $\{U_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that U_{y_0} does not intersect any member of $\{U_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ other than $U_{i_1}, U_{i_2}, \dots, U_{i_m}$. Put $k_0 = \max\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\}$. Then $U_{y_0} \cap V_{k_0+1} = \emptyset$ and so $y_0 \notin \overline{V_{k_0+1}}$, a contradiction. Therefore, Y is strongly pseudocompact in X . \square

Corollary 2.1. *Suppose that Y is a subspace of a Tychonoff space X such that Y is strongly pseudocompact in X . If $\{D_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of open subsets of X such that $\overline{D_n \cap Y} \supset Y$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\overline{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (D_n \cap Y)} \supset Y$. In particular, if $\overline{D_n \cap Y} = Y$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\overline{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (D_n \cap Y)} = Y$.*

Proof. Let $\{D_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of open subsets of X such that $\overline{D_n \cap Y} \supset Y$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then for each $y \in Y$, if U is a neighborhood of y in X , we have $U \cap D_n \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $V_1 = U$. So there exists a $y_1 \in Y$ such that $y_1 \in U \cap D_1 \cap V_1$. By the regularity of X , there is an open subset V_2 such that $y_1 \in V_2 \subset \overline{V_2} \subset U \cap D_1 \cap V_1$. Clearly $U \cap V_2$ is a neighborhood of y_1 , so $U \cap V_2 \cap D_2 \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. Similarly there is a $y_2 \in Y$ and an open subset V_3 such that $y_2 \in V_3 \subset \overline{V_3} \subset U \cap D_2 \cap V_2$. Continuing the process, we can obtain a sequence $\{V_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of open subsets of X such that $V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \dots$ and $V_n \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By Theorem 2.1, $\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{V_n}\right) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and so $U \cap \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n\right) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.

Since U is any neighborhood of y in X , $y \in \overline{\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n\right) \cap Y} = \overline{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (D_n \cap Y)}$. Hence $\overline{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (D_n \cap Y)} \supset Y$. If $\overline{D_n \cap Y} = Y$, it is easy to prove that $\overline{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (D_n \cap Y)} = Y$. \square

Let Y be a subspace of a space X . Y is said to be *compact in X* [3] (see also [1]), if for every open cover of X there is a finite subfamily \mathcal{H} such that $Y \subset \bigcup \mathcal{H}$. Y is said to be *metacompact in X* [5] provided every open cover \mathcal{U} of X has an open partial refinement \mathcal{V} point finite on Y . If in the above definition \mathcal{V} covers X , then we say that Y is *strongly metacompact in X* [5]. The following theorem characterizes the relative version of the result that a pseudocompact metacompact space is compact.

Theorem 2.2. *Let Y be a subspace of a Tychonoff space X satisfying $Y \subset \overline{\text{Int } Y}$. If Y is metacompact and strongly pseudocompact in X , then Y is compact in X .*

To prove Theorem 2.2, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Y be a subspace of a Tychonoff space X and let G be an open subset of X such that $G \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. If Y is strongly pseudocompact in X , then for every sequence $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of open subsets of X such that $\overline{F_n \cap G \cap Y} \supset G \cap Y$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap G \cap Y) \supset G \cap Y$. In particular, if $G \subset Y$ and $\overline{F_n \cap G} \supset G$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap G) \supset G$.*

Proof. Let G be an open subset of X such that $G \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and let $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of open subsets of X such that $\overline{F_n \cap G \cap Y} \supset G \cap Y$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\{(G \cap F_n) \cup (X - \overline{G \cap Y})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a collection of open subsets of X . It is easy to show that $\overline{[(G \cap F_n) \cup (X - \overline{G \cap Y})] \cap Y} \supset Y$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By Corollary 2.1, we have $\left[\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (G \cap F_n \cap Y) \right] \cup [(X - \overline{G \cap Y}) \cap Y] \supset Y$.

Pick $y \in G \cap Y$, and let U be a neighborhood of y in X . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $U \subset G$, then $\left(U \cap \left[\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (G \cap F_n \cap Y) \right] \right) \cup [U \cap Y \cap (X - \overline{G \cap Y})] \neq \emptyset$, i.e., $U \cap \left[\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (G \cap F_n \cap Y) \right] \neq \emptyset$, so $y \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (G \cap F_n \cap Y)$. It follows that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap G \cap Y) \supset G \cap Y$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let Y be a subspace of a Tychonoff space X satisfying $Y \subset \overline{\text{Int } Y}$ and let \mathcal{U} be a collection of open subsets of X covering Y and point finite on Y . If Y is strongly pseudocompact in X , then there exists a subset A of Y such that $\bar{A} \supset Y$ and \mathcal{U} is locally finite on A .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be a collection of open subsets of X such that \mathcal{U} is point finite on Y and $Y \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}$. Put $A = \{x \in Y : \mathcal{U} \text{ is locally finite at } x\}$. We shall show that $\bar{A} \supset Y$. Pick $y \in Y$, and let V be an open neighborhood of y in X . It suffices to prove that $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Let $X_n = \{x \in Y : x \text{ is in at most } n \text{ elements of } \mathcal{U}\}$. Then $\overline{X_n} \cap (Y - X_n) = \emptyset$ for each n . In fact, for each $z \in Y - X_n$, z is in at least $n+1$ elements of \mathcal{U} . Without loss of generality, we may assume that U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{n+1} are distinct elements of \mathcal{U} such that $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} U_i$. Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} U_i$ is a neighborhood of z which does not meet X_n , so $z \notin \overline{X_n}$. It follows that $\overline{X_n} \cap (Y - X_n) = \emptyset$. Hence $Y \cap \overline{X_n} = [(Y - X_n) \cup X_n] \cap \overline{X_n} = X_n$. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, let $E_n = V \cap X_n$.

Claim. $\text{Int}(E_n) \neq \emptyset$ for some n .

Suppose that for each n , $\text{Int}(E_n) = \emptyset$. Let $F_n = V - \overline{X_n}$. Then F_n is an open subset of X and $\overline{F_n \cap V \cap \text{Int} Y} \supset V \cap \text{Int} Y$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. In fact, by $Y \cap \overline{X_n} = X_n$, $\overline{F_n \cap V \cap \text{Int} Y} \supset \overline{(V \cap \text{Int} Y) - E_n}$. Since $\text{Int}(E_n) = \emptyset$ for each n , $\overline{(V \cap \text{Int} Y) - E_n} \supset V \cap \text{Int} Y$ for each n . Using the condition $Y \subset \overline{\text{Int} Y}$ and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\overline{\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n\right) \cap V \cap \text{Int} Y} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{(F_n \cap V \cap \text{Int} Y)} \supset V \cap \text{Int} Y \neq \emptyset.$$

But $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = V - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{X_n} \subset V - Y$ and so $\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n\right) \cap V \cap \text{Int} Y = \emptyset$, a contradiction.

Let k be the least element such that $\text{Int}(E_k) \neq \emptyset$. Then for each $x \in \text{Int}(E_k) = V \cap \text{Int}(X_k)$, $x \notin \text{Int}(E_{k-1}) = V \cap \text{Int}(X_{k-1})$ and so there exists a neighborhood U of x such that $U \subset E_k$ and $U \cap (X \setminus X_{k-1}) \neq \emptyset$. Pick $z \in U \cap (X \setminus X_{k-1})$, then $z \in \text{Int}(E_k)$ and z is in k elements of \mathcal{U} . Assume that U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k are distinct elements of \mathcal{U} such that $z \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k U_i$. Let $W = \text{Int}(E_k) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^k U_i$. By the definition of E_k , W can not intersect any element of \mathcal{U} other than U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k , hence $z \in A$. Since $E_n = V \cap X_n$ for each n , $z \in \text{Int}(E_k) \subset V$. It follows that $z \in V \cap A$. This concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . By the regularity of X and the metacompactness of Y in X , there is a collection \mathcal{W} of open subsets of X covering Y such that \mathcal{W} is point finite on Y and $\{\overline{W} : W \in \mathcal{W}\}$ refines \mathcal{U} . By Lemma 2.2, there is a subset A of Y such that \mathcal{W} is locally finite on A and $\overline{A} \supset Y$. For each $y \in Y$, fix an open subset U_y of X such that U_y meets only finitely many members of \mathcal{W} . Let $U = \bigcup_{y \in Y} U_y$ and let V_1 be a nonempty open subset of U which meets only finitely many members of \mathcal{W} and $V_1 \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. Inductively pick for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, if possible, an open set $V_i \subset U - \bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} \text{St}(V_k, \mathcal{W})$ such that V_i meets only finitely many members of \mathcal{W} and $V_i \cap Y \neq \emptyset$.

Claim. *The induction stops at some i .*

Suppose that the induction proceeds infinitely, we can obtain an infinite sequence $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of nonempty open subsets of U such that V_i meets only finitely many members of \mathcal{W} and $V_i \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for each i , also $V_{i+1} \subset U - \bigcup_{k=1}^i \text{St}(V_k, \mathcal{W})$. Let $U_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} V_k$. Then $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a decreasing sequence of open subsets of X such that $U_n \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By Theorem 2.1, $\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{U_n}\right) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and so there exists a $y \in Y$ such

that $y \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{U_n}$. Pick $W \in \mathscr{W}$ such that $y \in W$. Then for each natural number n , $W \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$, which implies there exist distinct natural numbers l, k such that $k < l$ and $W \cap V_k \neq \emptyset$, $W \cap V_l \neq \emptyset$. So $\text{St}(V_k, \mathscr{W}) \cap V_l \supset W \cap V_l \neq \emptyset$, which contradicts $V_l \subset U - \bigcup_{i=1}^{l-1} \text{St}(V_i, \mathscr{W})$. Hence, the sequence $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is finite.

Let the above sequence be $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m\}$. We claim that $A \subset \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^m \text{St}(V_i, \mathscr{W})}$.

Otherwise, if there is a $y_0 \in A$ such that $y_0 \notin \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^m \text{St}(V_i, \mathscr{W})}$, then there exists an open neighborhood V of y_0 such that $V \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^m \text{St}(V_i, \mathscr{W}) = \emptyset$. Put $V_{m+1} = V \cap U$.

It is easy to see that $V_{m+1} \subset U - \bigcup_{i=1}^m \text{St}(V_i, \mathscr{W})$ and $V_{m+1} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction.

If $\mathscr{H} = \{H \in \mathscr{W} : H \cap V_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } i \leq m\}$, then \mathscr{H} is a finite subcollection of \mathscr{W} such that $Y \subset \overline{A} \subset \overline{\bigcup_{H \in \mathscr{H}} H} = \bigcup_{H \in \mathscr{H}} \overline{H}$. Since $\{\overline{W} : W \in \mathscr{W}\}$ refines \mathscr{U} , Y is compact in X .

The following example demonstrates that in Theorem 2.2 the condition $Y \subset \overline{\text{Int } Y}$ is necessary.

Example 2.1. There exists a Tychonoff space X and its subspace Y such that Y is strongly pseudocompact and metacompact (strongly metacompact) in X , but Y is not compact in X .

Proof. Let $X = [0, \omega_1) \times [0, \omega_1) - \{(0, 0)\}$. For each $\alpha \in (0, \omega_1)$, let $H_\alpha = [0, \omega_1) \times \{\alpha\}$ and $G_\alpha = \{\alpha\} \times [0, \omega_1)$. Define a topology on X as follows: for $\alpha \in (0, \omega_1)$, a neighborhood of $(0, \alpha)$ contains $(0, \alpha)$ and all but finitely many points of H_α . The neighborhood of $(\alpha, 0)$ contains $(\alpha, 0)$ and all but finitely many points of G_α . All other points of X are isolated. Let $Y = [(0, \omega_1) \times \{0\}] \cup [\{0\} \times (0, \omega_1)]$ with the subspace topology of X . \square

Claim 1. X is a Tychonoff space.

Clearly X is T_1 and X has a base consisting of open-and-closed sets.

Claim 2. Y is metacompact in X .

In fact, X is a metacompact space because any open cover of X has a natural open refinement \mathscr{V} such that each point x of X is in at most 2 members of \mathscr{V} . Thus Y is metacompact (strongly metacompact) in X .

Claim 3. Y is not compact in X .

Since the sets $A = \{(0, \alpha) : 0 < \alpha < \omega_1\}$ and $B = \{(\alpha, 0) : 0 < \alpha < \omega\}$ are two disjoint closed sets of X which can not be separated in X , Y is not normal in X (Y is said to be *normal in X* [3] if for any two disjoint closed subsets A and B of X there exist two disjoint open subsets U and V in X such that $A \cap Y \subset U$ and $B \cap Y \subset V$). By Theorem 5.1 of [1] (see also [3]), Y is not compact in X .

Claim 4. Y is strongly pseudocompact in X .

Let $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a collection of nonempty open subsets of X such that $V_i \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for each i . It suffices to prove that $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is not locally finite at some point of Y . Assume that $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is locally finite at each point of Y . Let $V_1^{(1)} = V_1$. Pick $y_1 \in V_1^{(1)} \cap Y$, then there are infinitely many members of $\{V_i\}_{i=2}^\infty$ which can not contain y_1 . Otherwise, $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is not locally finite at y_1 . Without loss generality, pick $V_1^{(2)}, V_2^{(2)}, \dots$ such that $y_1 \notin V_k^{(2)}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, where $V_k^{(2)} \in \{V_i\}_{i=2}^\infty$ for each k , and let B_{y_1} be a basic neighborhood of y_1 in X such that $B_{y_1} \subset V_1^{(1)}$. Pick $y_2 \in V_1^{(2)} \cap Y$, similarly there are infinitely many members of $\{V_i^{(2)}\}_{i=2}^\infty$ which can not contain y_2 . Otherwise, $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is not locally finite at y_2 . We pick $V_1^{(3)}, V_2^{(3)}, \dots$ such that $y_2 \notin V_k^{(3)}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, where $V_k^{(3)} \in \{V_i^{(2)}\}_{i=2}^\infty$ for each k , and let B_{y_2} be a basic neighborhood of y_2 in X such that $B_{y_2} \subset V_1^{(2)}$. Continuing the process, so we can obtain an infinite sequence of distinct points of Y : y_1, y_2, \dots such that $B_{y_n} \subset V_1^{(n)}$, where B_{y_n} is a basic neighborhood of y_n in X and $V_1^{(n)} \in \{V_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

We shall show that there is a $y_0 \in Y$ such that $\{B_{y_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is not locally finite at y_0 , and so $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is not locally finite at y_0 which contradicts our assumption. Clearly, there are infinitely many elements of $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ which are contained in $(0, \omega_1) \times \{0\}$ or $\{0\} \times (0, \omega_1)$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that there are infinitely many elements of $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ which are contained in $(0, \omega_1) \times \{0\}$ and denote these elements by $y_{n_1} = (\alpha_{n_1}, 0), y_{n_2} = (\alpha_{n_2}, 0), \dots$. Let

$$B_{y_{n_j}} = \{\alpha_{n_j}\} \times [0, \omega_1) \setminus \{(\alpha_{n_j}, \beta_1^{\alpha_{n_j}}), (\alpha_{n_j}, \beta_2^{\alpha_{n_j}}), \dots, (\alpha_{n_j}, \beta_{i_j}^{\alpha_{n_j}})\},$$

where $\beta_k^{\alpha_{n_j}} \in (0, \omega_1)$ for $1 \leq k \leq i_j$ and $i_j \in \mathbb{N}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Put

$$\beta = \sup\{\beta_1^{\alpha_{n_1}}, \dots, \beta_{i_1}^{\alpha_{n_1}}, \beta_1^{\alpha_{n_2}}, \dots, \beta_{i_2}^{\alpha_{n_2}}, \dots\}.$$

Pick $y_0 = (0, \beta + 1)$, then any neighborhood of y_0 meets infinitely many members of $\{B_{y_{n_j}}\}_{j=1}^\infty$. It follows that $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is not locally finite at y_0 . \square

Acknowledgment. The paper was written while the author was studying for a doctoral degree in Nanjing University. The author would like to thank Prof. Wei-Xue Shi for his kind help and valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] *A. V. Arhangel'skii*: From classic topological invariant to relative topological properties. *Sci. Math. Japon.* 55 (2001), 153–201.
- [2] *A. V. Arhangel'skii*: Location type properties: relative strong pseudocompactness. *Trudy Matem. Inst. RAN* 193 (1992), 28–30.
- [3] *A. V. Arhangel'skii, H. M. M. Genedi*: Beginning of the theory of relative topological properties. *General Topology: Space and Mapping*. MGU, Moscow, 1989, pp. 3–48. (In Russian.)
- [4] *R. Engelking*: *General Topology*. Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics. Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] *E. M. Grabner, G. C. Grabnor, K. Miyazaki*: On properties of relative metacompactness and paracompactness type. *Topol. Proc.* 25 (2000), 145–177.
- [6] *B. M. Scott*: Pseudocompact metacompact spaces are compact. *Topology, Proc. Conf.* 4 (1979), 577–587.

Author's address: G.-F. Zhang, College of Mathematics, Jilin Normal University, Siping, Jilin Province 136 000, P. R. China, e-mail: guofangzhang@126.com.