

Michal Fečkan

Higher dimensional Melnikov mappings

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 49 (1999), No. 1, 75--83

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136743>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## HIGHER DIMENSIONAL MELNIKOV MAPPINGS

MICHAL FEČKAN

(Communicated by Milan Medved')

ABSTRACT. Higher dimensional Melnikov mappings are introduced for detecting the existence of transversal homoclinic orbits of period maps of autonomous ordinary differential equations with periodic nonautonomous perturbations.

### 1. Introduction

In this note, we consider ordinary differential equations of the form

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + h(x, \mu, t) \tag{1.1}$$

with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . We make the following assumptions about (1.1):

- (i)  $f$  and  $h$  are  $C^3$  in all arguments.
- (ii)  $f(0) = 0$  and  $h(\cdot, 0, \cdot) = 0$ .
- (iii) The eigenvalues of  $Df(0)$  lie off the imaginary axis.
- (iv) The unperturbed equation has a homoclinic solution. That is, there exists a nonzero differentiable function  $t \mapsto \gamma(t)$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \gamma(t) = 0$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(t) = f(\gamma(t))$ .
- (v)  $h(x, \mu, t + 1) = h(x, \mu, t)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\Psi_\mu$  be the period map of (1.1), i.e.  $\Psi_\mu(x) = \phi_\mu(x, 1)$  where  $\phi_\mu(x, t)$  is the solution of (1.1) with initial condition  $\phi_\mu(x, 0) = x$ .

The purpose of this paper is to find a set of parameters  $\mu$  for which the periodic map  $\Psi_\mu$  of (1.1) has a transversal homoclinic orbit. For this purpose, higher dimensional Melnikov mappings are introduced. Simple zero points of these mappings give wedge-shaped region for  $\mu$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  where  $\Psi_\mu$  possesses

---

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 58F14, 58F30.

Key words: transversal homoclinic orbit, Melnikov mapping.

Supported by Grant GA-SAV 2/5133/98

transversal homoclinic orbits. This result is a generalization of [6] when  $\gamma$  is required to be nondegenerate and  $m = 1$ . The results of this paper are based on [2]–[4].

Finally we note that similar problems have been studied in [1] and also in [5] but by different methods by Joseph Gruendler whom the author thanks for some valuable discussions. The main difference between this paper and [5] is that by using methods from [2]–[4] for an appropriate nonlinear equation (see (2.3) below), we not only prove an existence result for homoclinic orbits of  $\Psi_\mu$ , which is omitted in [3] and [4] (Theorem 2.3 below does not follow from [4; Theorem 12]), but also we simultaneously establish the transversality of those orbits. In [5], another direct approach is developed for showing this transversality. Consequently, Theorem 2.3 below predicts the existence of transversal homoclinic orbits of  $\Psi_\mu$  and [4; Theorem 12] gives bounded solutions of (1.1).

## 2. Melnikov mappings

We begin by considering the unperturbed equation

$$\dot{x} = f(x). \quad (2.1)$$

For (2.1) we adopt the standard notation  $W^s$ ,  $W^u$  for the stable and unstable manifolds, respectively, at the origin and  $d_s = \dim(W^s)$ ,  $d_u = \dim(W^u)$ . Since  $x = 0$  is a hyperbolic equilibrium,  $\gamma$  must approach the origin along  $W^s$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and along  $W^u$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . Thus,  $\gamma$  lies on  $W^s \cap W^u$ .

By the variational equation along  $\gamma$  we mean the linear differential equation

$$\dot{u}(t) = Df(\gamma(t))u(t). \quad (2.2)$$

The next result is proved in [3; p. 706] and [4; Theorem 2].

**THEOREM 2.1.** *There exists a fundamental solution  $U$  for (2.2) together with constants  $M > 0$ ,  $K_0 > 0$  and four projections  $P_{ss}$ ,  $P_{su}$ ,  $P_{us}$ ,  $P_{uu}$  such that  $P_{ss} + P_{su} + P_{us} + P_{uu} = I$  and the following hold:*

- (i)  $|U(t)(P_{ss} + P_{us})U(s)^{-1}| \leq K_0 e^{2M(s-t)}$  for  $0 \leq s \leq t$ ,
- (ii)  $|U(t)(P_{su} + P_{uu})U(s)^{-1}| \leq K_0 e^{2M(t-s)}$  for  $0 \leq t \leq s$ ,
- (iii)  $|U(t)(P_{ss} + P_{su})U(s)^{-1}| \leq K_0 e^{2M(t-s)}$  for  $t \leq s \leq 0$ ,
- (iv)  $|U(t)(P_{us} + P_{uu})U(s)^{-1}| \leq K_0 e^{2M(s-t)}$  for  $s \leq t \leq 0$ .

*Also, there exists an integer  $d$  with  $\text{rank } P_{ss} = \text{rank } P_{uu} = d$ .*

In the language of exponential dichotomies ([6]), we see that Theorem 2.1 provides a two-sided exponential dichotomy. For  $t \rightarrow -\infty$  an exponential dichotomy is given by the fundamental solution  $U$  and the projection  $P_{us} + P_{uu}$  while for  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  a similar exponential dichotomy is given by  $U$  and  $P_{ss} + P_{us}$ .

Let  $u_j$  denote the  $j$ th column of  $U$  and assume these are numbered so that

$$P_{uu} = \begin{pmatrix} I_d & 0_d & 0 \\ 0_d & 0_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_{ss} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_d & 0_d & 0 \\ 0_d & I_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here,  $I_d$  denotes the  $d \times d$  identity matrix and  $0_d$  denotes the  $d \times d$  zero matrix.

For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we define  $u_i^\perp(t)$  by  $\langle u_i^\perp(t), u_j(t) \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the scalar product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The vectors  $u_i^\perp$  can be computed from the formula  $U^{\perp*} = U^{-1}$  where  $U^\perp$  denotes the matrix with  $u_j^\perp$  as column  $j$ . Differentiating  $UU^{\perp*} = I$  we obtain  $\dot{U}U^{\perp*} + U\dot{U}^{\perp*} = 0$  so that  $\dot{U}^\perp = -(U^{-1}\dot{U}U^{\perp*})^* = -Df(\gamma)^*U^\perp$ . Thus,  $U^\perp$  is the adjoint of  $U$ .

The function  $\dot{\gamma}$  is always a solution to the variational equation (2.2) and we may assume that  $u_{2d} = \dot{\gamma}$ , since  $\dot{\gamma}$  is a linear combination of the columns  $u_{d+1}$  to  $u_{2d}$  of  $U$  and a linear transformation of these columns preserves the projections.

Now we define the following Banach spaces

$$Z = \left\{ z \in C^0((-\infty, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n) \mid \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |z(t)| < \infty \right\},$$

$$Y = \left\{ z \in C^1((-\infty, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n) \mid z, \dot{z} \in Z \right\}.$$

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that  $f$  and  $h$  as well as all their partial derivatives up to order 3 are uniformly bounded over the whole spaces of definition.

We study the equation

$$F_{\mu, \varepsilon, y}(x) = \dot{x} - f(x) - h(x, \mu, t) - \varepsilon|\mu|L(x - y) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$F_{\mu, \varepsilon, y}: Y \rightarrow Z,$$

where  $L: Y \rightarrow Z$  is a linear continuous mapping such that  $\|L\| \leq 1$ ,  $y \in Y$  and  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  is small. It is clear that solutions of (2.3) near  $\gamma$  with  $\varepsilon = 0$  are homoclinic solutions of (1.1).

We make the change of variable in (2.3)

$$x(t) = \gamma(t - \alpha) + w(t), \quad \langle w(0), \dot{\gamma}^\perp(-\alpha) \rangle = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a given bounded open interval. We note that (2.4) defines a tubular neighbourhood of the manifold  $\{\gamma(t - \alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}}$  in  $Y$  when  $w$  is sufficiently small. Hence (2.3) has the form

$$G_{\alpha, \mu, \varepsilon, y}(w) = \dot{w} - f(\gamma(t - \alpha) + w) + f(\gamma(t - \alpha))$$

$$- h(\gamma(t - \alpha) + w, \mu, t) - \varepsilon|\mu|L(w + \gamma(t - \alpha) - y) = 0$$

$$G_{\alpha, \mu, \varepsilon, y}: Y \rightarrow Z.$$

We have

$$D_w G_{\alpha,0,0,y}(0)u = \dot{u} - Df(\gamma(t - \alpha))u.$$

By putting

$$U_\alpha(t) = U(t - \alpha), \quad U_\alpha^\perp(t) = U^\perp(t - \alpha),$$

Theorem 2.1 holds when  $U$  is replaced by  $U_\alpha$  and (2.2) by

$$\dot{u} = Df(\gamma(t - \alpha))u,$$

respectively, but  $K_0 > 0$  should be enlarged. We note that  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a given bounded open interval. Moreover, we put

$$\gamma_\alpha(t) = \gamma(t - \alpha), \quad u_{j,\alpha} = u_j(t - \alpha), \quad u_{j,\alpha}^\perp = u_j^\perp(t - \alpha).$$

Consequently, by putting

$$Q = \left\{ y \in Y \mid \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (|y(t)| + |\dot{y}(t)|) < \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (|\gamma(t)| + |\dot{\gamma}(t)|) + 1 \right\}$$

and by using the same approach as in [3; p. 709] and [4], there are open small neighborhoods  $0 \in O \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ ,  $0 \in V \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \in W \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  and a mapping

$$G \in C^3(Y \times O \times \mathcal{I} \times W \times V \times Q, Z)$$

such that any solution of (2.3) near  $\gamma_\alpha$  for  $\mu \in W$ ,  $\varepsilon \in V$ ,  $y \in Q$  is determined by the equation  $G(z, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \varepsilon, y) = 0$  and this solution has the form

$$x = \gamma_\alpha + z, \quad P_{ss} U_\alpha^{-1}(0) \left( z(0) - \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \beta_j u_{j+d,\alpha}(0) \right) = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{d-1})$ . We remark that  $\{u_{j,\alpha}(0)\}_{j=1}^n$  are linearly independent,  $u_{2d,\alpha}(0) = \dot{\gamma}_\alpha(0) = \dot{\gamma}(-\alpha)$ ; also

$$\{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle v, \dot{\gamma}^\perp(-\alpha) \rangle = 0\} = \text{span} \{ \{u_{j,\alpha}(0)\}_{j=1}^n \setminus \{u_{2d,\alpha}(0)\} \},$$

and

$$0 = P_{ss} U_\alpha^{-1}(0)w = P_{ss} U_\alpha^{\perp*}(0)w \iff \forall 1 \leq i \leq d \quad \langle u_{j+d,\alpha}^\perp(0), w \rangle = 0.$$

Hence (2.4) and (2.5) provide a suitable decomposition of any  $x$  in (2.3) near the manifold  $\{\gamma(t - \alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}}$ .

Now by using the Lyapunov-Schmidt procedure (see again [3; p. 709] and [4; Theorem 8]), the study of the equation  $G(z, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \varepsilon, y) = 0$  can be expressed in the following theorem for  $z$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\beta$  small,  $y \in Q$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $U$  and  $d$  be as in Theorem 2.1. Then there exist small neighborhoods  $0 \in O_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ ,  $0 \in W_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $0 \in V_1 \subset \mathbb{R}$  and a  $C^3$  function  $H: Q \times O_1 \times \mathcal{I} \times W_1 \times V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  denoted  $(y, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \varepsilon) \mapsto H(y, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \varepsilon)$  with the following properties:*

(i) *The equation  $H(y, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \varepsilon) = 0$  holds if and only if (2.3) has a solution near  $\gamma_\alpha$  and moreover, each such  $(y, \beta, \alpha, \mu, \varepsilon)$  determines a unique solution of (2.3),*

(ii)  $H(y, 0, \alpha, 0, 0) = 0$ ,

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H_i}{\partial \mu_j}(y, 0, \alpha, 0, 0) &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle u_{i,\alpha}^\perp(t), \frac{\partial h}{\partial \mu_j}(\gamma_\alpha(t), 0, t) \rangle dt \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle u_i^\perp(t), \frac{\partial h}{\partial \mu_j}(\gamma(t), 0, t + \alpha) \rangle dt, \end{aligned}$$

(iv)  $\frac{\partial H_i}{\partial \beta_j}(y, 0, \alpha, 0, 0) = 0$ ,

(v)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 H_i}{\partial \beta_k \partial \beta_j}(y, 0, \alpha, 0, 0) &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle u_{i,\alpha}^\perp, D^2 f(\gamma_\alpha) u_{d+j,\alpha} u_{d+k,\alpha} \rangle dt \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle u_i^\perp, D^2 f(\gamma) u_{d+j} u_{d+k} \rangle dt. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce the following notations.

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}(\alpha) &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle u_i^\perp(t), \frac{\partial h}{\partial \mu_j}(\gamma(t), 0, t + \alpha) \rangle dt, \\ b_{ijk} &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle u_i^\perp, D^2 f(\gamma) u_{d+j} u_{d+k} \rangle dt. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we take the mapping  $M_\mu: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  defined by

$$(M_\mu(\alpha, \beta))_i = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}(\alpha) \mu_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{d-1} b_{ijk} \beta_j \beta_k.$$

Now we can state the main result of this note.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $d > 1$ . If  $M_{\mu_0}$  has a simple zero point  $(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ , i.e.,  $(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$  satisfies  $M_{\mu_0}(\alpha_0, \beta_0) = 0$  and  $D_{(\alpha, \beta)}M_{\mu_0}(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$  is a regular matrix, then there is a wedge-shaped region in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  for  $\mu$  of the form*

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ s^2 \tilde{\mu} \mid s \text{ is from a small open neighborhood of } 0 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and} \right. \\ \left. \tilde{\mu} \text{ is from a small open neighborhood of } \mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m \right. \\ \left. \text{satisfying } |\tilde{\mu}| = |\mu_0| \right\}$$

*such that for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , the period map  $\Psi_\mu$  of the equation (1.1) possesses a transversal homoclinic orbit.*

**P r o o f.** Let us take  $\mathcal{I} = (\alpha_0 - 1, \alpha_0 + 1)$  and let us consider the mapping defined by

$$\Phi(y, \tilde{\beta}, \alpha, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\varepsilon}, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{s^2} H(y, s\tilde{\beta}, \alpha, s^2\tilde{\mu}, s^3\tilde{\varepsilon}) & \text{for } s \neq 0, \\ M_{\tilde{\mu}}(\alpha, \tilde{\beta}) & \text{for } s = 0. \end{cases}$$

According to (ii)–(v) of Theorem 2.2, the mapping  $\Phi$  is  $C^1$  smooth near

$$(y, \tilde{\beta}, \alpha, \tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\varepsilon}, s) = (y, \beta_0, \alpha_0, \mu_0, 0, 0), \quad y \in Q$$

with respect to the variables  $\tilde{\beta}, \alpha$ . Since

$$M_{\mu_0}(\alpha_0, \beta_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_{(\alpha, \beta)}M_{\mu_0}(\alpha_0, \beta_0) \text{ is a regular matrix,}$$

we can apply the implicit function theorem to find a local and unique solution of the equation  $\Phi = 0$  in the variables  $\tilde{\beta}, \alpha$ , where  $\tilde{\mu}$  is near  $\tilde{\mu}_0$  satisfying  $|\tilde{\mu}| = |\mu_0|$ . By (i) of Theorem 2.2, this gives for  $\varepsilon = 0$  the existence of  $\mathcal{R}$  on which  $\Psi_\mu$  has a homoclinic orbit. Moreover, we may suppose that the corresponding solutions of (2.3) lie in  $Q$ .

To prove the transversality of these homoclinic orbits, we fix  $\mu \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and take

$$y = \tilde{\gamma},$$

where  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is the solution of (2.3) for which the transversality of the corresponding homoclinic orbit of  $\Psi_\mu$  must be proved. Then we vary  $\varepsilon = s^3\tilde{\varepsilon}$  sufficiently small. Note that  $s \neq 0$  is also fixed because  $\mu = s^2\tilde{\mu}$  and also  $|\tilde{\mu}| = |\mu_0|$ . Since the local uniqueness of solutions of (2.3) close to  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is satisfied for any  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$  sufficiently small according to the above application of the implicit function theorem, such equation (2.3) (with fixed  $\mu \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\varepsilon = s^3\tilde{\varepsilon}$  where  $s \neq 0$  is also fixed and special  $y = \tilde{\gamma}$ ) has the unique solution  $x = \tilde{\gamma}$  near  $\tilde{\gamma}$  for any  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$  sufficiently small.

Hence [2; Theorem] gives the invertibility of  $DF_{\mu, 0, \tilde{\gamma}}(\tilde{\gamma})$  and so the only bounded solution on  $\mathbb{R}$  of the equation

$$\dot{v} = Df(\tilde{\gamma})v + D_x h(\tilde{\gamma}, \mu, t)v$$

is  $v = 0$ . Then [6; Corollary 3.6] implies the transversality of these homoclinic orbits of  $\Psi_\mu$  for  $\mu \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.**

a) If  $M_{\mu_0}$  has a simple zero point  $(\alpha_0, \beta_0)$ , then  $M_{r^2\mu_0}$  also has a simple zero point at  $(\alpha_0, r\beta_0)$  for any  $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

b) If  $d = 1$ , then we take the function  $M_\mu(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{1j}(\alpha)\mu_j$ , which is the usual Melnikov function. So for any simple zero  $\alpha_0$  of  $M_{\mu_0}(\alpha) = 0$ , when  $\mu_0$  is fixed, there is a two-sided wedge-shaped region in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  for  $\mu$  of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = \{s\tilde{\mu} \mid s \text{ is from a small open neighborhood of } 0 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and} \\ \tilde{\mu} \text{ is from a small open neighborhood of } \mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m \\ \text{satisfying } |\tilde{\mu}| = |\mu_0|\} \end{aligned}$$

such that for any  $\mu \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , the period map  $\Psi_\mu$  of the equation (1.1) possesses a transversal homoclinic orbit.

### 3. An example

We complete this note with the following example. Consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x} &= x - 2xz^2 + \dot{x}^2 + \mu_1 \cos \omega t - \mu_2 z, \\ \ddot{y} &= y - 2yz^2 + \dot{y}^2, \\ \ddot{z} &= z - 2z^3 + y\dot{y} + \mu_1 \cos \omega t + (\mu_2 - \mu_1)\dot{z}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

This equation is studied in Example 1 of [4]. In the space  $(x, \dot{x}, y, \dot{y}, z, \dot{z})$ , the eigenvalues of  $Df(0)$  are  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = -1$ ,  $\lambda_4 = \lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = 1$ . When  $\mu = 0$  a homoclinic solution is given by  $x = 0$ ,  $y = 0$ ,  $z = r$ , i.e.  $\gamma = (0, 0, 0, 0, r, \dot{r})$  where  $r(t) = \text{sech } t$ . Note  $\ddot{r} = r - r^3$  and  $\ddot{z} = z - 2z^3$  is the familiar Duffing's equation.

In Example 5 of [3],  $d = 3$  and

$$\begin{aligned} u_1^\perp &= (-\dot{r}, r, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\ u_2^\perp &= (0, 0, -\dot{r}, r, 0, 0), \\ u_3^\perp &= (0, 0, 0, 0, -\ddot{r}, \dot{r}). \end{aligned}$$

Using these results, we easily get

$$M_\mu(\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2) = \begin{cases} a_{11}(\alpha)\mu_1 + 2\mu_2 - \frac{\pi}{8}\beta_1^2, \\ -\frac{\pi}{8}\beta_1\beta_2, \\ a_{31}(\alpha)\mu_1 - \frac{2}{3}\mu_2 - \frac{\pi}{8}\beta_2^2, \end{cases}$$



where

$$a_{11}(\alpha) = -\pi \cos \omega \alpha \operatorname{sech} \frac{\pi \omega}{2}, \quad a_{31}(\alpha) = \frac{2}{3} - \pi \omega \sin \omega \alpha \operatorname{sech} \frac{\pi \omega}{2}.$$

There are the following solutions of  $M_\mu(\alpha, \beta) = 0$  (see Remark 2.4a)

$$\beta(\alpha) = \left( \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}(a_{11} + 3a_{31})}, 0 \right), \quad \mu(\alpha) = \left( 1, \frac{3}{2}a_{31} \right), \quad (\text{i})$$

$$\beta(\alpha) = \left( 0, \sqrt{\frac{8}{3\pi}(a_{11} + 3a_{31})} \right), \quad \mu(\alpha) = \left( 1, -\frac{1}{2}a_{11} \right). \quad (\text{ii})$$

The linearization  $D_{(\alpha, \beta)} M_\mu(\alpha, \beta)$  at the points (i) is

$$\begin{pmatrix} a'_{11} & -\frac{\pi}{4} \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}(a_{11} + 3a_{31})} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\pi}{8} \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}(a_{11} + 3a_{31})} \\ a'_{31} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and at all points (ii) has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a'_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\pi}{8} \sqrt{\frac{8}{3\pi}(a_{11} + 3a_{31})} & 0 \\ a'_{31} & 0 & -\frac{\pi}{4} \sqrt{\frac{8}{3\pi}(a_{11} + 3a_{31})} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} (a_{11}(\alpha) + 3a_{31}(\alpha)) = 2$ , we see for  $\omega$  sufficiently large that points (i), respectively (ii), are simple zero points when  $\alpha \neq \frac{\pi(2k+1)}{2\omega}$ ,  $k = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ , respectively  $\alpha \neq \frac{\pi k}{\omega}$ ,  $k = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ .

Hence for  $\omega$  sufficiently large, there are two small open wedge-shaped regions in the  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$  plane with the limit slopes given by

$$1 \pm \frac{3}{2} \pi \omega \operatorname{sech} \frac{\pi \omega}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \pm \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{sech} \frac{\pi \omega}{2}$$

containing parameters for which the period map of (3.1) possesses a transversal homoclinic orbit near  $\gamma$ . Since according to the above results the limit slopes correspond to nonsimple zero points of  $M_\mu(\alpha, \beta)$ , the intersection of the closures of these wedge-shaped regions with their limit slopes is by Theorem 2.3 the set  $\{(0, 0)\}$ . On the other hand, for any slope included between these limit slopes, there is a curve tangent to this slope on which by [4; Example 1] the period map of (3.1) has a homoclinic orbit.

## HIGHER DIMENSIONAL MELNIKOV MAPPINGS

### REFERENCES

- [1] BATTELLI, F.—LAZZARI, C.: *Exponential dichotomies, heteroclinic orbits, and Melnikov functions*, J. Differential Equations **86** (1990), 342–366.
- [2] FEČKAN, M.: *Note on a local invertibility*, Math. Slovaca **46** (1996), 285–289.
- [3] GRUENDLER, J.: *Homoclinic solutions for autonomous dynamical systems in arbitrary dimensions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **23** (1992), 702–721.
- [4] GRUENDLER, J.: *Homoclinic solutions for autonomous ordinary differential equations with nonautonomous perturbations*, J. Differential Equations **122** (1995), 1–26.
- [5] GRUENDLER, J.: *The existence of transverse homoclinic solutions for higher order equations*, J. Differential Equations **130** (1996), 307–320.
- [6] PALMER, K. J.: *Exponential dichotomies and transversal homoclinic points*, J. Differential Equations **55** (1984), 225–256.

Received May 24, 1996

Revised September 10, 1996

*Department of Mathematical Analysis  
Comenius University  
Mlynská dolina  
SK-842 15 Bratislava  
SLOVAKIA  
E-mail: Michal.Feckan@fmph.uniba.sk*

*Mathematical Institute  
Slovak Academy of Sciences  
Štefánikova 49  
SK-814 73 Bratislava  
SLOVAKIA*