

Ivan Chajda

Directly decomposable congruences in varieties with nullary operations

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 37 (1987), No. 1, 31--35

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136436>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

DIRECTLY DECOMPOSABLE CONGRUENCES IN VARIETIES WITH NULLARY OPERATIONS

IVAN CHAJDA

A class of algebras \mathcal{C} has *directly decomposable congruences* if for any two algebras $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{C}$ and each congruence $\Theta \in \text{Con}(\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{B})$ there exist congruences $\Theta_1 \in \text{Con}(\mathfrak{A})$, $\Theta_2 \in \text{Con}(\mathfrak{B})$ such that $\Theta = \Theta_1 \times \Theta_2$. Varieties of algebras with directly decomposable congruences were characterized by a rather complicated Mal'cev condition in [4]. A simpler Mal'cev condition characterizing direct decomposability of congruences in the case of permutable or 3-permutable variety can be found in [2] or [3]. The aim of this paper is to show how the original Mal'cev condition (derived by G. A. Fraser and A. Horn) can be simplified in the case of a variety with a nullary operation and what other varieties can satisfy the modified definition of decomposability.

It was proved in [4] that a class \mathcal{C} has directly decomposable congruences if and only if for any two $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{C}$ and any $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{A}$, $b_1, b_2 \in \mathfrak{B}$,

$$\Theta([a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2]) = \Theta(a_1, a_2) \times \Theta(b_1, b_2).$$

In other words, \mathcal{C} has directly decomposable congruences if and only if it has directly decomposable principal congruences. This property is used in the next definition:

Definition. Let \mathcal{C} be a class of algebras of the same type containing the nullary operation c . \mathcal{C} has *c-directly decomposable congruences* if for each $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{C}$ and each $x_1 \in \mathfrak{A}$, $x_2 \in \mathfrak{B}$,

$$\Theta([c, c], [x_1, x_2]) = \Theta(c, x_1) \times \Theta(c, x_2).$$

Lemma. Let \mathcal{V} be a variety with a nullary operation c . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) \mathcal{V} has *c-directly decomposable congruences*;
- (b) for every $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{V}$ and each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, $b, d \in \mathfrak{B}$,

$$\langle [c, d], [a, d] \rangle \in \Theta([c, c], [a, b]).$$

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Since

$$\langle [c, d], [a, d] \rangle \in \Theta(c, a) \times \omega_B \subseteq \Theta(c, a) \times \Theta(c, b) = \Theta([c, c], [a, b]),$$

the implication (a) \Rightarrow (b) is evident.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): Apply (b) onto $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathfrak{A}$; we obtain

$$\langle [c, e], [b, e] \rangle \in \Theta([c, c], [b, a]).$$

Using the canonical homomorphism $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{B}$, we have immediately

$$\langle [e, c], [e, b] \rangle \in \Theta([c, c], [a, b]).$$

From it and (b) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(c, a) \times \omega_B &\subseteq \Theta([c, c], [a, b]) \\ \omega_A \times \Theta(c, b) &\subseteq \Theta([c, c], [a, b]). \end{aligned}$$

The transitivity implies

$$\Theta(c, a) \times \Theta(c, b) \subseteq \Theta([c, c], [a, b]).$$

The converse inclusion is evident.

Theorem. Let \mathcal{V} be a variety with a nullary operation c . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{V} has c -directly decomposable congruences;
- (2) there exist $(2 + n)$ -ary polynomials p_1, \dots, p_m , unary polynomials q_1, \dots, q_n and binary polynomials r_1, \dots, r_n such that

$$\begin{aligned} c &= p_1(c, x, q_1(x), \dots, q_n(x)) \\ x &= p_m(x, c, q_1(x), \dots, q_n(x)) \\ p_i(x, c, q_1(x), \dots, q_n(x)) &= p_{i+1}(c, x, q_1(x), \dots, q_n(x)) \\ &\quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m-1 \\ z &= p_1(c, y, r_1(y, z), \dots, r_n(y, z)) \\ z &= p_m(y, c, r_1(y, z), \dots, r_n(y, z)) = \\ &\quad p_{i+1}(c, y, r_1(c, y, r_1(y, z)), \dots, r_n(y, z)) \\ &\quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m-1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let \mathcal{V} be a variety with a nullary operation c which has c -directly decomposable congruences. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{F}_1(x)$ or $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{F}_2(y, z)$ be free algebras in \mathcal{V} with free generators x or y, z , respectively. By the Lemma, we have clearly

$$\langle [c, z], [x, z] \rangle \in \Theta([c, c], [x, y]).$$

Then, by the Malcev Lemma (see, e. g., [5]), there exist $(2 + n)$ -ary polynomials p_1, \dots, p_m such that

$$\begin{aligned} [c, z] &= p_1([c, c], [x, y], v_1, \dots, v_n) \\ [x, z] &= p_m([x, y], [c, c], v_1, \dots, v_n) \\ p_i([x, y], [c, c], v_1, \dots, v_n) &= p_{i+1}([c, c], [x, y], v_1, \dots, v_n) \\ &\text{for } i = 1, \dots, m - 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $v_i \in \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{F}_1(x) \times \mathfrak{F}_2(y, z)$. Hence, there exist unary polynomials q_i and binary polynomials r_i such that

$$v_i = [q_i(x), r_i(y, z)].$$

Putting these terms instead of v_i into the foregoing identities, we obtain (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let \mathcal{V} be a variety with a nullary operation c and satisfying identities (2). Let $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $b, d \in \mathfrak{B}$. Putting a, b, d into (2), we obtain

$$\langle [c, d], [a, d] \rangle \in \mathcal{O}([c, c], [a, b]).$$

By the Lemma, this implies (1).

Clearly, every variety \mathcal{V} with a nullary operation c which has directly decomposable congruences has also c -directly decomposable congruences. The following example shows that there are also varieties having c -directly decomposable congruences but have not directly decomposable congruences.

Example 1. Let \mathcal{V} be a *variety of join semilattices* with a nullary operation 0 (the lest element). Then \mathcal{V} has 0 -directly decomposable congruences.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We can put } n = m = 2 \text{ and } p_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) &= x_1 \vee x_3 \\ p_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) &= x_2 \vee x_4, \end{aligned}$$

$$q_1(x) = 0, q_2(x) = x, r_1(y, z) = r_2(y, z) = z.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(0, x, q_1(x), q_2(x)) &= 0 \vee 0 = 0 \\ p_2(x, 0, q_1(x), q_2(x)) &= 0 \vee x = x \\ p_1(x, 0, q_1(x), q_2(x)) &= x \vee 0 = x = p_2(0, x, q_1(x), q_2(x)) \\ p_1(0, y, r_1(y, z), r_2(y, z)) &= 0 \vee z = z \\ p_2(y, 0, r_1(y, z), r_2(y, z)) &= 0 \vee z = z \\ p_1(y, 0, r_1(y, z), r_2(y, z)) &= y \vee z = p_2(0, y, r_1(y, z), r_2(y, z)). \end{aligned}$$

On the contrary, the variety \mathcal{V} has not directly decomposable congruences. It can be easily shown if we take, e.g., the two-element join semilattice $\mathfrak{S} = \{0, x\}$ and put $\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{S} \times \mathfrak{S}$. Then clearly the principal congruence $\Theta = \Theta([0, x], [x, 0])$ on \mathfrak{E} is not directly decomposable.

Example 2. Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of *implication algebras*, i.e. the variety with one binary and one nullary operation denoted by \cdot and 1 , satisfying the identities

$$\begin{aligned}(a \cdot b) \cdot a &= a \\ (a \cdot b) \cdot b &= (b \cdot a) \cdot a \\ a \cdot (b \cdot c) &= b \cdot (a \cdot c) \\ a \cdot a &= 1,\end{aligned}$$

see, e.g., [1]. Put $n = m = 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}p_1(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) &= x_1 \cdot x_3, & p_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) &= x_2 \cdot x_4 \\ q_1(x) &= 1, & q_2(x) &= x, & r_1(y, z) &= r_2(y, z) = z.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned}p_1(1, x, q_1(x), q_2(x)) &= 1 \cdot 1 = 1 \\ p_1(x, 1, q_1(x), q_2(x)) &= x \cdot 1 = 1 = x \cdot x = p_2(1, x, q_1(x), q_2(x)) \\ p_2(x, 1, q_1(x), q_2(x)) &= 1 \cdot x = x\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}p_1(1, y, r_1(y, z), r_2(y, z)) &= 1 \cdot z = z \\ p_1(y, 1, r_1(y, z), r_2(y, z)) &= y \cdot z = p_2(1, y, r_1(y, z), r_2(y, z)) \\ p_2(y, 1, r_1(y, z), r_2(y, z)) &= 1 \cdot z = z.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, \mathcal{V} has 1-directly decomposable congruences. We can show that \mathcal{V} has in general no directly decomposable congruences. Take the three-element implication algebra $\mathfrak{I} = \{a, b, 1\}$ with $a \cdot b = b$, $b \cdot a = a$, $1 \cdot x = x$ and $x \cdot y = 1$ for any other combination of $x, y \in \{a, b, 1\}$. Put $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{I} \times \mathfrak{I}$ and let $\Theta = \Theta([a, 1], [1, b])$. Clearly Θ is not directly decomposable.

REFERENCES

- [1] ABBOT, J. C.: Semi-Boolean Algebra, *Matem. Vestnik*, 4 (19), 1967, 177—198.
- [2] CHAJDA, I.: Direct decomposability of congruences in congruence-permutable varieties, *Math. Slovaca* 32, 1982, 93—96.
- [3] DUDA, J.: 3-permutable and directly decomposable congruences, *Glasnik Matem. (Zagreb)*, to appear.
- [4] FRASER, G. A., HORN, A.: Congruence relations in direct products, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 26, 1970, 390—394.
- [5] GRÄTZER, G.: *Universal Algebra*, 2nd edition, Springer 1979

Received October 16, 1984

*Třída Lidových milicí 22
750 00 Přerov*

ПРЯМОЕ РОЗЛОЖЕНИЕ КОНГРУЭНЦИЙ В МНОГООБРАЗИЯХ С НУЛЯРНЫМИ ОПЕРАЦИЯМИ

Ivan Chajda

Резюме

Дается условие Мальцева для многообразия \mathcal{V} с нулярной операцией c , удовлетворяющие следующему условию для главных конгруэнций:

$$\Theta(c, x) = \Theta_1 \times \Theta_2 \quad (\Theta_1 \in \text{Con}(\mathfrak{A}), \Theta_2 \in \text{Con}(\mathfrak{B}))$$

для каждого элемента $x \in \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{B}$ и любых $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{V}$.