

Ján Borsík; Jozef Doboš

On a product of metric spaces

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 31 (1981), No. 2, 193--205

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136266>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON A PRODUCT OF METRIC SPACES

JÁN BORSÍK—JOZEF DOBOŠ

Introduction

There is a natural way of introducing an algebraic structure on a product of algebraic structures of the same type. For example, if $(A, +)$ and (B, \cdot) are groups, then $(A \times B, *)$, where $(a_1, b_1) * (a_2, b_2) = (a_1 + a_2, b_1 \cdot b_2)$ is a group as well. The application of this method to a collection $\{(A_t, d_t)\}_{t \in T}$ of metric spaces yields a mapping $(\varrho_d(x, y))(t) = d_t(x(t), y(t))$ which need not be a metric on $\prod_{t \in T} A_t$, since its values are in R^T . However, a metric can be obtained from that mapping by composing it with a suitable $f: R^T \rightarrow R$. In fact, the usual metrics on product spaces (as $\sqrt{\varrho^2 + \sigma^2}$, $\max(\varrho, \sigma)$, $\varrho + \sigma$, the Fréchet metric) can all be described in this way. Therefore it seems useful to investigate the set $\mathcal{M}(T)$ of all such mappings $f: R^T \rightarrow R$. A subset of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ (consisting of all nonnegative, monotone, subadditive mappings vanishing exactly at the constant zero function) is studied in [2]. In [1], the set $\mathcal{M}(T)$ is described in the special case when T has only one element. In the present paper we give a complete characterization of $\mathcal{M}(T)$ in the general case when T is an arbitrary set, and establish a necessary and sufficient condition for $f \circ \varrho_d$ to metrize the product topology. Theorem 2.11 was inspired by a suggestion of T. Šalát.

1. Preliminary considerations

1.1. Definition. Let T be a set. Let $d = (d_t)_{t \in T}$ be a collection of mappings $d_t: A_t^2 \rightarrow B_t$, where $(A_t)_{t \in T}$, $(B_t)_{t \in T}$ are collections of sets. Define a mapping $\varrho_d: \left(\prod_{t \in T} A_t\right)^2 \rightarrow \prod_{t \in T} B_t$ by $(\varrho_d(x, y))(t) = d_t(x(t), y(t))$ for each $x, y \in \prod_{t \in T} A_t$, $t \in T$. Define a mapping $\sigma_d: \left(\prod_{t \in T} A_t\right)^3 \rightarrow \left(\prod_{t \in T} B_t\right)^3$ by $\sigma_d(x, y, z) = (\varrho_d(x, y), \varrho_d(x, z), \varrho_d(y, z))$.

$\varrho_a(y, z)$ for each $x, y, z \in \prod_{i \in T} A_i$. Denote $E_a = \left\{ \varrho_a(x, x) : x \in \prod_{i \in T} A_i \right\}$, and $F_a = \left\{ \varrho_a(x, y) : x, y \in \prod_{i \in T} A_i, x \neq y \right\}$.

1.2. Theorem. Let $B \supset \text{Im } \varrho_a$ be a set (where $\text{Im } f = \{f(x) : x \in X\}$ for each mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$). Let $f: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f \circ \varrho_a$ is a metric if and only if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $E_a \cap F_a = \emptyset$,
- (2) $\forall x \in \text{Im } \varrho_a : f(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in E_a$,
- (3) $\forall x, y, z \in \text{Im } \varrho_a : (x, y, z) \in \text{Im } \sigma_a \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(y) + f(z)$.

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that $a \in E_a \cap F_a$. Then $\exists x, y, z \in \prod_{i \in T} A_i, y \neq z : \varrho_a(x, x) = a = \varrho_a(y, z)$, therefore $0 = (f \circ \varrho_a)(x, x) = f(\varrho_a(x, x)) - f(\varrho_a(y, z)) = (f \circ \varrho_a)(y, z)$, a contradiction. This shows that $E_a \cap F_a = \emptyset$. Let $x \in \text{Im } \varrho_a$. Then $\exists a, b \in \prod_{i \in T} A_i : x = \varrho_a(a, b)$, therefore $0 = f(x) = f(\varrho_a(a, b)) - (f \circ \varrho_a)(a, b) \Leftrightarrow a = b \Leftrightarrow x = \varrho_a(a, b) \in E_a$.

Let $(x, y, z) \in \text{Im } \sigma_a$. Then $\exists a, b, c \in \prod_{i \in T} A_i : x = \varrho_a(a, b), y = \varrho_a(a, c), z = \varrho_a(b, c)$, hence $f(x) = f(\varrho_a(a, b)) = (f \circ \varrho_a)(a, b) \leq (f \circ \varrho_a)(a, c) + (f \circ \varrho_a)(b, c) = f(y) + f(z)$.

Sufficiency. Let $x, y \in \prod_{i \in T} A_i$. Then $0 = (f \circ \varrho_a)(x, y) - f(\varrho_a(x, y)) \Leftrightarrow \varrho_a(x, y) \in E_a \Leftrightarrow x = y$. Let $x, y, z \in \prod_{i \in T} A_i$. Then $(x, y, z) \in \text{Im } \sigma_a$, hence $(f \circ \varrho_a)(x, y) = f(\varrho_a(x, y)) \leq f(\varrho_a(x, z)) + f(\varrho_a(y, z)) = (f \circ \varrho_a)(x, z) + (f \circ \varrho_a)(y, z)$.

1.3. Corollary. Let $h = (h_i)_{i \in T}$ be a collection of mappings $h_i : C_i \rightarrow D_i$, where $(C_i)_{i \in T}, (D_i)_{i \in T}$ are collections of sets. Let $E_h = E_a, E_h \cap F_h = \emptyset, \text{Im } \varrho_h \subset \text{Im } \varrho_a, \text{Im } \sigma_h \subset \text{Im } \sigma_a$. Let $B \supset \text{Im } \varrho_a$ be a set. Let $f: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping such that $f \circ \varrho_a$ is a metric. Then $f \circ \varrho_h$ is a metric.

1.4. Proposition. Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be mappings, where $A, B \supset \text{Im } \varrho_a$. Define a mapping $f+g: (A \cap B) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ for each $x \in A \cap B$. Define a mapping $\max(f, g): (A \cap B) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\max(f, g)(x) = \max(f(x), g(x))$ for each $x \in A \cap B$. Let $f \circ \varrho_a$ and $g \circ \varrho_a$ be metrics. Then $(f+g) \circ \varrho_a, \max(f, g) \circ \varrho_a$ are metrics.

Proof. Let $x \in \text{Im } \varrho_a$. Then $0 = (f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) - f(x) - 0 = g(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in E_a$. Let $(x, y, z) \in \text{Im } \sigma_a$. Then $(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) \leq f(y) + f(z) + g(y) + g(z) = (f+g)(y) + (f+g)(z)$. Then by 1.2, $(f+g) \circ \varrho_a$ is a metric.

Let $x \in \text{Im } \varrho_d$. Then $0 = (\max(f, g))(x) = \max(f(x), g(x)) \Leftrightarrow f(x) = 0 \& g(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in E_d$. Let $(x, y, z) \in \text{Im } \sigma_d$. Then $f(x) \leq f(y) + f(z) \leq \max(f(y), g(y)) + \max(f(z), g(z))$, i.e. $(\max(f, g))(x) = \max(f(x), g(x)) \leq \max(f(y), g(y)) + \max(f(z), g(z)) = (\max(f, g))(y) + (\max(f, g))(z)$. Then by 1.2, $\max(f, g)$ is a metric.

1.5. Proposition. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of mappings $f_i: C_i \rightarrow R$, where $C_i \supset \text{Im } \varrho_d$. Let $\{f_i(x)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ converge for each $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$. Define a mapping $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i: \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \rightarrow R$ by $(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i)(x) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i(x)$ for each $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$. Let $\forall x \in F_d: (\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i)(x) \neq 0$. Let $f_i \circ \varrho_d$ be a metric for every $i \in N$. Then $(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i) \circ \varrho_d$ is a metric.

Proof. Let $(x, y, z) \in \text{Im } \sigma_d$. Then $(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i)(x) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i(x) \leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (f_i(y) + f_i(z)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i(y) + \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i(z) = (\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i)(y) + (\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i)(z)$. Then by 1.2, $(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i) \circ \varrho_d$ is a metric.

1.6. Corollary. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i$ be a series of functions $f_i: C_i \rightarrow R$, where $C_i \supset \text{Im } \varrho_d$. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)$ be convergent for each $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$. Let $f_i \circ \varrho_d$ be a metric for all $i \in N$. Then $(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i) \circ \varrho_d = (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i) \circ \varrho_d$ is a metric.

Proof. By 1.4 $(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i) \circ \varrho_d$ is a metric for any $n \in N$. Let $x \in F_d$. Then $\forall i \in N: f_i(x) > 0$, therefore $\forall n \in N: \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \geq f_1(x)$, i.e. $(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \geq f_1(x) > 0$. Then by 1.5 $(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i) \circ \varrho_d$ is a metric.

1.7. Proposition. Let $f = (f_t)_{t \in I}$ be a collection of functions $f_t: C_t \rightarrow R$, where $C_t \supset \text{Im } \varrho_d$ and $I \neq \emptyset$. Let the set $A_x = \{f_t(x): t \in I\}$ be bounded above for each $x \in \bigcap_{t \in I} C_t$. Define a function $\sup f: \bigcap_{t \in I} C_t \rightarrow R$ by $(\sup f)(x) = \sup A_x$ for each $x \in \bigcap_{t \in I} C_t$. Let $f_t \circ \varrho_d$ be a metric for every $t \in I$. Then $(\sup f) \circ \varrho_d$ is a metric.

Proof. Let $x \in F_d$. Then $\forall t \in I: f_t(x) > 0$, thus $A_x \subset (0, \infty)$, i.e. $\sup A_x > 0$. Hence $\forall x \in \text{Im } \varrho_d: (\sup f)(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in E_d$. Let $(x, y, z) \in \text{Im } \sigma_d$. Then $\forall t \in I: f_t(x) \leq f_t(y) + f_t(z) \leq \sup A_y + \sup A_z$. Then by 1.2 it follows that $(\sup f) \circ \varrho_d$ is a metric.

2. Characterization of $\mathcal{M}(T)$

2.1. Definition. Let T be a nonempty set. Suppose R^T is ordered coordinate-wise, i.e. $x \leq y$ ($x < y$) if and only if $x(t) \leq y(t)$ ($x(t) < y(t)$) for each $x, y \in R^T$, $t \in T$. Define a function $\Theta: T \rightarrow R$ by $\Theta(t) = 0$ for each $t \in T$. Denote $T^+ = \{x \in R^T: x \geq \Theta\}$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}(T)$ the set of all functions $f: T^+ \rightarrow R$ such that $f \circ \varrho_d$ is a metric for every collection of metrics $d = (d_t)_{t \in T}$.

2.2. Proposition. Let $f: T^+ \rightarrow R$ be a function such that

- (i) $f(\Theta) = 0$,
- (ii) $\exists a > 0 \forall x \in T^+, x \neq \Theta: f(x) \in \langle a, 2a \rangle$.

Then $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$.

Proof. Let $d = (d_t)_{t \in T}$ be a collection of metrics $d_t: M_t \times M_t \rightarrow R$. Then $E_d = \{\Theta\}$, $\Theta \notin F_d$, hence $E_d \cap F_d = \emptyset$. Let $x \in \text{Im } \varrho_d$. Then $f(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = \Theta \Leftrightarrow x \in E_d$. Let $x, y, z \in \text{Im } \varrho_d$, $(x, y, z) \in \text{Im } \sigma_d$. If $x = \Theta$, then $f(x) = 0 \leq f(y) + f(z)$. If $y = \Theta$, then $x = z$, hence $f(x) = 0 + f(z) = f(y) + f(z)$. If $z = \Theta$, then $x = y$, hence $f(x) = f(y) + 0 = f(y) + f(z)$. If $\Theta \notin \{x, y, z\}$, then $f(x) \leq 2a = a + a \leq f(y) + f(z)$. Then by 1.2 we see that $f \circ \varrho_d$ is a metric.

2.3. Lemma. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then

$$\forall x \in T^+: f(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = \Theta.$$

Proof. Let $\varrho: S \times S \rightarrow R$ be a metric such that $\forall a \geq 0 \exists x, y \in S: \varrho(x, y) = a$ (for example $S = R$, $\varrho(u, v) = |u - v|$ for every $u, v \in R$, $x = a, y = 0$). Define a collection of metrics $d = (d_t)_{t \in T}$ by $d_t = \varrho$ for each $t \in T$. Then $\text{Im } \varrho_d = T^+$, $E_d = \{\Theta\}$. Hence by 1.2 it follows that $\forall x \in T^+: f(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in E_d \Leftrightarrow x = \Theta$.

2.4. Lemma. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then $\forall x, y, z \in T^+$:

$$(x \leq y + z \ \& \ y \leq x + z \ \& \ z \leq x + y) \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(y) + f(z).$$

Proof. Let $\varrho: S \times S \rightarrow R$ be a metric such that $\forall a, b, c \geq 0, a \leq b + c, b \leq a + c, c \leq a + b \exists x, y, z \in S: \varrho(x, y) = a, \varrho(y, z) = c, \varrho(x, z) = b$ (for example $S = R \times R$, $\varrho(u, v) = \|u - v\|$ for each $u, v \in R \times R$, $x = (a/2, 0), y = (-a/2, 0), z = ((c^2 - b^2)/(2a), (\sqrt{((a+b+c) \cdot (a+b-c) \cdot (a-b+c) \cdot (-a+b+c))})/(2a))$ for $a \neq 0, z = (b, 0)$ for $a = 0$). Define a collection of metrics $d = (d_t)_{t \in T}$ by $d_t = \varrho$ for all $t \in T$. Let $x, y, z \in T^+, x \leq y + z, y \leq x + z, z \leq x + y$. Since $\{(x, y, z) \in (T^+)^3: x \leq y + z, y \leq x + z, z \leq x + y\} \subset \text{Im } \sigma_d$, by 1.2 we obtain $f(x) \leq f(y) + f(z)$.

2.5. Lemma. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then

- (i) $\forall x, y \in T^+: f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$,
- (ii) $\forall x, y \in T^+: x \leq 2y \Rightarrow f(x) \leq 2f(y)$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in T^+$. Since $(x + y) \leq x + y, x \leq (x + y) + y, y \leq (x + y) + x$, by

2.4 we have $f(x+y) \leq f(x)+f(y)$. Let $x, y \in T^+, x \leq 2y$. Since $x \leq y+y, y \leq x+y$, by 2.4 we get $f(x) \leq f(y)+f(y) = 2f(y)$.

2.6. Theorem. Let $f: T^+ \rightarrow R$. Then $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ if and only if

(i) $\forall x \in T^+: f(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow x = \Theta$,

(ii) $\forall x, y, z \in T^+: (x \leq y+z \ \& \ y \leq x+z \ \& \ z \leq x+y) \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(y)+f(z)$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Let $d = (d_i)_{i \in T}$ be a collection of metrics $d_i: M_i \times M_i \rightarrow R$. Then $E_d = \{\Theta\}$, $\Theta \notin F_d$, therefore $E_d \cap F_d = \emptyset$. Let $x \in \text{Im } Q_d \subset T^+$. Then $f(x)=0 \Leftrightarrow x = \Theta \Leftrightarrow x \in E_d$. Let $(x, y, z) \in \text{Im } \sigma_d$. Then $x \leq y+z, y \leq x+z, z \leq x+y$, hence $f(x) \leq f(y)+f(z)$.

Necessity. By 2.3 and 2.4.

2.7. Proposition. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then $f+g \in \mathcal{M}(T)$, $\max(f, g) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. (See 1.4)

2.8. Definition. Let (M, d) be a metric space and let Ω denote the first uncountable ordinal number. The transfinite sequence

$$(1) \quad \{a_\xi\}_{\xi < \Omega}$$

of elements of the space M is said to be convergent and to have a limit $a \in M$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ordinal number $\alpha < \Omega$ such that $d(a_\xi, a) < \varepsilon$ whenever $\alpha \leq \xi < \Omega$. If (1) has a limit a , we write $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \Omega} a_\xi = a$ (or briefly $a_\xi \rightarrow a$). (See [3], [4].)

2.9. Definition. Let X be a set and let (Y, d) be a metric space. The transfinite sequence

$$(2) \quad \{f_\xi\}_{\xi < \Omega}$$

of functions $f_\xi: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be convergent and to have a limit function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ if for each $x \in X$ we have $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \Omega} f_\xi(x) = f(x)$. If (2) has a limit function f , we write

$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \Omega} f_\xi = f$ (or briefly $f_\xi \rightarrow f$). (See [3], [4].)

2.10. Lemma. Let (M, d) be a metric space, $a_\xi \in M (\xi < \Omega)$ and $a_\xi \rightarrow a$. Then there exists an ordinal number $\alpha < \Omega$ such that $a_\xi = a$ for each ξ with $\alpha \leq \xi < \Omega$. (See [4; lemma 1].)

2.11. Theorem. Let $f_\xi \in \mathcal{M}(T) (\xi < \Omega)$ and let $f_\xi \rightarrow f$. Then $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$.

Proof. Let $a \in T^+$. Since $f_\xi \rightarrow f$, by 2.10 there exists an ordinal number $\alpha = \alpha(a) < \Omega$ such that $f_\xi(a) = f(a)$ whenever $\alpha \leq \xi < \Omega$. Then $0 = f(a) = f_\alpha(a) \Leftrightarrow a = \Theta$.

Let $a, b, c \in T^+, a \leq b+c, b \leq a+c, c \leq a+b$. Since $f_\xi \rightarrow f$, by 2.10 there exists an ordinal number $\beta = \beta(a, b, c) < \Omega$ such that $f_\xi(a) = f(a), f_\xi(b) = f(b), f_\xi(c) = f(c)$ for each ξ with $\beta \leq \xi < \Omega$. Then $f(a) = f_\beta(a) \leq f_\beta(b) + f_\beta(c) = f(b) + f(c)$.

2.12. Proposition. Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of functions $f_i \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ such that the sequence $\{f_i(x)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ converges for each $x \in T^+$. Let $\forall x \in T^+, x \neq \Theta: (\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i)(x) \neq 0$.

Then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_i \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. (See 1.5)

2.13. Proposition. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i$ be a series of functions $f_i \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ such that the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(x)$ converges for each $x \in T^+$. Then $(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. (See 1.6)

2.14. Proposition. Let $f = (f_i)_{i \in I}$ be a collection of functions $f_i \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ such that the set $\{f_i(x) : t \in I\}$ is bounded above. Then $\sup f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. (See 1.7)

2.15. Theorem. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then f is continuous if and only if f is continuous at the point Θ .

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{T} the usual topology on R . Denote by \mathcal{S}_T the product topology on R^T . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\exists U \in \mathcal{S}_T, \Theta \in U \forall x \in U \cap T^+ : f(x) < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore there exists a base element $V \subset U, \Theta \in V$, i.e. $\exists F \subset T, F$ is finite nonempty $\forall t \in F \exists U_t \in \mathcal{T}, 0 \in U_t : V = \bigcap_{t \in F} \pi_t^{-1}(U_t)$, where π_t is the projection from R^T into R , i.e. $\pi_t(x) = x(t)$ for each $x \in R^T$. Let $t \in F$. Then $\exists \gamma_t > 0 : (-\gamma_t, \gamma_t) \subset U_t$.

Denote $\gamma = \min_{t \in F} \gamma_t$. Then $\bigcap_{t \in F} \pi_t^{-1}((-\gamma, \gamma)) \subset V$, therefore $\forall x \in T^+ : (\forall t \in F : x(t) < \gamma) \Rightarrow f(x) < \varepsilon$.

Let $x \in T^+, x \neq \Theta$. Denote $\delta = \gamma/2$. Let $y \in T^+$ be a function such that $\forall t \in F : |x(t) - y(t)| < \delta$.

Define a function $z : T \rightarrow R$ by

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) &= \min(\delta, x(t) + y(t)) \text{ for } t \in F, \\ z(t) &= x(t) + y(t) \text{ for } t \in T - F. \end{aligned}$$

Then $z \in T^+, x \leq y + z, y \leq x + z, z \leq x + y, \forall t \in F : z(t) < \gamma$, hence $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq f(z) < \varepsilon$. Therefore $\forall x \in T^+, x \neq \Theta \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists W \in \mathcal{S}_T, x \in W \forall y \in W \cap T^+ : |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ ($W = \bigcap_{t \in F} \pi_t^{-1}(S(x(t), \delta))$) and since, by the hypothesis, f is continuous at the point Θ , f is continuous.

2.16. Lemma. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ be continuous. Then

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists x \in T^+, x > \Theta : f(x) < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f is continuous at the point Θ , we have $\exists U \in \mathcal{S}_T$, $\Theta \in U$ $\forall x \in U \cap T^+$: $f(x) < \varepsilon$. Since $U \in \mathcal{S}_T$ and $\Theta \in U$, $\exists \delta > 0 \exists F \subset T$, F is finite nonempty: $\bigcap_{i \in F} \pi_i^{-1}(S(0, \delta)) \subset U$. Define a function $x: T \rightarrow R$ by $x(t) = \delta/2$ for each $t \in T$. Then $x \in U \cap T^+$, therefore $f(x) < \varepsilon$.

2.17. Proposition. Let T be a finite set. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then f is continuous if and only if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists x \in T^+, x > \Theta: f(x) < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Sufficiency. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $a \in T^+$, $a > \Theta: f(a) < \varepsilon/2$. Since $\forall x \in T^+ : x \leq 2a \Rightarrow f(x) \leq 2f(a) < \varepsilon$, hence for $U = \bigcap_{i \in T} \pi_i^{-1}(S(0, \min_{t \in T} a(t)))$ there holds $U \in \mathcal{S}_T$, $\Theta \in U$, $\forall x \in U \cap T^+ : f(x) < \varepsilon$, therefore f is continuous at the point Θ and by 2.15 f is continuous. Necessity follows from 2.16.

2.18. Example. Let $f: \{0, 1\}^+ \rightarrow R$ be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\{(0, x), (1, y)\}) &= 1 \text{ for } x \neq 0, \\ f(\{(0, x), (1, y)\}) &= \min(1, y) \text{ for } x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{M}(\{0, 1\})$, f is not continuous and we have

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists x \in \{0, 1\}^+, x \neq \Theta: f(x) < \varepsilon$$

(for example $x = \{(0, 0), (1, \min(1/2, \varepsilon/2))\}$).

2.19. Corollary. Let T be a finite set. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then f is not continuous if and only if

$$\exists \eta > 0 \forall x \in T^+, x > \Theta: f(x) \geq \eta.$$

2.20. Lemma. Let $f: S \rightarrow T$ be a bijective mapping. Define a mapping $f^*: T^+ \rightarrow S^+$ by $f^*(a) = a \circ f$ for all $a \in T^+$. Let $g: S^+ \rightarrow R$. Then $g \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ if and only if $(g \circ f^*) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$.

Proof. Necessity. Let $a \in T^+$. Then $0 = (g \circ f^*)(a) = g(f^*(a)) \Leftrightarrow f^*(a) = \Theta \Leftrightarrow \forall t \in S: a(f(t)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall t \in T: a(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = \Theta$.

Let $a, b, c \in T^+$, $a \leq b + c$, $b \leq a + c$, $c \leq a + b$. Then $f^*(a) \leq f^*(b) + f^*(c)$, $f^*(b) \leq f^*(a) + f^*(c)$, $f^*(c) \leq f^*(a) + f^*(b)$, hence $(g \circ f^*)(a) = g(f^*(a)) \leq g(f^*(b)) + g(f^*(c)) = (g \circ f^*)(b) + (g \circ f^*)(c)$. Then by 2.6. we obtain $(g \circ f^*) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$.

Sufficiency. Since $f^{-1}: T \rightarrow S$ is a bijective mapping, we have $g = (g \circ f^*) \circ (f^{-1})^* \in \mathcal{M}(S)$.

2.21. Lemma. Let $S \subset T$ be a nonempty set, $i: S \rightarrow T$, $i(x) = x$. Define a mapping $i_*: S^+ \rightarrow T^+$ for each $a \in S^+$ by $(i_*(a))(t) = a(t)$ for $t \in S$ and $(i_*(a))(t) = 0$ for $t \in T - S$. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then $(f \circ i_*) \in \mathcal{M}(S)$.

Proof. Let $a \in S^+$. Then $0 = (f \circ i_*)(a) = f(i_*(a)) \Leftrightarrow i_*(a) = \Theta \Leftrightarrow a = \Theta$.

Let $a, b, c \in S^+$, $a \leq b + c$, $b \leq a + c$, $c \leq a + b$. Then $i_*(a) \leq i_*(b) + i_*(c)$, $i_*(b) \leq i_*(a) + i_*(c)$, $i_*(c) \leq i_*(b) + i_*(a)$, therefore $(f \circ i_*)(a) = f(i_*(a)) \leq f(i_*(b)) + f(i_*(c)) = (f \circ i_*)(b) + (f \circ i_*)(c)$. Then $(f \circ i_*) \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ (by 2.6).

2.22. Proposition. Let S be a nonempty set. Let $f: S \rightarrow T$ be an injective mapping. Define a mapping $i: \text{Im}f \rightarrow T$ by $i(x) = x$. Let $g: \text{Im}f \rightarrow S$ be a bijective mapping such that $f = i \circ g^{-1}$. Define a mapping $f_*: S^+ \rightarrow T^+$ by $f_* = i_* \circ g^*$. Let $h \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then $(h \circ f_*) \in \mathcal{M}(S)$.

Proof. Since by 2.21 $(h \circ i_*) \in \mathcal{M}(\text{Im}f)$, it follows from 2.20 that $h \circ f_* = (h \circ i_*) \circ g^* \in \mathcal{M}(S)$.

2.23. Remark. Let \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{S} be categories whose objects are nonempty sets and morphisms are injective mappings and mappings, respectively. Assign the set $\mathcal{M}(T)$ to each object T of \mathcal{K} . For every morphism $f: S \rightarrow T$ of the category \mathcal{K} define a mapping $\mathcal{M}(f): \mathcal{M}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S)$ by $(\mathcal{M}(f))(g) = g \circ f_*$ whenever $g \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Thus we have described a contravariant functor $\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

3. Metrization of the product topology

3.1. Lemma. Let $d = (d_i)_{i \in T}$ be a collection of metrics $d_i: M_i^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$.

Denote by \mathcal{T}_s the product topology on $\prod_{i \in T} M_i$ and denote by \mathcal{T}_f the topology generated by the metric $f \circ \varrho_d$. Then $\mathcal{T}_s \subset \mathcal{T}_f$.

Proof. Let $t \in T$. Let U_t be an open set in M_t . Let $x \in \pi_t^{-1}(U_t)$, where π_t is the projection from $\prod_{i \in T} M_i$ into M_t , i.e. $\pi_t(x) = x(t)$ for each $x \in \prod_{i \in T} M_i$. Then $x(t) \in U_t$, therefore $\exists \varepsilon > 0: S(x(t), \varepsilon) \subset U_t$. Define a function $a: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $a(t) = 2\varepsilon$ and $a(i) = 0$ for each $i \in T - \{t\}$.

Put $\delta = f(a)/2$. Let $y \in S(x, \delta) \in \mathcal{T}_f$. Then $(f \circ \varrho_d)(x, y) < \delta$, therefore $f(\varrho_d(x, y)) < \delta = f(a)/2$. By 2.6 we have $\forall b \in T^+: a \leq 2b \Rightarrow f(a) \leq 2f(b)$, or equivalently $\forall b \in T^+: f(b) < f(a)/2 \Rightarrow \neg(b \geq a/2)$.

Hence $\neg(\varrho_d(x, y) \geq a/2)$ and therefore, by definition a , we have $d_i(x(t), y(t)) = (\varrho_d(x, y))(t) < a(t)/2 = \varepsilon$. Therefore $y \in \pi_t^{-1}(S(x(t), \varepsilon)) \subset \pi_t^{-1}(U_t)$. Then

$$\forall x \in \pi_t^{-1}(U_t) \exists V \in \mathcal{T}_f, x \in V: V \subset \pi_t^{-1}(U_t) \quad (V = S(x, \delta)),$$

which implies $\mathcal{T}_s \subset \mathcal{T}_f$.

3.2. Proposition. Let $d = (d_i)_{i \in T}$ be a collection of metrics $d_i: M_i^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Put $H_d = \{t \in T: M'_t \neq \emptyset\}$ (where M'_t is the set of all accumulation points of the metric space (M_t, ϱ)). Let $F, H_d \subset F \subset T$, be such a set that $T - F$ is a finite set. Let $i: F \rightarrow T$ be a mapping defined by $i(x) = x$. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Let $f \circ i_*$ be a continuous mapping. Then $\mathcal{T}_s = \mathcal{T}_f$.

Proof. Since by 3.1 we have $\mathcal{T}_s \subset \mathcal{T}_f$, it is sufficient to prove that $\mathcal{T}_f \subset \mathcal{T}_s$.

Let $x \in \prod_{t \in T} M_t$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The function $f \circ i_*$ is continuous at the point Θ , i.e.

$$\exists K \subset F, K \neq \emptyset \text{ finite } \exists \gamma > 0 \forall y \in F^+ : (\forall t \in K: y(t) < \gamma) \Rightarrow (f \circ i_*)(y) < \varepsilon.$$

The set $T - F$ is finite, this implies that there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $\forall t \in T - F \forall y \in M_t, y \neq x(t) : d_t(x(t), y) \geq \beta$. Denote $\delta = \min(\beta, \gamma)$ and $L = K \cup (T - F)$.

Put $V = \bigcap_{t \in L} \pi_t^{-1}(S(x(t), \delta))$. Then $V \in \mathcal{T}_s$ and $x \in V$. Let $y \in V$.

Then $\forall t \in T - F: (\varrho_a(x, y))(t) = 0$, this implies $i_*(\varrho_a(x, y)|_F) = \varrho_a(x, y)$. Since $\varrho_a(x, y)|_F \in F^+$ and $\forall t \in K: (\varrho_a(x, y)|_F)(t) = d_t(x(t), y(t)) < \gamma$, we have $(f \circ \varrho_a)(x, y) = f(\varrho_a(x, y)) = (f \circ i_*)(\varrho_a(x, y)|_F) < \varepsilon$, i.e. $y \in S(x, \varepsilon)$. Therefore

$$\forall x \in \prod_{t \in T} M_t, \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists V \in \mathcal{T}_s: x \in V \subset S(x, \varepsilon), \text{ i.e. } \mathcal{T}_f = \mathcal{T}_s.$$

3.3. Corollary. Let $d = (d_t)_{t \in T}$ be a collection of metrics $d_t: M_t^2 \rightarrow R$. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ be a continuous mapping. Then $\mathcal{T}_s = \mathcal{T}_f$.

3.4. Proposition. Let $d = (d_t)_{t \in T}$ be a collection of metrics $d_t: M_t^2 \rightarrow R$. Denote $I_d = \{t \in T: \sup \text{Im } d_t \in R\}$. Let M_t be a nonempty set for each $t \in T$. Let $H_d \cap I_d$ be a finite set. Let $i: H_d \rightarrow T$ be a mapping defined by $i(x) = x$. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ be a mapping such that $\mathcal{T}_s = \mathcal{T}_f$. Then $f \circ i_*$ is a continuous mapping.

Proof. If $H_d = \emptyset$, then the statement is true. Suppose $H_d \neq \emptyset$. Then by 2.21 $f \circ i_* \in \mathcal{M}(H_d)$, therefore it is sufficient to prove that $f \circ i_*$ is continuous at the point Θ . Since M_t is nonempty for all t in T , there exists x in $\prod_{t \in T} M_t$ such that $\forall t \in H_d: x(t) \in M'_t$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $S(x, \varepsilon/2) \in \mathcal{T}_f \subset \mathcal{T}_s$, hence

$$\exists K \subset T, K \neq \emptyset \text{ finite } \exists \gamma > 0: \bigcap_{t \in K} \pi_t^{-1}(S(x(t), \gamma)) \subset S(x, \varepsilon/2).$$

Let F be a nonempty finite set such that $H_d \cap (K \cup I_d) \subset F \subset H_d$. Let $t \in F$. Since $x(t) \in M'_t$, there exists $y_t \in M_t$ with $0 < d_t(x(t), y_t) < \gamma$. Put $\delta = \min_{t \in F} d_t(x(t), y_t)$. Let

$z \in H_d^+, z \in \bigcap_{t \in F} \pi_t^{-1}(S(0, \delta))$. Then $\forall t \in H_d - F \exists y_t \in M_t: z(t) \leq d_t(x(t), y_t)$. Define

a mapping $y: T \rightarrow \bigcup_{t \in T} M_t$ by $y(t) = y_t$ for $t \in H_d$, $y(t) = x(t)$ for $t \in T - H_d$. Then

$y \in \bigcap_{t \in K} \pi_t^{-1}(S(x(t), \gamma))$ and $i_*(z) \leq 2\varrho_a(x, y)$, hence

$$(f \circ i_*)(z) = f(i_*(z)) \leq 2f(\varrho_a(x, y)) = 2(f \circ \varrho_a)(x, y) < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists F \subset H_d, F \neq \emptyset$ finite $\exists \delta > 0$

$$\forall z \in \bigcap_{i \in F} \pi_i^{-1}(S(0, \delta)): (f \circ i_*)(z) < \varepsilon,$$

i.e. $f \circ i_*$ is continuous at the point Θ .

3.5. Corollary. Let d_t be the usual metric on R for all $t \in T$. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then $\mathcal{T}_s = \mathcal{T}_f$ if and only if f is continuous.

3.6. Theorem. Let T be a finite set. Let $d = (d_t)_{t \in T}$ be a collection of metrics $d_t: M_t^2 \rightarrow R$. Let M_t be a nonempty set for all $t \in T$. Let $i: H_d \rightarrow T$ be a mapping defined by $i(x) = t$. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then $\mathcal{T}_s = \mathcal{T}_f$ if and only if $f \circ i_*$ is continuous.

Proof. Necessity follows by 3.4. Sufficiency follows by 3.2.

3.7. Example. Let $d = (d_n)_{n \in N}$ be a collection of metrics $d_n: \langle 0, 1/n \rangle^2 \rightarrow R$, $d_n(u, v) = |u - v|$ for each $u, v \in \langle 0, 1/n \rangle$, where N is the set of all positive integer numbers. Let $i: H_d \rightarrow N$, $i(x) = x$ (therefore i is the identity, since $H_d = N$).

Let $f: N^+ \rightarrow R$ be a function defined by $f(x) = \sup_{n \in N} (\min(1, x(n)))$ for all $x \in N^+$.

Then we can verify that $f \in \mathcal{M}(N)$, $\mathcal{T}_s = \mathcal{T}_f$ but $f \circ i_*$ is not continuous.

3.8. Example. Let $d = (d_n)_{n \in N}$ be a collection of metrics $d_n: \{0, 1\}^2 \rightarrow R$, $d_n(0, 1) = 1$ for all $n \in N$. Let $i: H_d \rightarrow N$, $i(x) = x$ (since $H_d = \emptyset$, i is the empty mapping). Let $f: N^+ \rightarrow R$ be a function defined by $f(\Theta) = 0$ and $f(x) = 1 \forall x \in N^+, x \neq \Theta$. Then we can show that $f \in \mathcal{M}(N)$, $f \circ i_*$ is continuous but $\mathcal{T}_s \neq \mathcal{T}_f$.

3.9. Proposition. Let $d = (d_t)_{t \in T}$ be a collection of metrics $d_t: M_t^2 \rightarrow R$. Let $E, H_d \subset E \subset I_d$, be such a set that $T - E$ is a finite set. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ be a mapping such that $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists c \in T^+$:

- (i) $\exists F \subset E$ finite $\forall t \in E - F: c(t) \geq \sup \text{Im } d_t$,
- (ii) $\forall t \in E: c(t) > 0$,
- (iii) $f(c) < \varepsilon$.

Then $\mathcal{T}_s = \mathcal{T}_f$.

Proof. Let $x \in \prod_{t \in T} M_t$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $T - E$ is a finite set, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\forall t \in T - E \forall y \in M_t, y \neq x(t): d_t(x(t), y) \geq \delta$. Further, since $\varepsilon/2 > 0$, there exists $c \in T^+$ such that $(\forall t \in E: c(t) > 0)$ & $(\exists F \subset E$ finite $\forall t \in E - F: c(t) \geq \sup \text{Im } d_t)$ & $(f(c) < \varepsilon/2)$. Since $F \subset E$, we have $\forall t \in F: c(t) > 0$. Since F is a finite set, there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $\forall t \in F: c(t) \geq \gamma$. Let K be a nonempty finite set such that $((T - E) \cup F) \subset K \subset T$. Put $V = \bigcap_{t \in K} \pi_t^{-1}(S(x(t), \min(\gamma, \delta)))$. Let $y \in V$. Then $\forall t \in E - F: d_t(x(t), y(t)) \leq \sup \text{Im } d_t \leq c(t), \forall t \in T - E: d_t(x(t), y(t)) = 0 \leq c(t), \forall t \in F: d_t(x(t), y(t)) \leq \gamma \leq c(t)$, i.e. $\varrho_d(x, y) \leq c$. Then $\varrho_d(x, y) \leq 2c$, hence $(f \circ \varrho_d)(x, y) = f(\varrho_d(x, y)) \leq 2f(c) < 2\varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$, i.e. $y \in S(x, \varepsilon)$. Therefore $x \in V \subset S(x, \varepsilon)$, $V \in \mathcal{T}_s$. Then $\mathcal{T}_f \subset \mathcal{T}_s$.

3.10. Corollary. Let $d = (d_i)_{i \in T}$ be a collection of metrics $d_i: M_i^2 \rightarrow R$. Let $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists H \subset T$ finite $\forall t \in T - H: \sup \text{Im } d_i < \varepsilon$. Let $E, H_d \subset E \subset T$, be such a set that $T - E$ is a finite set. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ be a mapping such that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \gamma > 0 \exists c \in T^+ : (f(c) < \varepsilon) \& (\forall t \in E: c(t) \geq \gamma).$$

Then $\mathcal{F}_s = \mathcal{F}_f$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $\exists \gamma > 0 \exists c \in T^+ : (f(c) < \varepsilon) \& (\forall t \in E: c(t) \geq \gamma)$. Then $\exists H \subset T$ finite $\forall t \in T - H: \sup \text{Im } d_i < \gamma$. Put $F = H \cap E$. Then $F \subset E$, F is a finite set and $\forall t \in E - F: c(t) \geq \gamma > \sup \text{Im } d_i$. Therefore $\mathcal{F}_s = \mathcal{F}_f$ by 3.9.

3.11. Example. Let $d = (d_n)_{n \in N}$ be a collection of metrics $d_n: \langle 0, 1/n^2 \rangle^2 \rightarrow R$, $d_n(u, v) = |u - v| \forall u, v \in \langle 0, 1/n^2 \rangle$. Let $f: N^+ \rightarrow R$ be a function defined by $f(x) = \sup_{n \in N} (\min(1, n \cdot x(n)))$ for each $x \in N^+$. Then by 2.6 $f \in \mathcal{M}(N)$, by 3.9 $\mathcal{F}_s = \mathcal{F}_f$, but d and f do not satisfy the hypothesis of 3.10.

3.12. Theorem. Let $d = (d_i)_{i \in T}$ be a collection of metrics $d_i: M_i^2 \rightarrow R$. Let M_i be a nonempty set for all t in T . Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Then $\mathcal{F}_s = \mathcal{F}_f$ if and only if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists F \subset T \text{ finite } \exists \delta > 0 \forall \alpha \in N^{(T - (I_d \cup F))} \exists a \in T^+ :$$

- (i) $\forall t \in (T - (I_d \cup F)): a(t) \geq \alpha(t)$,
- (ii) $\forall t \in (I_d - F): a(t) \geq \sup \text{Im } d_i$,
- (iii) $\forall t \in (F \cap H_d): a(t) \geq \delta$,
- (iv) $f(a) < \varepsilon$.

Proof. Necessity. Let $t \in H_d$. Then $\exists x_t \in M_t \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists y \in M_t: 0 < d_t(x_t, y) < \varepsilon$. Since $\forall t \in T: M_t \neq \emptyset$, we have $\forall t \in (T - H_d) \exists x_t \in M_t$. Define a mapping $x: T \rightarrow \bigcup_{t \in T} M_t$ by $x(t) = x_t$ for all $t \in T$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\mathcal{F}_s = \mathcal{F}_f$, $S(x, \varepsilon/4) \in \mathcal{F}_s$. Therefore

$$\exists F \subset T, F \neq \emptyset \text{ finite } \exists \gamma > 0: \bigcap_{t \in F} \pi_t^{-1}(S(x(t), \gamma)) \subset S(x, \varepsilon/4).$$

Let $t \in F \cap H_d$. Then $\exists y_t \in M_t: 0 < d_t(x(t), y_t) < \gamma$. If $F \cap H_d \neq \emptyset$ put $\delta = \min_{t \in F \cap H_d} d_t(x(t), y_t) > 0$. If $F \cap H_d = \emptyset$, put $\delta = 1$. Let $\alpha \in N^{(T - (I_d \cup F))}$. Let $t \in T - (I_d \cup F)$. Then $\exists y_t \in M_t: d_t(x(t), y_t) \geq \alpha(t)$. Let $t \in I_d - F$. If $\sup \text{Im } d_i > 0$, there exists $y_t \in M_t$:

$$d_t(x(t), y_t) > (1/4) \cdot \sup \text{Im } d_i.$$

If $\sup \text{Im } d_i = 0$, put $y_t = x(t)$. Put $y_t = x(t)$ for each $t \in F - H_d$.

Define a mapping $y: T \rightarrow \bigcup_{t \in T} M_t$ by $y(t) = y_t$ for all $t \in T$. Put $a = 4\varrho_a(x, y)$. Then

$$f(a) \leq 4 \cdot f(\varrho_a(x, y)) = 4 \cdot (f \circ \varrho_a)(x, y) < 4 \cdot \varepsilon/4 = \varepsilon.$$

Sufficiency. Let $x \in \prod_{t \in T} M_t$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\varepsilon/2 > 0$, there exists a finite set $F \subset T$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \delta > 0 \forall \alpha \in N^{(T - (I_d \cup F))} \exists a \in T^+ : (\forall t \in (T - (I_d \cup F)) : \\ a(t) \geq \alpha(t)) \& (\forall t \in I_d - F : a(t) \geq \sup \text{Im } d_t) \& \\ \& (\forall t \in F \cap H_d : a(t) \geq \delta) \& (f(a) < \varepsilon/2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $F - H_d$ is a finite set

$$\exists \gamma > 0 \forall t \in (F - H_d) \forall y \in M_t, \quad y \neq x(t) : d_t(x(t), y) \geq \gamma.$$

Let $K, F \subset K \subset T$, be a nonempty finite set.

Put $V = \bigcap_{t \in K} \pi_t^{-1}(S(x(t), \min(\gamma, \delta)))$. Let $y \in V$. Let $t \in (T - (I_d \cup F))$. Then there exists a positive integer n_t such that $d_t(x(t), y(t)) \leq n_t$. Define a mapping $\alpha : (T - (I_d \cup F)) \rightarrow N$ by $\alpha(t) = n_t$ for each $t \in T - (I_d \cup F)$. Then $\exists a \in T^+ : (\forall t \in (T - (I_d \cup F)) : a(t) \geq \alpha(t)) \& (\forall t \in I_d - F : a(t) \geq \sup \text{Im } d_t) \& (\forall t \in F : a(t) \geq \delta) \& (f(a) < \varepsilon/2)$.

Then $\forall t \in I_d - F : d_t(x(t), y(t)) \leq \sup \text{Im } d_t \leq a(t), \forall t \in F \cap H_d : d_t(x(t), y(t)) < \delta \leq a(t), \forall t \in (T - (I_d \cup F)) : d_t(x(t), y(t)) \leq \alpha(t) \leq a(t), \forall t \in F - H_d : d_t(x(t), y(t)) = 0 \leq a(t)$, i.e. $\varrho_d(x, y) \leq a$. Then $\varrho_d(x, y) \leq 2a$, hence $(f \circ \varrho_d)(x, y) = f(\varrho_d(x, y)) \leq 2f(a) < 2 \cdot \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$, i.e. $y \in S(x, \varepsilon)$. Therefore $x \in V \subset S(x, \varepsilon)$, $V \in \mathcal{T}_s$. Then $\mathcal{T}_f \subset \mathcal{T}_s$.

3.13.Example. Let d be a collection of metrics from example 3.8. Define a function $k : N^+ - \{\Theta\} \rightarrow N$ by $k(x) = \min \{n \in N : x(n) \neq 0\}$. Define a function $f : N^+ \rightarrow R$ by $f(x) = e^{-k(x)}$ for $x \in N^+, x \neq \Theta$ and $f(\Theta) = 0$. Then by 2.6 $f \in \mathcal{M}(N)$, by 3.12 $\mathcal{T}_s = \mathcal{T}_f$, but f and d do not satisfy the hypotheses of either 3.2 or 3.9.

REFERENCES

- [1] ДОБОШ, Й.-БОРСИК, Й.: О функциях, композиция с метрикой которых является метрикой, Math. Slov., to appear.
- [2] NEUBRUNN, T., ŠALÁT, T.: Über eine Klasse metrischer Räume, Acta F.R.N. Univ. Comen. 10, 3, Math. 12, 1965, 23—30.
- [3] SIERPINSKI, W.: Sur les suites transfinies convergentes de fonctions de Baire, Fund. Math. 1, 1920, 132—141.
- [4] ŠALÁT, T.: On transfinite sequences of B-measurable functions, Fund. Math. 78 1973, 157—162.

Received October 17, 1979

Ján Borsík
Belanská štvrť 550/B
033 01 Liptovský Hrádok
Jozef Doboš
966 54 Tekovské Nemce 261

О ПРОИЗВЕДЕНИИ МЕТРИЧЕСКИХ ПРОСТРАНСТВ

Ян Борсик и Йозеф Добош

Резюме

Пусть T является непустым множеством. Обозначим $\mathcal{M}(T)$ множество всех отображений $f: \{x \in \mathbb{R}^T; \forall t \in T: x(t) \geq 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, для которых

$$(1) \quad d(x, y) = f(\{d_t(x_t, y_t)\}_{t \in T})$$

является метрикой на множестве

$$\prod_{t \in T} M_t$$

для каждого семейства метрических пространств $\{(M_t, d_t)\}_{t \in T}$. В этой работе мы предлагаем характеристику множества $\mathcal{M}(T)$, а также необходимое и достаточное условие метризации топологии произведения на

$$\prod_{t \in T} M_t$$

при помощи метрики (1).