

Aleksander Misiak; Eugeniusz Stasiak

Equivariant maps between certain G -spaces with $G = O(n - 1, 1)$.

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 126 (2001), No. 3, 555–560

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/134200>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

EQUIVARIANT MAPS BETWEEN CERTAIN G -SPACES
WITH $G = O(n - 1, 1)$.

ALEKSANDER MISIAK, EUGENIUSZ STASIAK, Szczecin

(Received October 23, 1998, revised November 28, 2000)

Abstract. In this note, there are determined all biscalars of a system of $s \leq n$ linearly independent contravariant vectors in n -dimensional pseudo-Euclidean geometry of index one. The problem is resolved by finding a general solution of the functional equation $F(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_s) = (\text{sign}(\det A))F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s)$ for an arbitrary pseudo-orthogonal matrix A of index one and the given vectors u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s .

Keywords: G -space, equivariant map, vector, scalar, biscalar

MSC 2000: 53A55

1. INTRODUCTION

For $n \geq 2$ consider the matrix $E_1 = [e_{ij}] \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, where

$$e_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq j \\ +1 & \text{for } i = j \neq n \\ -1 & \text{for } i = j = n \end{cases}$$

Definition 1. A pseudo-orthogonal group of index 1 is a subgroup of the group $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$G = O(n - 1, 1) = \{A: A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \wedge A^T \cdot E_1 \cdot A = E_1\}.$$

Denoting $\varepsilon(A) = \text{sign}(\det A) = \det A$ we have $\varepsilon(A \cdot B) = \varepsilon(A) \cdot \varepsilon(B)$.

The class of G -spaces (M_α, G, f_α) , where f_α is an action of G on the space M_α , constitutes a category if we take as morphisms equivariant maps $F_{\alpha\beta}: M_\alpha \rightarrow M_\beta$,

i.e. the maps which satisfy the condition

$$(1) \quad \bigwedge_{\alpha, \beta} \bigwedge_{x \in M_\alpha} \bigwedge_{A \in G} F_{\alpha\beta}(f_\alpha(x, A)) = f_\beta(F_{\alpha\beta}(x), A).$$

In particular, among the objects of this category are: the G -space of contravariant vectors

$$(2) \quad (\mathbb{R}^n, G, f_1), \text{ where } \bigwedge_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} \bigwedge_{A \in G} f_1(u, A) = A \cdot u,$$

the G -space of scalars

$$(3) \quad (\mathbb{R}, G, f_2), \text{ where } \bigwedge_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \bigwedge_{A \in G} f_2(x, A) = x,$$

and the G -space of biscalars

$$(4) \quad (\mathbb{R}, G, f_3), \text{ where } \bigwedge_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \bigwedge_{A \in G} f_3(x, A) = \varepsilon(A) \cdot x.$$

For $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let a system of linearly independent vectors u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s be given. Every equivariant map F of this system into $M_2 = \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the equality (1), which applying the transformation rules (2) and (3) may be rewritten in the form

$$(5) \quad \bigwedge_{A \in G} F(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_s) = F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s).$$

For a pair u, v of contravariant vectors the map $p(u, v) = u^T \cdot E_1 \cdot v$ satisfies (5), namely $p(Au, Av) = (Au)^T \cdot E_1 \cdot (Av) = u^T (A^T E_1 A) v = u^T E_1 v = p(u, v)$.

In [6] it was proved that the general solution of the equation (5) is of the form

$$(6) \quad F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s) = \Theta(p(u_i, u_j)) \text{ for } i \leq j = 1, 2, \dots, s \leq n$$

where Θ is an arbitrary function of $\frac{s(s+1)}{2}$ variables.

In this paper we are going to determine all equivariant maps F of this system of vectors into $M_3 = \mathbb{R}$. The problem is equivalent to finding the general solution of the functional equation (1), which applying the transformations rules (2) and (4) may be rewritten in the form

$$(7) \quad \bigwedge_{A \in G} F(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_s) = \varepsilon(A) F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s).$$

2. TYPE OF A SUBSPACE

Let be given a sequence $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s, \dots, u_n$ of linearly independent vectors. Let $L_s = L(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s)$ denote the linear subspace generated by the vectors u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s and $p|_{L_s}$ the restriction of the form p to the subspace L_s .

Definition 2. The subspace L_s is called:

1. Euclidean subspace if the form $p|_{L_s}$ is positive definite,
2. pseudo-Euclidean subspace if the form $p|_{L_s}$ is regular and indefinite,
3. singular subspace if the form $p|_{L_s}$ is singular.

If we denote

$$p_{ij} = p\left(u_i, u_j\right) \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and

$$P(s) = P(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s) = \begin{vmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & \dots & p_{1s} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} & \dots & p_{2s} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ p_{s1} & p_{s2} & \dots & p_{ss} \end{vmatrix} = \det [p_{ij}]_1^s \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then the above three cases are equivalent to $P(s) > 0$, $P(s) < 0$ and $P(s) = 0$, respectively.

Let us consider an isotropic cone $K_0 = \{u: u \in \mathbb{R}^n \wedge p(u, u) = 0 \wedge u \neq 0\}$. It is an invariant and transitive subset. Every isotropic vector $v \in K_0$ determines an isotropic direction, which is, according to $v^n \neq 0$ and $v = v^n \left[\frac{v^1}{v^n}, \frac{v^2}{v^n}, \dots, \frac{v^{n-1}}{v^n}, 1 \right]^T = u^n [q^1, q^2, \dots, q^{n-1}, 1]^T$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (q^i)^2 = 1$, equivalent to a point q belonging to the sphere S^{n-2} .

Let us recall that for $A \in G$

$$(8) \quad W' = \det(Au_1, \dots, Au_n) = \varepsilon(A) \det(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \varepsilon(A) \cdot W.$$

Therefore, for $s = n$ the mapping \det satisfies the functional equation (7).

Let be given a system u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1} of $n - 1$ linearly independent vectors for which $P(n - 1) = 0$. The singular subspace $L(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ determines exactly one isotropic direction $q \in S^{n-2}$ whose representative is of the form $v = v^n \cdot [q^1, \dots, q^{n-1}, 1]^T \in K_0$. From $p(u_i, v) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ it follows that each vector u_i is of the form

$$(9) \quad u_i = \left[u_i^1, \dots, u_i^{n-1}, \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_i^k q^k \right]^T \quad \text{where} \quad \det [u_i^j]_1^{n-1} \neq 0.$$

Let us consider the two 1-forms $\det(u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}, v, u_{s+1}, \dots, u_{n-1}, x)$ and $p(v, x)$. Both these forms vanish on the subspace $L(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$, and consequently there exists uniquely determined number $B_s(u_1, \dots, u_s, \dots, u_{n-1})$ such that

$$(10) \quad \det(u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}, v, u_{s+1}, \dots, u_{n-1}, x) = -B_s(u_1, \dots, u_s, \dots, u_{n-1}) \cdot p(v, x).$$

Taking in mind the properties of the mappings p and \det from (10) it follows immediately that for arbitrary $A \in G$ it holds that

$$(11) \quad B'_s = B_s(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_{n-1}) = \varepsilon(A) \cdot B_s(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}) = \varepsilon(A) \cdot B_s.$$

From (9) and (10) we get in terms of coordinates the formula

$$(12) \quad B_s(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) = \begin{vmatrix} u_1^1 & \dots & u_1^{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ u_{s-1}^1 & \dots & u_{s-1}^{n-1} \\ q^1 & \dots & q^{n-1} \\ u_{s+1}^1 & \dots & u_{s+1}^{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ u_{n-1}^1 & \dots & u_{n-1}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

We have $B_s^2(u_1, \dots, u_s, \dots, u_{n-1}) = P(u_1, \dots, u_{s-1}, u_{s+1}, \dots, u_{n-1})$, so at least one of the quantities B_s is different from zero (see [6], Theorem 15).

3. GENERAL SOLUTION OF THE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION (7)

Theorem 3. *The general solution of the functional equation (7) in the case $s = n$ is of the form*

$$F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \Theta(p(u_i, u_j)) \cdot \det(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$$

where $i \leq j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and Θ is an arbitrary function of $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ variables.

P r o o f. If $F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$ is the general solution of the functional equation (7), then also $F(u_1, \dots, u_n) \cdot [\det(u_1, \dots, u_n)]^{-1}$ is the general solution of the equation (5). By virtue of (6) the statement of the theorem is true. \square

Theorem 4. *The general solution of the functional equation (7) in the case $s = n - 1$ and $P(n - 1) = 0$ is of the form*

$$F(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) = \Theta(p(u_i, u_j)) \cdot B(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$$

where $i \leq j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, Θ is an arbitrary function of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ variables and B is any nonzero equivariant among B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{n-1} .

P r o o f. The proof runs analogously as the proof of Theorem 3. □

Theorem 5. *The general solution of the functional equation (7) is trivial,*

$$F(u_1, u_1, \dots, u_s) \equiv 0,$$

if $s < n-1$ or $s = n-1$ and $P(n-1) \neq 0$.

P r o o f. If $P(n-1) \neq 0$, there exists a vector v such that $p(v, v) \neq 0$, and v is orthogonal (with respect to p) to the subspace W generated by u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1} . The whole space coincides with the direct sum $[v] \oplus W$. If $s < n-1$ then there exists a vector v such that $p(v, v) \neq 0$ and v is orthogonal to the vectors u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s . Let W denote this time the orthogonal complement of the vector v . Obviously, $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s \in W$, and the whole space coincides with the direct sum $[v] \oplus W$. Now, we take $A \in O(n-1, 1)$ defined by $A \cdot v = -v$ and $A|_W = \text{id}$. We have $\varepsilon(A) = -1$. Then we get either

$$F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}) = F(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_{n-1}) = -F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1})$$

or

$$F(u_1, u_1, \dots, u_s) = F(Au_1, Au_2, \dots, Au_s) = -F(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s).$$

In both cases we obtain $F \equiv 0$. □

The statements proven in this section we can formulate in the following

Theorem 6. *The general solution of the functional equation (7) is of the form*

$$F(u_1, \dots, u_s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s < n-1 \text{ or } s = n-1 \text{ and } P(n-1) \neq 0 \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Theta_k(p(u_i, u_j)) \cdot B_k(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) & \text{if } s = n-1 \text{ and } P(n-1) = 0 \\ \Theta(p(u_i, u_j)) \cdot \det(u_1, \dots, u_n) & \text{if } s = n \end{cases}$$

where $i \leq j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ and $\Theta, \Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_{n-1}$ are arbitrary functions of $\frac{s(s+1)}{2}$ variables.

References

- [1] *J. Aczél, S. Gotqb*: Funktionalgleichungen der Theorie der geometrischen Objekte. P.W.N Warszawa, 1960.
- [2] *L. Bieszk, E. Stasiak*: Sur deux formes équivalentes de la notion de (r, s) -orientation de la géométrie de Klein. Publ. Math. Debrecen *35* (1988), 43–50.
- [3] *J. A. Dieudonné, J. B. Carrell*: Invariant Theory. Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [4] *M. Kucharzewski*: Über die Grundlagen der Kleinschen Geometrie. Period. Math. Hung. *8* (1977), 83–89.
- [5] *E. Stasiak*: O pewnym działaniu grupy pseudoortogonalnej o indeksie jeden $O(n, 1, R)$ na sferze S^{n-2} . Prace Naukowe P. S., 485, Szczecin, 1993.
- [6] *E. Stasiak*: Scalar concomitants of a system of vectors in pseudo-Euclidean geometry of index 1. Publ. Math. Debrecen *57* (2000), 55–69.

Author's address: Aleksander Misiak, Eugeniusz Stasiak, Instytut Matematyki, Politechnika Szczecińska, Al. Piastów 17, 70-310 Szczecin, Poland.