

István Juhász; Zoltán Szentmiklóssy

Interpolation of κ -compactness and PCF

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 50 (2009), No. 2, 315--320

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133436>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2009

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Interpolation of κ -compactness and PCF

ISTVÁN JUHÁSZ, ZOLTÁN SZENTMIKLÓSSY

Abstract. We call a topological space κ -compact if every subset of size κ has a complete accumulation point in it. Let $\Phi(\mu, \kappa, \lambda)$ denote the following statement: $\mu < \kappa < \lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda)$ and there is $\{S_\xi : \xi < \lambda\} \subset [\kappa]^\mu$ such that $|\{\xi : |S_\xi \cap A| = \mu\}| < \lambda$ whenever $A \in [\kappa]^{<\kappa}$. We show that if $\Phi(\mu, \kappa, \lambda)$ holds and the space X is both μ -compact and λ -compact then X is κ -compact as well. Moreover, from PCF theory we deduce $\Phi(\text{cf}(\kappa), \kappa, \kappa^+)$ for every singular cardinal κ . As a corollary we get that a linearly Lindelöf and \aleph_ω -compact space is uncountably compact, that is κ -compact for all uncountable cardinals κ .

Keywords: complete accumulation point, κ -compact space, linearly Lindelöf space, PCF theory

Classification: 03E04, 54A25, 54D30

We start by recalling that a point x in a topological space X is said to be a *complete accumulation point* of a set $A \subset X$ iff for every neighbourhood U of x we have $|U \cap A| = |A|$. We denote the set of all complete accumulation points of A by A° .

It is well-known that a space is compact iff every infinite subset has a complete accumulation point. This justifies to call a space κ -compact if its every subset of cardinality κ has a complete accumulation point. Now, let κ be a singular cardinal and $\kappa = \sum \{\kappa_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)\}$ with $\kappa_\alpha < \kappa$ for each $\alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)$. Clearly, if a space X is both κ_α -compact for all $\alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)$ and $\text{cf}(\kappa)$ -compact then X is κ -compact as well. This trivial “extrapolation” property of κ -compactness (for singular κ) implies that in the above characterization of compactness one may restrict to subsets of regular cardinality.

The aim of this note is to present a new “interpolation” result on κ -compactness, i.e. one in which $\mu < \kappa < \lambda$ and we deduce κ -compactness of a space from its μ - and λ -compactness. Again, this works for singular cardinals κ and the proof uses non-trivial results from Shelah’s PCF theory.

Definition 1. Let κ, λ, μ be cardinals, then $\Phi(\mu, \kappa, \lambda)$ denotes the following statement: $\mu < \kappa < \lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda)$ and there is $\{S_\xi : \xi < \lambda\} \subset [\kappa]^\mu$ such that $|\{\xi : |S_\xi \cap A| = \mu\}| < \lambda$ whenever $A \in [\kappa]^{<\kappa}$.

As we can see from our next theorem, this property Φ yields the promised interpolation result for κ -compactness.

Theorem 2. *Assume that $\Phi(\mu, \kappa, \lambda)$ holds and the space X is both μ -compact and λ -compact. Then X is κ -compact as well.*

PROOF: Let Y be any subset of X with $|Y| = \kappa$ and, using $\Phi(\mu, \kappa, \lambda)$, fix a family $\{S_\xi : \xi < \lambda\} \subset [Y]^\mu$ such that $|\{\xi : |S_\xi \cap A| = \mu\}| < \lambda$ whenever $A \in [Y]^{<\kappa}$. Since X is μ -compact we may then pick a complete accumulation point $p_\xi \in S_\xi^\circ$ for each $\xi < \lambda$.

Now we distinguish two cases. If $|\{p_\xi : \xi < \lambda\}| < \lambda$ then the regularity of λ implies that there is $p \in X$ with $|\{\xi < \lambda : p_\xi = p\}| = \lambda$. If, on the other hand, $|\{p_\xi : \xi < \lambda\}| = \lambda$ then we can use the λ -compactness of X to pick a complete accumulation point p of this set. In both cases the point $p \in X$ has the property that for every neighbourhood U of p we have $|\{\xi : |S_\xi \cap U| = \mu\}| = \lambda$.

Since $S_\xi \cap U \subset Y \cap U$, this implies using $\Phi(\mu, \kappa, \lambda)$ that $|Y \cap U| = \kappa$, hence p is a complete accumulation point of Y , hence X is indeed κ -compact. \square

Our following result implies that if $\Phi(\mu, \kappa, \lambda)$ holds then κ must be singular.

Theorem 3. *If $\Phi(\mu, \kappa, \lambda)$ holds then we have $\text{cf}(\mu) = \text{cf}(\kappa)$.*

PROOF: Assume that $\{S_\xi : \xi < \lambda\} \subset [\kappa]^\mu$ witnesses $\Phi(\mu, \kappa, \lambda)$ and fix a strictly increasing sequence of ordinals $\eta_\alpha < \kappa$ for $\alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)$ that is cofinal in κ . By the regularity of $\lambda > \kappa$ there is an ordinal $\xi < \lambda$ such that $|S_\xi \cap \eta_\alpha| < \mu$ holds for each $\alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)$. But this S_ξ must be cofinal in κ , hence from $|S_\xi| = \mu$ we get $\text{cf}(\mu) \leq \text{cf}(\kappa) \leq \mu$.

Now assume that we had $\text{cf}(\mu) < \text{cf}(\kappa)$ and set $|S_\xi \cap \eta_\alpha| = \mu_\alpha$ for each $\alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)$. Our assumptions then imply $\mu^* = \sup\{\mu_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)\} < \mu$ as well as $\text{cf}(\kappa) < \mu$, contradicting that $S_\xi = \bigcup\{S_\xi \cap \eta_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)\}$ and $|S_\xi| = \mu$. This completes our proof. \square

According to theorem 3 the smallest cardinal μ for which $\Phi(\mu, \kappa, \lambda)$ may hold for a given singular cardinal κ is $\text{cf}(\kappa)$. Our main result says that this actually does happen with the natural choice $\lambda = \kappa^+$.

Theorem 4. *For every singular cardinal κ we have $\Phi(\text{cf}(\kappa), \kappa, \kappa^+)$.*

PROOF: We shall make use of the following fundamental result of Shelah from his PCF theory: There is a strictly increasing sequence of length $\text{cf}(\kappa)$ of regular cardinals $\kappa_\alpha < \kappa$ cofinal in κ and such that in the product

$$\mathbb{P} = \prod\{\kappa_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)\}$$

there is a scale $\{f_\xi : \xi < \kappa^+\}$ of length κ^+ . (This is Main Claim 1.3 on p. 46 of [2].)

Spelling it out, this means that the κ^+ -sequence $\{f_\xi : \xi < \kappa^+\} \subset \mathbb{P}$ is increasing and cofinal with respect to the partial ordering $<^*$ of eventual dominance on \mathbb{P} . Here for $f, g \in \mathbb{P}$ we have $f <^* g$ iff there is $\alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)$ such that $f(\beta) < g(\beta)$ whenever $\alpha \leq \beta < \text{cf}(\kappa)$.

Now, to show that this implies $\Phi(\text{cf}(\kappa), \kappa, \kappa^+)$, we take the set $H = \bigcup\{\{\alpha\} \times \kappa_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)\}$ as our underlying set. Note that then $|H| = \kappa$ and every function $f \in \mathbb{P}$, construed as a set of ordered pairs (or in other words: identified with its graph) is a subset of H of cardinality $\text{cf}(\kappa)$.

We claim that the scale sequence $\{f_\xi : \xi < \kappa^+\} \subset [H]^{\text{cf}(\kappa)}$ witnesses $\Phi(\text{cf}(\kappa), \kappa, \kappa^+)$. Indeed, let A be any subset of H with $|A| < \kappa$. We may then choose $\alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)$ in such a way that $|A| < \kappa_\alpha$. Clearly, then there is a function $g \in \mathbb{P}$ such that we have $A \cap (\{\beta\} \times \kappa_\beta) \subset \{\beta\} \times g(\beta)$ whenever $\alpha \leq \beta < \text{cf}(\kappa)$. Since $\{f_\xi : \xi < \kappa^+\}$ is cofinal in \mathbb{P} w.r.t. $<^*$, there is a $\xi < \kappa^+$ with $g <^* f_\xi$ and obviously we have $|A \cap f_\eta| < \text{cf}(\kappa)$ whenever $\xi \leq \eta < \kappa^+$. \square

Note that the above proof actually establishes the following more general result: If for some increasing sequence of regular cardinals $\{\kappa_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)\}$ that is cofinal in κ there is a scale of length $\lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda)$ in the product $\prod\{\kappa_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)\}$ then $\Phi(\text{cf}(\kappa), \kappa, \lambda)$ holds.

Before giving some further interesting application of the property $\Phi(\mu, \kappa, \lambda)$, we present a result that enables us to “lift” the first parameter $\text{cf}(\kappa)$ in Theorem 4 to higher cardinals.

Theorem 5. *If $\Phi(\text{cf}(\kappa), \kappa, \lambda)$ holds for some singular cardinal κ then we also have $\Phi(\mu, \kappa, \lambda)$ whenever $\text{cf}(\kappa) < \mu < \kappa$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \text{cf}(\kappa)$.*

PROOF: Let us put $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \varrho$ and fix a strictly increasing and cofinal sequence $\{\kappa_\alpha : \alpha < \varrho\}$ of cardinals below κ . We also fix a partition of κ into disjoint sets $\{H_\alpha : \alpha < \varrho\}$ with $|H_\alpha| = \kappa_\alpha$ for each $\alpha < \varrho$.

Let us now choose a family $\{S_\xi : \xi < \lambda\} \subset [\kappa]^\varrho$ that witnesses $\Phi(\text{cf}(\kappa), \kappa, \lambda)$. Since λ is regular, we may assume without any loss of generality that $|H_\alpha \cap S_\xi| < \varrho$ holds for every $\alpha < \varrho$ and $\xi < \lambda$. Note that this implies $|\{\alpha : H_\alpha \cap S_\xi \neq \emptyset\}| = \varrho$ for each $\xi < \lambda$.

Now take a cardinal μ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \varrho < \mu < \kappa$ and fix a strictly increasing and cofinal sequence $\{\mu_\alpha : \alpha < \varrho\}$ of cardinals below μ . To show that $\Phi(\mu, \kappa, \lambda)$ is valid, we may use as our underlying set $S = \bigcup\{H_\alpha \times \mu_\alpha : \alpha < \varrho\}$, since clearly $|S| = \kappa$.

For each $\xi < \lambda$ let us now define the set $T_\xi \subset S$ as follows:

$$T_\xi = \bigcup\{(S_\xi \cap H_\alpha) \times \mu_\alpha : \alpha < \varrho\}.$$

Then we have $|T_\xi| = \mu$ because $|\{\alpha : H_\alpha \cap S_\xi \neq \emptyset\}| = \varrho$. We claim that $\{T_\xi : \xi < \lambda\}$ witnesses $\Phi(\mu, \kappa, \lambda)$.

Indeed, let $A \subset S$ with $|A| < \kappa$. For each $\alpha < \rho$ let B_α denote the set of all first co-ordinates of the pairs that occur in $A \cap (H_\alpha \times \mu_\alpha)$ and set $B = \bigcup \{B_\alpha : \beta < \varrho\}$. Clearly, we have $B \subset \kappa$ and $|B| \leq |A| < \kappa$, hence $|\{\xi : |S_\xi \cap B| = \varrho\}| < \lambda$.

Now, consider any ordinal $\xi < \lambda$ with $|S_\xi \cap B| < \varrho$. If $\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \in (T_\xi \cap A) \cap (H_\alpha \times \mu_\alpha)$ for some $\alpha < \varrho$ then we have $\gamma \in S_\xi \cap B_\alpha$, consequently $H_\alpha \cap S_\xi \cap B \neq \emptyset$. This implies that

$$W = \{\alpha : (T_\xi \cap A) \cap (H_\alpha \times \mu_\alpha) \neq \emptyset\}$$

has cardinality $\leq |S_\xi \cap B| < \varrho$. But for each $\alpha \in W$ we have

$$|T_\xi \cap (H_\alpha \times \mu_\alpha)| \leq \varrho \cdot \mu_\alpha < \mu,$$

hence

$$T_\xi \cap A = \bigcup \{(T_\xi \cap A) \cap (H_\alpha \times \mu_\alpha) : \alpha \in W\}$$

implies $|T_\xi \cap A| < \mu$ as well. But this shows that $\{T_\xi : \xi < \lambda\}$ indeed witnesses $\Phi(\mu, \kappa, \lambda)$. □

Arhangel'skii has recently introduced and studied in [1] the class of spaces that are κ -compact for all uncountable cardinals κ and, quite appropriately, called them *uncountably compact*. In particular, he showed that these spaces are Lindelöf.

We recall that the spaces that are κ -compact for all uncountable *regular* cardinals κ have been around for a long time and are called linearly Lindelöf. Moreover, the question under what conditions is a linearly Lindelöf space Lindelöf is important and well-studied. Note, however, that a linearly Lindelöf space is obviously compact iff it is countably compact, i.e. ω -compact. This should be compared with our next result that, we think, is far from being obvious.

Theorem 6. *Every linearly Lindelöf and \aleph_ω -compact space is uncountably compact hence, in particular, Lindelöf.*

PROOF: Let X be a linearly Lindelöf and \aleph_ω -compact space. According to the (trivial) extrapolation property of κ -compactness that we mentioned in the introduction, X is κ -compact for all cardinals κ of uncountable cofinality. Consequently, it only remains to show that X is κ -compact whenever κ is a singular cardinal of countable cofinality with $\aleph_\omega < \kappa$.

But, according to theorems 4 and 5, we have $\Phi(\aleph_\omega, \kappa, \kappa^+)$ and X is both \aleph_ω -compact and κ^+ -compact, hence theorem 2 implies that X is κ -compact as well. □

Arhangel'skii gave in [1] the following surprising result which shows that the class of uncountably compact T_3 -spaces is rather restricted: Every uncountably compact T_3 -space X has a (possibly empty) compact subset C such that for every open set $U \supset C$ we have $|X \setminus U| < \aleph_\omega$. Below we show that in this result the T_3 separation axiom can be replaced by T_1 plus van Douwen's property wD , see e.g. 3.12 in [3]. Since uncountably compact T_3 -spaces are normal, being also

Lindelöf, and the wD property is a very weak form of normality, this indeed is an improvement. For the convenience of the reader we recall that a space X has property wD iff every infinite closed discrete set A in X has an infinite subset B that expands to a discrete (in X) collection of open sets $\{U_x : x \in B\}$.

Definition 7. A topological space X is said to be κ -concentrated on its subset Y if for every open set $U \supset Y$ we have $|X \setminus U| < \kappa$.

So what we claim can be formulated as follows.

Theorem 8. *Every uncountably compact T_1 space X with the wD property is \aleph_ω -concentrated on some (possibly empty) compact subset C .*

PROOF: Let C be the set of those points $x \in X$ for which every neighbourhood has cardinality at least \aleph_ω . First we show that C , as a subspace, is compact. Indeed, C is clearly closed in X , hence Lindelöf, so it suffices to show for this that C is countably compact.

Assume, on the contrary, that C is not countably compact. Then, as X is T_1 , there is an infinite closed discrete $A \in [C]^\omega$. But then by the wD property there is an infinite $B \subset A$ that expands to a discrete (in X) collection of open sets $\{U_x : x \in B\}$. By the definition of C we have $|U_x| \geq \aleph_\omega$ for each $x \in B$.

Let $B = \{x_n : n < \omega\}$ be any one-to-one enumeration of B . Then for each $n < \omega$ we may pick a subset $A_n \subset U_{x_n}$ with $|A_n| = \aleph_n$ and set $A = \bigcup \{A_n : n < \omega\}$. But then $|A| = \aleph_\omega$ and A has no complete accumulation point, a contradiction.

Next we show that X is \aleph_ω concentrated on C . Indeed, let $U \supset C$ be open. If we had $|X \setminus U| \geq \aleph_\omega$ then any complete accumulation point of $X \setminus U$ is not in U but is in C , again a contradiction. □

The following easy result, that we add for the sake of completeness, yields a partial converse to theorem 8.

Theorem 9. *If a space X is κ -concentrated on a compact subset C then X is λ -compact for all cardinals $\lambda \geq \kappa$.*

PROOF: Let $A \subset X$ be any subset with $|A| = \lambda \geq \kappa$. We claim that we even have $A^\circ \cap C \neq \emptyset$. Assume, on the contrary, that every point $x \in C$ has an open neighbourhood U_x with $|A \cap U_x| < \lambda$. Then the compactness of C implies $C \subset U = \bigcup \{U_x : x \in F\}$ for some finite subset F of C . But then we have $|A \cap U| < \lambda$, hence $|A \setminus U| = \lambda \geq \kappa$, contradicting that X is κ -concentrated on C . □

Putting all these theorems together we immediately obtain the following result.

Corollary 10. *Let X be a T_1 space with property wD that is \aleph_n -compact for each $0 < n < \omega$. Then X is uncountably compact if and only if it is \aleph_ω -concentrated on some compact subset.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Arhangel'skii A.V., *Homogeneity and complete accumulation points*, Topology Proc. **32** (2008), 239–243.
- [2] Shelah S., *Cardinal Arithmetic*, Oxford Logic Guides, vol. 29, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1994.
- [3] van Douwen E., *The Integers and Topology*, in Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, Eds., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 111–167.

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 127, 1364 BUDAPEST,
HUNGARY

Email: juhasz@renyi.hu

EÖTVÖS LORÁNT UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF ANALYSIS, PÁZMÁNY PÉTER SÉTÁNY
1/A, 1117 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

Email: zoli@renyi.hu

(Received March 8, 2009, revised March 31, 2009)