

Xue-Gang Chen; Liang Sun

Connected domination critical graphs with respect to relative complements

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 56 (2006), No. 2, 417–423

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128076>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

CONNECTED DOMINATION CRITICAL GRAPHS WITH RESPECT
TO RELATIVE COMPLEMENTS

XUE-GANG CHEN, Qingdao, and LIANG SUN, Beijing

(Received August 21, 2003)

Abstract. A dominating set in a graph G is a *connected dominating set* of G if it induces a connected subgraph of G . The minimum number of vertices in a connected dominating set of G is called the *connected domination number* of G , and is denoted by $\gamma_c(G)$. Let G be a spanning subgraph of $K_{s,s}$ and let H be the complement of G relative to $K_{s,s}$; that is, $K_{s,s} = G \oplus H$ is a factorization of $K_{s,s}$. The graph G is *k - γ_c -critical relative to $K_{s,s}$* if $\gamma_c(G) = k$ and $\gamma_c(G + e) < k$ for each edge $e \in E(H)$. First, we discuss some classes of graphs whether they are γ_c -critical relative to $K_{s,s}$. Then we study k - γ_c -critical graphs relative to $K_{s,s}$ for small values of k . In particular, we characterize the 3 - γ_c -critical and 4 - γ_c -critical graphs.

Keywords: connected domination number, connected domination critical graph relative to $K_{s,s}$, tree.

MSC 2000: 05C69, 05C35

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple connected graph. The *degree*, *neighborhood* and *closed neighborhood* of a vertex v in the graph G are denoted by $d(v)$, $N(v)$ and $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$, respectively. The *minimum degree* and *maximum degree* of the graph G are denoted by $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, respectively. The graph induced by $S \subseteq V$ is denoted by $\langle S \rangle$. Let P_n , C_n , $K_{1,n-1}$ and K_n denote the *path*, *cycle*, *star* and *complete graph* with n vertices, respectively. Let $K_{n,m}$ denote the *complete bipartite graph*.

A *dominating set* S is a set of vertices where every vertex of G is in $N[v]$ for some $v \in S$. The *domination number* $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating

Supported by National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (19871036).

set. A dominating set in a graph G is a *connected dominating set* of G if it induces a connected subgraph of G . The *connected domination number* $\gamma_c(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a connected dominating set. If S is a minimum connected dominating set, we call S a γ_c -set of G .

If G is a spanning subgraph of F , then the graph $F - E(G)$ is the complement of G relative to F with respect to a fixed embedding of G into F . The idea of a relative complement of a graph was suggested by Cockayne [1] and is studied in [2]. We shall assume that the complete bipartite graph $K_{s,s}$ has partite sets A and B , and that $G \oplus H = K_{s,s}$ is a factorization of $K_{s,s}$. (If G and H are graphs on the same vertex set but with disjoint edge sets, then $G \oplus H$ denotes the graph whose edge set is the union of their edge sets.) Notice that if G is uniquely embeddable in $K_{s,s}$, then H is unique. We henceforth consider only spanning subgraphs G of $K_{s,s}$ such that G is uniquely embeddable in $K_{s,s}$. We denote the relative complement H of G by \overline{G} .

Haynes and Henning [3] studied *domination critical graphs with respect to the relative complement*, that is, the graphs G such that $\gamma(G + e) = \gamma(G) - 1$ for all $e \in E(\overline{G})$. Hayness, Henning and Van der Merwe [4]–[5] studied *total domination edge critical graphs with respect to the relative complement*, or just k_t -critical graphs, that is, the graphs G such that $\gamma_t(G + e) < \gamma_t(G) = k$ for any edge $e \in E(\overline{G})$.

In this paper we study the same concept for connected domination. We say that a graph G is *connected domination critical relative to $K_{s,s}$* , or just k - γ_c -critical, if $\gamma_c(G + e) < \gamma_c(G) = k$ for any edge $e \in E(\overline{G})$.

We use the following notation. An *endvertex* is a vertex of degree one and its neighbor is called a *support vertex*. An endvertex of a tree is also called a *leaf*. For a set S , $X \subseteq V$, if S dominates X , then we write $S \succ X$, while if $\langle S \rangle$ is connected and S dominates X , we write $S \succ_c X$. If v, u are adjacent vertices, then we write $v \perp u$. Otherwise, we write $v \pm u$.

First, we discuss some classes of graphs whether they are γ_c -critical relative to $K_{s,s}$. Then we study k - γ_c -critical graphs relative to $K_{s,s}$ for small values of k . In particular, we characterize the 3- γ_c -critical and 4- γ_c -critical graphs.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Whereas the addition of an edge from the complement \overline{G} can change the domination number of G by at most one, it can change the connected domination number by as much as two.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a connected graph. Then for any edge $e \in E(\overline{G})$, $\gamma_c(G) - 2 \leq \gamma_c(G + e) \leq \gamma_c(G)$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\gamma_c(G + e) \leq \gamma_c(G)$. Now we only prove $\gamma_c(G) - 2 \leq \gamma_c(G + e)$ for any edge $e \in E(\overline{G})$. Let $e = vu$. Let S' be a connected dominating set of $G + e$ with minimum cardinality.

Case 1. $v, u \notin S'$. Then S' is a connected dominating set of G . Hence, $\gamma_c(G) \leq \gamma_c(G + e)$.

Case 2. $v \in S'$ and $u \notin S'$. If u is adjacent to at least one vertex in $S' - \{v\}$, then S' is a connected dominating set of G . Hence, $\gamma_c(G) \leq \gamma_c(G + e)$. So we assume that u is not adjacent to any vertex in $S' - \{v\}$. Since G is a connected graph, u is not an isolated vertex in G . Let $t \in N(u)$. Then $t \in V(G) - S'$ and t is dominated by at least one vertex in S' . Then $S' \cup \{t\}$ is a connected dominating set of G . Hence, $\gamma_c(G) \leq \gamma_c(G + e) + 1$.

Case 3. $u \in S'$ and $v \notin S'$. In a similar way as Case 2, it is easy to prove.

Case 4. $v \in S'$ and $u \in S'$. If vu is not a cut edge of $\langle S' \rangle$, then S' is a connected dominating set of G . Hence, $\gamma_c(G) \leq \gamma_c(G + e)$. If vu is a cut edge of $\langle S' \rangle$, then let S'_1 and S'_2 be the two components of $\langle S' \rangle - vu$. If there exists a vertex w in $V(G) - S'$ such that $w \in (N(S'_1) \cap N(S'_2))$, then $S' \cup \{w\}$ is a connected dominating set of G . Hence, $\gamma_c(G) \leq \gamma_c(G + e) + 1$. So we assume that there is no vertex w in $V(G) - S'$ such that $w \in (N(S'_1) \cap N(S'_2))$. Since G is a connected graph, there exist two vertices w_1 and w_2 such that $w_1 \in N(S'_1)$, $w_2 \in N(S'_2)$ and w_1 and w_2 are adjacent. Hence, $S' \cup \{w_1, w_2\}$ is a connected dominating set of G . Hence, $\gamma_c(G) \leq \gamma_c(G + e) + 2$. \square

Observation 1. If $\gamma_c(G + vu) < \gamma_c(G)$ for a connected graph and an edge $vu \in E(\overline{G})$, then every $\gamma_c(G + vu)$ -set S contains at least one of u and v . Moreover, if without loss of generality, $v \in S$ and $u \notin S$, then v is the only neighbor of u in S .

Observation 2. If $\gamma_c(G + vu) = \gamma_c(G) - 2$ for a connected graph and an edge $vu \in E(\overline{G})$, then every $\gamma_c(G + vu)$ -set S contains both v and u .

For any edge $vu \in E(\overline{G})$, when we write $[v, S] \mapsto_c u$ it is understood that $S \cup \{v\}$ is a connected dominating set of $G - \{u\}$ and u is not dominated by S .

Since adding the edge between the two end leaves of a path P_n yields a cycle C_n and $\gamma_c(P_n) = \gamma_c(C_n)$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let G be a path or a cycle. Then

- (1) P_{2s} is not γ_c -critical relative to $K_{s,s}$ for $s \geq 2$.
- (2) C_{2s} is γ_c -critical relative to $K_{s,s}$.

Now, we prove that a tree is not γ_c -critical relative to $K_{s,s}$.

Theorem 2. *Let T be a tree with $n \geq 4$ vertices. Then T is not γ_c -critical relative to $K_{s,s}$.*

Proof. Suppose T is a γ_c -critical tree relative to $K_{s,s}$. Let $L = \{v \in V(T) : d(v) = 1\}$ and $I = V(T) - L$.

Claim 1. No two support vertices are adjacent.

Suppose that u and v are support vertices of u' and v' , respectively, and that u and v are adjacent. Consider $T' = T + u'v'$ and let S' be a connected dominating set of T' . If both u' and v' are in S' , then $(S' - \{u', v'\}) \cup \{u, v\}$ is a connected dominating set of T , a contradiction since $|S'| < \gamma_c(T)$. Hence we may assume that $u' \in S'$ and $v' \notin S'$, implying that $u \in S'$ and u' is the only neighbor of v' in T' that belongs to S' . But then $(S' - \{u'\}) \cup \{v\}$ is a connected dominating set of T , again a contradiction.

Claim 2. No vertex is adjacent to two or more leaves.

Let a support vertex $v \in A$ be adjacent to two leaves v_1 and v_2 . Since a tree is a connected graph and $|A| = |B|$, v has at least one neighbor u in B that is not a leaf. Let $u_1 \in N(u) - \{v\}$. By Claim 1, u_1 is not a leaf. Consider $T' = T + u_1v_1$ and let S' be a γ_c -set of T' . Since v and u_1 are cutvertices of T' , it is obvious that $v, u_1 \in S'$. If $v_1 \in S'$, then $(S' - \{v_1\}) \cup \{u\}$ is a connected dominating set of T , contradicting the fact that T is γ_c -critical. If $v_1 \notin S'$, then $u \in S'$ and S' is a connected dominating set of T , contradicting the fact that T is γ_c -critical. Hence, each support vertex is adjacent to only one leaf.

Let L_A and L_B denote the set of leaves in T that belong to A and B , respectively.

Claim 3. $L_A \neq \emptyset$ and $L_B \neq \emptyset$.

If there is no leaf in A , then each vertex in A has degree at least 2 in T , and so T has at least $2s$ edges, which contradicts the fact that T is a tree of order $2s$. Hence, $L_A \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, $L_B \neq \emptyset$.

Let $u \in L(A)$ and $v \in L(B)$, and let $P: u = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t = v$ denote the longest path in T between u and v . By Claim 1, $t \geq 6$. Since T is a γ_c -critical tree relative to $K_{s,s}$, T is not isomorphic to P_{2s} by Lemma 1. Hence, there exists at least one vertex $v_i \in V(P)$ such that $d(v_i) \geq 3$. Since $d(v_2) = d(v_{t-1}) = 2$ by Claim 2, we have $3 \leq i \leq t - 2$. Consider $T' = T + v_1v_t$ and let S' be a γ_c -set of T' . It follows that $v_i \in S'$. If $v_1, v_t \in S'$, then either $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\} \subseteq S'$ or $\{v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_t\} \subseteq S'$. Without loss of generality, assume $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\} \subseteq S'$. Then $\{v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \dots, v_t\}$ has at most two adjacent vertices, say v_j, v_{j+1} , such that $v_j \notin S'$ and $v_{j+1} \notin S'$. Hence, $(S' - \{v_1, v_t\}) \cup \{v_j, v_{j+1}\}$ is a connected dominating set of T , contradicting the fact that T is γ_c -critical. If there exists exactly one vertex in $\{v_1, v_t\}$, say $v_1 \in S'$, then

$\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\} \subseteq S'$. It follows that $\{v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \dots, v_{t-1}\}$ has at most one vertex v_{t-1} such that $v_{t-1} \notin S'$. Then $(S' - \{v_1\}) \cup \{v_{t-1}\}$ is a connected dominating set of T , contradicting the fact that T is γ_c -critical. \square

It is obvious that $1-\gamma_c$ -critical graph relative to $K_{s,s}$ is $K_{1,1}$. For $2-\gamma_c$ -critical graphs relative to $K_{s,s}$ it is $K_{s,s}$ for $s \geq 2$. For $3-\gamma_c$ -critical graphs relative to $K_{s,s}$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let $K_{s,s}$ have partite sets A and B . For $s \geq 3$, a graph G is $3-\gamma_c$ -critical relative to $K_{s,s}$ if and only if*

- (1) *there exists a vertex v of A such that $d(v) = s$, and*
- (2) *each vertex of B has degree $s - 1$.*

Proof. We first prove the necessity. Assume that G is $3-\gamma_c$ -critical relative to $K_{s,s}$ and let $S = \{x, y, z\}$ be a $\gamma_c(G)$ -set. Since S induces a P_3 , we may assume that $x \in A$ and $\{y, z\} \subset B$. So, $d(x) = s$.

Let v be a vertex of degree s in G . We may assume that $v \in A$, that is, $v \succ B$. Since $\gamma_c(G) = 3$, no vertex in B dominates A . Hence, $d(u) \leq s - 1$ for each $u \in B$. For each $u \in B$, let \bar{u} denote a vertex in A that is not adjacent to u in G . Let S be a $\gamma_c(G + u\bar{u})$ -set. Since G is $3-\gamma_c$ -critical relative to $K_{s,s}$, we have $|S| = 2$ and at least one of u and \bar{u} is in S . If $u \notin S$, then $S = \{\bar{u}, x\}$ where $x \in B - \{u\}$. But then $d(x) = s$, a contradiction. If $u \in S$, then $S = \{u, x\}$ where $x \in A$. Hence, $d(u) = s - 1$ for all $u \in B$.

Conversely, let G be a graph with the two properties listed in the theorem. Clearly, no two adjacent vertices dominate G , and so $\gamma_c(G) \geq 3$. For each $u \in B$, let \bar{u} denote a vertex in A that is not adjacent to u in G . Let $w \in N(\bar{u})$. Then $\{v, u, w\}$ is a connected dominating set of G . Hence, $\gamma_c(G) = 3$. For every edge $u\bar{u} \in E(\bar{G})$, $\{v, u\}$ is a connected dominating set of $G + u\bar{u}$. Hence, $\gamma_c(G + u\bar{u}) = 2$. Hence, the graph G is $3-\gamma_c$ -critical relative to $K_{s,s}$. \square

Let A and B be partite sets of $K_{s,s}$, and let η be the family of graphs G such that G is a connected spanning subgraph of $K_{s,s}$ for $s \geq 3$ and the following conditions hold:

- (1) there exists a vertex in A with degree s ,
- (2) no pair of vertices in B dominates A , and
- (3) for each nonadjacent pair $u \in A$ and $v \in B$, there exists a vertex $w \in B$ such that $\{v, w\} \succ A - \{u\}$.

Let τ be the family of spanning subgraphs G of $K_{s,s}$ such that the relative complement of G is the disjoint union of at least three nontrivial stars.

Theorem 4. A connected graph G is $4\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical relative to $K_{s,s}$ if and only if $G \in \eta \cup \tau$.

Proof. Suppose $G \in \eta \cup \tau$. We first show that $\gamma_c(G) \geq 4$. Clearly, no two adjacent vertices dominate G , and so $\gamma_c(G) \geq 3$. Suppose that $S = \{x, y, z\}$ is a $\gamma_c(G)$ -set. Since S induces a P_3 , we may assume that $x \in A$ and $\{y, z\} \subset B$. Hence, $x \succ B$, and so $d(x) = s$, while $\{y, z\} \succ A$. But then $G \notin \eta \cup \tau$, a contradiction. Hence, $\gamma_c(G) \geq 4$.

Case 1. $G \in \eta$. Let $x \in A$ be a vertex of G such that $x \succ B$. Since $\gamma_c(G) \geq 4$, there exists a pair of nonadjacent vertices $u \in A$ and $v \in B$. Moreover, there is a vertex $w \in B$ such that $\{v, w\} \succ A - \{u\}$. Thus, $\{x, v, w, z\} \succ_c G$ where $z \in N(u)$ implying that $\gamma_c(G) = 4$. By condition (3), for each nonadjacent pair $u \in A$ and $v \in B$ there exists a vertex $w \in B$ such that $\{v, w\} \succ A - \{u\}$. Thus, $\{v, w, x\} \succ_c G + uv$, and so $\gamma_c(G + uv) \leq 3$. Then G is $4\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical relative to $K_{s,s}$.

Case 2. $G \in \tau$. Each vertex of G is either the center of a star or an endvertex of a star in \overline{G} . If $\overline{G} = sK_2$, then it is clear that G is $4\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical relative to $K_{s,s}$. Hence we may assume that there is a vertex $u \in A$ that is the center of a star, say S_1 , in \overline{G} of order at least 3. Since $|A| = |B|$, there is a vertex $v \in B$ that is the center of a star, S_2 , in \overline{G} of order at least 3. Let u_1 (v_1) be adjacent to u (v , respectively) in \overline{G} . Let S_3 be another star in \overline{G} distinct from S_1 and S_2 . Let $x, y \in V(S_3)$ and $x \in A$, $y \in B$. Then $\{x, y, u_1, v_1\}$ is a connected dominating set of G . Hence, $\gamma_c(G) = 4$. For an arbitrary edge $uv \in \overline{G}$, assume $u \in A$ and $v \in B$. Suppose u is the center and v is the endvertex of the same star in \overline{G} . Then $\{u, u', v\} \succ_c G + uv$ for any vertex $u' \in A - \{u\}$, and so $\gamma_c(G + uv) \leq 3$. Then G is $4\text{-}\gamma_c$ -critical relative to $K_{s,s}$.

Conversely, we consider two cases.

Claim 1. If G has a vertex of degree s , then $G \in \eta$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $z \in A$ has degree s . Since $\gamma_c(G) = 4$, it follows that no vertex in B has degree s , and no pair of vertices in B dominate A . Hence conditions (1) and (2) hold. Since G is connected, every vertex in A has a neighbor in B implying that no vertex in B can have degree $s - 1$. Hence, $d(v) \leq s - 2$ for each $v \in B$.

Let $u \in A$ be a vertex not adjacent to $v \in B$. Since $d(v) \leq s - 2$, it is impossible that $\{v, u\} \succ_c G + vu$. If there exists a vertex x such that $\{v, u, x\} \succ_c G + vu$, then $x \in B$. So $\{x, v\} \succ A$ and $\{x, v, z\} \succ_c G$, which is a contradiction. Hence there exist two vertices x and y such that $\{v, x, y\} \succ_c G + vu$ or $\{u, x, y\} \succ_c G + vu$.

If $\{u, x, y\} \succ_c G + vu$, then, since each vertex in B has degree at most $s - 2$, both x and y must belong to B . But then $\{x, y, z\} \succ_c G$, a contradiction. Hence,

$\{v, x, y\} \succ_c G + vu$. Then, we may assume that $x \in B$ and $y \in A$. Thus, $\{v, x\} \succ A - \{u\}$, and condition (3) holds. Hence, $G \in \eta$.

Claim 2. If G has no vertex of degree s , then $G \in \tau$.

Let $u \in A$ and $v \in B$ be two nonadjacent vertices in G . We first prove that at least one of u and v has degree $s - 1$ in G . Suppose $d(u) \leq s - 2$. Hence, $\{u, v\} \not\succeq_c G + uv$. If there exists a vertex $w \in A$ such that $\{u, v, w\} \succ_c G + uv$, then $d(v) = s - 1$. If there exist two vertices w, z distinct from u and v such that $\{u, w, z\} \succ_c G + uv$, then there exists exactly one vertex in $\{w, z\}$ that belongs to A . Without loss of generality, assume $w \in A$ and $z \in B$. Then $d(z) = s$, which is a contradiction. If there exist two vertices w, z distinct from u and v such that $\{v, w, z\} \succ_c G + uv$, then $w, z \in A$. Hence $d(v) = s - 1$.

It follows from the above fact that at least one of u and v is a leaf in \overline{G} . This is true for every pair of nonadjacent vertices with one vertex in A and the other in B . Hence, since each vertex of \overline{G} has degree at least 1, \overline{G} is the disjoint union of nontrivial stars. Moreover, since G is a connected subgraph of $K_{s,s}$, \overline{G} is the disjoint union of at least three nontrivial stars. Thus, $G \in \tau$.

References

- [1] *E. Cockayne*: Variations on the Domination Number of a Graph. Lecture at the University of Natal, 1988.
- [2] *W. Goddard, M. A. Henning and H. C. Swart*: Some Nordhaus-Gaddum-type results. *J. Graph Theory* 16 (1992), 221–231. [Zbl 0774.05095](#)
- [3] *T. W. Haynes and M. A. Henning*: Domination critical graphs with respect to relative complements. *Australas J. Combin.* 18 (1998), 115–126. [Zbl 0914.05040](#)
- [4] *T. W. Haynes, M. A. Henning and L. C. van der Merwe*: Domination and total domination critical trees with respect to relative complements. *Ars Combin.* 59 (2001), 117–127. [Zbl 1066.05104](#)
- [5] *T. W. Haynes, M. A. Henning and L. C. van der Merwe*: Total domination critical graphs with respect to relative complements. *Ars Combin.* 64 (2002), 169–179. [Zbl 1074.05066](#)
- [6] *T. W. Haynes, C. M. Mynhardt and L. C. van der Merwe*: Total domination edge critical graphs. *Utilitas Math.* 54 (1998), 229–240. [Zbl 0918.05069](#)
- [7] *S. T. Hedetniemi*: Renu Laskar, Connected domination in graphs. *Graph Theory and Combinatorics* (1984), 209–217. [Zbl 0548.05055](#)
- [8] *E. Sampathkumar and H. B. Walikar*: The connected domination number of a graph. *Math. Phys. Sci.* 13 (1979), 607–613. [Zbl 0449.05057](#)

Authors' addresses: Xue-gang Chen, The College of Information Science and Engineering, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266510, P.R. China, e-mail: gxc_xdm@163.com; Liang Sun, Department of Applied Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, P.R. China.