

Tunay Bilgin

Lacunary strong (A_σ, p) -convergence

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 55 (2005), No. 3, 691–697

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128013>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

LACUNARY STRONG (A_{σ}, p) -CONVERGENCE

TUNAY BILGİN, Van

(Received October 21, 2002)

Abstract. The definition of lacunary strongly convergence is extended to the definition of lacunary strong (A_{σ}, p) -convergence with respect to invariant mean when A is an infinite matrix and $p = (p_i)$ is a strictly positive sequence. We study some properties and inclusion relations.

Keywords: lacunary sequence, invariant convergence, infinite matrix

MSC 2000: 40A05, 40F05

1. INTRODUCTION

Let σ be a mapping of the set of positive integers into itself. A continuous linear functional φ on l_{∞} , the space of real bounded sequences, is said to be an invariant mean or a σ -mean if and only if (i) $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ satisfies $x_n \geq 0$ for all n , (ii) $\varphi(e) = 1$ where $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$, and (iii) $\varphi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in l_{\infty}$. The mapping φ is assumed to be one-to-one and such that $\sigma^m(n) \neq n$ for all positive integers n and m , where $\sigma^m(n)$ denotes the m th iterate of the mapping σ at n . Thus φ extends the limit functional to c , the space of convergent sequences, in the sense that $\varphi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in c$.

Consequently, $c \subset V_{\sigma}$ where V_{σ} is the set of bounded sequence all of whose σ -means are equal. If σ is the translation mapping $n \rightarrow n + 1$, a σ -mean is often called a Banach limit (see [1]) and V_{σ} is the set of almost convergent sequences (see [7]). $[V_{\sigma}]$ denotes the set of all strongly σ -convergent sequences (see [8]). It is easy to see that $[V_{\sigma}]$ is a proper closed linear subspace of V_{σ} . Furthermore, $[V_{\sigma}]$ contains c .

Several authors (e.g. in [2], [8], [11], [12]) have studied invariant convergent sequences.

By a lacunary sequence $\theta = (k_r)$ where $k_0 = 0$ we mean an increasing sequence of positive integers with $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The intervals determined

by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$. The sequence space of lacunary strongly convergent sequences N_θ was defined in [6] as follows:

$$N_\theta = \left\{ x = (x_i) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} |x_i - s| = 0 \text{ for some } s \right\}.$$

Lacunary convergent sequences have been studied most recently in [3], [4], [5], [10], and [11].

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study a concept of lacunary strong (A_σ, p) -convergence.

Let $A = (a_{ik})$ be an infinite matrix of complex numbers. We write $Ax_{\sigma^i(n)} = (Ax_{\sigma^i(n)}(x))$ if $A_{\sigma^i(n)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik}x_{\sigma^k(n)}$ converges for all n and i .

For $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ we write $Ax_{i+n} = (A_{i+n}(x))$ and $A_{i+n}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik}x_{n+k}$ for $Ax_{\sigma^i(n)} = (A_{\sigma^i(n)}(x))$ and $A_{\sigma^i(n)}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{ik}x_{\sigma^k(n)}$, respectively.

2. LACUNARY STRONGLY (A_σ, p) -CONVERGENT SEQUENCES

We now introduce the generalizations of lacunary strongly convergent sequences and investigate some inclusion relations.

Definition 1. Let $A = (a_{ik})$ be an infinite matrix of complex numbers and $p = (p_i)$ a sequence of strictly positive real numbers. We define spaces

$$\sigma_\theta[A, p] = \left\{ x = (x_i) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} |Ax_{\sigma^i(n)} - s|^{p_i} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n, \text{ for some } s \right\},$$

$$\sigma_\theta^0[A, p] = \left\{ x = (x_i) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} |Ax_{\sigma^i(n)}|^{p_i} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}.$$

A sequence x of real or complex numbers is said to be lacunary strongly (A_σ, p) -convergent to the value s if $x \in \sigma_\theta[A, p]$. Then we write $x_k \rightarrow s[\sigma_\theta[A, p]]$.

If $p_i = 1$ for all i , we write $\sigma_\theta[A]$ and $\sigma_\theta^0[A]$ for $\sigma_\theta[A, p]$ and $\sigma_\theta^0[A, p]$, respectively. If A is a unit matrix we write σ_θ and σ_θ^0 for $\sigma_\theta[A]$ and $\sigma_\theta^0[A]$, respectively. Hence σ_θ is the same as the space L_θ of [1]. For $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, the space σ_θ is the same as M_θ , the space of lacunary strongly almost convergent sequences (see [2]).

The following inequality will be used frequently throughout the paper:

$$(1) \quad |a_i + b_i|^{p_i} \leq \max(1, 2^{H-1})(|a_i|^{p_i} + |b_i|^{p_i})$$

where a_i, b_i are complex numbers and $H = \sup p_i < \infty$.

It is easy to see that $\sigma_\theta[A, p]$ and $\sigma_\theta^0[A, p]$ are linear spaces. We consider only $\sigma_\theta[A, p]$.

Suppose that $x_i \rightarrow s^1$ and $y_i \rightarrow s^2$ in $\sigma_\theta[A, p]$ and that a, b are in C , the set of complex numbers. Then there exist integers T_a and T_b such that $|a| \leq T_a$ and $|b| \leq T_b$. From (1) we have

$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} |aAx_{\sigma^i(n)} + bAy_{\sigma^i(n)} - (as^1 + bs^2)|^{p_i} \leq \max\{1, 2^{H-1}\} \\ \times \left[(T_a)^H h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} |Ax_{\sigma^i(n)} - s^1|^{p_i} + (T_b)^H h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} |Ay_{\sigma^i(n)} - s^2|^{p_i} \right].$$

This implies that $ax + by \in \sigma_\theta[A, p]$.

The lacunary sequence $\theta^1 = (k_r^1)$ is called a lacunary refinement of $\theta = (k_r)$ if $(k_r) \subset (k_r^1)$ (see [4]).

Now we establish the inclusion relations among $\sigma_\theta[A, p]$ for different θ .

Theorem 2. (i) Let θ^1 be a refinement of θ . Then $\sigma_{\theta^1}[A, p] \subset \sigma_\theta[A, p]$.

(ii) Let L be a set of lacunary sequences closed under arbitrary union and intersection. Writing $\alpha = \bigcup_{\theta \in L} \theta$ and $\gamma = \bigcap_{\theta \in L} \theta$ we have $\sigma_\alpha[A, p] \subset \sigma_\theta[A, p] \subset \sigma_\gamma[A, p]$ for all $\theta \in L$.

Proof. Let $x \in \sigma_{\theta^1}[A, p]$ and let us suppose that there is a finite number of points $\theta^1 = (k_r^1)$ in the interval $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$. We assume for simplicity that there is exactly one point k_r^1 of θ^1 in the interval I_r , that is, $k_{r-1} = k_{j-1}^1 < k_j^1 < k_{j+1}^1 = k_r$. Now, from (1) we have

$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} |Ax_{\sigma^i(n)} - s|^{p_i} \leq \max\{1, 2^{H-1}\} \\ \times \left[(h_r^{-1} h_r^1) h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r^1} |Ax_{\sigma^i(n)} - s|^{p_i} + (h_r^{-1} h_r^2) h_r^{-2} \sum_{i \in I_r^2} |Ax_{\sigma^i(n)} - s|^{p_i} \right],$$

where $I_r^1 = (k_{r-1}, k_j]$, $I_r^2 = (k_j, k_r]$, $h_r^1 = k_j - k_{r-1}$ and $h_r^2 = k_r - k_j$. Since $x \in \sigma_{\theta^1}(A, p)$, $0 < h_r^{-1} h_r^1 \leq 1$ and $0 < h_r^{-1} h_r^2 \leq 1$, we have $x \in \sigma_\theta[A, p]$. This proves (i).

(ii) follows from the fact that α is a refinement of θ and θ is a refinement of γ for every $\theta \in L$. \square

For $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ we write $M_\theta[A, p]$ for $\sigma_\theta[A, p]$. We now give a lemma to be used later.

Lemma 3. Suppose that for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist k_0 and n_0 such that

$$k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} < \varepsilon$$

for all $k \geq k_0$ and $n \geq n_0$. Then $x \in w[A, p]$, where

$$w[A, p] = \left\{ x = (x_i) : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n, \text{ for some } s \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose k_1 and n_0 such that

$$k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for all $k \geq k_1$, $n \geq n_0$. It is enough to prove that there is k_2 such that $k \geq k_2$, $0 \leq n \leq n_0$,

$$(2) \quad k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} < \varepsilon.$$

Taking $k_0 = \max(k_1, k_2)$, (2) will hold for $k \geq k_0$ and for all n , which gives the result. Once n_0 has been chosen, n_0 is fixed, so

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} = R(n) \quad (\text{say}).$$

Now, taking $0 \leq n \leq n_0$ and $k > n_0$, we have

$$k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} = k^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} + \sum_{i=n_0}^{k-1} \right) |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} \leq \frac{R(n)}{k} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Taking k sufficiently large, we have (2) and hence the result. □

Theorem 4. $w[A, p] = M_\theta[A, p]$ for every lacunary sequence θ , where

$$M_\theta[A, p] = \left\{ x = (x_i) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n, \text{ for some } s \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in M_\theta[A, p]$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist r_0 and s such that

$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{h_r-1} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} < \varepsilon$$

for $r \geq r_0$ and $n = k_{r-1} + 1 + u$, $u \geq 0$. Let $k \geq h_r$, write $k = mh_r + p$, where $0 \leq p \leq h_r$, m is an integer. Since $k \geq h_r$, $m \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} &\leq k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{(m+1)h_r-1} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} = k^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{i=jh_r}^{(j+1)h_r-1} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} \\ &\leq (m+1)k^{-1}h_r\varepsilon \leq 2mk^{-1}h_r\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for $k^{-1}h_r \leq n$, and since $mk^{-1}h_r \leq 1$ we conclude

$$k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Then by Lemma 3, $M_\theta[A, p] \subset w[A, p]$. It is easy to see that $w[A, p] \subset M_\theta[A, p]$ for every θ . \square

Theorem 5. Let A be a strongly regular matrix and $0 < \inf p_i$, then $x_i \rightarrow s$ implies $x_i \rightarrow s[M_\theta[A, p]]$.

Proof. Suppose that $x_i \rightarrow s$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. This implies $Ax_{i+n} \rightarrow s$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in n . Since $0 < h = \inf p_i$ we have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^h = 0$ uniformly in n . So for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there is $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $i > i_0$ and for all n , $|Ax_{i+n} - s|^h < \varepsilon < 1$ and since $p_i > h$ for all i ,

$$|Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} \leq |Ax_{i+n} - s|^h < \varepsilon.$$

Then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} = 0$ uniformly in n and therefore $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} = 0$. From Theorem 4 we have $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^{p_i} = 0$ uniformly in n . So $x_i \rightarrow s[M_\theta[A, p]]$. \square

Theorem 6. Let A be a limitation method, $x \in \ell_\infty$ and $p_i = p$ for all i . Then $w(Ap) \equiv M_\theta(Ap)$ for every lacunary sequence θ , where

$$w(Ap) = \left\{ x = (x_i) : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (Ax_{i+n} - s)^p = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n, \text{ for some } s \right\}$$

and

$$M_\theta(Ap) = \left\{ x = (x_i) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} (Ax_{i+n} - s)^p = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n, \text{ for some } s \right\}.$$

In order to prove this theorem, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 7. Suppose that for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist k_0 and n_0 such that

$$k^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (Ax_{i+n} - s)^p < \varepsilon$$

for all $k \geq k_0$ and $n \geq n_0$. Then $x \in w(Ap)$.

Proof. The proof of Lemma 7 is similar to the proof of Lemma 3. □

Proof of Theorem 6. Let $x \in M_\theta(Ap)$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist r_0 and n_0 such that

$$(3) \quad h_r^{-1} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{h_r-1} (Ax_{i+n} - s)^p \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for $r \geq r_0$, $n \geq n_0$ and $n = k_{r-1} + 1 + u$, $u \geq 0$. Let $k \geq h_r$, m be an integer greater than or equal to 1. Then

$$(4) \quad k^{-1} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (Ax_{i+n} - s)^p \right| \leq k^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^m \left| \sum_{i=jh_r}^{(j+1)h_r-1} (Ax_{i+n} - s)^p \right| + k^{-1} \sum_{i=mh_r}^{k-1} |Ax_{i+n} - s|^p.$$

Since A is a limitation method and $x \in \ell_\infty$, let for all i and n , $|Ax_{i+n} - s|^p \leq M$ (say). So (3) and (4) imply

$$k^{-1} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (Ax_{i+n} - s)^p \right| \leq mk^{-1}h_r \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + Mk^{-1}h_r.$$

For $k^{-1}h_r \leq 1$, since $mk^{-1}h_r \leq 1$, we can make $Mk^{-1}h_r$ less than $\varepsilon/2$ by taking k sufficiently large. So

$$k^{-1} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (Ax_{i+n} - s)^p \right| < \varepsilon$$

for all $k \geq k_0$ and $n \geq n_0$. Hence, by Lemma 7, $M_\theta(Ap) \subset w(Ap)$. It is trivial to see that $w(Ap) \subset M_\theta(Ap)$ for every θ . This completes the proof. □

Let $p_k = s$ for all k , $q_k = t$ for all k and $0 < s \leq t$. Then it follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} |Ax_{\sigma'(n)}|^s \leq \left(h_r^{-1} \sum_{i \in I_r} |Ax_{\sigma^i(n)}|^t \right)^{s/t}$$

and therefore $\sigma_\theta^0[A, q] \subseteq \sigma_\theta^0[A, p]$.

We now consider the case that (p_i) and (q_i) are not constant sequences. We are able to prove $\sigma_\theta^0[A, q] \subseteq \sigma_\theta^0[A, p]$ only under additional conditions.

Theorem 8. *Let $0 < p_i \leq q_i$ for all k and let (q_i/p_i) be bounded. Then*

$$\sigma_\theta^0[A, q] \subseteq \sigma_\theta^0[A, p] \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_\theta[A, q] \subseteq \sigma_\theta[A, p].$$

Proof. If we take $t_i = |Ax_{\sigma^i(n)}|^{p_i}$ for all i , then using the same technique as in [9, Theorem 2], it is easy to prove the theorem. \square

Result.

- (i) *If $0 < \inf p_i \leq p_i \leq 1$ for all k , then $\sigma_\theta[A] \subseteq \sigma_\theta[A, p]$.*
- (ii) *$1 \leq p_i \leq \sup p_i = H < \infty$, then $\sigma_\theta[A, p] \subseteq \sigma_\theta[A]$.*

References

- [1] *S. Banach:* Theorie des operation lineaires. Warszawa, 1932.
- [2] *T. Bilgin:* Strong A_σ -summability defined by a modulus. J. Ist. Univ. Sci. 53 (1996), 89–95.
- [3] *T. Bilgin:* Lacunary strong A -convergence with respect to a modulus. Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. 46 (2001), 39–46.
- [4] *G. Das and S. K. Mishra:* Sublinear functional and a class of conservative matrices. J. Orissa Math. 20 (1989), 64–67.
- [5] *G. Das and B. K. Patel:* Lacunary distribution of sequences. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 20 (1989), 64–74.
- [6] *A. R. Freedman, J. J. Sember and M. Raphed:* Some Cesaro-type summability spaces. Proc. London Math. Soc. 37 (1978), 508–520.
- [7] *G. G. Lorentz:* A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences. Acta Math. 80 (1980), 167–190.
- [8] *Mursaleen:* Matrix transformations between some new sequence spaces. Houston J. Math. 4 (1983), 505–509.
- [9] *E. Öztürk and T. Bilgin:* Strongly summable sequence spaces defined by a modulus. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 25 (1994), 621–625.
- [10] *S. Pehlivan and B. Fisher:* Lacunary strong convergence with respect to a sequence of modulus functions. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 36 (1995), 69–76.
- [11] *E. Savaş:* Lacunary strong σ -convergence. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 21 (1990), 359–365.
- [12] *P. Scheafer:* Infinite matrices and invariant meant. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1972), 104–110.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, University of 100. Yil, Van, Turkey, e-mail: tbilgin@yyu.edu.tr.