

Ivan Chajda; Alexander G. Pinus; A. Denisov
Lattices of quasiorders on universal algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 49 (1999), No. 2, 291–301

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127488>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

LATTICES OF QUASIORDERS ON UNIVERSAL ALGEBRAS

I. CHAJDA, Olomouc, A. PINUS, A. DENISOV, Novosibirsk

(Received April 15, 1996)

Lattices of quasiorders were studied mainly by G. Czédli and A. Lenkehegyi [2] and by A. G. Pinus and I. Chajda [9]. These investigations were done both for universal algebras and algebras of special sorts: lattices, semilattices etc. In some cases, the lattice of all quasiorders of an algebra \mathcal{A} has similar properties as the congruence lattice $\text{Con } \mathcal{A}$, however, there are also essential distinctions. One of the traditional questions concerning congruence lattices is a characterization of congruence lattices satisfying given identities. It was partly solved for quasiorder lattices and for varieties of algebras in [2], [8], [9]. An abstract algebraic characterization of quasiorder lattices was settled in [1], [8]. The aim of this paper is to characterize concrete quasiorder lattices and to represent these lattices by quasiorder lattices of algebras of restricted similarity types.

By a *quasiorder* on an algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, F)$ we mean a reflexive and transitive binary relation on A which has the substitution property with respect to all operations of F , i.e. for all pairs $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle$ of this relation ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and each n -ary $f \in F$ also the pair $\langle f(a_1, \dots, a_n), f(b_1, \dots, b_n) \rangle$ is its member. Hence, quasiorders on \mathcal{A} are reflexive and transitive subalgebras of \mathcal{A}^2 . The set $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$ of all quasiorders on \mathcal{A} forms an algebraic lattice with respect to set inclusion. Of course, $\text{Con } \mathcal{A}$ is a sublattice of $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$ with the same least and greatest elements.

§ 1.

As was shown in [1], [8], every algebraic lattice is isomorphic to $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$ for some algebra \mathcal{A} . This raises the question on a concrete characterization of $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$, i.e. a question whether a lattice L of reflexive and transitive binary relations on a set A is isomorphic to $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$ for some algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, F)$. For equivalences and congruences, an analogous problem was solved by B. Jónsson [4].

Let φ be a mapping of A^2 into the set of all reflexive and transitive binary relations on A and let $a, b \in A$. Denote by $\text{St}_{a,b}(\varphi)$ the set of all pairs $\langle f(a), f(b) \rangle$, where f runs over the set of all mappings $A \rightarrow A$ satisfying

$$\langle f(c), f(d) \rangle \in \varphi(\langle c, d \rangle).$$

Denote by $Q_{a,b}(\varphi)$ the reflexive and transitive relation on A generated by $\text{St}_{a,b}(\varphi)$. Denote by Δ_A the diagonal of A^2 , i.e. $\Delta_A = \{\langle a, a \rangle; a \in A\}$.

A set S of subsets of a given set C is called an *algebraic closure system* if S is closed under arbitrary intersections and is up-directed with respect to inclusion. Evidently, the set of all quasiorders on an algebra \mathcal{A} is an algebraic closure system.

Theorem 1. *Let \mathbf{Q} be an algebraic closure system of some reflexive and transitive binary relations on a set A , let $\Delta_A \in \mathbf{Q}$ and let $a, b \in A$, $a \neq b$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *there exists an algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, F)$ with $\mathbf{Q} = \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$;*
- (2) *for every mapping $\varphi: A^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$, $Q_{a,b}(\varphi) \in \mathbf{Q}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathbf{Q} = \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$ for some algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, F)$. Denote by $q_{c,d}(\mathcal{A})$ the least quasiorder on \mathcal{A} containing the pair $\langle c, d \rangle$, the so called principal quasiorder generated by $\langle c, d \rangle$. Taking into account the definition of $Q_{a,b}(\varphi)$, we need only to prove that for every $\varphi: A^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$, the relation $\text{St}_{a,b}(\varphi)$ is compatible with all operations of F . With respect to reflexivity and transitivity, we need only to show compatibility with respect to all unary polynomials over \mathcal{A} . Let $\langle c, d \rangle \in \text{St}_{a,b}(\varphi)$ and let $g(x)$ be a unary polynomial over \mathcal{A} . By the definition of $\text{St}_{a,b}(\varphi)$, there exists a mapping $f: A \rightarrow A$ with $\langle c, d \rangle = \langle f(a), f(b) \rangle$ and for each $u, v \in A$ we have $\langle f(u), f(v) \rangle \in \varphi(\langle u, v \rangle)$, i.e. $q_{f(u),f(v)}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \varphi(\langle u, v \rangle)$. Evidently, gf is a mapping of A into itself with

$$\langle g(f(u)), g(f(v)) \rangle \in q_{f(u),f(v)}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \varphi(\langle u, v \rangle),$$

i.e.

$$\langle g(c), g(d) \rangle = \langle g(f(a)), g(f(b)) \rangle \in \text{St}_{a,b}(\varphi).$$

By the foregoing remark, we conclude that $Q_{a,b}(\varphi)$ is a quasiorder of the algebra \mathcal{A} , i.e. $Q_{a,b}(\varphi) \in \mathbf{Q}$. This completes the proof of (1) \Rightarrow (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let \mathbf{Q} satisfy (2). Evidently, for each $c, d \in A$ and every $\varphi: A^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ we have $Q_{c,d}(\varphi) \in \mathbf{Q}$. Denote $p(c, d) = \bigcap \{r \in \mathbf{Q}; \langle c, d \rangle \in r\}$. Hence $p: A^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$. Denote by G the set all mappings $A \rightarrow A$ preserving $p(c, d)$ for every $c, d \in A$. Let

$\mathcal{A} = (A, G)$. We are going to show that $\mathbf{Q} = \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$. The inclusion $\mathbf{Q} \subseteq \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$ is clear. To prove the converse inclusion we need to show that $q_{c,d}(\mathcal{A}) = p(c, d)$ for every c, d of A . The inclusion $q_{c,d}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq p(c, d)$ follows by $\mathbf{Q} \subseteq \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$. We prove $p(c, d) \subseteq q_{c,d}(\mathcal{A})$. By definition, $\text{St}_{c,d}(p) = \{\langle f(c), f(d) \rangle; f \in G\}$. Hence $\text{St}_{c,d}(p) \subseteq q_{c,d}(\mathcal{A})$, i.e. $Q_{c,d}(p) \subseteq q_{c,d}(\mathcal{A})$. However, $Q_{c,d}(p) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and $p(c, d) \subseteq Q_{c,d}(p) \subseteq q_{c,d}(\mathcal{A})$. Together, $p(c, d) = q_{c,d}(\mathcal{A})$, which yields $\mathbf{Q} = \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$. \square

§ 2.

It is known that for an algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, F)$ there exist algebras \mathcal{B} with restricted similarity types such that $\text{Con } \mathcal{A} \cong \text{Con } \mathcal{B}$. These results were settled by R. Freese, W. Lampe, W. Taylor [3], [6], [7], B. Jónsson [4] and S. R. Kogalovskij and V. V. Soldatova [5]. We are now going to prove similar results for lattices $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$ instead of $\text{Con } \mathcal{A}$ by heavily using the methods for congruence lattices in the quoted papers.

Theorem 2. *For any finite algebra \mathcal{A} there exists a finite algebra \mathcal{B} with only 4 unary operations such that $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A} \cong \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{A} is finite, we may assume that \mathcal{A} is of a finite similarity type F . Let $f \in F$ be n -ary, let $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$ be elements of \mathcal{A} and let Q be a reflexive and transitive relation on \mathcal{A} . Put $u_i(x) = f(b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n)$. Evidently, $\langle u_i(a_i), u_i(b_i) \rangle \in Q$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ imply also

$$\langle f(a_1, \dots, a_n), f(b_1, \dots, b_n) \rangle \in Q$$

because of reflexivity and transitivity of Q . Hence, \mathcal{A} can be considered to be unary. Let f_1, \dots, f_n be all unary operations of \mathcal{A} and let $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ be the support of \mathcal{A} . Put

$$B = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}^{m+n+1}$$

and $\mathcal{B} = (B; \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4\})$, where g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 are unary operations on B defined as follows: for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n+1})$ let

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x) &= (a_1, \dots, a_m, f_1(x_1), f_2(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_1), x_1), \\ g_2(x) &= (x_2, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{m+n+1}), \\ g_3(x) &= (x_{m+n+1}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n}), \\ g_4(x) &= (x_2, x_1, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{m+n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

It is an easy exercise to show that for any mapping π of $\{1, 2, \dots, m+n+1\}$ into itself the mapping $H_\pi: B \rightarrow B$ given by

$$H_\pi(x) = (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(m+n+1)})$$

is a term operation of B .

Let $R \subseteq A \times A$ be a binary relation. Define $\overline{R} \subseteq B \times B$ as follows:

$$\langle x, y \rangle \in \overline{R} \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle x_k, y_k \rangle \in R \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m+n+1,$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n+1})$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m+n+1})$. Evidently, $R \subseteq S$ if and only if $\overline{R} \subseteq \overline{S}$, and hence the mapping of the system of all subsets of $A \times A$ into the system of all subsets of $B \times B$ defined by $R \mapsto \overline{R}$ is an injection. It is also obvious that if R is reflexive and transitive then also \overline{R} has these properties. By virtue of the definition of g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 , R has the substitution property with respect to f_1, \dots, f_n if and only if \overline{R} has the substitution property with respect to g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 . So $Q \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$ if and only if $\overline{Q} \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$. It remains to show that the mapping $Q \mapsto \overline{Q}$ is a surjection of $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$ onto $\text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$.

Let $S \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$. Introduce $Q \subseteq A \times A$ as follows:

$$Q = \{ \langle u, v \rangle \in A \times A; \langle (u, u, \dots, u), (v, v, \dots, v) \rangle \in S \}.$$

Clearly Q is reflexive and transitive. By using the term operations H_π (with π as a constant map) we conclude that

$$\langle x, y \rangle \in S \Rightarrow \langle x_k, y_k \rangle \in Q \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \dots, m+n+1.$$

We prove the converse implication. If $\langle x, y \rangle \in S$ and $r \leq m+n+1$ and $x', y' \in B$ are such that

$$x'_r = x_r, \quad y'_r = y_r \quad \text{and} \quad x'_k = x_k \quad \text{for} \quad r \neq k$$

then also $\langle x', y' \rangle \in S$. (Indeed, we can assume $r = 0$ and x', y' are obtained from x, y by first applying g_1 and then, since all elements of A occur among the first m coordinates, applying a suitable term H_π ; hence $\langle x', y' \rangle \in S$).

Now, let

$$z^{(k)} = (y_1, \dots, y_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{m+n+1}).$$

If $\langle x_k, y_k \rangle \in Q$ then $\langle x^{(k)}, z^{(k+1)} \rangle \in S$. Since S is reflexive and transitive and $x = z^{(1)}$, $y = z^{(m+n+1)}$, we conclude

$$\langle x_k, y_k \rangle \in Q \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \dots, m+n+1 \Rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in S.$$

Hence $\overline{Q} = S$. It remains to show the substitution property of Q . Suppose $\langle u, v \rangle \in Q$ and put $x = (u, u, \dots, u)$, $y = (v, v, \dots, v)$. Then $\langle x, y \rangle \in S$, but $S \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$ implies

$$\langle g_1(x), g_1(y) \rangle \in S,$$

thus also $\langle g_1(x)_k, g_1(y)_k \rangle \in Q$ for $k = 1, \dots, m+n+1$. Since $f_i(u)$ or $f_i(v)$ occurs as the first m coordinates in $g_1(x)$ or $g_1(y)$, respectively, clearly also $\langle f_i(u), f_i(v) \rangle \in Q$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ completing the proof. \square

Theorem 3. For every finite algebra \mathcal{A} of finite similarity type there exists a finite algebra \mathcal{B} of type $(2, 1, 1)$ such that $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A} \cong \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. For $\mathcal{A} = (A, F)$ suppose $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ where each f_i is considered to be n -ary. Let $C = A^n$ and introduce one binary and two unary operations of C as follows: for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$

$$\begin{aligned} x \bullet y &= (x_1, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}), \\ g(x) &= (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_n(x)), \\ h(x) &= (x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{C} = (C; \{\bullet, g, h\})$ is a finite algebra of type $(2, 1, 1)$. For $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(k)} \in C$ ($k \geq 2$) we put

$$(*) \quad x^{(1)} \bullet x^{(2)} \bullet \dots \bullet x^{(k)} = x^{(1)} \bullet (x^{(2)} \bullet (\dots x^{(k)} \dots)).$$

Define the mapping $\varphi: \text{Quord } \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Quord } \mathcal{C}$ as follows:

$$\langle (x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \rangle \in \varphi(R) \quad \text{iff} \quad \langle x_i, y_i \rangle \in R$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $R \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$. Clearly, $\varphi(R)$ is reflexive and transitive binary relation on C and, by the definition of operations $\bullet, g, h, \varphi(R) \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{C}$. Evidently, for $R, S \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$ we have $R \subseteq S$ if and only if $\varphi(R) \subseteq \varphi(S)$, i.e. φ is an injection. It remains to prove that φ is a surjection.

For $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in C$ we put $I(x) = x_1$. Let $R \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{C}$. Let $T \subseteq A \times A$ be such that $\langle u, v \rangle \in T$ if and only if there exist $x, y \in C$ with $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ and $I(x) = u, I(y) = v$. Evidently, T is reflexive. Suppose $\langle u, v \rangle \in T$ and $\langle v, w \rangle \in T$. Hence, there exist $x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, z \in C$ with $\langle x, y^{(1)} \rangle \in R, \langle y^{(2)}, z \rangle \in R$ and $I(x) = u, I(y^{(1)}) = v = I(y^{(2)}), I(z) = w$. By $(*)$ and the definition of \bullet we have $x^n = x \bullet x \bullet \dots \bullet x = (u, u, \dots, u)$. Analogously,

$$(y^{(1)})^n = (v, v, \dots, v) = (y^{(2)})^n, \quad z^n = (w, w, \dots, w).$$

Hence $\langle x^n, (y^{(1)})^n \rangle \in R, \langle (y^{(2)})^n, z^n \rangle \in R$ and, by the transitivity of R , also $\langle x^n, z^n \rangle \in R$. Thus $I(x^n) = u, I(z^n) = w$ give $\langle u, w \rangle \in T$ proving transitivity of T .

Now we show that $\langle (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \rangle \in R$ whenever $\langle x_i, y_i \rangle \in T$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Assume $\langle x_i, y_i \rangle \in T$. Then there exist $x^{(i)}, y^{(i)} \in C$ such that $\langle x^{(i)}, y^{(i)} \rangle \in R$ and $I(x^{(i)}) = x_i, I(y^{(i)}) = y_i$. However,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (x_1, \dots, x_n) = x^{(1)} \bullet x^{(2)} \bullet \dots \bullet x^{(n)}, \\ y &= (y_1, \dots, y_n) = y^{(1)} \bullet y^{(2)} \bullet \dots \bullet y^{(n)}, \end{aligned}$$

so $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$.

It remains to show that $T \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$. Let $\langle x_i, y_i \rangle \in T$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$. Hence $\langle g(x), g(y) \rangle \in R$ and so $\langle f_1(x), f_1(y) \rangle \in T$. Analogously, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ we have $\langle h^k g(x), h^k g(y) \rangle \in R$, so $\langle f_i(x), f_i(y) \rangle \in T$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$. Thus $T \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$.

Finally we show that $R = \varphi(T)$. Suppose $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle (x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \rangle \in R$. Then $\langle h^k(x), h^k(y) \rangle \in R$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, i.e. $\langle x_i, y_i \rangle \in T$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. This gives $\langle x, y \rangle \in \varphi(T)$, i.e. $R \subseteq \varphi(T)$. Assume $\langle x, y \rangle \in \varphi(T)$. Then $\langle x_i, y_i \rangle \in T$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, thus also $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$, i.e. $\varphi(T) \subseteq R$. \square

The foregoing construction can be generalized also for algebras which need not be finite:

Theorem 4. *For every algebra \mathcal{A} of finite similarity type there exists an algebra \mathcal{B} of type $(2, 1, 1)$ such that $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A} \cong \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A; \{f_n, \dots, f_m\})$. Without loss of generality suppose that all f_i are n -ary. Let B be the set of all (infinite) sequences

$$u = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) \text{ of elements } a_i \in A \text{ such that}$$

$$\text{for some } n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, a_j = a_k \text{ for } j, k \geq n_0.$$

Introduce one binary and two unary operations on B as follows: for $u = (x_1, x_2, \dots), v = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$

$$d(u, v) = (f_1(y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, f_m(y_1, \dots, y_n), x_1, y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots)$$

$$g_1(u) = (x_1, x_1, x_1, \dots)$$

$$g_2(u) = (x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots).$$

Put $\mathcal{B} = (B; \{d, g_1, g_2\})$. For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we put

$$h_p(u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(p+1)}, v) = (x_1^{(1)}, x_1^{(2)}, \dots, x_1^{(p+1)}, y_1, y_2, \dots),$$

where $u^{(s)} = (u_1^{(s)}, u_2^{(s)}, \dots), v = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$. Hence, h_p is a $(p+2)$ -ary operation on B and, moreover,

$$h_1(u, v) = g_2^m(d(u^{(1)}, g_2^m(d(u^{(2)}, v))))),$$

$$h_{p+1}(u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(p+2)}, v) = h_1(u^{(1)}, h_p(u^{(2)}, u^{(3)}, \dots, u^{(p+2)}, v))$$

(where $g_2^0(x) = x, g_2^m(x) = g_2(g_2^{m-1}(x))$), thus all h_p are term operations of \mathcal{B} .

For $Q \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$ we put $\varphi(Q) = Q^*$, where $Q^* \subseteq B \times B$ and $\langle u, v \rangle \in Q^*$ iff $\langle x_k, y_k \rangle \in Q$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. It is easy to show that for each $Q \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$, $\varphi(Q)$ is reflexive and transitive. Further, $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ iff $\varphi(Q_1) \subseteq \varphi(Q_2)$, thus φ is an injection. Let us prove $\varphi(Q) \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$:

Let $\langle u, v \rangle \in Q^* = \varphi(Q)$. Then $\langle x_k, y_k \rangle \in Q$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ whence $\langle g_1(u), g_1(v) \rangle \in Q^*$ and $\langle g_2(u), g_2(v) \rangle \in Q^*$. Also $\langle u, v \rangle \in Q^*$, $\langle w, t \rangle \in Q^*$ imply

$$\langle d(u, w), d(v, t) \rangle \in Q^*$$

directly by the definition of d . Thus $Q^* \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$.

It remains to show that φ is a surjection of $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$ onto $\text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$. Suppose $R \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$. Put $Q = \{\langle x, y \rangle \in A \times A; \langle (x, x, x, \dots), (y, y, y, \dots) \rangle \in R\}$. Trivially, Q is reflexive and transitive. Suppose $\langle u, v \rangle \in R$ for $u = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$, $v = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$. Since R has the substitution property with respect to g_1, g_2, d we obtain $\langle g_1 g_2^{k-1}(u), g_1 g_2^{k-1}(v) \rangle \in R$, i.e. $\langle (x_k, x_k, \dots), (y_k, y_k, \dots) \rangle \in R$. Hence $\langle x_k, y_k \rangle \in Q$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Conversely, let $u = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$, $v = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$ and $\langle x_k, y_k \rangle \in Q$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be such a number that for all $i > p$ both sequences u, v are constant, and for $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ we put

$$x^{(k)} = (x_k, x_k, x_k, \dots), \quad y^{(k)} = (y_k, y_k, y_k, \dots).$$

Then $\langle x^{(k)}, y^{(k)} \rangle \in R$ for $k = 1, \dots, p$ and

$$u = h_p(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(p)}), \quad v = h_p(y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(p)}),$$

whence $\langle u, v \rangle \in R$. Thus $\varphi(Q) = R$. It remains to prove the substitution property of Q . Suppose $\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle \in Q, \dots, \langle x_n, y_n \rangle \in Q$ and for an arbitrary $a \in A$ put

$$u = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, a, a, \dots), \quad v = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, a, a, \dots).$$

Then $u, v \in B$ and $\langle u, v \rangle \in R$. Hence $\langle d(u, u), d(v, v) \rangle \in R$, which implies

$$\langle d(u, u)_k, d(v, v)_k \rangle \in Q \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This gives $\langle f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n), f_i(y_1, \dots, y_n) \rangle \in Q$ by the definition of d . Thus $Q \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$. \square

An element $\alpha \in A$ is called a “zero of $\mathcal{A} = (A, F)$ ” if for each n -ary $f \in F$ and each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that $a_i = \alpha$ we have

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, \alpha, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) = \alpha.$$

Theorem 5. For every countable (finite) algebra \mathcal{A} with zero there exists a countable (finite) algebra \mathcal{B} with only two unary operations such that $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A} \cong \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is countable. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2, we can consider (without loss of generality) that all operations of \mathcal{A} are unary. For each quadruple $\gamma = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle \in A^4$, the function $f: A \rightarrow A$ is called γ -compatible if $f(a) = c$ and $f(b) = d$. A quadruple $\gamma = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle \in A^4$ is called accessible if there exists a term function $f(x)$ of \mathcal{A} such that $f(a) = c$ and $f(b) = d$. Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of all accessible $\gamma \in A^4$ and let Ψ be a function which maps every $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ onto some γ -compatible term of \mathcal{A} . Then, of course, $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A} \cong \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$ for $\mathcal{B} = (A, \Psi(\Gamma(\mathcal{A})))$. Hence \mathcal{A} can be considered to be of countable signature, i.e. $\mathcal{A} = (A; f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots)$. Suppose now that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $f_n(\alpha) = \alpha$, where α is a zero of \mathcal{A} . Take the class $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ of sets A_i with $|A| = |A_i|$, $A_0 = A$ and $A_i \cap A_j = \{\alpha\}$ for each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \neq j$. Put $B = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$. Let h be a mapping of B into itself such that $h(\alpha) = \alpha$ and h maps A_i bijectively on A_{i+1} . Let k be a mapping of B into itself such that $k(h(b)) = b$ for each $b \in B$ and $k(a) = a$ for $a \in A_0$. Introduce $g: B \rightarrow B$ as follows:

$$(*) \quad g(b) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } b \in A_0, \\ h(a) & \text{if } b = h(a) \text{ for some } a \in A_0, \\ f_{i-1}(a) & \text{if } b = h^i(a) \text{ for some } a \in A_0 \text{ and some } i > 1. \end{cases}$$

Consider an algebra $\mathcal{B} = (B; \{h, k, g\})$. Evidently α is the (unique) zero of \mathcal{B} . For every subset $E \subseteq B^2$ we consider the following properties of the quadruple $\lambda = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, E, \alpha \rangle$:

- (a) $E \cap A^2 \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$;
- (b) for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\langle h^m(a), h^n(b) \rangle \in E \Rightarrow \langle h^n(a), h^n(b) \rangle \in E$ for every a, b of A ;
- (c) for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \neq n$ and each $a, b \in A$, $\langle h^m(a), h^n(b) \rangle \in E \Rightarrow \langle a, \alpha \rangle \in E$ and $\langle \alpha, b \rangle \in E$;
- (d) for each $a, b \in A$ and each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\langle a, \alpha \rangle \in E$ and $\langle \alpha, b \rangle \in E \Rightarrow \langle h^m(a), h^n(b) \rangle \in E$;
- (e) for each $a, b \in A$ and each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\langle h^m(a), h^n(b) \rangle \in E \Rightarrow \langle a, b \rangle \in E$.

Of course, for every $E \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$ the quadruple $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, E, \alpha \rangle$ satisfies (a)–(e). It is routine to verify the converse, i.e. that for $\langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, E, \alpha \rangle$ satisfying (a)–(e) we have $E \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$.

For $T \subseteq A^2$ let the symbol $\mathcal{B}(T)$ denote the quasiorder on \mathcal{B} generated by T .

We prove $\mathcal{B}(A^2 \cap E) = E$ for every $E \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$. For this we need only to show $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(A^2 \cap E)$. Let $\langle h^m(a), h^n(b) \rangle \in E$ for some $a, b \in A$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle h^{n+m}(h^m(a)), k^{n+m}(h^n(b)) \rangle \in E \cap A^2$. If $m = n$ then $\langle h^m(a), h^n(b) \rangle \in \mathcal{B}(A^2 \cap E)$. If $m \neq n$ and e.g. $m < n$ then

$$\langle \alpha, b \rangle = \langle k(g(k^{n-1}(h^m(a)))) , k(g(k^{n-1}(h^n(b)))) \rangle \in A^2 \cap E$$

and

$$\langle a, \alpha \rangle = \langle k(g(k^{m-1}(h^m(a)))) , k(g(k^{n-1}(h^n(b)))) \rangle \in A^2 \cap E.$$

Hence $\langle h^m(a), \alpha \rangle = \langle h^m(a), h^m(\alpha) \rangle \in \mathcal{B}(A^2 \cap E)$ and $\langle \alpha, h^n(b) \rangle = \langle h^n(\alpha), h^n(b) \rangle \in \mathcal{B}(A^2 \cap E)$. This yields $\langle h^m(a), h^n(b) \rangle \in \mathcal{B}(A^2 \cap E)$.

Analogously we can prove $A^2 \cap \mathcal{B}(Q) = Q$ for every $Q \in \text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$.

The previous equalities imply $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A} \cong \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$, i.e. for every countable \mathcal{A} there exists \mathcal{B} with only three unary operations such that they have isomorphic lattices of quasiorders.

Moreover, if \mathcal{A} is finite, we can consider only a finite number of unary operations on \mathcal{A} .

Hence, we can consider only algebras \mathcal{A} which are countable or finite and whose similarity types are finite. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A; f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x))$ be such an algebra. Let A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{k+1} be a collection of sets with $|A_i| = |A_0|$, $A_0 = A$, $A_i \cap A_j = \{\alpha\}$ for all $i, j \in \{0, \dots, k+1\}$, $i \neq j$. We set $B = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{k+1}$. Let h be a bijection of B onto itself such that $h(A_i) = A_{i+1}$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$ and $h(A_{k+1}) = A_0$ and h^{k+2} is the identity mapping on B . Further, let $h(\alpha) = \alpha$. The mapping g can be defined by the above formula (*). We can easily verify that $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A} \cong \text{Quord}(B; \{h, g\})$. \square

Theorem 6. *For every algebra \mathcal{A} with zero whose lattice $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$ has only a countable set of compact elements there exists an algebra \mathcal{B} with only two unary operations such that $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A} \cong \text{Quord } \mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, G)$ be an algebra with zero such that $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A}$ contains only countable many compact elements. We can construct an algebra $\mathcal{C}' = (C', G')$ where $C' \subseteq A$ and $G' \subseteq G$ and $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A} \cong \text{Quord } \mathcal{C}'$ for countable sets C' and G' . Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of finite subsets of A^2 such that $\{\mathcal{A}(X_n); n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a set of all compact quasiorders of \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{A}(X_i) \neq \mathcal{A}(X_j)$ for $i \neq j$. Of course, $\mathcal{A}(X_i)$ means a quasiorder generated by the finite set X_i . Let C_0 be a countable set of elements of A which are entries of pairs of elements of $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} X_i$ and containing elements a_{mn}, b_{mn} where $\langle a_{mn}, b_{mn} \rangle$ is a fixed pair of $\mathcal{A}(X_m) \setminus \mathcal{A}(X_n)$ provided it is a non-void set. Set $G_0 = \emptyset$. By induction we construct sets $C_n \subseteq A$ and $G_n \subseteq G$ as follows: suppose $\mathcal{C}_n = (C_n, G_n)$ is done and X is an arbitrary finite subset of C_n^2 .

Evidently, $\mathcal{C}_n(X) \subseteq C_n^2 \cap \mathcal{A}(X)$. To any $\langle a, b \rangle \in (C_n^2 \cap \mathcal{A}(X)) \setminus \mathcal{C}_n(X)$ we assign a subset $g(a, b) \subseteq A^2$ and a finite collection $G_{a,b}$ of functions of G such that every subset of A^2 containing $g(a, b)$ and $\mathcal{C}_n(X)$ and closed under all functions of $G_{a,b}$ contains also the pair $\langle a, b \rangle$.

Let D_{n+1} be a set which consists of elements of C_n and of all elements contained in all pairs of $g(a, b)$, where X is an arbitrary finite subset of C_n^2 and $\langle a, b \rangle \in (C_n^2 \cap \mathcal{A}(X)) \setminus \mathcal{C}_n(X)$. Now, we take for C_{n+1} the closure of D_{n+1} with respect to all operations of

$$G_{n+1} = G_n \cup \bigcup \{G_{a,b}; \langle a, b \rangle \in (C_n^2 \cap \mathcal{A}(X)) \setminus \mathcal{C}_n(X) \text{ for a finite } X \subseteq C_n^2\}.$$

Since both C_n and G_n are countable, also C_{n+1} and G_{n+1} have this property. Put

$$C' = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n, \quad G' = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}' = (C', G').$$

Since C' contains all elements of all pairs of $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$, hence $\mathcal{C}'(X_n)$ are pairwise distinct quasiorders of \mathcal{C}' . Let X be a finite subset of $(C')^2$. By our construction of \mathcal{C}' , we have $\mathcal{C}'(X) = (C')^2 \cap \mathcal{A}(X)$. Because $\mathcal{A}(X) = \mathcal{A}(X_m)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we conclude

$$\mathcal{C}'(X) = (C')^2 \cap \mathcal{A}(X) = (C')^2 \cap \mathcal{A}(X_m) = \mathcal{C}'(X_m).$$

Hence, the quasiorders of the form $\mathcal{C}'(X_m)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, are all compact quasiorders of \mathcal{C}' . Moreover, $\mathcal{A}(X_n) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(X_m)$ if and only if $\mathcal{C}'(X_n) \subseteq \mathcal{C}'(X_m)$, thus the semilattices of compact quasiorders on \mathcal{A} and on \mathcal{C}' are isomorphic. This yields $\text{Quord } \mathcal{A} \cong \text{Quord } \mathcal{C}'$. By applying Theorem 5 to the algebra \mathcal{C}' we obtain an algebra \mathcal{B} as required. \square

References

- [1] *Chajda I., Czédli G.*: Four notes on quasiorder lattices. *Math. Slovaca*, to appear.
- [2] *Czédli G., Lenkehegyi A.*: On classes of ordered algebras and quasiorder distributivity. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 46 (1983), 41–54.
- [3] *Freese R., Lampe W., Taylor W.*: Congruence lattice of algebras of fixed similarity type I. *Pacific J. Math.* 82 (1979), 59–68.
- [4] *Jónsson B.*: Topics in Universal Algebra, *Lectures Notes in Math.*, vol. 250. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [5] *Kogalovskij S. R., Soldatova V. V.*: Notes on congruence lattices of universal algebras. *Stud. Sci. Hungar* 25 (1990), 33–43. (In Russian.)
- [6] *Lampe W.*: Congruence lattice representations and similarity type. In: *Universal Algebra (Estergom, 1977)*, *Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai* 29. North-Holland, 1982, pp. 495–500.
- [7] *Lampe W.*: Congruence lattices of algebras of fixed similarity type II. *Pacific J. Math.* 103 (1982), 475–508.

- [8] *Pinus A. G.*: On lattices of quasiorders on universal algebras. *Algebra i logika* 34 (1995), 327–328. (In Russian.)
- [9] *Pinus A. G., Chajda I.*: Quasiorders on universal algebras. *Algebra i logika* 32 (1993), 308–325. (In Russian.)

Authors' addresses: I. Chajda, Department of Algebra and Geometry, Palacký University Olomouc, Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic, e-mail: chajda@risc.upol.cz;
A. G. Pinus, A. Denisov, Dept. of Mathematics, Novosibirsk State Technical University, K. Marx str. 20, 630 092 Novosibirsk, Russia, e-mail: algebra@admin.nstu.nsk.su.