

Salvador García-Ferreira
Quasi M -compact spaces

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 46 (1996), No. 1, 161–177

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127280>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

QUASI M-COMPACT SPACES

SALVADOR GARCIA-FERREIRA, Mexico

(Received May 11, 1994)

0. PRELIMINARIES

All spaces are assumed to be Tychonoff. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a function, then $\bar{f}: \beta(X) \rightarrow \beta(Y)$ denotes the Stone-Čech extension of f . The Greek letters α, γ, λ and δ will denote infinite cardinal numbers. If α and γ are cardinal numbers, then $[\alpha]^\gamma = \{A \subseteq \alpha: |A| = \gamma\}$. As usual $\beta(\alpha)$ is identified with the set of ultrafilters on α and the remainder $\alpha^* = \beta(\alpha) \setminus \alpha$ is the set of free ultrafilters on α . If $A \subseteq \alpha$, then $\text{cl}_{\beta(\alpha)}(A) = \{p \in \beta(\alpha): A \in p\}$, which will be denoted by \hat{A} and $A^* = \hat{A} \setminus A$. We say that $f: \gamma \rightarrow \beta(\alpha)$ is a *strong embedding* for $\gamma \leq \alpha$ if there is a partition $\{A_\xi: \xi < \gamma\}$ of α such that $f(\xi) \in \hat{A}_\xi$ for each $\xi < \gamma$. The norm of $p \in \alpha^*$ is defined by $\|p\| = \min\{|A|: A \in p\}$. The set of uniform ultrafilters on α is $U(\alpha) = \{p \in \alpha^*: \|p\| = \alpha\}$. The *Rudin-Keisler* (pre-)order on α^* is defined by $p \leq_{RK} q$ if there exists $\sigma: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ such that $\bar{\sigma}(q) = p$, for $p, q \in \alpha^*$. The Rudin-Keisler order induces an equivalence relation on α^* by defining $p \approx_{RK} q$ if $p \leq_{RK} q$ and $q \leq_{RK} p$, for $p, q \in \alpha^*$. It is not hard to prove that $p \approx_{RK} q$ iff there is a bijection $\sigma: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ such that $\bar{\sigma}(p) = q$. The equivalence class of $p \in \alpha^*$ is called the *type* of p and it is denoted by $T_{RK}(p) = \{q \in \alpha^*: q \approx_{RK} p\}$. For $p, q \in \alpha^*$, $p <_{RK} q$ means that $p \leq_{RK} q$ and p is not \approx_{RK} -equivalent to q . For $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \alpha^*$, we let $P_{RK}(M) = \{q \in \alpha^*: \exists p \in M (q \leq_{RK} p)\}$. Notice that if $p \leq_{RK} q$ for $p, q \in \alpha^*$, then $\|p\| \leq \|q\|$; hence, if $q \approx_{RK} p \in U(\alpha)$, then $q \in U(\alpha)$. For $p, q \in \alpha^*$, their *tensor product* is defined by

$$p \otimes q = \{A \subseteq \alpha \times \alpha: \{\xi < \alpha: \{\zeta < \alpha: (\xi, \zeta) \in A\} \in q\} \in p\}.$$

Notice that $p \otimes q$ is an ultrafilter on $\alpha \times \alpha$ and can be considered as an ultrafilter on α via a fixed bijection between α and $\alpha \times \alpha$. Observe that $\|p \otimes q\| = \|p\| \|q\|$ for $p, q \in \alpha^*$. It is pointed out in [Ka] that $p <_{RK} p \otimes q$ and $q <_{RK} p \otimes q$ for $p, q \in \alpha^*$. The following result is essential in the application of \otimes (for a proof see [CN, 16.5]): the case $\alpha = \omega$ was also proved by Booth [Bo].

Theorem 0.1. (Blass [Bl₁]). *Let $p, q \in \alpha^*$ with $p \in U(\gamma)$. If $e: \gamma \rightarrow T_{RK}(q)$ is a strong embedding, then $p \otimes q \approx_{RK} \bar{e}(p)$.*

It is not difficult to see that \otimes is not an associative operation. However, Booth [Bo] noticed that \otimes induces a semigroup structure on the set of types of ω^* by defining $T_{RK}(p) \otimes T_{RK}(q) = T_{RK}(p \otimes q)$ for $p, q \in \omega^*$. Hence, if $p \in \omega^*$, then p^n stands for any point in $T_{RK}(p)^n$ for $1 \leq n < \omega$. Booth [Bo] also defined the power $T_{RK}(p)^\nu$ for each $p \in \omega^*$ and each $\nu < \omega_1$ as follows: for every $\omega \leq \nu < \omega_1$ fix and increasing sequence $(\nu(n))_{n < \omega}$ of ordinals in ω_1 so that

- (1) $\omega(n) = n$ for $n < \omega$;
- (2) if ν is a limit ordinal, then $\nu(n) \nearrow \nu$;
- (3) if $\nu = \mu + m$, where μ is a limit ordinal and $1 \leq m < \omega$, then $\nu(n) = \mu(n) + m$ for each $n < \omega$.

Let $p \in \omega^*$ and $\omega \leq \nu < \omega_1$, and assume $T_{RK}(p)^\mu$ has been defined for all $\mu < \nu$. If ν is a limit ordinal, then we define $T_{RK}(p)^\nu = T_{RK}(\bar{f}(p))$, where $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega^*$ is an embedding such that $f(n) \in T_{RK}(p)^{\nu(n)}$ for each $n < \omega$. If $\nu = \mu + 1$, then $T_{RK}(p)^\nu = T_{RK}(p)^\mu \otimes T_{RK}(p)$. As above, p^ν stands for an arbitrary point in $T_{RK}(p)^\nu$ for each $p \in \omega^*$ and each $\nu < \omega_1$.

We omit the proof of the following straightforward lemma.

Lemma 0.2. *If $f: \omega \rightarrow \beta(\omega)$ has infinite image, then there is a one-to-one function $\sigma: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $f \circ \sigma: \omega \rightarrow \beta(\omega)$ is an embedding (i.e., $f \circ \sigma$ is one-to-one and $(f \circ \sigma)[\omega]$ is a discrete subset of $\beta(\omega)$).*

Z. Frolík [F₂] noticed that if $e: \omega \rightarrow \omega^*$ is an embedding, then $p <_{RK} \bar{e}(p)$ for every $p \in \omega^*$; that is, no type is produced by itself. Frolík's result and Theorem 9.2 (b) of [CN] imply:

Lemma 0.3. *If $f: \omega \rightarrow \beta(\omega)$ is an embedding and $p \in \omega^*$, then $p \leq_{RK} \bar{f}(p)$.*

Bernstein [B] introduced the class of p -compact spaces for $p \in \omega^*$. Later, Saks [Sa], Woods [W] and Kannan and Soundararajan [KS] considered the notion of p -compactness for various $p \in \alpha^*$ at the same time:

Definition 0.4. Let $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \alpha^*$. A space X is M -compact if for every $f: \alpha \rightarrow X$, $\bar{f}(p) \in X$ for all $p \in M$.

If $p \in U(\alpha)$, then we simply write p -compact instead of $\{p\}$ -compact. The basic properties of M -compactness are stated in the next theorem (for a proof see [V₂]): Bernstein [B] proved the same result for $p \in \omega^*$.

Theorem 0.5. *Let $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \alpha^*$. Then*

- (1) every compact space is M -compact;
- (2) M -compactness is closed under arbitrary products;
- (3) M -compactness is closed-hereditary;
- (4) if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous surjection and X is M -compact, then Y is M -compact.

It follows from clause (1)–(3) of Theorem 0.5 that, for every space X , the space $\beta_M(X) = \bigcap \{Y: X \subseteq Y \subseteq \beta(X), Y \text{ is } M\text{-compact}\}$ is the (M -compact)-reflection of X which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) X is a dense subspace of $\beta_M(X)$;
- (2) $\beta_M(X)$ is M -compact;
- (3) if $f: X \rightarrow Z$ is continuous and Z is M -compact, then $\bar{f}[\beta_M(X)] \subseteq Z$;
- (4) up to a homeomorphism fixing X pointwise the space $\beta_M(X)$ is the only space with properties (1), (2) and (3).

For $p \in U(\alpha)$, we write $\beta_p(X)$ instead of $\beta_{\{p\}}(X)$. For a space X and for $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \alpha^*$, there is an alternative definition of $\beta_M(\alpha)$ which will be very useful: By transfinite induction, we define

$$X_0 = X \quad \text{and} \quad X_\eta = \{\bar{f}(p) \mid f: \alpha \rightarrow \bigcup_{\xi < \eta} X_\xi, p \in M\} \quad \text{for } \eta < \alpha^*.$$

It is not hard to see that $\beta_M(X) = \bigcup_{\eta < \alpha^+} X_\eta$. Hence, we have that $M \subseteq \beta_M(\alpha)$ and $|\beta_M(\alpha)| \leq 2^\alpha \cdot |M|^\alpha$, for each $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \alpha^*$.

In [G-F₄], Comfort introduced the next (pre-)order on ω^* .

Definition 0.6. For $p, q \in \alpha^*$, we define $p \leq_c q$ if every q -compact space is p -compact.

For $p, q \in \alpha^*$, we say that $p \approx_c q$ if $p \leq_c q$ and $q \leq_c p$. We have that \approx_c is an equivalence relation on ω^* and the \approx_c -equivalence class of $p \in \alpha^*$ is called the C -type of p and is denoted by $T_c(p)$. The connection between \leq_{RK} and \leq_c were established in [G – F₄]. The next outstanding properties are the following:

Lemma 0.7.

- (1) $\leq_{RK} \subseteq \leq_c$ and $\leq_{RK} \neq \leq_c$;
- (2) if $p \in \omega^*$, then $T_c(p)$ can be filled out with exactly 2^ω types;
- (3) if $p \in \omega^*$, then $p^\nu \in T_c(p)$ for every $\nu < \omega_1$;
- (4) if $p, q \in \alpha^*$, then $p \leq_c q \Leftrightarrow \beta_p(\alpha) \subseteq \beta_q(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow p \in \beta_q(\alpha)$;
- (5) if $p \leq_c q$ for $p, q \in \alpha^*$, then $\|p\| \leq \|q\|$;
- (6) if $p \in \beta_M(\alpha) \setminus \alpha$ for $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \alpha^*$, then $T_{RK}(p) \subseteq P_{RK}(p) \subseteq \beta_M(\alpha)$ and $T_c(p) \subseteq P_c(p) \subseteq \beta_M(\alpha)$.

Observe that a space X is M -compact, for $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \alpha^*$, iff $\bar{f}(p) \in X$ for every $f: \gamma \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma \leq \alpha$, and for every $p \in U(\gamma) \cap T_c(q)$ with $q \in M$.

It is convenient to have the definition of initially α -compactness at our disposal ([St] and [G-F₁]) offer a good survey on initially α -compact spaces), as follows:

Definition 0.8. (Smirnov [Sm]). A space X is *initially α -compact* if every open cover \mathcal{U} of X with $|\mathcal{U}| \leq \alpha$ has a finite subcover.

The authors of [GFW] introduced the notion of α -boundedness and Comfort [0] and Vaughan [V₂] generalized this concept as follows.

Definition 0.9. A space X is *$< \alpha$ -bounded* if $\text{cl}_X(A)$ is a compact for each $A \subseteq X$ with $|A| < \alpha$.

Notice that α -boundedness coincides with $< \alpha^+$ -boundedness for each cardinal α . It is shown in [G-F₁] that a space X is $< \alpha$ -bounded iff X is p -compact for every $p \in \alpha^* \setminus U(\alpha)$. Hence, every space X has a unique ($< \alpha$ -bounded)-reflection which will be denoted by $B_\alpha(X)$.

1. QUASI M -COMPACT SPACES

We start this section with the following definition due to Kombarov [K₂] and Savchenko [S] which generalizes, in a very natural fashion, M -compactness.

Definition 1.1. Let $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \alpha^*$. A space X is *quasi M -compact* if for every $f: \alpha \rightarrow X$ there is $p \in M$ such that $\bar{f}(p) \in X$.

In this paper, for $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \alpha^*$, we shall use the name of quasi M -compact instead of the name of M -compact given by Kombarov in [K₂], since the name of M -compact is reserved for the concept stated in Definition 0.4. Kombarov [K₁] also introduced the notion of weakly M -compactness, for $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \omega^*$, but it is shown in [G-F₂] that weakly M -compactness coincides with quasi $\text{cl}_{\omega^*}(M)$ -compactness.

If $p \in U(\alpha)$, then quasi $\{p\}$ -compactness coincides with p -compactness. If $M, N \subseteq \alpha^*$ and $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq N$, then every quasi M -compact space is quasi N -compact. In particular, quasi ω^* -compactness is precisely the concept of countably compactness (see [K₂]). For $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \alpha^*$, we have that M -compactness implies quasi M -compactness. But these two concepts are different; indeed, we saw in Theorem 0.5 (2) that M -compactness is productive for every $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq U(\alpha)$, and it is well-known that countably compactness is not finitely productive (see [GJ, 9.15]). We shall show, in Example 2.8, that quasi $T_{RK}(p)$ -compactness fails to be preserved under finite products for each $p \in \omega^*$ (see [K₂]). In the next theorem we give a necessary condition to

gain the quasi M -compactness of the product of two quasi M -compact spaces. We need a lemma.

Lemma 1.2. (Ginsburg-Saks [GS]). Let $p \in U(\alpha)$, $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ and $f: \alpha \rightarrow X$. Then $\bar{f}(p) = x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$ iff $\bar{\pi}_i \circ \bar{f}(p) = x_i$ for every $i \in I$, where $\pi_i: X \rightarrow X_i$ is the projection map for each $i \in I$.

Theorem 1.3. Let M and N be nonempty subsets of α^* such that for every $p \in M$ there is $q \in N$ such that $p \leq_c q$. Then if X is N -compact and Y is quasi M -compact, then $X \times Y$ is quasi M -compact.

Proof. Let $f: \alpha \rightarrow X \times Y$ and consider $f_0 = \pi_0 \circ f: \alpha \rightarrow X$ and $f_1 = \pi_1 \circ f: \alpha \rightarrow Y$, where $\pi_0: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_1: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are the projection maps. By assumption, there exists $p \in M$ such that $\bar{f}_1(p) \in Y$. Choose $q \in N$ such that $p \leq_c q$. Since X is q -compact, then X is p -compact and hence $\bar{f}_0(p) \in X$. From 1.2 it then follows that $\bar{f}(p) \in X \times Y$. \square

In what follows, for a cardinal α , \mathfrak{C}_α will denote the class of all spaces X with the property that for every initially α -compact space Y , $X \times Y$ is initially α -compact. For $\alpha = \omega$, the class \mathfrak{C}_ω was introduced and studied by Frolík [F₁]. The author [F₁] also characterized the spaces of \mathfrak{C}_ω (see [V₂, Theorem 3.11]). Our next aim is to give a characterization of the spaces in \mathfrak{C}_α in terms of p -limits for an arbitrary cardinal α . The following concept is fundamental for our purposes. We need some notation and the next lemmas.

For a cardinal α , $\mathcal{M} = \{M_i: i \in I\}$ will denote an arbitrary set of nonempty subsets of α^* , and if $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$, then $\mathcal{M}(\gamma, \alpha)$ will denote a set $\{M_\lambda: \gamma \leq \lambda \leq \alpha\}$ of nonempty subsets of α^* such that $M_\lambda \subseteq U(\lambda)$ for every $\gamma \leq \lambda \leq \alpha$.

A slight generalization of quasi M -compactness is the following:

Definition 1.4. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_i: i \in I\}$. Then a space X is said to be quasi \mathcal{M} -compact if X is quasi M_i -compact for each $i \in I$.

If $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq U(\alpha)$, then quasi $\{M\}$ -compactness agrees with quasi M -compactness, and if $\mathcal{M} = \{\{p_i\}: p_i \in U(\gamma_i), \omega \leq \gamma_i \leq \alpha, i \in I\}$, then X is quasi \mathcal{M} -compact iff X is p_i -compact for all $i \in I$. If $p <_c q$ for $p, q \in \omega^*$, then $\beta_p(\omega)$ is p -compact, but it is not quasi $\{\{p\}, \{q\}\}$ -compact since $\beta_p(\omega)$ cannot be q -compact.

It should be mentioned that for a space X the following conditions are equivalent (for a proof see [St]):

- (1) X is initially α -compact;
- (2) for every $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$ and for every $f: \gamma \rightarrow X$ there is $p \in U(\gamma)$ such that $\bar{f}(p) \in X$;

- (3) for every $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$ and for every one-to-one function $f: \gamma \rightarrow X$ there is $p \in U(\gamma)$ such that $\bar{f}(p) \in X$.
- (4) for every regular cardinal γ with $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$ and for every one-to-one function $f: \gamma \rightarrow X$ there is $p \in U(\gamma)$ such that $\bar{f}(p) \in X$.

In our context we have:

Lemma 1.5. *X is initially α -compact iff there is a set $\mathcal{M}(\omega, \alpha)$ of nonempty subsets of α^* such that X is quasi $\mathcal{M}(\omega, \alpha)$ -compact.*

The proof of the following result is immediate.

Lemma 1.6. *If $\emptyset \neq K \subseteq \alpha^*$ and $X = \alpha \cup K \subseteq \beta(\alpha)$ is initially α -compact, then $K \cap U(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$ for every cardinal γ such that $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$.*

For cardinal α , we let

$$\mathcal{A}(\alpha) = \{K : K \subseteq \alpha^* \text{ and } \alpha \cup K \text{ is initially } \alpha\text{-compact}\},$$

and for $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$, $\mathcal{A}(\gamma, \alpha) = \{U(\gamma) \cap K : K \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha)\}$. Notice, by 1.6, that if $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$ and $K \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha)$, then $U(\gamma) \cap K \neq \emptyset$. We set $\mathcal{M}_\alpha = \bigcup \{\mathcal{A}(\gamma, \alpha) : \omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha\}$. Henceforth, if $K \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha)$, then $I(K)$ will denote the subspace $\alpha \cup K$ of $\beta(\alpha)$. Now, we shall show that the sets \mathcal{M}_α characterizes the spaces of \mathfrak{C}_α , First an easy lemma.

Lemma 1.7. *If $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ is a continuous function, Y is compact and $X \subseteq Z$ is initially α -compact, then $f^{-1}(X)$ is initially α -compact.*

Theorem 1.8. *For a space X the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) X is quasi \mathcal{M}_α -compact;
- (2) $X \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$;
- (3) $X \times I(K)$ is initially α -compact for each $K \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let Y be initially α -compact and let $f: \gamma \rightarrow X \times Y$, where $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$. Set $f_0 = \pi_0 \circ f: \gamma \rightarrow X$ and $f_1 = \pi_1 \circ f: \gamma \rightarrow Y$, where $\pi_0: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_1: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ stand for the projection maps. Since Y is initially α -compact, by 1.7, $\bar{f}_1^{-1}(Y) \subseteq \beta(\gamma)$ is initially α -compact. We then have that $\alpha \cup \bar{f}_1^{-1}(Y) \cup \widehat{(\alpha \setminus \gamma)}$ is initially α -compact and $U(\gamma) \cap [\alpha \cup \bar{f}_1^{-1}(Y) \cup \widehat{(\alpha \setminus \gamma)}] = U(\gamma) \cap \bar{f}_1^{-1}(Y)$. Since X is quasi $[U(\gamma) \cap \bar{f}_1^{-1}(Y)]$ -compact there is $p \in U(\gamma) \cap \bar{f}_1^{-1}(Y)$ such that $\bar{f}_0(p) \in X$. Hence, by 1.2, $\bar{f}(p) \in X \times Y$, since $\bar{f}_1(p) \in Y$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). This is evident.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$, $U(\gamma) \cap K \in \mathcal{A}(\gamma, \alpha)$ and $f: \gamma \rightarrow X$. Let $g: \gamma \rightarrow X \times I(K)$ be defined by $g(\xi) = (f(\xi), \xi)$ for each $\xi < \alpha$. Since $X \times I(K)$ is initially

α -compact there is $p \in U(\gamma)$ such that $\bar{g}(p) = (x, q) \in X \times I(K)$. It then follows that $\bar{f}(p) = x \in X$ and $p = q \in U(\gamma) \cap K$. Therefore, X is quasi $\mathcal{A}(\gamma, \alpha)$ -compact. \square

Next, we shall prove that a cardinal number α is singular if and only if $B_\alpha(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$. First some preliminary results.

Lemma 1.9. (Stephenson-Vaughan [SV]). *Let α be a singular cardinal and let X be a space. If X is initially κ -compact for each $\omega \leq \kappa < \alpha$, then X is initially α -compact.*

The next lemma is a special case of the Theorem 2.2 of [SV] (see [Sa, 5.2]). For the sake of completeness we include a proof.

Lemma 1.10. *If X is $< \alpha$ -bounded and Y is initially α -compact, then $X \times Y$ is initially κ -compact for every $\omega \leq \kappa < \alpha$.*

Proof. Let κ be a cardinal such that $\omega \leq \kappa < \alpha$ and let $f: \kappa \rightarrow X \times Y$. Set $f_0 = \pi_0 \circ f: \kappa \rightarrow X$ and $f_1 = \pi_1 \circ f: \kappa \rightarrow Y$. Since Y is initially κ -compact, there is $p \in U(\kappa)$ such that $\bar{f}_1(p) \in Y$. The $< \alpha$ -boundedness of X implies that $\bar{f}_0(p) \in \text{cl}_x(f[\kappa]) \subseteq X$. Thus, by 1.2, we have that $\bar{f}(p) \in X \times Y$. This shows that $X \times Y$ is initially κ -compact. \square

In [GT], several topological conditions are shown to be equivalent to the singularity of a cardinal number. In particular, we have:

Lemma 1.11. *For a cardinal α , the following are equivalent.*

- (1) α is singular;
- (2) B_α is initially α -compact.

The next theorem is an immediate consequence of 1.9, 1.10 and 1.11.

Theorem 1.12. *For a cardinal α , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) α is singular;
- (2) every $< \alpha$ -bounded space lies in \mathfrak{C}_α ;
- (3) $B_\alpha(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$;
- (4) $B_\alpha(X) \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$ for every space X .

We know that if α is a regular cardinal, then $B_\alpha(\alpha) = \beta(\alpha) \setminus U(\alpha)$ and it cannot be initially α -compact. It is pointed out implicitly in [SS, proof of 4.11] that if α is a strong limit singular cardinal, then initial α -compactness agrees with $< \alpha$ -boundedness (see [G-F₁, 2.4]). This result implies the following.

Theorem 1.13. *If α is a strong limit singular cardinal, then every initially α -compact space is a member of \mathfrak{C}_α .*

We turn now to study when $\beta_M(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$ for $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \alpha^*$. The proof of the next easy lemma is omitted.

Lemma 1.14. *For $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \alpha^*$ and $q \in U(\gamma)$ for $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) $\beta_M(\alpha)$ is q -compact;
- (2) $q \in \beta_M(\alpha)$;
- (3) $T_c(q) \cap \beta_M(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$.

Applying 1.8 and 1.14, we have:

Theorem 1.15. *For $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \alpha^*$, the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $\beta_M(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$;
- (2) $\beta_M(\alpha) \times I(K)$ is initially α -compact for each $K \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha)$;
- (3) for every $K \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha)$ and for every $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$ there is $q \in U(\gamma) \cap K$ such that $\beta_M(\alpha)$ is q -compact;
- (4) for every $K \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha)$ and for every $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$, $\beta_M(\alpha) \cap K \cap U(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is evident and (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) follows from 1.14. (2) \Rightarrow (4). Let $K \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha)$. Since $\beta_M(\alpha) \times I(K)$ is initially α -compact and $\beta_M(\alpha) \cap I(K)$ is homeomorphic to the diagonal of $\beta_M(\alpha) \times I(K)$, we have that $\beta_M(\alpha) \cap I(K)$ is initially α -compact. By lemma 1.6., we have that $\beta_M(\alpha) \cap I(K) \cap U(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$ for each $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). According to 1.8, it is enough to prove that $\beta_M(\alpha)$ is quasi- \mathcal{M}_α -compact. Let $K \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha)$ and $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$. By assumption, there is $q \in \beta_M(\alpha) \cap K \cap U(\gamma)$ such that $\beta_M(\alpha)$ is q -compact. Thus $\beta_M(\alpha)$ is quasi- \mathcal{M}_α -compact. \square

The equivalences between clauses (1) and (2) of the next lemma is a direct consequence of 1.6 and the equivalence among the others may be established by an easy argument: the case $M = \{p\}$ for $p \in U(\alpha)$ is stated in [G-F₃]. We recall the reader that $p \in U(\alpha)$ is called *decomposable* if for every $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$ there is $q \in U(\gamma)$ such that $q \leq_{RK} p$: for further information about decomposable ultrafilters the reader is referred to [BS].

Lemma 1.16. *For $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq U(\alpha)$, the following are equivalent,*

- (1) $\beta_M(\alpha)$ is initially α -compact;
- (2) $\beta_M(\alpha) \cap U(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$ for every $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$;
- (3) there are $p \in M$ and $q \in T_c(p)$ such that q is decomposable;

(4) there is $p \in U(\alpha)$ decomposable such that $\beta_M(\alpha)$ is p -compact.

It is shown in [G-F₁] that all powers of space X are initially α -compact iff there is $p \in U(\alpha)$ decomposable such that X is p -compact, and we proved in [G-F₃] that if α is a strong limit and $p \in U(\alpha)$ is indecomposable, then $\beta_p(\alpha)$ is a p -compact space which is not initially α -compact: we also remarked in [G-F₃] that in the Core model K a space X is p -compact, for $p \in U(\alpha)$, iff all powers of X are initially α -compact.

Lemma 1.17. *Let $p \in U(\alpha)$, $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$ and $\sigma: \gamma \rightarrow U(\alpha)$ a strong embedding. If $p \leq_{RK} \sigma(\xi)$ for each $\xi < \gamma$, then $p \leq_{RK} \bar{\sigma}(q)$ for each $q \in U(\gamma)$.*

Proof. Let $\{A_\xi: \xi < \gamma\}$ be a partition of α such that $\sigma(\xi) \in A_\xi^*$ for each $\xi < \gamma$. Then there is $f_\xi: A_\xi \rightarrow \alpha$ such that $\bar{f}_\xi(\sigma(\xi)) = p$ for each $\xi < \gamma$. If $f = \bigcup_{\xi < \gamma} f_\xi$, then $\bar{f}(\bar{\sigma}(q)) = p$ for each $q \in U(\gamma)$. \square

Lemma 1.18. *For every $p \in \omega^*$ there is $K \in \mathcal{A}(\omega)$ such that $p \leq_{RK} q$ for every $q \in K$.*

Proof. Let $p \in \omega^*$. Define $K_0 = T_{RK}(p)$ and, by transfinite induction, let $K_\nu \{\bar{e}(p) \mid e: \omega \rightarrow \bigcup_{\mu < \nu} K_\mu \text{ is an embedding}\}$ for $\nu < \omega_1$. Then, we put $K = \bigcup_{\nu < \omega_1} K_\nu$. First, we shall verify that $K \in \mathcal{A}(\omega)$. Suppose that $\omega \cup K$ is not countably compact. Then, there exists an embedding $e: \omega \rightarrow \omega \cup K$ such that $\bar{e}[\omega^*] \cap (\omega \cup K) = \emptyset$. Set $A = \{n < \omega: e(n) \in \omega\}$ and $B = \{n < \omega: e(n) \in K\}$. If $A \in p$, then $\bar{e}(p) \in T_{RK}(p) = K_0 \subseteq K$ which is impossible. Thus $B \in p$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $B = \omega$. For each $n < \omega$ choose $\nu_n < \omega_1$ such that $e(n) \in K_{\nu_n}$ and set $\nu = \sup\{\nu_n: n < \mu\}$. By definition, we have that $\bar{e}(p) \in K_\nu \subseteq K$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $K \in \mathcal{A}(\omega)$. We shall prove that K satisfies the required condition. Assume that $p \leq_{RK} r$ for every $r \in K_\mu \cap U(\alpha)$ and for every $\mu < \nu < \omega_1$. Let $q \in K_\nu \cap U(\alpha)$. Then, there is an embedding $\sigma: \omega \rightarrow \bigcup_{\mu < \nu} K_\mu$ such that $\bar{\sigma}(p) = q$. By induction hypothesis, we have that $p \leq_{RK} \sigma(n)$ for every $n < \omega$. Applying Lemma 1.17, we obtain that $p \leq_{RK} \bar{\sigma}(p) = q$. \square

Theorem 1.19. *If $\beta_M(\omega) \in \mathfrak{C}_\omega$ for $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \omega^*$, then $\beta_M(\omega) = \beta(\omega)$.*

Proof. Assume that $\beta_M(\omega) \in \mathfrak{C}_\omega$ for $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \omega^*$. Fix $p \in \omega^*$. By 1.18, there is $K \in \mathcal{A}(\omega)$ such that $p \leq_{RK} r$ for all $r \in K$. It follows from 1.8 that $\beta_M(\omega)$ is quasi K -compact and hence there is $q \in \beta_M(\omega) \cap K$. By Lemma 0.7 (6), $p \in P_{RK}(q) \subseteq \beta_M(\alpha)$. Thus, $\beta_M(\omega) = \beta(\omega)$. \square

For a singular cardinal α , we have the following:

(1) $B_\alpha(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$, by 1.12;

- (2) $B_\alpha(\alpha) = \beta_N(\alpha)$, where $N = \alpha^* \setminus U(\alpha)$ (see [G-F₁, Theorem 1.3]); and
(3) $B_\alpha(\alpha) \neq \beta(\alpha)$ (see [GT, Corollary 2.4 (b)]).

This leads us to ask:

Question 1.20. Let $\alpha > \omega$ be a regular cardinal. Is there $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \alpha^*$ such that $\beta_M(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{C}_\alpha$ and $\beta_M(\alpha) \neq \beta(\alpha)$?

The following example shows that there exists $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \omega^*$ such that $|\beta_M(\omega)| = 2^{2^\omega}$ and $\beta_M(\omega) \neq \beta(\omega)$.

Lemma 1.21. Let $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \omega^*$. If p is a weak P -point of ω^* and $p \in \beta_M(\omega)$, then $p \leq_{RK} q$ for some $q \in M$.

Proof. We indicate in the preliminary section that $\beta_M(\omega) = \bigcup_{\nu < \omega_1} X_\nu$, where $x_0 = \omega$ and $X_\nu = \{\bar{f}(q) \mid f: \omega \rightarrow \bigcup_{\mu < \nu} X_\mu, q \in M\}$ for $0 < \nu < \omega_1$. By a slight modification of the X'_ν 's, we obtain that $\beta_M(\omega) = \bigcup_{\nu < \omega_1} Z_\nu$, where $Z_0 = \omega$, $Z_1 = \bigcup_{q \in M} P_{RK}(q)$ and $Z_\nu = \{\bar{f}(q) \mid f: \omega \rightarrow \bigcup_{\mu < \nu} Z_\mu, f[\omega] \subseteq \omega^*, q \in M \text{ and } \bar{f}(q) \notin f[\omega]\}$ for $1 < \nu < \omega_1$. Assume that $p \in \beta_M(\omega)$ and p is a weak P -point. Let ν be the least ordinal $\nu < \omega_1$ such that $p \in Z_\nu$. Since p is a weak P -point of ω^* , we have that $\nu = 1$ and so $p \in \bigcup_{q \in M} P_{RK}(q)$. \square

Example 1.22. K. Kunen [Ku] proved that there is a set W of weak P -points of ω^* such that $|W| = 2^{2^\omega}$ and the elements of W are pairwise RK -incomparable. Choose $M \subseteq W$ so that $|M| = |W \setminus M| = 2^{2^\omega}$ and enumerate M as $\{p\xi: \xi < 2^{2^\omega}\}$. Then, $2^{2^\omega} = |M| = |\beta_M(\omega)| = |\beta(\omega)|$ and, by Lemma 1.21, $W \setminus M \subseteq \beta(\omega) \setminus \beta_M(\omega)$.

The author introduced in [G-F₅] the notion of (γ, M) -compactness for a cardinal $1 \leq \gamma$ and $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \omega^*$, and proved that X^γ is countably compact iff X is (γ, M) -compact for some $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \omega^*$. The situation for cardinal numbers higher than ω is completely similar as it is stated in the following two results.

Definition 1.23. Let $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq U(\alpha)$ and γ a cardinal. A space X is said to be (γ, M) -compact if for every γ -sequence $(f_\zeta)_{\zeta < \gamma}$ of functions in ${}^\alpha X$, there is $p \in M$ such that $\bar{f}_\zeta(p) \in X$ for each $\zeta < \gamma$.

As a direct sequence from 1.2 we have:

Theorem 1.24. Let X be a space and γ a cardinal. Then X^γ is initially α -compact iff for each cardinal δ with $\omega \leq \delta \leq \alpha$, there is $\emptyset \neq M_\delta \subseteq U(\delta)$ such that X is (γ, M_δ) -compact.

Theorem 1.25. Let $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq U(\alpha)$, let $1 \leq \gamma$ be a cardinal number and let X be a (γ, M) -space.

(1) If $|M| \leq \gamma$, then there is $p \in M$ such that X is p -compact.

(2) If there exist $p \in U(\alpha)$ and a surjection $\sigma: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ such that $M \subseteq \sigma^{-1}(p)$, then X is p -compact.

2. ALMOST M -COMPACT SPACES

It follows from the definition that quasi M -compactness implies quasi $P_{RK}(M)$ -compactness for $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq \alpha^*$. The next theorem establishes the similarity between these two concepts.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq U(\alpha)$. A space X is quasi $P_{RK}(M)$ -compact if and only if for every $f: \alpha \rightarrow X$ there is $p \in M$ and $\sigma: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ such that $\bar{\sigma}(p) \in \alpha^*$ and $\bar{f}(\bar{\sigma}(p)) \in X$.

For $\emptyset \neq M \subseteq U(\alpha)$, we simply say *almost M -compact* space instead of quasi $P_{RK}(M)$ -space (2.1 justifies the name almost M -compact). Thus, an almost p -compact space is a quasi $P_{RK}(p)$ -space for $p \in U(\alpha)$. We shall give in 2.3 an example of an almost p -compact space which is not p -compact.

Theorem 2.2. If X_ξ is initially α -compact and $|X_\xi| \leq 2^\alpha$ for $\xi < 2^\alpha$, then there is $p \in U(\alpha)$ such that X_ξ is almost p -compact for each $\xi < 2^\alpha$.

Proof. We have that $|\bigcup_{\xi < 2^\alpha} X_\xi| \leq 2^\alpha$. Since X_ξ is initially α -compact, for every $f: \alpha \rightarrow X_\xi$, there is $p_f \in U(\alpha)$ such that $\bar{f}(p_f) \in X_\xi$, for each $\xi < 2^\alpha$. We have that $|\{p_f \mid f: \alpha \rightarrow X_\xi, \xi < 2^\alpha\}| \leq 2^\alpha$. Hence, by Theorem 10.9 of [CN], there is $p \in U(\alpha)$ such that $p_f \leq_{RK} p$ for every $f: \alpha \rightarrow X_\xi$ and for every $\xi < 2^\alpha$. We claim that X_ξ is almost p -compact for every $\xi < 2^\alpha$. Indeed, fix $\xi < 2^\alpha$ and $f: \alpha \rightarrow X_\xi$. Since $p_f \leq_{RK} p$, there is $\sigma: \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ such that $\bar{\sigma}(p) = p_f$ and so $\bar{f}(\bar{\sigma}(p)) \in X_\xi$. \square

For every $p \in \omega^*$, we have that p -compactness \Rightarrow quasi $T_{RK}(p)$ -compactness \Rightarrow almost p -compactness \Rightarrow quasi $T_c(p)$ -compactness \Rightarrow countable compactness. The following three examples and theorem show that they are different each other except for the case when $p \in \omega^*$ is RK -minimal (in this case we have that quasi $T_{RK}(p)$ -compactness coincides with almost p -compactness).

Example 2.3. For each $p \in \omega^*$, there is an almost p -compact space Γ_p which is not p -compact. Fix $p \in \omega^*$. The space Γ_p will be constructed inside $\beta(\omega)$ by induction and by a well-known standard method. Put $\Gamma_0 = \omega$ and assume that Γ_μ

has been defined for each $\mu < \nu < \omega_1$. Then, define $\Gamma_\nu = \{\bar{f}(q) \mid f: \omega \rightarrow \bigcup_{\mu < \nu} \Gamma_\mu$ is an embedding, $\bar{f}(q) \neq p, q \in T_{RK}(p)\}$. We set $\Gamma_p = \bigcup_{\nu < \omega_1} \Gamma_\nu$. Since $p \notin \Gamma_p$, the space Γ_p is not p -compact. Now, in order to prove that Γ_p is almost p -compact we let $f: \omega \rightarrow \Gamma_p$ be such that $f[\omega]$ is infinite. By 0.2, there is a one-to-one function $\sigma: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $f \circ \sigma: \omega \rightarrow \Gamma_p$ is an embedding. So we may find $q \in T_{RK}(p)$ such that $\bar{f}(\bar{\sigma}(q)) \neq p$. Since $(f \circ \sigma)[\omega] \subseteq \bigcup_{\mu < \nu} \Gamma_\mu$ for some $\nu < \omega_1$, we have that $\bar{f}(\bar{\sigma}(q)) \in \Gamma_\nu \subseteq \Gamma_p$ and $\bar{\sigma}(q) \in T_{RK}(p)$. This shows that Γ_p is quasi $T_{RK}(p)$ -compact and so Γ_p is almost p -compact.

Example 2.4. If $p \in U(\omega)$, then $\Delta_p = \beta(\omega) \setminus T_c(p)$ is a countably compact space which is not quasi $T_c(p)$ -compact. Indeed, since $|P_{RK}(p)| \leq 2^\omega$, the space Δ_p is countably compact (it is well-known that for every subspace X of $\beta(\omega)$ such that $|X| \leq 2^\omega$, $\beta(\omega) \setminus X$ is countably compact). If $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ is an arbitrary bijection, then $\bar{f}[T_c(p)] \subseteq T_c(p) \subseteq \beta(\omega) \setminus \Delta_p$.

Example 2.5. For $p \in \omega^*$, the space $\Omega_p = \beta(\omega) \setminus P_{RK}(p)$ is quasi $T_c(p)$ -compact and is not almost p -compact. It is evident that Ω_p cannot be almost p -compact. Now, let $f: \omega \rightarrow \Omega_p$ be with infinite image. By 0.2, there is a one-to-one function $\sigma: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $f \circ \sigma: \omega \rightarrow \Omega_p$ is an embedding. Then, by 0.3, either $p <_{RK} p^2 <_{RK} \bar{f}(\bar{\sigma}(p^2))$ or $\bar{f}(\bar{\sigma}(p^2)) \approx_{RK} p^2$. In both cases we have that $\bar{f}(\bar{\omega}(p^2)) \in \Omega_p$ and $\bar{\sigma}(p^2) \in T_{RK}(p^2) \subseteq T_c(p)$.

Theorem 2.6. For $p \in \omega^*$, the following are equivalent,

- (1) p is RK -minimal;
- (2) quasi $T_{RK}(p)$ -compactness and almost p -compactness are the same.

Proof. Only (2) \Rightarrow (1) requires proof. Suppose that p is not RK -minimal. Then there is $q \in \omega^*$ such that $q <_{RK} p$. Consider the space $X = \beta(\omega) \setminus T_{RK}(p)$. This space cannot be quasi $T_{RK}(p)$ -compact. We shall verify that the space is almost p -compact. Indeed, let $f: \omega \rightarrow X$ be with infinite image. By 0.2, we may choose a one-to-one function $\sigma: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $f \circ \sigma: \omega \rightarrow X$ is an embedding. If there is an infinite $A \subseteq \omega$ such that $f \circ \sigma[A] \subseteq \omega$, then $\bar{f} \circ \bar{\sigma}(\hat{A} \cap T_{RK}(q)) \subseteq T_{RK}(q) \subseteq X$. If $(f \circ \sigma[\omega]) \cap \omega$ is finite, by Lemma 0.3, then we have that $p <_{RK} \bar{f}(\bar{\sigma}(p)) \in X$ and $\bar{\sigma}(p) \in T_{RK}(p)$. \square

The following example shows that almost p -compactness is not preserved by finite products, for $p \in \omega^*$. Nevertheless, we proved in Theorem 1.3 above that the product of a p -compact space and an almost p -compact space is almost p -compact as well for every $p \in U(\alpha)$. We need a lemma.

Lemma 2.7. (Blass [Bl₂]). Let $f, g: \omega \rightarrow \omega^*$ be embeddings and let $p \in \omega^*$. If $\{n < \omega: f(n) <_{RK} g(n)\} \in p$, then $\bar{f}(p) <_{RK} \bar{g}(p)$.

Example 2.8. For every $p \in \omega^*$, there is quasi $T_{RK}(p)$ -compact space C_p such that $C_p \times C_p$ is not countably compact. Fix $p \in \omega^*$, we proceed as in the example 9.15 of [GJ]. Let $\omega = A \cup B$, where $|A| = |B| = \omega$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Let $\delta: A \rightarrow B$ be a bijection and define $\tau: \beta(\sigma) \rightarrow \beta(\sigma)$ by $\tau|_{\beta(A)} = \bar{\delta}$ and $\tau|_{\beta(B)} = \bar{\delta}^{-1}$. Observe that $q \approx_{RK} \tau(q)$ for $q \in \omega^*$. It suffices to construct a quasi $T_{RK}(p)$ -compact space C_p so that $C_p \times C_p \cap \{(q, \tau(q)): q \in \omega^*\} = \emptyset$ (see [GJ, 9.15]). We proceed by induction. Let $C_0 = \omega$ and assume that $C_\nu = \{p(\nu, \xi): \xi < 2^\omega\} \subseteq \omega^*$ has been defined for each $\nu < \theta < \omega_1$ so that:

- (1) $p(\nu, \xi) = \bar{f}_\xi^\nu(q(\nu, \xi))$ for some $q(\nu, \xi) \in T_{RK}(p)$, for $f_\xi^\nu \in \mathcal{F}_\nu$, $\nu < \theta$ and $\xi < 2^\omega$, where if $\nu = \mu + 1$, then $\mathcal{F}_\nu = \{f | f: \omega \rightarrow X_\mu \text{ is an embedding}\}$ and if ν is a limit ordinal, then $\mathcal{F}_\nu = \{f | f: \omega \rightarrow \bigcup_{\mu < \nu} X_\mu \text{ is an embedding with } f(n) \in X_{\mu_n} \text{ for each } n < \omega \text{ and } \mu_n \nearrow \nu\}$, and $\{f_\xi^\nu: \xi < 2^\omega\}$ is an enumeration of \mathcal{F}_ν ;
- (2) $p(\nu, \xi) \neq \tau(p(\nu, \xi))$ for $\nu < \theta$ and $\xi < \zeta < 2^\omega$;
- (3) $p(\mu, \zeta) <_{RK} p(\nu, \xi)$ for $\mu < \nu < \theta$ and $\xi, \zeta < 2^\omega$.

We consider two cases.

Case I. $\theta = \mu + 1$. In this case, we let $\mathcal{F}_\theta = \{f_\xi^\theta | f_\xi^\theta: \omega \rightarrow X_\mu \text{ is an embedding, } \xi < 2^\omega\}$ and put $p(\theta, 0) = \bar{f}_0^\theta(p)$. Suppose that, for each $\xi < \zeta < 2^\omega$, $p(\theta, \xi)$ has been defined so that $p(\theta, \xi) = \bar{f}_\xi^\theta(q(\theta, \xi))$ for some $q(\theta, \xi) \in T_{RK}(p)$ and (1)–(3) hold for $\nu < \theta$, for $\xi < 2^\omega$ and for $\{p(\theta, \xi): \xi < \zeta < 2^\omega\}$. Since $|\{\tau(p(\theta, \xi)): \xi < \zeta\}| < 2^\omega$ and $|T_{RK}(p)| = 2^\omega$, there is $q(\theta, \zeta) \in T_{RK}(p)$ such that $p(\theta, \zeta) = \bar{f}_\zeta^\theta(q(\theta, \zeta)) \neq \tau(p(\theta, \xi))$ for every $\xi < \zeta$. In order to verify (3) we fix $\nu \leq \mu$ and $\xi, \zeta < 2^\omega$. By definition, we have that $f_\xi^\nu(n) <_{RK} f_\zeta^\theta(n)$ for each $n < \omega$. From 2.7 it then follows that

$$p(\nu, \xi) = \bar{f}_\xi^\nu(q(\nu, \xi)) <_{RK} \bar{f}_\zeta^\theta(q(\theta, \zeta)) = p(\theta, \zeta).$$

Case II. θ is a limit ordinal. We put $\mathcal{F}_\theta = \{f_\xi^\theta | f_\xi^\theta: \omega \rightarrow \bigcup_{\nu < \theta} X_\nu \text{ is an embedding with } f_\xi^\theta(n) \in X_{\nu_n} \text{ for each } n < \omega, \nu_n \nearrow \nu, \xi < 2^\omega\}$. Define $p(\theta, 0) = \bar{f}_0^\theta(p)$ and assume that for each $\xi < \zeta < 2^\omega$, $p(\theta, \xi)$ has been defined so that $p(\theta, \xi) = \bar{f}_\xi^\theta(p)$ and (1)–(3) hold for $\nu < \theta$, for $\xi < 2^\omega$ and for $\{p(\theta, \xi): \xi < \zeta < 2^\omega\}$. Since $|\{\tau(p(\theta, \xi)): \xi < \zeta\}| < 2^\omega$ and $|T_{RK}(p)| = 2^\omega$, there is $q(\theta, \zeta) \in T_{RK}(p)$ such that $p(\theta, \zeta) = \bar{f}_\zeta^\theta(q(\theta, \zeta)) \neq \tau(p(\theta, \xi))$ for every $\xi < \zeta$. Only (3) requires proof. Let $\nu < \theta$ and $\xi, \zeta < 2^\omega$. It suffices to prove that $p(\nu + 1, \xi) <_{RK} p(\theta, \zeta)$. In fact, since $\nu < \theta$ and $\nu_n \nearrow \theta$ there is $m < \omega$ such that $\nu < \nu_n < \theta$ for each $m \leq n < \omega$. By assumption, we have that $f_\xi^{\nu+1}(n) < f_\zeta^\theta(n)$ for each $m \leq n < \omega$. By Lemma 2.7, we obtain that

$$p(\nu + 1, \xi) = \bar{f}_\xi^{\nu+1}(q(\nu + 1, \xi)) <_{RK} \bar{f}_\zeta^\theta(q(\theta, \zeta)) = p(\theta, \zeta).$$

Our example is the space $C_p = \bigcup_{\theta < \omega_1} X_\theta$ with the topology inherited from $\beta(\omega)$.

First, we show that C_p is quasi $T_{RK}(p)$ -compact. Let $f: \omega \rightarrow C_p$ be with infinite image. If we may find a one-to-one function $\sigma: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ and $\theta < \omega_1$ so that $f \circ \sigma: \omega \rightarrow X_\theta$ is an embedding, then there is $\xi < 2^\omega$ for which $f \circ \sigma = f_\xi^\theta$ and so $\bar{f}(\bar{\sigma}(q(\theta, \xi))) = \bar{f}_\xi^\theta(q(\theta, \xi)) = p(\theta, \xi) \in X_{\theta+1} \subseteq C_p$ and $q(\theta, \xi) \in T_{RK}(p)$. In the preceding case does not hold, then we may find a one-to-one function $\sigma: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ and a sequence of ordinals $(\nu_n)_{n < \omega}$ in ω_1 so that $\nu_n \nearrow \nu$ and $f \circ \sigma: \omega \rightarrow C_p$ is an embedding, and $f(n) \in X_{\nu_n}$ for each $n < \omega$. Now, we proceed as above. This proves that C_p is quasi $T_{RK}(p)$ -compact. Let $q \in X_\theta \subseteq C_p$ for some $\theta < \omega_1$. Since $\tau(q) \approx_{RK} q$, we have that $\tau(q) \notin X_\nu$ for each $\nu < \omega_1$ with $\nu \neq \theta$, because of (3). It follows from (2) that $\tau(q) \notin X_\theta$ as well. Thus,

$$C_p \times C_p \cap \{(q, \tau(q)) : q \in \omega^*\} = \emptyset.$$

The following example is needed to show that RK -order can be expressed in terms of almost p -compact properties.

Example 2.9. For $p \in \omega^*$, we define $\Xi_p = \omega \cup \{q \in \omega^* : \exists \nu < \omega_1 (p \leq_{RK} q \leq_{RK} p^\nu)\}$. We claim that Ξ_p is quasi $T_{RK}(p)$ -compact. In fact, let $f: \omega \rightarrow \Xi_p$ be with infinite image. We consider two cases.

Case I. There is a one-to-one function $\sigma: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $f \circ \sigma[\omega] \subseteq \omega$ and $f \circ \sigma: \omega \rightarrow \Xi_p$ is an embedding. Then, by [CN, 9.2 (b)], we have that $p \approx_{RK} \bar{\sigma}(p) \approx_{RK} \bar{f}(\bar{\sigma}(p)) \in \Xi_p$.

Case II. There is a one-to-one function $\sigma: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $f \circ \sigma: \omega \rightarrow \Xi_p$ is an embedding and $f \circ \sigma[\omega] \subseteq \omega^*$. For each $n < \omega$, choose $\nu_n < \omega_1$ such that $f(\sigma(n)) \leq_{RK} p^{\nu_n}$ and let $\nu = \lim \nu_n$. Hence, $p \leq_{RK} f(\sigma(n)) \leq_{RK} p^\nu$ for each $n < \omega$. Applying Lemma 2.28 (see also [B1, clause (6) p. 34]) and Lemma 2.29 of [G-F₅], we obtain $p \otimes p \leq_{RK} \bar{f}(\bar{\sigma}(p)) \leq_{RK} p \otimes p^\nu \leq_{RK} p^\mu$ for a limit ordinal $\nu < \mu < \omega_1$; hence, $\bar{f}(\bar{\sigma}(p)) \in \Xi_p$ and $\bar{\sigma}(p) \in T_{RK}(p)$.

Theorem 2.10. For $p, q \in \omega^*$, the following are equivalent,

- (1) $p \leq_{RK} q$;
- (2) every almost p -compact space is almost q -compact.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose that X is almost p -compact. Let $\tau: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ be such that $\bar{\tau}(q) = p$ and $f: \omega \rightarrow X$. By 2.1, there is $\sigma: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $\bar{\sigma}(p) \in \omega^*$ and $\bar{f}(\bar{\sigma}(p)) \in X$. Then $\bar{\sigma}(\bar{\tau}(q)) \in \omega^*$ and $\bar{f}(\bar{\sigma}(\bar{\tau}(p))) \in X$. It then follows from 2.1 that X is almost q -compact.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). By 2.9, we have that Ξ_p is almost p -compact. By assumption, Ξ_p is almost q -compact. Hence, by 2.1, there is $\sigma: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $\bar{\sigma}(q) \in \omega^*$ and $\bar{\sigma}(p) \in \Xi_p$. So $p \leq_{RK} \bar{\sigma}(q) \leq_{RK} q$. \square

3. COMFORT TYPES

It is shown in [G-F₄, 3.4] that $T_c(p)$ is countably compact for each $p \in \omega^*$. We improve this result as follows. First, we recall the definition of the Rudin-Frolík order on ω^* :

We say that $p <_{RF} q$ if there is an embedding $e: \omega \rightarrow \omega^*$ such that $\bar{e}(p) = q$, for $p, q \in \omega^*$. It is known that $<_{RF}$ and \leq_{RK} are in fact distinct and $<_{RF} \subseteq \leq_{RK}$ (see [CN, Chapter 16]).

Theorem 3.1. *For every $p \in \omega^*$, we have that $T_c(p)$ is almost p -compact.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $T_c(p)$ is quasi $T_{RK}(p)$ -compact. Indeed, let $f: \omega \rightarrow T_c(p)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f[\omega]$ is infinite. It is clear that we may find an infinite subset A of ω such that $f|_A: A \rightarrow T_c(p)$ is an embedding. Now, choose a bijection $\sigma: \omega \rightarrow A$ and set $e = f \circ \sigma$. Then, we have that $p <_{RF} q = \bar{e}(p)$ and hence $p \leq_c q$. Since $\beta_p(\omega)$ is p -compact, we obtain that $q = \bar{e}(p) \in \beta_p(\omega)$. It then follows from Lemma 0.7.

(4) that $q \leq_c p$. Therefore, $\bar{f}(\bar{\sigma}(p)) = q \in T_c(p)$ and $\bar{\sigma}(p) \in T_{RK}(p)$. □

It is also proved in [G-F₄, 3.8] that if $p \in \omega^*$ is a P -point, then $T_c(p)$ is p -compact. But we could not answer the following question which is taken from [G-F₄, 3.9. (1)].

Question 3.2. Is $T_c(p)$ a p -compact space for every $p \in \omega^*$? The topological behavior of the Comfort types for a cardinal number α bigger than ω is only known when $p \in U(\alpha)$ is RK -minimal in α^* : If such an uniform ultrafilter p exists on α , then α is measurable (see [CN, Lemma 9.5]). In fact, the author proved in [G-F₃, 3.16] that $T_c(p) = \bigcup_{1 \leq n < \omega} T_{RK}(p^n)$ provided that $p \in U(\alpha)$ is RK -minimal in α^* and $\alpha > \omega$; hence, by Lemma 3.13, of [G-F₃], we have that every element of $T_c(p)$ is α -complete and so $T_c(p)$ cannot be countably compact, since no point of $T_c(p)$ is the accumulation point of a countable subset of $T_c(p)$. This leads us to ask:

Question 3.3. If $p \in U(\alpha)$ is not RK -minimal in α^* , must $T_c(p)$ be countably compact? The next question is posed in [G-F₄, 3.9. (3)].

Question 3.4. For $p, q \in \omega^*$, is $T_c(p) \times T_c(q)$ a countably compact space? In connection with this question we have the next Theorem. We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $p, q \in \omega^*$. If $T_c(p) \times T_c(q)$ is countably compact, then there are $s \in T_c(p)$, $t \in T_c(q)$ and $r \in \omega^*$ such that $r <_{RF} s$ and $r <_{RF} t$.*

Proof. Suppose that $T_c(p) \times T_c(q)$ is countably compact. Let $\{A_n : n < \omega\}$ be a partition of ω in infinite subsets. For each $n < \omega$, choose $p_n \in A_n^* \cap T_c(p)$ and

$q_n \in A_n^* \cap T_c(q)$ and define $f: \omega \rightarrow T_c(p) \times T_c(q)$ by $f(n) = (p_n, q_n)$ for each $n < \omega$. By assumption, there is $r \in \omega^*$ such that $\bar{f}(r) = (s, t) \in T_c(p) \times T_c(q)$. Then, we have that $\pi_0(\bar{f}(r)) = s$ and $\pi_1(\bar{f}(r)) = t$, where $\pi_i: \beta(\omega) \times \beta(\omega) \rightarrow \beta(\omega)$ is the projection map for $i = 0, 1$. Since $\pi_0 \circ f: \omega \rightarrow T_c(p)$ and $\pi_1 \circ f: \omega \rightarrow T_c(q)$ are embeddings, $r <_{RF} s$ and $r <_{RF} t$. \square

Lemma 3.6. (G-F₄. Theorem 2.17). *Let $p \in \omega^*$. Then p is RK-minimal iff p is C-minimal (i.e., \leq_c -minimal) and P-point.*

Lemma 3.7. (G-F₄). Theorem 2.10). *Let $p, q \in \omega^*$. If $p \leq_c q$ and p is a weak p-point, then $p \leq_{RK} q$.*

Theorem 3.8. *If $p, q \in \omega^*$ are RK-minimal and RK-incomparable, then $T_c(p) \times T_c(q)$ is not countably compact.*

Proof. Assume that $T_c(p) \times T_c(q)$ is countably compact. By Lemma 3.4, there are $s \in T_c(p), t \in T_c(q)$ and $r \in \omega^*$ such that, in particular, $r <_{RK} s$ and $r <_{RK} t$. According to Lemma 3.6, we have that p and q are C-minimal. Hence, $p \approx_c r \approx_c q$, but this is impossible by Lemma 3.7. \square

References

- [BS] *B. Balcar and P. Simon: Disjoint refinements. Handbook of Boolean Algebra (J.D. Monk and R. Bonnet, eds.). Nort-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.*
- [B] *A.R. Bernstein: A new kind of compactness for topological spaces. Fund. Math. 66 (1970), 185–193.*
- [Bl₁] *A.R. Blass: Orderings of Ultrafilters. Doctoral dissertation. Harvard University, 1970.*
- [Bl₂] *A.R. Blass: Kleene degree of ultrafilters. Recursion Theory Week (Oberwolfach 1984) Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1141. Springer-Verlag, 1985, pp. 29–48.*
- [Bo] *D.D. Booth: Ultrafilters on a countable set. Ann. Math. Logic 2 (1970), 1–24.*
- [CN] *W.W. Comfort and S. Negrepointis: The Theory of Ultrafilters. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften Vol. 211, Springer-Verlag, 1974.*
- [F₁] *Z. Frolík: The topological product of countably compact spaces. Czech. J. Math. 10 (1960), 329–338.*
- [F₂] *Z. Frolík: Fixed points of maps of $\beta\mathbb{N}$. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 187–191.*
- [G-F₁] *S. Garcia-Ferreira: Some remarks on initial α -compactness, $< \alpha$ -boundedness and p -compactness. Top. Proc. 15, 15–28.*
- [G-F₂] *S. Garcia-Ferreira: On $FU(p)$ -spaces and p -sequential spaces. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 32 (1991), 161–171.*
- [G-F₃] *S. Garcia-Ferreira: Comfort types of ultrafilters. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1994), 1251–1260.*
- [G-F₄] *S. Garcia-Ferreira: Three orderings on $\beta(\omega) \setminus \omega$. Top. Appl. 50 (1993), 199–216.*
- [G-F₅] *S. Garcia-Ferreira: Some generalizations of pseudocompactness. Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 728 (1994), 22–31.*

- [GT] S. Garcia-Ferreira and A. Tamariz-Mascarua The α -boundification of α . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *118* (1993), 1301–1311.
- [GJ] L. Gillman and M. Jerison: Rings of Continuous Functions. Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 43, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [GS] J. Ginsburg and V. Saks: Some applications of ultrafilters in topology. Pacific J. Math. *57* (1975), 403–418.
- [GFW] S.L. Gulden, W.M. Fleischman and J.H. Weston: Linearly ordered topological spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *24* (1970), 197–203.
- [KS] V. Kannan and T. Soundararajan: Properties that are productive, closed-hereditary and surjective. Topology Appl. *12* (1981), 141–146.
- [Ka] M. Katětov: Product of filters. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae *9* (1968), 173–189.
- [K₁] A.P. Kombarov: On a theorem of A.H. Stone. Soviet Math. Dokl. *27* (1983), 544–547.
- [K₂] A.P. Kombarov: Compactness and sequentiality with respect to a set ultrafilters. Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. *40* (1985), no. 5, 15–18.
- [Ku] K. Kunen: Weak P -points in ω^* . Colloquia Mathematica Societatis. János Bolyai *23* (North-Holland, Amsterdam), 1978, pp. 741–749.
- [O] L. O’Callaghan: Topological Endohomeomorphisms and Compactness Properties of Products and Generalized Σ -products. Doctoral Dissertation. Wesleyan University, 1975.
- [Sa] V. Saks: Ultrafilter invariants in topological spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *241* (1978), 79–97.
- [SS] V. Saks and R.M. Stephenson Jr.: Products of \mathcal{A} -compact spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *28* (1971), 279–288.
- [S] I.A. Savchenko: Convergence with respect to ultrafilters and the collective normality of products. Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. *43* (1988), no. 2, 45–47.
- [Sm] Y.M. Smirnov: On topological spaces, compact in a given interval of powers. Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. *14* (1950), 155–178.
- [St] R.M. Stephenson Jr.: Initially κ -compact and related spaces. Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology (K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, eds.). North-Holland, 1984.
- [SV] R.M. Stephenson Jr. and J.E. Vaughan: Products of initially α -compact spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *196* (1974), 177–189.
- [V₁] J.E. Vaughan: Powers of spaces of non-stationary ultrafilters. Fund. Math. *117* (1983), 5–14.
- [V₂] J.E. Vaughan: Countably compact and sequentially compact spaces. Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology (K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, eds.). North-Holland, 1984.
- [W] R.G. Woods: Topological extension properties. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *210* (1975), 365–385.

Author’s address: Instituto de Matemáticas, Ciudad Universitaria (UNAM) 04510 D.F. Mexico, e-mail garcia@servidor.unam.mx and sgarcia@zeus.ccu.umich.mx.