

Anton Dekrét

On a Pair of Connections on a Principal Fibre Bundle

Matematický časopis, Vol. 24 (1974), No. 1, 59--68

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127060>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON A PAIR OF CONNECTIONS ON A PRINCIPAL FIBRE BUNDLE

ANTON DEKRÉT

Kolář [3] introduced the difference tensor $\Delta(X)$ of an arbitrary semi-holonomic jet X . In this paper it is first shown that the mapping $X \rightarrow \Delta(X)$ can be extended on some subset of the non-holonomic jets. Further, some properties of a pair of the connections on a principal fibre bundle are found. All our considerations are in the category C^∞ . We use the standard terminology and notations of the theory of jets (see [2]) with the following notational conventions. We write $j_{x_0}^r(y) = j_{x_0}^r(x \rightarrow y)$ for a fixed y and j_r^k , $k < r$, denotes the natural projection of $\tilde{J}^r(M, N)$ into $\tilde{J}^k(M, N)$.

1. Let V, M, N be real manifolds. Let t^s or x^i or y^p be the local coordinates on V , or on M , or on N determined by local charts τ , or ξ , or ζ , respectively. Denote by $(t^s, x_{s_1 0}^i, x_{s_2 0}^i, x_{s_1 s_2}^i)$ or $(x^i, y_{i_3 0}^p, y_{i_2 0}^p, y_{i_1 i_2}^p)$, where $s, s_1, s_2 = 1, \dots, \dim V = v$; $i, i_1, i_2 = 1, \dots, \dim M = m$; $p = 1, \dots, \dim N = n$, the natural coordinates on $\tilde{J}^2(V, M)$, or $\tilde{J}^2(M, N)$, respectively (see [5]). Let $X = (t^s, x_{s_1 0}^i, x_{s_2 0}^i, x_{s_1 s_2}^i) \in \tilde{J}^2(V, M)$, $Y = (x^i, y_{i_3 0}^p, y_{i_2 0}^p, y_{i_1 i_2}^p) \in \tilde{J}_{\beta X}^2(M, N)$. Then the composition $Z = YX \in \tilde{J}^2(V, N)$ has the coordinates $(t^s, z_{s_1 0}^p, z_{s_2 0}^p, z_{s_1 s_2}^p)$, where

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} z_{s_1 0}^p &= y_{i_1 0}^p x_{s_1 0}^{i_1}, \quad z_{s_2 0}^p = y_{i_2 0}^p x_{s_2 0}^{i_2}, \\ z_{s_1 s_2}^p &= y_{i_1 i_2}^p x_{s_1 0}^{i_1} x_{s_2 0}^{i_2} + y_{i_1 0}^p x_{s_1 s_2}^{i_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1. *Let $X = (x^i, y_{i_3 0}^p, y_{i_2 0}^p, y_{i_1 i_2}^p) \in \tilde{J}^2(M, N)$. Denote by $\Delta(X)$ the set of real numbers $y_{[i_1 i_2]}^p = y_{i_1 i_2}^p - y_{i_2 i_1}^p$. Then $\Delta(X)$ is an element of $T_{\beta X}(N) \otimes \times \wedge^2 T_{\alpha X}^*(M)$ if and only if*

$$(2) \quad y_{i_1 i_2}^{p_1} y_{i_3 0}^{p_2} = y_{i_3 0}^{p_1} y_{i_2 0}^{p_2}.$$

Proof. Let $a \in H_{\alpha X}^2(M)$, $b \in H_{\beta X}^2(N)$ be the holonomic 2-frames determined by local charts ξ , or ζ , respectively. Then the jet $b^{-1}Xa$ has the coordinates $(y_{i_3 0}^p, y_{i_2 0}^p, y_{i_1 i_2}^p)$. Let $A = (a_{i_1}^i, a_{i_1 i_2}^i) \in L_m^2$, $B = (b_{p_1}^p = b_{p_1 0}^p = b_{0 p_1}^p, b_{p_1 p_2}^p) \in L_n^2$, $p_1, p_2 = 1, \dots, n$. Let $Bb^{-1}XaA$ have the coordinates $(c_{i_3 0}^p, c_{i_2 0}^p, c_{i_1 i_2}^p)$.

It is necessary to show that

$$(3) \quad (c_{[i_1 i_2]}^p = b_{p_1}^p y_{[k_1 k_2]}^{p_1} a_{i_1}^{k_1} a_{i_2}^{k_2}) \Leftrightarrow (2).$$

Using (1), we obtain

$$c_{[i_1 i_2]}^p = b_{p_1 p_2}^p a_{i_1}^{k_1} a_{i_2}^{k_2} (y_{k_1 0}^{p_1} y_{k_2 0}^{p_2} - y_{0 k_1}^{p_1} y_{k_2 0}^{p_2}) + b_{p_1}^p y_{[k_1 k_2]}^{p_1} a_{i_1}^{k_1} a_{i_2}^{k_2},$$

where $k, k_1, k_2 = 1, \dots, m$. That is why (3) is correct for any $A \in L_m^2, B \in L_n^2$ if and only if the jet X has the property (2).

Definition 1. *The non-holonomic jets having the property (2) will be said to be quasi-semi-holonomic. The tensor $\Delta(Y)$ determined by the quasi-semi-holonomic jet Y will be called the difference tensor of Y . If $\Delta(y) = 0$, we shall say that Y is quasi-holonomic.*

Remark. Let $Y \in \tilde{J}^2(M, N)$, $Y = j_{\alpha(Y)}^1 \sigma$. Then the jets $j_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 Y$ and $l_{\frac{1}{2}}^1(Y) = j_{\alpha(Y)}^1(\beta \sigma)$ determine the homomorphisms

$$L(j_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 Y), L(l_{\frac{1}{2}}^1(Y)) \in \text{Hom}(T_{\alpha(Y)}(M), T_{\beta(Y)}(N)).$$

It is easy to see that Y has the property (2) if and only if $L(j_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 Y)[T_{\alpha Y}(M)] = 0$ or if there is such a real number λ that

$$L(l_{\frac{1}{2}}^1(Y)) = \lambda L(j_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 Y).$$

If $L(j_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 Y)[T_{\alpha Y}(M)] \neq 0$ and $L(l_{\frac{1}{2}}^1(Y)) = \lambda L(j_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 Y)$, the jet Y will be said to be *quasi-semi-holonomic with the coefficient λ* . In the case of $L(j_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 Y)[T_{\alpha Y}(M)] = 0$, Y will be called *quasi-semi-holonomic without a coefficient*. We introduce two examples. Let $X \in J^1(M, N)$, $X = j_{\alpha X}^1 \sigma$, then $X^{(2)} = j_{\alpha X}^1(u \rightarrow j_u^1[\sigma(u)])$ is quasi-semi-holonomic without a coefficient. Further, denote by $J^1(M, N)_y$ the set of 1-jets of M into N with the target $y \in N$. Then $Y = j_{\alpha Y}^1 \sigma$, where σ is a local cross-section of the fibre manifold $(J^1(M, N))_y, \alpha, M$, is quasi-semi-holonomic with the coefficient 0.

Some properties of the difference tensor $\Delta(Y)$, formulated in [4] for the semi-holonomic case, can be easily generalized for the quasi-semi-holonomic case.

Lemma 2. *Let $X \in \tilde{J}^2(V, M)$, $Y \in \tilde{J}_{\beta X}^2(M, N)$ be quasi-semi-holonomic with the coefficients λ_1, λ_2 (one of them is without a coefficient). Then YX is quasi-semi-holonomic with the coefficient $\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2$ (is without coefficient) and*

$$(4) \quad \Delta(YX) = \lambda_1 \Delta(Y) L(j_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 X) + L(j_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 Y) \Delta(X).$$

Using (1), the proof is clear.

Now, let $X \in \tilde{J}_x^2(M, W)$, $Y \in \tilde{J}_x^2(M, N)$, $(X, Y) \in \tilde{J}_x^2(M, W \times N)$. If X, Y are quasi-semi-holonomic, (X, Y) need not be quasi-semi-holonomic. But if X, Y are quasi-semi-holonomic with the same coefficient λ (X, Y are without

a coefficient), then (X, Y) is quasi-semi-holonomic with the coefficient λ (without a coefficient).

Lemma 3. *If $X \in \tilde{J}_x^2(M, W)$, $Y \in \tilde{J}_x^2(M, N)$ are quasi-semi-holonomic with the same coefficient or without a coefficient then*

$$(5) \quad \Delta(X, Y) = i_{1*}\Delta(X) + i_{2*}\Delta(Y),$$

where $i_1 : W \rightarrow W \times N$, $i_1(w) = (w, \beta Y)$,

$i_2 : N \rightarrow W \times N$, $i_2(y) = (\beta X, y)$.

The proof is obvious.

Lemma 4. *Let G be a Lie group. Let $X, Y \in \tilde{J}_x^2(M, G)$, $\beta X = \beta Y = e$, be quasi-semi-holonomic with the same coefficient or without a coefficient. Then*

$$\Delta(X \cdot Y) = \Delta(X) + \Delta(Y),$$

where $X \cdot Y$ denotes the extension of the group operation on G .

Proof. Let $f : G \times G \rightarrow G$ be the group operation on G . Using (4) and (5), we get

$$\Delta(X \cdot Y) = f_*\Delta(X, Y) = f_*(i_{1*}\Delta(X) + i_{2*}\Delta(Y)) = \Delta(X) + \Delta(Y),$$

because $\beta X = \beta Y = e$ is the unit of G and thus $f(i_1(g)) = f(g, e) = g$, $f(i_2(g)) = f(e, g) = g$.

2. Let N be a parallelizable manifold and let

$$\omega_0^\alpha, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \dots = 1, \dots, r = \dim N$$

be a basis of $T^*(N)$. Consider the trivial fibre manifold $E = R^m \times N$ with the base R^m ; the elements of R^m will be denoted by (x^1, \dots, x^m) . Then $\omega^\alpha = p_{r+2}^*\omega_0^\alpha$, $dt^i = p_{r+1}^*dx^i$ is a basis of $T^*(E)$. Let X be quasi-semi-holonomic. We will need the coordinates of $\Delta(X)$ at the basis dx^i and the basis dual to ω^α, dt^i . Every element $Y \in J_0^1 E$, $\beta Y = z$, can be identified with the subspace $Im L(Y) \subset T_z(E)$ determined by

$$(6) \quad (\omega^\alpha)_z = A_i^\alpha(dt^i)_z, \text{ see [3].}$$

We get some real functions A_i^α on $J^1 E$. Every $Y \in J_0^1 E$ is uniquely determined by the point $\beta Y = z \in E$ and by the real numbers $A_i^\alpha(Y)$. Let $X \in J_0^2 E$. $X = j_0^1 \sigma$, $\beta X = z$. It is obvious that X is uniquely determined by the jets $l_2^1(X) = j_0^1(\beta \sigma)$, $j_2^1(X) = \sigma(o)$ and by the real numbers A_{ij}^α determined by

$$dA_i^\alpha(\sigma)_0 = A_{ij}^\alpha(dx^j)_0.$$

Denoting $A_i^\alpha(\sigma(o))$ by A_{i0}^α .

$$(7) \quad (\omega^\alpha)_z = A_{i0}^\alpha(dt^i)_z \text{ or}$$

$$(\omega^\alpha)_z = A_{oi}^\alpha(dt^i)_z,$$

are the equations of the subspace $Im L(j_2^1 X)$, or $Im L(l_2^1(X))$, respectively. Let (x^i, z^α) be a local chart on E . Then the natural coordinates of X are $(z^\alpha, a_{io}^\alpha, a_{oi}^\alpha, a_{ij}^\alpha)$ and thus

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} (dz^\alpha)_z &= a_{io}^\alpha(dt^i)_o \quad \text{or} \\ (dz^\alpha)_z &= a_{oi}^\alpha(dt^i)_o, \end{aligned}$$

determine $Im L(j_2^1 X)$, or $Im L(l_2^1(X))$, respectively. The numbers a_{ij}^α are given by

$$da_{ij}^\alpha(\sigma)_o = a_{ij}^\alpha(dx^j)_o,$$

where a_i^α are the coordinate functions of the chart $(x^i, z^\alpha, a_i^\alpha)$ on $J^1 E$. Let $\omega^\alpha = B_\beta^\alpha dz^\beta$, $dB_\beta^\alpha = B_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha dz^\gamma$ and let $\tilde{B}_\beta^\alpha B_\gamma^\beta = \delta_\gamma^\alpha$. Using (6), (7), (8), we can compute

$$a_{ij} = -\tilde{B}_\xi^\alpha B_{\xi\gamma}^\xi \tilde{B}_\beta^\gamma \tilde{B}_\delta^\beta A_{io}^\delta A_{jo}^\beta + \tilde{B}_\beta^\alpha A_{ij}^\beta.$$

If X is quasi-semi-holonomic, $A_{ko}^\alpha = 0$ or $A_{ok}^\alpha = \lambda \cdot A_{ko}^\alpha$. Therefore, if X is quasi-semi-holonomic,

$$a_{[ij]} = -\tilde{B}_\xi^\alpha B_{[\xi\gamma]}^\xi \tilde{B}_\beta^\gamma \tilde{B}_\delta^\beta A_{io}^\delta A_{jo}^\lambda + \tilde{B}_\beta^\alpha A_{[ij]}^\beta.$$

Let $d\omega^\xi = K_{\mu\nu}^\xi \omega^\mu \wedge \omega^\nu$, $K_{\mu\nu}^\xi = -K_{\nu\mu}^\xi$. Then for $\gamma < \zeta$,

$$B_{[\zeta\gamma]}^\xi dz^\gamma \wedge dz^\zeta = 2K_{\mu\nu}^\xi B_\gamma^\mu B_\zeta^\nu dz^\gamma \wedge dz^\zeta.$$

We have $B_{[\zeta\gamma]}^\xi = 2K_{\mu\nu}^\xi B_\gamma^\mu B_\zeta^\nu$. Now,

$$a_{[ij]}^\alpha = 2\tilde{B}_\xi^\alpha K_{\beta\delta}^\xi A_{io}^\beta A_{jo}^\delta \lambda + \tilde{B}_\beta^\alpha A_{[ij]}^\beta.$$

Denote by E_α, E_i the basis of $T(E)$ dual to ω^α, dt^i . Then

$$(9) \quad A(X) = (2K_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha A_{io}^\beta A_{jo}^\gamma \lambda + A_{[ij]}^\alpha) dz^i \wedge dx^j \otimes E_\alpha, \quad i < j.$$

3. Let G be a Lie group and let \mathfrak{G} be its Lie-algebra. Let e_α ($\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots = 1, \dots, r = \dim G$) be a basis of \mathfrak{G} and let $[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = -c_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma e_\gamma$. Let (v^i) be a local chart on M defined on some neighbourhood of $x_0 \in M$. Let $Y \in J_{x_0}^1(M, G)$, $Y = j_{x_0}^1 \rho(x)$. Y can be identified with $L(Y)$. Let $L(Y)$ be given by the tensor $A_j^\alpha(dx^j)_{x_0} \otimes (e_\alpha)_{\rho(x_0)}$. Let E denote the subspace of \mathfrak{G} determined by $Im L(Y)$, i. e. generated by the vectors $E_j = A_j^\alpha e_\alpha$. The mapping $J : G \rightarrow Gl(r)$, $g \mapsto Ad(g^{-1})$ is a representation of G . Let $X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{G}$. Then

$$(10) \quad [J_*(X_1)](X_2) = -[X_1, X_2], \text{ see [1], p. 56.}$$

Let (g_β^α) be the matrix of the linear mapping $Ad(g^{-1}) = f_*$, $f(u) = g^{-1}ug$, at the basis e_α : g_β^α are some real functions on G . Now using (10), we compute $dJ_\beta^\alpha(\rho)_{x_0}$. Let $X_1 = A_j^\alpha dx^j(v)e_\alpha$, $v \in T_{x_0}(M)$, $v = j_{0\gamma}^1(t)$. Consequently $X_1 =$

$= j_0^1[\varrho^{-1}(x_0) \cdot \varrho(\gamma(t))]$, where $\varrho^{-1}(x_0) \cdot \varrho(\gamma(t))$ denotes the product of $\varrho^{-1}(x_0)$, $\varrho(\gamma(t))$ on G . Hence the linear mapping $J_*(X_1)$ is given by the matrix

$$\frac{d}{dt} g_\beta^z[\varrho^{-1}(x_0)\varrho(\gamma(t))]_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} [g_\beta^z(\varrho(\gamma(t)))]_{t=0} g_\beta^z(\varrho^{-1}(x_0)),$$

as $Ad(ab)^{-1} = Ad(b^{-1})Ad(a^{-1})$. Let $X_2 = e_\delta$. Then (10) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} [g_\beta^z(\varrho(\gamma(t)))]_{t=0} g_\delta^\beta(\varrho^{-1}(x_0))e_\alpha = -[A_j^z dx^j(v)e_\alpha, c_\delta].$$

This implies $\frac{d}{dt} [g_\beta^z(\varrho(\gamma(t)))]_{t=0} g_\delta^\beta(\varrho^{-1}(x_0)) = c_{\gamma\delta}^\alpha A_j^z dx^j(v)$, i. e.

$$\frac{d}{dt} [g_\beta^z(\varrho(\gamma(t)))]_{t=0} = c_{\gamma\delta}^\alpha g_\beta^\delta(\varrho(x_0)) A_j^z dx^j(v), \text{ i. e.}$$

$$(11) \quad dg_\beta^z(\varrho(x))_{x_0} = c_{\gamma\delta}^\alpha g_\beta^\delta(\varrho(x_0)) A_j^z (dx^j)_{x_0}.$$

Let $P(M, G, \pi)$ be a principal fibre bundle. Let Γ_p be a distribution on P determining a connection Γ on P . Then $T_p(P) = T_p(P_x) \otimes \Gamma_p$ for any $p \in P$, $\pi_p = x$. Denote by H the natural projection $T_p(P) \rightarrow \Gamma_p$. Let φ be the fundamental \mathfrak{G} -valued form of the connection Γ and let Φ be the curvature form of Γ , i. e. $\Phi = D\varphi = d\varphi H$. Let us recall the relations

$$(12) \quad d\varphi = -1/2[\varphi, \varphi] + \Phi,$$

$$(13) \quad D\Phi = \theta \text{ and}$$

$$(14) \quad d\omega = -[\varphi, \omega] + D\omega,$$

where ω is a \mathfrak{G} -valued equivariant horizontal p -form on P . Let Γ_1, Γ_2 be two different connections on P . Let φ_1, φ_2 or Φ_1, Φ_2 , or H_1, H_2 , be the fundamental forms or the curvature forms, or the natural projections of Γ_1, Γ_2 , respectively. It is obvious that

$$(15) \quad H_1 H_2 = H_1, \quad H_2 H_1 = H_2.$$

Denote by $\varphi_{12} = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$, $\varphi_{21} = \varphi_2 - \varphi_1$. $\varphi_{1/2}$ and $\varphi_{2/1}$ are equivariant \mathfrak{G} -valued horizontal forms on P (see [1]). The form φ_{12} will be called the fundamental difference form of the pair Γ_1, Γ_2 . It is easy to see

$$(16) \quad \varphi_{1/2} = \varphi_1 H_2, \quad \varphi_{2/1} = \varphi_2 H_1.$$

Let Ω be a real or vector valued form on P . The form $d\Omega H_s$, $s = 1, 2$, will also be denoted by ${}^s D\Omega$. Now, using (14), we obtain

$$d\varphi_{1/2} = -[\varphi_1, \varphi_{1/2}] + {}^1 D\varphi_{1/2},$$

$$d\varphi_{1/2} = -[\varphi_2, \varphi_{1/2}] + {}^2 D\varphi_{1/2}.$$

Then

$$(17) \quad {}^1D\varphi_{1/2} - {}^2D\varphi_{1/2} = [\varphi_{1/2}, \varphi_{1/2}].$$

The form $[\varphi_{1/2}, \varphi_{1/2}]$ will be called the 2-difference form of the pair Γ_1, Γ_2 . Using further (15) and (16), (12) implies

$$\begin{aligned} {}^2D\varphi_1 &= -1/2[\varphi_{1/2}, \varphi_{1/2}] + \Phi_1, \\ {}^1D\varphi_2 &= -1/2[\varphi_{1/2}, \varphi_{1/2}] + \Phi_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(18) \quad {}^2D\varphi_1 - {}^1D\varphi_2 = \Phi_1 - \Phi_2.$$

The form $\Phi_1 - \Phi_2$ will be said to be the 2-difference curvature form of the pair Γ_1, Γ_2 . Let $\dim(\Gamma_1)_p \cap (\Gamma_2)_p \neq 0$ be constant on P . As $d\varphi_{1/2} = -1/2[\varphi_{1/2}, \varphi_{1/2}] + \Phi_1 - \Phi_2$, the distribution determined by $\varphi_{1/2} = 0$ is integrable if the 2-difference curvature form of the pair Γ_1, Γ_2 vanishes.

Remark. Let Ω be an equivariant \mathfrak{G} -valued form on P . If $(\Omega)_u = 0$, then $(\Omega)_{ug} = 0$. Therefore, if $(\Omega)_u = 0$, we can say that Ω vanishes at $\pi u \in M$.

4. It is well known that every connection on P can be identified with a global G -invariant cross-section Γ of the fibered manifold $(J^1(P), P, \beta)$, satisfying $\Gamma(ug) = \Gamma(u)g$ for any $u \in P, g \in G$. Let Γ_1, Γ_2 be two different connections on P . We can uniquely construct the jet $R(u) \in J^1_{\pi u}(M, G)_e, u \in P$, as follows. Let $\Gamma_1(u) = j^1_{\pi u}\sigma_1, \Gamma_2(u) = j^1_{\pi u}\sigma_2$. Denote by $\varrho(x)$ a local mapping of M into G determined by

$$\sigma_2(x) = \sigma_1(x)\varrho(x).$$

We put

$$R(u) = j^1_{\pi u}\varrho(x).$$

Evidently, $\beta R(u) = e \in G, e$ is the unit of G . The independence of $R(u)$ from the choice of σ_1 and σ_2 is obvious. Now, $\Gamma_2(u) = j^1_{\pi u}\sigma_1(x)\varrho(x) = \Gamma_1(u)R(u)$, where $\Gamma_1(u)R(u)$ denotes the extension of the action of G on P . In the expressions $g \cdot R(u), R(u) \cdot g, \Gamma_s(u)g$, we identify g with $j^1_{\pi(u)}(g)$ and the dot denotes the composition on G and its extension.

Lemma 5. *Let $u \in P, g \in G$. Then $R(ug) = g^{-1} \cdot R(u) \cdot g$.*

Proof. $\Gamma_2(ug) = \Gamma_2(u)g = [\Gamma_1(u)R(u)]g = [\{[\Gamma_1(ug)g^{-1}]R(u)\}g] = \Gamma_1(ug)(g^{-1} \cdot R(u) \cdot g)$. Therefore $R(ug) = g^{-1} \cdot R(u) \cdot g$.

In the case of $r \geq \dim M$, a pair of connections Γ_1, Γ_2 will be called regular or singular, at $x \in M$ if $R(u), \pi u = x$, is regular, or singular, respectively. It is easy to see that a pair of connections Γ_1, Γ_2 is singular if and only if

$$Im L(\Gamma_1(u)) \cap Im L(\Gamma_2(u)) \neq 0.$$

Further, let Γ be a connection on P , $\Gamma(u) = j_{\pi u}^1 \sigma$. Let Ω be a \mathfrak{G} -valued q -form on P . Let $v \in T_{\pi u}(B)$, $v = j_{\delta}^1 \gamma(t)$. Denoting $hv = j_0^1 \sigma(\gamma(t))$, we define

$${}^h\Omega_u(v_1, \dots, v_q) = \Omega(hv_1, \dots, hv_q), v_1, \dots, v_q \in T_{\pi u}(M).$$

Lemma 6. *Let $u \in P$. Then*

$$(19) \quad L(R_1(u)) = {}^{h_2}(\varphi_{1/2})_u.$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma_1(u) = j_{\pi u}^1 \sigma_1$, $\Gamma_2(u) = j_{\pi u}^1 \sigma_2$, $R_1(u) = j_{\pi u}^1 \varrho$. Let $v \in T_{\pi u}(M)$ $v = j_{\delta}^1 \gamma(t)$. Then ${}^h_2 v = w = j_0^1 \sigma_2(\gamma(t)) = j_0^1[\sigma_1(\gamma(t))\varrho(\gamma(t))]$ and thus ${}^{h_2}(\varphi_{1/2})_u(v) = \varphi_{1/2}(w) = \varphi_1(w) = j_0^1 \varrho(\gamma(t)) = L(R_1(u))(v)$. QED.

Put $R_{1s}(u) = j_{\pi u}^1 R_1(\sigma_s)$, $s = 1, 2$. Analogously to Lemma 6, we have

$$(20) \quad R_{1s}(ug) = g^{-1} \cdot R_{1s}(u) \cdot g.$$

Lemma 7. $R_{1s}(u) \in \tilde{J}_{\pi u}^2(M, G)_e$ is quasi-semi-holonomic with the coefficient 0 and

$$(21) \quad R_{12}(u) = (R_1^{-1}(u))^{(2)} \cdot R_{11}(u) \cdot (R_1(u))^{(2)}.$$

Proof. The first part is clear. To prove (21), we use the definition of $R_{1s}(u)$ and (20). $R_{12}(u) = j_{\pi u}^1 R_1(\sigma_2(x)) = j_{\pi u}^1 R_1(\sigma_1(x)\varrho(x)) = j_{\pi u}^1[\varrho^{-1}(x) \cdot R_1(\sigma_1(x)) \cdot \varrho(x)] = (R_1^{-1}(u))^{(2)} \cdot R_{11}(u) \cdot (R_1(u))^{(2)}$.

Putting further

$$\Gamma_{s_1 s_2}(u) = j_{\pi u}^1 \Gamma_{s_1}(\sigma_{s_2}(x)), s_1, s_2 = 1, 2,$$

we get some connections of the order 2 on P . Γ_{11} or Γ_{22} is the first prolongation of Γ_1 , or Γ_2 , respectively. They are semi-holonomic, whereas Γ_{12} , Γ_{21} are non-holonomic. It is easy to see

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_{21}(u) &= \Gamma_{11}(u)R_{11}(u), \\ \Gamma_{22}(u) &= \Gamma_{11}(u)[R_{11}(u) \cdot (R_1(u))^{(2)}], \\ \Gamma_{12}(u) &= \Gamma_{11}(u)(R_1(u))^{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

5. Let us consider a trivial principal fibre bundle $R^m \times G$, where the Lie group G acts on $R^m \times G$ by the rule $(x, g)g = (x, qg)$. Let e_α be a basis of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{G} of the left-invariant fields on G , $[e_\beta, e_\gamma] = -c_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha e_\alpha$. Let ω^α be the dual basis of \mathfrak{G}^* to e_α . The manifold $R^m \times G$ is parallelizable. Put $\omega^\alpha = p r_2^* \omega_0^\alpha$, $dt^i = p r_1^* dx^i$. Denote by E_α , E_i the dual basis to ω^α , dt^i . E_α is the fundamental vector field on $R^m \times G$, corresponding to e_α . Let H denote the distribution on $R^m \times G$ determined by

$$\omega^\alpha = A_i^\alpha dt^i,$$

where A_i^α are some real functions on $R^m \times G$. Let us consider a \mathfrak{G} -valued form $\varphi = (\omega^\alpha - A_i^\alpha dt^i) \otimes e_\alpha$. Denoting $\Omega = \omega^\alpha \otimes e_\alpha$ and $\Delta = A_i^\alpha dt^i \otimes e_\alpha$, we have $\varphi = \Omega - \Delta$. Obviously, $\varphi(E_\alpha) = e_\alpha$. φ is the fundamental form of a connection Γ on $R \times G$ if and only if it is equivariant, i. e. if

$$(23) \quad \varphi R_{g_*} = Ad(g^{-1})\varphi.$$

Let $u = (x_0, q) \in R^m \times G$, let $X \in T_u(R^m \times G)$, $X = X_1 + X_2(\omega^\alpha X_2 = 0, dt^i(X_1) = 0, \alpha = 1, \dots, r; i = 1, \dots, m)$. As Ω is equivariant, $\varphi R_{g_*}(X) = Ad(g^{-1})\Omega(X_1) - \Delta R_{g_*}(X_2)$. Since $Ad(g^{-1})\varphi(X) = Ad(g^{-1})\Omega(X_1) - Ad(g^{-1})\Delta(X_2)$, (23) is correct if and only if

$$(24) \quad \Delta R_{g_*}(X_2) = Ad(g^{-1})\Delta(X_2).$$

Put $X_2 = a^i(E_i)_{(x_0, q)}$. Then $R_{g_*}(X_2) = a^i(E_i)_{(x_0, qg)}$. Let $Ad(g^{-1})$ be expressed at the basis e_α by the matrix (g_β^α) . Then (24) yields

$$A_i^\alpha(x_0, qg)a^i e_\alpha = g_\beta^\alpha A_i^\beta(x_0, q)a^i e_\alpha.$$

Denoting the restriction of the functions A_i^α to the section $x \mapsto (x, e)$ by $\Gamma_i^\alpha(x)$, (23) is equivalent to

$$(25) \quad A_i^\alpha(x, g) = g_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_i^\beta(x).$$

Putting $g_\beta^\alpha(x, g) = g_\beta^\alpha(g)$ and $\Gamma_i^\alpha(x, g) = \Gamma_i^\alpha(x)$, we have

$$A_i^\alpha(u) = g_\beta^\alpha(u) \Gamma_i^\beta(u), \quad u = (x, g).$$

Now, let Γ_1, Γ_2 be two connections on $P = R^m \times G$. Let $\varphi_s = (\omega^\alpha - g_\beta^\alpha \Gamma_i^\beta dt^i) \otimes e_\alpha$ be the fundamental forms of Γ_s . Then

$$(26) \quad \varphi_{1/2} = g_\beta^\alpha ({}^2\Gamma_i^\beta - {}^1\Gamma_i^\beta) dt^i \otimes e_\alpha.$$

Let $\Gamma_s(u) = j_0^1 \sigma_s, \pi u = 0$. Since $d g_\beta^\alpha h_s = d[g_\beta^\alpha(\sigma_s)]$, therefore ${}^h({}^s D\varphi_{1/2})_u = {}^h(d\varphi_{1/2} H_s)_u = \{d(g_\beta^\alpha(\sigma_s))_0 [{}^2\Gamma_i^\beta(0) - {}^1\Gamma_i^\beta(0)] + g_\beta^\alpha(u) \partial_j ({}^2\Gamma_i^\beta - {}^1\Gamma_i^\beta)_0\} dx^j \wedge dx^i \otimes e_\alpha$.

Using (11) we obtain

$$(27) \quad {}^s D\varphi_{1/2} = \{2c_\beta^\alpha g_\gamma^\beta g_\gamma^\delta \Gamma_j^\epsilon [{}^2\Gamma_i^\epsilon - {}^1\Gamma_i^\epsilon] + g_\beta^\alpha (\partial_{[j} {}^2\Gamma_{i]}^\beta - \partial_{[j} {}^1\Gamma_{i]}^\beta)\} dt^j \wedge dt^i \otimes e_\alpha, j < i.$$

Theorem 1. *Let $P(M, G)$ be a principal fibre bundle. Let Γ_1, Γ_2 be some connections on P . Then*

$${}^h({}^s D\varphi_{1/2})_u = -\Delta(R_{1s}(u)).$$

Proof. Since our problem is local, we may suppose that P is the trivial fibre bundle $P = R^m \times G$. Relations (19) and (26) imply that the numbers A_i^α , determining the jet $R_{1s}(u)$ at the basis ω^α, dt^i , are

$$(28) \quad A_i^\alpha(u) = g_\beta^\alpha(u)({}^2\Gamma_i^\beta(u) - {}^1\Gamma_i^\beta(u)).$$

To determine the numbers $A_{ij}(R_{1s}(u))$, we use (11). Let $\pi u = o$. As $R_{1s}(u) = j_0^1 R_1(\sigma_s)$, $A_{ij}(R_{1s}(u))dx^j = d[g_\beta^\alpha(\sigma_s)]_0({}^2\Gamma_i^\beta(o) - {}^1\Gamma_i^\beta(o)) + g_\beta^\alpha(u)\partial_j[{}^2\Gamma_i^\beta - {}^1\Gamma_i^\beta]_0 dx^j = c_{\beta;\xi}^\alpha g_\xi^\beta(u)g_\zeta^\gamma(u)I_j^\xi(o)({}^2\Gamma_i^\zeta(o) - {}^1\Gamma_i^\zeta(o)) + g_\beta^\alpha(u)\partial_j[{}^2\Gamma_i^\beta - {}^1\Gamma_i^\beta]_0 dx^j$. Therefore $A_{[ij]}^\alpha = 2c_{\beta;\xi}^\alpha g_\xi^\beta(u)g_\zeta^\gamma(u)I_j^\xi(o)[{}^2\Gamma_i^\zeta(o) - {}^1\Gamma_i^\zeta(o)] + g_\beta^\alpha(u)(\partial_{[j}^2\Gamma_{i]}^\beta - \partial_{[j}^1\Gamma_{i]}^\beta)_0$. But $R_{1s}(u)$ is quasi-semi-holonomic with the coefficient 0. That is why (9) yields

$$-A(R_{1s}(u)) = -A_{[ij]}dx^i \wedge dx^j \otimes e_\alpha, \quad i < j.$$

Comparing with (27), we complete the proof.

As $R_{11}(u)$ and $R_{12}(u)$ are elements of the group $\tilde{J}_{\pi u}^2(M, G)_e$, Lemmas 2 and 4 imply

$$\Delta(R_{12} \cdot R_{11}^{-1}) = \Delta(R_{12}) + \Delta(R_{11}^{-1}) = \Delta(R_{12}) - \Delta(R_{11}) = \Delta(R_{11}^{-1} \cdot R_{12}).$$

Now, Theorem 1 and relation (17) yield

Theorem 2. *Let $u \in P$. Then*

$$\Delta(R_{12} \cdot R_{11}^{-1})_u = h_1({}^1D\varphi_{1/2})_u - h_2({}^2D\varphi_{1/2})_u = h_2[\varphi_{1/2}, \varphi_{1/2}]_u.$$

Putting further ${}^2R(u) = R_{11}(u) \cdot (R_1(u))^{(2)}$, we have $\Gamma_{22}(u) = \Gamma_{11}(u) {}^2R(u)$. It is easy to see that ${}^2R(u)$ is semi-holonomic.

Theorem 3. *Let $u \in P$. Then*

$$(29) \quad \Delta({}^2R(u)) = h_2(\Phi_2)_u - h_1(\Phi_1)_u.$$

Proof. (29) can be proved by direct computation. However, Kolař [3] showed: $\Delta(\Gamma_{22}(u)) = u_* h_2(\Phi_2)_u$, $\Delta(\Gamma_{11}(u)) = u_* h_1(\Phi_1)_u$, where u is the mapping $G \rightarrow P_{\pi u}$, $u(g) = ug$. Since $\Gamma_{11}(u)$ and $\Gamma_{22}(u)$ are semi-holonomic and $\Gamma_{11}(u) {}^2R(u)$ is the extension of the action $P \times G \rightarrow P$, Lemmas 2 and 3 imply directly (29).

6. Let Φ be a Lie groupoid over M . Let $a, b : \Phi \rightarrow N$ denote the right and left unit projections. Let $I : M \rightarrow \Phi$ denote the natural inclusion of the manifold of units into the groupoid. A non-holonomic or semi-holonomic or holonomic infinitesimal connection of the order $r \geq 1$ in Φ is a C^∞ map $\Gamma : M \rightarrow \tilde{J}^r(M, \Phi)$, or $M \rightarrow \bar{J}^r(M, \Phi)$, or $M \rightarrow J^r(M, \Phi)$, respectively, satisfying

$$\beta\Gamma = J, j^r a \Gamma(x) = j_x^r[x], j^r b \Gamma(x) = j_x^r \quad (\text{see [6]}),$$

for all $x \in M$, where $j^r a$ is the r -jet of a and j_x^r is the jet of the identity mapping on M . For $r = 1$ this corresponds to the above introduced connection on any

of the principal fibre bundles determined by Φ . Conversely, the principal fibre bundle $P(M, G)$ determines the grupoid $\Phi = P \times P/G$ and the connection on P determines the connection on Φ . Denote by $G(\Phi)$ the isotropy group bundle, i. e.

$$G_x = \{\Theta \in \Phi : a\Theta = b\Theta = x\}.$$

Let Γ_1, Γ_2 be two connections in Φ . Put

$$\Gamma_{1s}(x) = j_x^1 \Gamma_1 \cdot (\Gamma_s(x))^{(2)}, \quad \Gamma_{2s}(x) = j_x^1 \Gamma_2 \cdot (\Gamma_s(x))^{(2)} \quad (\text{see [6]}),$$

where the dot denotes the composition in Φ as well as its extension. $\Gamma_{s_1 s_2}$ is a 2-connection in Φ . Put

$$R_{1s}(x) = \Gamma_{1s}^{-1}(x) \cdot \Gamma_{2s}(x), \quad {}^2R(x) = \Gamma_{11}^{-1}(x) \cdot \Gamma_{22}(x).$$

$R_{1s}(x) \in \tilde{D}^2(G(\Phi))$ is quasi-semi-holonomic with the coefficient 0 and ${}^2R(x)$ is semi-holonomic. The pair of the connections Γ_1, Γ_2 will be said to be quasi-holonomic with respect to Γ_s , or quasi-holonomic, or holonomic at $x \in M$ if $R_{1s}(x)$, or $R_{11}^{-1}(x) \cdot R_{12}(x)$, or ${}^2R(x)$ is quasi-holonomic, or quasi-holonomic, or holonomic, respectively. Now, Theorems 1, 2, 3 give.

Theorem 4. *The pair of the connections Γ_1, Γ_2 is quasi-holonomic with respect to Γ_s or quasi-holonomic or holonomic at $x \in M$ if and only if the form ${}^sD\varphi_{12}$ or the 2-difference form of the pair Γ_1, Γ_2 , or the 2-difference curvature form of the pair Γ_1, Γ_2 , respectively, vanishes at $x \in M$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] BISHOP, R. L., — CRITTENDEN, R. I.: Geometry of manifolds (Russian). Moscow 1967.
- [2] EHRESMANN, C.: Extension du calcul des jets aux jets non-holonomes, CSAS Paris, 239, 1762—1764, 1954.
- [3] KOLÁŘ, I.: On the torsion of spaces with connection, Czechosl. Math. J. 21, 96, 124—136, 1971.
- [4] KOLÁŘ, I.: Higher order torsions of spaces with Cartan connection. Cahiers de topo. et géo. diff. Vol. XII, 2, 137—145, 1971.
- [5] VIRSÍK, I.: Non-holonomic connections on vector bundles, Czechosl. Math. J. 17 (92), 1967, 108—147.
- [6] VIRSÍK, I.: On the holonomy of higher order connections, Cahiers de top. et géo. diff., Vol. XII. 2. 197—212, 1971.

Received December 6, 1972

*Katedra matematiky a deskriptívnej geometrie
Vysokej školy dopravnjej
Marxa—Engelsa 25
010 88 Žilina*