

Matematický časopis

Rudolf Fiby
Leibniz Rule

Matematický časopis, Vol. 23 (1973), No. 3, 290--292

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126887>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

LEIBNIZ RULE

RUDOLF FIBY, Bratislava

Preface

The classical rule for high order derivations of a product of functions has a certain analogue in the more general case of normed modules. The general Leibniz rule can be expressed as some morphism of functors (the rule in [1] is not valid). These functors map the category of bounded polylinear mappings into the category of polylinear mappings. The first functor is a functor of multiplication. The second functor is the composition of a certain extending functor from the category of bounded polylinear mappings into itself with the first functor. Basic algebraic properties of the extending functor are described in [3].

The terminology is taken from [2] and [4]. Differential calculus is used in a more general form than in [2].

Notations

R is a normed commutative associative ring with unit which contains the field of real numbers as a subring;

p, q are non-negative integers.

The other notations in this paper are the same as in [3], but we shall consider normed right R -modules and bounded R -polylinear mappings.

\mathcal{U} is the additive category of right R -modules and R -linear mappings;

$U\text{polimap}_n$ is the additive category which is in [3] denoted by Polimap_n ;

U is a non-empty open set of A ;

F_U^p is the additive functor from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{U} defined as follows:

1. $F_U^p(E)$ is the right R -module of all continuously differentiable mappings up to the order p from U into E (see [2]),
2. $\xi F_U^p(\varphi) = \xi \circ \varphi$ for every \mathcal{A} -morphism φ and $\xi \in F_U^p(E)$;

M_U^p is the additive functor from Polimap_n into $U\text{polimap}_n$ defined as follows:

1. for every *Polimap_n*-object $X : E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_n \rightarrow E$ and for each $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in F_U^p(E_1) \oplus \dots \oplus F_U^p(E_n)$, $u \in U$, we have $u((\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)M_U^p(X)) = (u\xi_1, \dots, u\xi_n)X$ ($M_U^p(X)$ is an R -polylinear mapping from $F_U^p(E_1) \oplus \dots \oplus F_U^p(E_n)$ into $F_U^p(E)$),
2. for every *Polimap_n*-morphism $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \varphi)$, $M_U^p(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \varphi) = (F_U^p(\varphi_1), \dots, F_U^p(\varphi_n), F_U^p(\varphi))$;

D^p is the symbol of the p -th derivation;

$\Theta_U^{p,q}$ is the morphism from F_U^{p+q} into $F_U^q \circ Pl_A^p$ defined by the relation

$$u(\xi \Theta_U^{p,q}(E)) = (uD^0\xi, \dots, uD^p\xi) \text{ for every } \mathcal{A}\text{-object } E, \xi \in F_U^{p+q}(E) \text{ and } u \in U.$$

The morphisms $\Lambda_U^{p,q}$

1. Theorem. *Let $X : E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_n \rightarrow E$ be a *Polimap_n*-object. Then $(\Theta_U^{p,q}(E_1), \dots, \Theta_U^{p,q}(E_n), \Theta_U^{p,q}(E))$ is a *Upolimap_n*-morphism from $M_U^{p+q}(X)$ into $M_U^q(Lex_A^p(X))$.*

Proof. If $p = 0$, the proposition holds. Let it hold for p . For each $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in F_U^{p+q+1}(E_1) \oplus \dots \oplus F_U^{p+q+1}(E_n)$ and $u \in U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (u(((\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)M_U^{p+q+1}(X))\Theta_U^{p+1,q}(E)))^r = uDr(((\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)M_U^{p+q+1}(X)) = \\ & = (u(((\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)M_U^{p+q}(X))\Theta_U^{p+q}(E)))^r = \\ & = (u((\xi_1\Theta_U^{p,q}(E_1), \dots, \xi_n\Theta_U^{p,q}(E_n))M_U^q(Lex_A^p(X))))^r = \\ & = ((u(\xi_1\Theta_U^{p,q}(E_1)), \dots, u(\xi_n\Theta_U^{p,q}(E_n))))Lex_A^p(X))^r = \\ & = (((uD^0\xi_1, \dots, uD^p\xi_1), \dots, (uD^0\xi_n, \dots, uD^p\xi_n))Lex_A^p(X))^r = \\ & = (((uD^0\xi_1, \dots, uD^{p+1}\xi_1), \dots, (uD^0\xi_n, \dots, uD^{p+1}\xi_n))Lex_A^{p+1}(X))^r = \\ & = ((u(\xi_1\Theta_U^{p+1,q}(E_1)), \dots, u(\xi_n\Theta_U^{p+1,q}(E_n))))Lex_U^{p+1}(X))^r = \\ & = (u((\xi_1\Theta_U^{p+1,q}(E_1), \dots, \xi_n\Theta_U^{p+1,q}(E_n))M_U^q(Lex_A^{p+1}(X))))^r, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = 0, \dots, p$. For every A -object E , $\xi \in F_U^{p+q+1}(E)$, $u \in U$ and $a \in A$, we have

$$a(uD^1(\xi\Theta_U^{p,q+1}(E))) = (a(uD^1\xi), \dots, a(uD^{p+1}\xi));$$

this follows from [2] 8.1.5. For every *Polimap_n*-object $X : E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_n \rightarrow E$, $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in F_U^{q+1}(E_1) \oplus \dots \oplus F_U^{q+1}(E_n)$, $u \in U$ and $a \in A$, we have

$$aD^1((\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)M_U^{q+1}(X)) = \sum_{i=1}^n (u\xi_1, \dots, a(uD^1\xi_i), \dots, u\xi_n)X;$$

this follows from [2] 8.1.4, 8.2.1. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a(u(((\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)M_U^{p+q+1}(X))\Theta_U^{p+1,q}(E)))^{p+1} = \\
 & = a(uD^{p+1}((\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)M_U^{p+q+1}(X))) = \\
 & = (a(uD^1(((\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)M_U^{p+q+1}(X))\Theta_U^{p,q+1}(E))))^p = \\
 & = (a(uD^1((\xi_1\Theta_U^{p,q+1}(E_1), \dots, \xi_n\Theta_U^{p,q+1}(E_n)) M_U^{q+1}(Lex_A^p(X))))^q = \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^n ((u(\xi_1\Theta_U^{p,q+1}(E_1)), \dots, a(uD^1(\xi_i\Theta_U^{p,q+1}(E_i))), \dots, \\
 & u(\xi_n\Theta_U^{p,q+1}(E_n)))Lex_A^p(X))^p = \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^n (((uD^0\xi_1, \dots, uD^p\xi_1), \dots, (a(uD^1\xi_i), \dots, \\
 & a(uD^{p+1}\xi_i)), \dots, (uD^0\xi_n, \dots, uD^p\xi_n))Lex_A^p(X))^p = \\
 & = a(((uD^0\xi_1, \dots, uD^{p+1}\xi_1), \dots, (uD^0\xi_n, \dots, uD^{p+1}\xi_n)) Lex_A^{p+1}(X))^{p+1} = \\
 & = a(((u(\xi_1\Theta_U^{p+1,q}(E_1)), \dots, u(\xi_n\Theta_U^{p+1,q}(E_n))) Lex_A^{p+1}(X))^{p+1} = \\
 & = a(u(\xi_1\Theta_U^{p+1,q}(E_1), \dots, \xi_n\Theta_U^{p+1,q}(E_n))M_U^q(Lex_A^{p+1}(X)))^{p+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

for each $a \in A$.

2. Definition. The *Upolimap_n-morphism* $(\Theta_U^{p,q}(E_1), \dots, \Theta_U^{p,q}(E_n), \Theta_U^{p,q}(E))$ will be denoted by $\Lambda_U^{p,q}(X)$.

3. Theorem. $\Lambda_U^{p,q}$ is a morphism from M_U^{p+q} into $M_U^q \circ Lex_A^p$.

The proof is clear.

4. Note. Theorem 3 expresses the general Leibniz rule.

REFERENCES

- [1] ABRAHAM, R.—ROBBIN, J.: Transversal mappings and flows. W. A. Benjamin, N. Y. — Amsterdam, 1967.
- [2] DIEUDONNÉ, J.: Foundations of modern analysis. Academic Press, N. Y. — London, 1960.
- [3] FIBY, R.: Extensions of polylinear mappings (to appear).
- [4] LANG, S.: Algebra. Addison-Wesley P. Co., Reading, 1965.

Received July 11, 1972

*Katedra geometrie
Prírodovedeckej fakulty
Univerzity Komenského
Bratislava*