

Ivan Žembery

Chains of Decompositions and n -Ary Relations

Matematický časopis, Vol. 23 (1973), No. 4, 297--300

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126574>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

CHAINS OF DECOMPOSITIONS AND n -ARY RELATIONS

IVAN ŽEMBERY, Bratislava

In some theorems of universal algebra (e. g. in the Schreier and the Jordan—Hölder theorems) the notion of a chain of congruences is used. The aim of this paper is to show how a chain of congruences of an algebra can be described by using an n -ary relation on the same algebra.

First we shall show the description of the finite chain of equivalences on a set by means of an n -ary relation.

Throughout the paper the following symbols are used: The letters x, y, z with and without indexes always stand for the elements of a set M . Decompositions and the corresponding equivalences are identified in the well-known way. The letter i denotes an element of the standard set $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$. If a is an arbitrary symbol, then a^i denotes the same as a .

Definition 1. Let R be an n -ary relation on a set M .

R is n -reflexive if $(x, \dots, x)R$ holds for every x and $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)R$ implies $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)R$.

R is n -symmetric if $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x, y, \dots, y)R$ implies $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y, x, \dots, x)R$.

R is n -transitive if $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x, y, \dots, y)R$, $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y, z, \dots, z)R$ imply $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x, z, \dots, z)R$ and $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_2)R$, $(x_2, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_3)R$, ..., $(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n)R$ imply $(x_1, \dots, x_n)R$.

Definition 2. A decomposition of degree n on a set M is a sequence of decompositions R_1, \dots, R_{n-1} on the set M with $R_1 \supset R_2 \supset \dots \supset R_{n-1}$.

Theorem 1. Let R_1, \dots, R_{n-1} be a decomposition of degree n on a set M . Then the relation R defined by $(x_1, \dots, x_n)R \Leftrightarrow x_i R_i x_{i+1}$ for each i is n -reflexive, n -symmetric and n -transitive. Conversely, let S be an n -reflexive, n -symmetric and n -transitive relation on a set M . Then S_1, \dots, S_{n-1} with $x S_i y \Leftrightarrow (x^1, \dots, x^i, y, \dots, y) S$ is a decomposition of degree n on a set M . Moreover, if $F(R_1, \dots, R_{n-1})$ denotes the corresponding n -ary relation and $G(S)$ denotes the corresponding decomposition of degree n , then $G(F(R_1, \dots, R_{n-1})) = R_1, \dots, R_{n-1}$ and $F(G(S)) = S$.

Proof. Let R_1, \dots, R_{n-1} be a decomposition of degree n on a set M . Let R be defined as above. Since R_i is a decomposition, xR_ix holds for all i, x . The relation R can be readily verified to be n -reflexive. Suppose $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x, y, \dots, y)R$, this means $x_jR_jx_{j+1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, i-2, x_{i-1}R_{i-1}x, xR_iy$. Since $R_i \subset R_{i-1}$, $xR_{i-1}y$ holds. This and $x_{i-1}R_{i-1}x$ give $x_{i-1}R_{i-1}y$ by the transitivity of R_{i-1} . The symmetry of R_i gives yR_ix . Both shown for each i prove R to be n -symmetric. Next suppose $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x, y, \dots, y)R, (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y, z, \dots, z)R$. Hence xR_iy, yR_iz , which implies xR_iz . This holds for each i . The second part of the definition of n -transitivity can be readily verified.

Conversely, let S be an n -ary relation on a set M satisfying the assumptions of the theorem and the S_i relations constructed as in the theorem. All the S_i are evidently reflexive. Suppose xS_iy , that is $(x^1, \dots, x^i, y, \dots, y)S$, by the n -symmetry $(x^1, \dots, x^{i-1}, y, x, \dots, x)S$ holds and the n -reflexivity follows $(y^1, \dots, y^i, x, \dots, x)S$. This means yS_ix , hence all relations S_i are symmetric. Suppose xS_iy, yS_iz , that is $(x^1, \dots, x^i, y, \dots, y)S, (y^1, \dots, y^i, z, \dots, z)S$. Since S_i have been shown symmetric, $(y^1, \dots, y^i, x, \dots, x)S$ holds. By the n -symmetry we get $(y^1, \dots, y^{i-1}, x, y, \dots, y)S$ and by the n -transitivity $(y^1, \dots, y^{i-1}, x, z, \dots, z)S$. From the n -reflexivity we get $(x^1, \dots, x^i, z, \dots, z)S$, that means xS_iz . This shows S_i to be transitive. Now we shall prove $S_i \supset S_{i+1}$ for each i . Suppose $xS_{i+1}y$, hence $(x^1, \dots, x^i, x, y, \dots, y)S$, from this by the n -symmetry there follows that $(x^1, \dots, x^i, y, x, \dots, x)S$, by the n -transitivity $(x^1, \dots, x^i, y, \dots, y)S$, that is xS_iy . Hence S_1, \dots, S_n is a decomposition of degree n on the set M .

Let R_1, \dots, R_{n-1} be a decomposition of degree n and let $G(F(R_1, \dots, R_{n-1})) = S_1, \dots, S_{n-1}$. If xS_iy , then $(x^1, \dots, x^i, y, \dots, y)F(R_1, \dots, R_n)$ and so xR_iy for each i . If xR_iy , then $(x^1, \dots, x^i, y, \dots, y)F(R_1, \dots, R_{n-1})$ and xS_iy . Let S be an n -reflexive, n -symmetric and n -transitive relation and let $F(G(S)) = R$. If $(x_1, \dots, x_n)R$ then $x_iG_i(S)x_{i+1}$ for each i where $G(S) = G_1(S), \dots, G_{n-1}(S)$. It follows that $(x_i^1, x_i^2, \dots, x_i^i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+1})S$ for each i and $(x_1, \dots, x_n)S$. Similarly we get that if $(x_1, \dots, x_n)S$, then $(x_1, \dots, x_n)R$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Now we shall describe the chain of the congruences of the algebra by means of the n -ary relation. Let M be an algebra.

Definition 3. *The n -ary relation R on the algebra M is said to be compatible with an m -ary operation f if $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)R, (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_2, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)R, \dots, (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_m, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)R$ imply $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, f(y_1, \dots, y_m), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)R$ for all i .*

Theorem 2. *Let R_1, \dots, R_{n-1} be a non-ascending chain of congruences on the algebra M . Let R be the n -ary relation defined as $(x_1, \dots, x_n)R \Leftrightarrow x_iR_ix_{i+1}$ for all i . Then R is n -reflexive, n -symmetric, n -transitive and compatible with*

all operations. Conversely, let S be an n -reflexive, n -symmetric and n -transitive relation on M , compatible with all operations. Then $G(S)$ is a non-ascending chain of congruences on M .

Proof. To prove the first part of the theorem it is sufficient to show that R is compatible with all operations. The rest follows by Theorem 1. Let f be an m -ary operation on M and let $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)R$, $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_2, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)R$, \dots , $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_m, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)R$. Then $x_{i-1}R_{i-1}y_1$, $x_{i-1}R_{i-1}y_2, \dots, x_{i-1}R_{i-1}y_m$ hold. Since R_{i-1} is a congruence, $x_{i-1}R_{i-1}f(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ holds. Similar arguments prove $f(y_1, \dots, y_m)R_{i-1}x_{i+1}$. Clearly $x_jR_jx_{j+1}$ for all $j \neq i - 1$, i thus $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, f(y_1, \dots, y_m), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)R$. It shows the compatibility of R with all operations. To prove the second part, let S be a relation as it is assumed in the theorem. Let $xG_i(S)y_1, \dots, xG_i(S)y_m$, that means $(x^1, \dots, x^i, y_1, \dots, y_1)S, \dots, (x^1, \dots, x^i, y_m, \dots, y_m)S$. The n -symmetry follows $(x^1, \dots, x^{i-1}, y_1, x, \dots, x)S, \dots, (x^1, \dots, x^{i-1}, y_m, x, \dots, x)S$. The compatibility of S gives $(x^1, \dots, x^{i-1}, f(y_1, \dots, y_m), x, \dots, x)S$. Using the n -symmetry we get $(x^1, \dots, x^i, f(y_1, \dots, y_m), \dots, f(y_1, \dots, y_m))S$, that is $xG_i(S)f(y_1, \dots, y_m)$. The theorem is proved.

The Schreier and the Jordan—Hölder theorems use the notion of a refinement of a chain of congruences. We shall give the definition of this notion in terms of n -ary relations.

Definition 4. Let R be a decomposition of degree n on an algebra M . If all $G_i(R)$ are congruences, R is said to be a congruence of degree n .

Definition 5. Let $1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_{k-1} \leq n$. A congruence R of degree n on an algebra M is called the n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} — refinement of a congruence S of degree k on M if $(x_1, \dots, x_n)R$ implies $(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots, x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_{k-1}+1})S$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_k)S$ implies $(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_1^{n_1}, x_2^{n_2}, \dots, x_2^{n_2}, \dots, x_k^{n_{k-1}+1}, \dots, x_k^{n_k})R$.

Theorem 3. A congruence R of degree n on an algebra M is the n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} -refinement of a congruence S of degree k on M if and only if $G_1(S) = G_{n_1}(R)$, $G_2(S) = G_{n_2}(R), \dots, G_{k-1}(S) = G_{n_{k-1}}(R)$.

Proof. We shall write R_i instead of $G_i(R)$ and S_i instead of $G_i(S)$. To prove the necessity, let xS_jy , that is $(x^1, \dots, x^j, y, \dots, y)S$. Because R is the n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} -refinement of S , $(x^1, \dots, x^{n_j}, y, \dots, y)R$ holds, thus $xR_{n_j}y$. Let $xR_{n_j}y$, that is $(x^1, \dots, x^{n_j}, y, \dots, y)R$. Because R is the n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} -refinement of S , $(x^{n_1}, x^{n_2}, \dots, x^{n_j}, y, \dots, y)S$ holds, thus xS_jy . To prove the sufficiency, let $S_1 = R_{n_1}, S_2 = R_{n_2}, \dots, S_{k-1} = R_{n_{k-1}}$. If $(x_1, \dots, x_n)R$ holds, it means that $x_1R_1x_2, x_2R_2x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}R_{n-1}x_n$. From $R_1 \supset R_2 \supset \dots \supset R_{n-1}$ it follows $x_{n_1}R_{n_1}x_{n_2}, x_{n_2}R_{n_2}x_{n_3}, \dots, x_{n_{k-1}}R_{n_{k-1}}x_{n_{k-1}+1}$, that gives $x_{n_1}S_1x_{n_2}, x_{n_2}S_2x_{n_3}, \dots, x_{n_{k-2}}S_{k-2}x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_{k-1}}S_{k-1}x_{n_{k-1}+1}$, and so $(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots, x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{n_{k-1}+1})S$. Conversely, $(x_1, \dots, x_k)S$ means $x_1S_1x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}S_{k-1}x_k$. From the assumption

it follows $x_1 R_{n_1} x_2, \dots, x_{k-1} R_{n_{k-1}} x_k$. This by the reflexivity gives $x_1 R_1 x_1, \dots, x_1 R_{n_1-1} x_1, x_1 R_{n_1} x_2, x_2 R_{n_1+1} x_2, \dots, x_2 R_{n_2-1} x_2, x_2 R_{n_2} x_3, \dots, x_{k-1} R_{n_{k-1}-1} x_{k-1}, x_{k-1} R_{n_{k-1}+1} x_k, \dots, x_k R_{n-1} x_k$, which implies $(x_1^1, \dots, x_1^{n_1}, x_2^{n_1+1}, \dots, x_2^{n_2}, \dots, x_k^{n_{k-1}-1}, \dots, x_k^{n_k})R$. The theorem is proved.

Definition 6. Let R be a congruence of degree n on an algebra M and let $e \in M$. Then we denote

$$e_i(R) = \{x \mid \text{there are elements } x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{n-1} \text{ such that } (x^1, \dots, x^i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_{n-1}, e)R \text{ for all } i\}.$$

Finally we formulate the Schreier and the Jordan—Hölder theorems.

The Schreier theorem. Let M be any algebra with a one-element subalgebra $\{e\}$ and permutable congruences. Let R and S be congruences on M of degrees n and m , respectively such that $G_1(R) = G_1(S) = I$, $G_{n-1}(R) = G_{m-1}(S) = O$. Then there exist congruences R' and S' on M of degree $(n-1)(m-1) + 1$ such that R' is the $1, m, 2m-1, 3m-2, \dots, (n-2)m-n+3$ -refinement of R , S' is the $1, n, 2n-1, 3n-2, \dots, (m-2)n-m+3$ -refinement of S and $e_j(R)/R_{j+1}$ are pairwise isomorphic with $e_k(S)/S_{k+1}$ for $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)(m-1)$.

The Jordan—Hölder theorem. Let M be any algebra with a one-element subalgebra $\{e\}$ and permutable congruences. Let R, S be unrefinable congruences on M of degrees n and m , respectively, such that $G_1(R) = G_1(S) = I$, $G_{n-1}(R) = G_{m-1}(S) = O$. Then $m = n$ and $e_j(R)/R_{j+1}$ are pairwise isomorphic with $e_k(S)/S_{k+1}$ for $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] BIRKHOFF, G.: Lattice theory. Providence 1967.
 [2] COHN, P. M.: Universal algebra. New York 1965.

Received February 26, 1971

*Matematický ústav
 Slovenskej akadémie vied
 Bratislava*