

Pavel Calábek

Higher-order differential systems and a regularization operator

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 124 (1999), No. 4, 337–349

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125996>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

HIGHER-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS AND
A REGULARIZATION OPERATOR

PAVEL CALÁBEK, Olomouc

(Received May 19, 1997)

Abstract. Sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions to boundary value problems with a Carathéodory right hand side for ordinary differential systems are established by means of continuous approximations.

Keywords: Carathéodory functions, Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, Lebesgue theorem

MSC 1991: 34B10

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we prove theorems on the existence of solutions to the differential system

$$(1.1) \quad x^{(k)} = f(t, x, x', \dots, x^{(k-1)})$$

satisfying the boundary condition

$$(1.2) \quad V(x) = \mathbf{o},$$

where V is a continuous operator of boundary conditions and \mathbf{o} is a zero point of the space $\mathbb{R}^{\overbrace{k^n}^{\text{kn times}}}$, $\mathbf{o} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$.

We generalize the results of [2] where the second-order differential systems with L^∞ -Carathéodory right-hand sides are considered. Here we consider the k -th order differential system (1.1) with a Carathéodory function f . The problem (1.1), (1.2) is approximated by a sequence of problems with continuous right-hand sides. The existence of solutions of (1.1), (1.2) is obtained as a consequence of the existence of solutions of these auxiliary problems.

Let $-\infty < a^* \leq a < b \leq b^* < \infty$, $I = [a, b]$, $I^* = [a^*, b^*]$, $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$, n, k natural numbers. \mathbb{R}^n denotes the Euclidean n -space as usual and $\|x\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm. $C_n^k(I) = C^k([a, b], \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Banach space of functions u such that $u^{(k)}$ is continuous on I with the norm

$$\|u\|_k = \max\{\|u\|, \|u'\|, \|u''\|, \dots, \|u^{(k)}\|\},$$

where

$$\|u\| = \max\{\|u(t)\|, t \in I\}.$$

Let $C_n(I)$ denote the space $C_n^0(I)$. $C_{nO}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) = C_{nO}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of functions φ such that for each $t \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ there exists a continuous on \mathbb{R} function $\varphi^{(t)}$ and the support of the function φ is a bounded closed set, $\text{supp } \varphi = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; \|\varphi(x)\| > 0\}$. Finally, let $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $L_n^p(I) = L_n^p((a, b), \mathbb{R}^n)$ be as usual the space of Lebesgue integrable functions with the norm

$$\|u\|_p = \left(\int_a^b \|u(t)\|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

let us denote $L^p(I) = L_n^p(I)$, $L(I) = L^1(I)$.

Definition 1.1. A function $f: I^* \times \mathbb{R}^{kn} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Carathéodory function provided

- (i) the map $y \mapsto f(t, y)$ is continuous for almost every $t \in I^*$,
- (ii) the map $t \mapsto f(t, y)$ is measurable for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^{kn}$,
- (iii) for each bounded subset $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{kn}$ we have

$$I_f(t) = \sup\{\|f(t, y)\|, y \in B\} \in L(I^*).$$

Throughout the paper let us assume $f: I^* \times \mathbb{R}^{kn} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Carathéodory function and $V: C_n^{k-1}(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{kn}$ is a continuous operator.

If f is continuous, by a solution on I to the equation (1.1) we mean a classical solution with a continuous k -th derivative, while if f is a Carathéodory function, a solution will mean a function x which has an absolutely continuous $(k-1)$ -st derivative such that x fulfils the equality $x^{(k)}(t) = f(t, x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(k-1)}(t))$ for almost every $t \in I$.

By xy where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we mean a scalar product of two vectors from \mathbb{R}^n .

2. REGULARIZATION OPERATOR

Let φ in C_{10}^{∞} be such that

$$\varphi(t) \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{supp } \varphi = [-1, 1], \quad \int_{-1}^1 \varphi(t) dt = 1.$$

For an example of such a function see [4], page 26.

Instead of problem (1.1), (1.2) we will consider the equation

$$(2.1_{\varepsilon}) \quad x^{(k)} = f_{\varepsilon}(t, x, x', \dots, x^{(k-1)})$$

with the boundary condition (1.2), where ε is a positive real number and $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{k_n}$ we have

$$f_{\varepsilon}(t, y) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{a^*}^{b^*} \varphi\left(\frac{t-\eta}{\varepsilon}\right) f(\eta, y) d\eta$$

or equivalently

$$f_{\varepsilon}(t, y) = \int_{-1}^1 \bar{f}(t - \varepsilon\eta, y) \varphi(\eta) d\eta,$$

$$\text{where } \bar{f}(t, y) = \begin{cases} f(t, y) & t \in [a^*, b^*] \\ 0 & t \notin [a^*, b^*] \end{cases}.$$

The following theorem is proved in [3] (a simple form for $n=1$ is presented):

Theorem 2.1. *Let $u \in L^p(I^*)$, where $1 \leq p < \infty$, and for $\varepsilon > 0$ let us denote*

$$(R_{\varepsilon}u)(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{a^*}^{b^*} \varphi\left(\frac{t-\eta}{\varepsilon}\right) u(\eta) d\eta = \int_{-1}^1 \bar{u}(t - \varepsilon\eta) \varphi(\eta) d\eta,$$

$$\text{where } \bar{u}(t) = \begin{cases} u(t) & t \in [a^*, b^*] \\ 0 & t \notin [a^*, b^*] \end{cases}.$$

Then

- (i) $R_{\varepsilon}u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for $\varepsilon > 0$,
- (ii) $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|R_{\varepsilon}u - u\|_p = 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let B be a bounded subset in \mathbb{R}^{k_n} . Then the function $f_{\varepsilon}(t, y)$ is continuous on $I^* \times B$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.*

P r o o f. Continuity of f_{ε} follows from the theorem on continuous dependence of the integral on a parameter. □

Definition 2.1. Let $w: I^* \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a Carathéodory function. We write $w \in M(I^* \times [0, \infty); [0, \infty))$ if w satisfies:

- (i) For almost every $t \in I^*$ and for every $d_1, d_2 \in [0, \infty)$, $d_1 < d_2$ we have

$$w(t, d_1) \leq w(t, d_2).$$

- (ii) For almost every $t \in I^*$ we have $w(t, 0) = 0$.

Definition 2.2. Let B be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{kn} , $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta \in [0, \infty)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let us denote by $\omega(\tau, \delta)$ the function

$$\omega(\tau, \delta) = \max\{\|\bar{f}(\tau, x_1, \dots, x_k) - \bar{f}(\tau, y_1, \dots, y_k)\|\};$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_k), (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in B, \|x_i - y_i\| \leq \delta, i = 1, \dots, k\}$$

and by $\omega_\varepsilon(\tau, \delta)$ the function

$$\omega_\varepsilon(\tau, \delta) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_a^{b^*} \varphi\left(\frac{\tau - \eta}{\varepsilon}\right) \omega(\eta, \delta) \, d\eta$$

or equivalently

$$\omega_\varepsilon(\tau, \delta) = \int_{-1}^1 \omega(\tau - \varepsilon\eta, \delta) \varphi(\eta) \, d\eta.$$

Lemma 2.2. Let B be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{kn} . Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$

- (i) $\omega, \omega_\varepsilon \in M(I^* \times [0, \infty); [0, \infty))$;
(ii) $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} f_\varepsilon(t, y) = f(t, y)$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \omega_\varepsilon(t, \delta) = \omega(t, \delta)$ for all $y \in B$, $\delta \geq 0$ and for almost every $t \in I^*$;
(iii) for every $(x_1, \dots, x_k), (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in B$ and for almost every $t \in I^*$ we have

$$\|f_\varepsilon(t, x_1, \dots, x_k) - f_\varepsilon(t, y_1, \dots, y_k) - f(t, x_1, \dots, x_k) + f(t, y_1, \dots, y_k)\|$$

$$\leq \omega_\varepsilon(t, \max\{\|x_i - y_i\|; i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}) + \omega(t, \max\{\|x_i - y_i\|; i = 1, 2, \dots, k\});$$

- (iv) $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_a^t (f_\varepsilon(\tau, x) - f(\tau, x)) \, d\tau = 0$ uniformly on $I \times B$.

Proof.

(i) Since $f(\tau, \cdot)$ is a Carathéodory function and B is a compact set, for almost every $\tau \in I^*$ we have $0 \leq \omega(\tau, \delta) \leq 2l_f(\tau)$, $\omega(\tau, \cdot)$ is nondecreasing and continuous, $\omega(\cdot, \delta)$ is measurable and

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \omega(\tau, \delta) = 0.$$

It means that $\omega(\tau, 0) = 0$ for almost every $\tau \in I^*$. Therefore we can see that $\omega \in M(I^* \times [0, \infty); [0, \infty))$.

By the theorem on continuous dependence of the integral on a parameter, ω_ε is a continuous function for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore ω_ε is a Carathéodory function such that $\omega_\varepsilon(\tau, 0) = 0$ for almost every $\tau \in I^*$. If $\delta_1 < \delta_2$, then for almost every $\tau \in I^*$

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \leq \omega(\tau, \delta_1) \leq \omega(\tau, \delta_2)$$

hence for almost every $\eta \in I^*$

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \varphi\left(\frac{\tau - \eta}{\varepsilon}\right) \omega(\eta, \delta_1) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \varphi\left(\frac{\tau - \eta}{\varepsilon}\right) \omega(\eta, \delta_2)$$

and therefore

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \leq \omega_\varepsilon(\tau, \delta_1) \leq \omega_\varepsilon(\tau, \delta_2).$$

It means that $\omega_\varepsilon \in M(I^* \times [0, \infty); [0, \infty))$.

(ii) This statement is a consequence of Theorem 2.1 which asserts that our assumption implies for every $\delta > 0$, $y \in B$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{-1}^1 |\omega_\varepsilon(\tau, \delta) - \omega(\tau, \delta)| d\tau = 0,$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{-1}^1 |f_{\varepsilon i}(\tau, y) - f_i(\tau, y)| d\tau = 0,$$

where $f_i, f_{\varepsilon i}$ are the i -th components of the functions f, f_ε , respectively.

(iii) Obviously for $\|x_i - y_i\| \leq \delta, i = 1, \dots, k$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_\varepsilon(t, x_1, \dots, x_k) - f_\varepsilon(t, y_1, \dots, y_k)\| \\ &= \left\| \int_{-1}^1 \varphi(\eta) (\bar{f}(t - \varepsilon\eta, x_1, \dots, x_k) - \bar{f}(t - \varepsilon\eta, y_1, \dots, y_k)) d\eta \right\| \\ &\leq \int_{-1}^1 \|\bar{f}(t - \varepsilon\eta, x_1, \dots, x_k) - \bar{f}(t - \varepsilon\eta, y_1, \dots, y_k)\| \varphi(\eta) d\eta \\ &\leq \int_{-1}^1 \omega(t - \varepsilon\eta, \delta) \varphi(\eta) d\eta = \omega_\varepsilon(t, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Now it is easy to see that the statement (iii) of the above lemma holds.

(iv) We will prove that for every $(t, x) \in I \times B$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$, and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a neighbourhood $O_{(t,x)}$ of (t, x) in the set $I \times B$ such that for every $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and for every $(t', y) \in O_{(t,x)}$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$,

$$\left\| \int_a^{t'} (f_\varepsilon(\tau, y) - f(\tau, y)) \, d\tau \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

By (ii) and by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that for every $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$

$$\int_a^b \|f_\varepsilon(\tau, x) - f(\tau, x)\| \, d\tau < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Since $\omega \in M(I^* \times [0, \infty); [0, \infty))$ there exists such a $\delta > 0$ that

$$\int_a^b \omega(\tau, \delta) \, d\tau < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

By (ii) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem there exists $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that for every $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_2$

$$\int_a^b \omega_\varepsilon(\tau, \delta) \, d\tau < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let us denote $O_{(t,x)} = \{(t', y) \in I \times B; \|x_i - y_i\| < \delta, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $\varepsilon_0 = \min\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$. Now for every $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and for every $(t', y) \in O_{(t,x)}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_a^{t'} (f_\varepsilon(\tau, y) - f(\tau, y)) \, d\tau \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \int_a^{t'} (f_\varepsilon(\tau, x) - f(\tau, x)) \, d\tau \right\| \\ & \quad + \left\| \int_a^{t'} (f_\varepsilon(\tau, x) - f_\varepsilon(\tau, y) - f(\tau, x) + f(\tau, y)) \, d\tau \right\| \\ & \leq \int_a^b \|f_\varepsilon(\tau, x) - f(\tau, x)\| \, d\tau + \int_a^b \omega_\varepsilon(\tau, \delta) + \omega(\tau, \delta) \, d\tau \\ & < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This means that the system of the sets $\{O_{(t,x)}\}_{(t,x) \in I \times B}$ covers the compact set $I \times B$ and therefore there exists a finite subsystem which covers the set $I \times B$ and therefore the statement of (iv) holds. \square

Lemma 2.3. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{kn}$ be a compact set. Let \mathfrak{E} be a set of $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the system of functions $\{x_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}}, x_\varepsilon : I \rightarrow B$, is equi-continuous and $0 \in \overline{\mathfrak{E}}$.

Then $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_a^t f_\varepsilon(\tau, x_\varepsilon(\tau)) - f(\tau, x_\varepsilon(\tau)) d\tau = 0$ uniformly on I .

Proof. This proof is a modification of the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [6]. For $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$ let us denote

$$\alpha_\varepsilon = \sup \left\{ \left\| \int_s^t f_\varepsilon(\tau, y) - f(\tau, y) d\tau \right\|; a \leq s < t \leq b, y \in B \right\},$$

$$\beta_\varepsilon = \max \left\{ \left\| \int_a^t f_\varepsilon(\tau, x_\varepsilon(\tau)) - f(\tau, x_\varepsilon(\tau)) d\tau \right\|; a \leq t \leq b \right\}.$$

By (iv) of Lemma 2.2

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \alpha_\varepsilon = 0.$$

We want to prove

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \beta_\varepsilon = 0.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary real number. Then by (i) of Lemma 2.2 there exists such a $\delta > 0$ that

$$\int_a^b \omega(\tau, \delta) d\tau < \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

and by (i), (ii) of Lemma 2.2 such an $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ that for every $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$, $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ we have

$$\int_a^b \omega_\varepsilon(\tau, \delta) d\tau < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Since $\{x_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}}, x_\varepsilon = (x_{\varepsilon 1}, \dots, x_{\varepsilon k})$ is equi-continuous there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|x_{\varepsilon i}(t) - x_{\varepsilon i}(\tau)\| < \delta \text{ for } t, \tau \in I, i = 1, \dots, k, |t - \tau| \leq \delta_0, \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}.$$

Let l be such an integer that $l \leq \frac{b-a}{\delta_0} < l+1$. Let us denote $t_j = a + j\delta_0$ and $\overline{x}_\varepsilon(t) = x_\varepsilon(t_j)$ for $t_j \leq t < t_{j+1}$, where $j = 0, 1, \dots, l$. Then

$$\|x_{\varepsilon i}(t) - \overline{x}_{\varepsilon i}(t)\| < \delta$$

for $t \in I, i = 1, \dots, k$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$ and

$$\left\| \int_a^t f_\varepsilon(\tau, \overline{x}_\varepsilon(\tau)) - f(\tau, \overline{x}_\varepsilon(\tau)) d\tau \right\| \leq (l+1)\alpha_\varepsilon$$

for $a < t < b$ and $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$.

Therefore by (iii) of Lemma 2.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_a^t (f_\varepsilon(\tau, x_\varepsilon(\tau)) - f(\tau, x_\varepsilon(\tau))) d\tau \right\| \\ & \leq \int_a^t \|f_\varepsilon(\tau, x_\varepsilon(\tau)) - f(\tau, x_\varepsilon(\tau)) - f_\varepsilon(\tau, \bar{x}_\varepsilon(\tau)) + f(\tau, \bar{x}_\varepsilon(\tau))\| d\tau \\ & \quad + \left\| \int_a^t (f_\varepsilon(\tau, \bar{x}_\varepsilon(\tau)) - f(\tau, \bar{x}_\varepsilon(\tau))) d\tau \right\| \\ & \leq \int_a^b (\omega_\varepsilon(\tau, \delta) + \omega(\tau, \delta)) d\tau + (l+1)\alpha_\varepsilon < e + (l+1)\alpha_\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in I$, $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$.

Therefore $\beta_\varepsilon < e + (l+1)\alpha_\varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$. Since $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \alpha_\varepsilon = 0$ and e is arbitrary we conclude that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \beta_\varepsilon = 0$. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f: I^* \times \mathbb{R}^{kn} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Carathéodory function. Denote by \mathfrak{E} the set of positive ε such that for each $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$ there exists a solution $x_\varepsilon: I \subseteq I^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ to the problem (2.1 $_\varepsilon$), (1.2). Suppose that $0 \in \mathfrak{E}$ and that there exists a compact subset $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{kn}$ independent of ε such that $(x_\varepsilon(t), x'_\varepsilon(t), \dots, x_\varepsilon^{(k-1)}(t)) \in B$ is satisfied for each $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$ and for each $t \in I$.*

Then there exist a sequence $\{\varepsilon_s\}_{s=1}^\infty$ and a solution $x: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ to the given boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) such that $\varepsilon_s \in \mathfrak{E}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_s = 0$, $(x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(k-1)}(t)) \in B$ for all $t \in I$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} x_\varepsilon^{(i)}(t) = x^{(i)}(t)$ uniformly on I for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, and $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} x_\varepsilon^{(k)}(t) = x^{(k)}(t)$ on I .

P r o o f. First let us prove that the set $\{x_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}}$ is relatively compact in $C_n^{k-1}(I)$. Really, for the assumptions of the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem to be satisfied, it is necessary to prove equi-continuity of the set $\{x_\varepsilon^{(k-1)}\}_{\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}}$.

Let $e > 0$ be an arbitrary real number, suppose $t_1, t_2 \in I$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_\varepsilon^{(k-1)}(t_1) - x_\varepsilon^{(k-1)}(t_2)\| &= \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} x_\varepsilon^{(k)}(t) dt \right\| \\ &= \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f_\varepsilon(t, x_\varepsilon(t), x'_\varepsilon(t), \dots, x_\varepsilon^{(k-1)}(t)) dt \right\| \\ &= \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{-1}^1 \bar{f}(t - \varepsilon\eta, x_\varepsilon(t), x'_\varepsilon(t), \dots, x_\varepsilon^{(k-1)}(t)) \varphi(\eta) d\eta dt \right\| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{-1}^1 l_f(t - \varepsilon\eta) \varphi(\eta) d\eta dt \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where $l_f(t) = \begin{cases} l_f(t) & t \in I^* \\ 0 & t \notin I^* \end{cases}$. Now for ε close to 0 ($\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, where ε_1 is defined below) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{-1}^1 l_f(t - \varepsilon\eta)\varphi(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} l_f(t) \, dt \right| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\int_{-1}^1 l_f(t - \varepsilon\eta)\varphi(\eta) \, d\eta - l_f(t) \right) \, dt \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $l_f(t) \in L(I^*)$ then $\int_a^t l_f(\tau) \, d\tau$ is a continuous function, every continuous function on a compact interval is uniformly continuous on that interval, and therefore there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for all $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta_1$ we have

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} l_f(t) \, dt \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

By Theorem 2.1 there exists ε_1 such that for each $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$, $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$,

$$\int_a^b \left| \int_{-1}^1 l_f(t - \varepsilon\eta)\varphi(\eta) \, d\eta - l_f(t) \right| \, dt < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

and therefore for $\forall \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$, $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, we have

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{-1}^1 l_f(t - \varepsilon\eta)\varphi(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Now for $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}$, $\varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon$,

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{-1}^1 l_f(t - \varepsilon\eta)\varphi(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt \right| = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_a^b l_f(\eta)\varphi\left(\frac{t - \eta}{\varepsilon}\right) \, d\eta \, dt \right|.$$

Let $\Phi = \max\{\varphi(t), t \in I\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_a^b l_f(\eta)\varphi\left(\frac{t - \eta}{\varepsilon}\right) \, d\eta \, dt \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_a^b l_f(\eta)\Phi \, d\eta \, dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} |t_1 - t_2| \Phi \int_a^b l_f(\eta) \, d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta_2 = \frac{\varepsilon\varepsilon_1}{\Phi \int_a^b l_f(\eta) \, d\eta}$, then for $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta_2$ we obtain

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{-1}^1 l_f(t - \varepsilon\eta)\varphi(\eta) \, d\eta \, dt \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ then for $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$ we have

$$\|x_\varepsilon^{(k-1)}(t_1) - x_\varepsilon^{(k-1)}(t_2)\| < \varepsilon.$$

This means that the set $\{x_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{E}}$ is relatively compact in $C_n^{k-1}(I)$. Therefore there exist a sequence $\{\varepsilon_s\}$, $\varepsilon_s \in \mathfrak{E}$, $\varepsilon_s \rightarrow 0$ and a function $x: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $(x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(k-1)}(t)) \in B$, $\forall t \in I$, $x_{\varepsilon_s} \rightarrow x$ in $C_n^{k-1}(I)$.

Now, since x_{ε_s} is the solution to the equation (2.1 $_{\varepsilon}$) for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_s$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad x_{\varepsilon_s}^{(k-1)}(t) = x_{\varepsilon_s}^{(k-1)}(a) + \int_a^t f_{\varepsilon_s}(\tau, x_{\varepsilon_s}(\tau), x'_{\varepsilon_s}(\tau), \dots, x_{\varepsilon_s}^{(k-1)}(\tau)) d\tau, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Using Lemma 2.3 we get

$$x^{(k-1)}(t) = x^{(k-1)}(a) + \int_a^t f(\tau, x(\tau), x'(\tau), \dots, x^{(k-1)}(\tau)) d\tau,$$

which means that x is a solution to the equation (1.1).

Since x_{ε_s} uniformly converges to x in $C_n^{k-1}(I)$, V is a continuous operator $V: C_n^{k-1}(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{kn}$ and x_{ε_s} is a solution to the problem (2.1 $_{\varepsilon_s}$), (1.2), we can see that

$$V(x_{\varepsilon_s}) = \mathbf{o},$$

and therefore for $\varepsilon_s \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$V(x) = \mathbf{o}.$$

It means that x is a solution to the problem (1.1), (1.2). □

Remark 2.1. When $l_f(t) \in L^p(I^*)$ in Definition 1.1, where $1 \leq p < \infty$ (in this case we speak about an L^p -Carathéodory function) we can prove that the convergence of $x_{\varepsilon_s}^{(k)}$ to $x^{(k)}$ is in the norm of $L^p(I^*)$. To prove it we need only to assume in Definition 2.2

$$\omega(\tau, \delta) = \max\{\|\bar{f}(\tau, x_1, \dots, x_k) - \bar{f}(\tau, y_1, \dots, y_k)\|^p\}.$$

3. AN APPLICATION

As an example how to use Theorem 2.2 we may consider the equation

$$(3.1) \quad x'' = f(t, x, x')$$

with the four point boundary conditions

$$(3.2) \quad x(0) = x(c), \quad x(d) = x(1),$$

where $0 < c \leq d < 1$. In [1] the following result is proved.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous function and let us consider the problem (3.1), (3.2). Assume*

- (i) *there is a constant $M \geq 0$ such that $uf(t, u, p) \geq 0$ for $\forall t \in [0, 1], \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|u\| > M$ and $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}^n, pu = 0$,*
- (ii) *there exist continuous positive functions $A_j, B_j, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,*

$$A_j : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n+j-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad B_j : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n+j-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that

$$|f_j(t, u, p)| \leq A_j(t, u, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1})p_j^2 + B_j(t, u, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}),$$

where $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ and for $j = 1$, A_1 and B_1 are independent of p functions.

Then the problem (3.1), (3.2) has a solution.

Remark 3.1. From the proof of this theorem and from the topological transversality theorem in [4] it follows that the solution to the problem (3.1), (3.2) is bounded in $C^1([0, 1])$ by a constant \mathfrak{M} which depends only on M, A_j, B_j .

Now we can extend the results of Theorem 3.1 to the Carathéodory case similarly to [2]. We allow discontinuities of functions A_j, B_j in contrast to [2].

Definition 3.1. Let k, l be natural numbers. A function $f : I \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$ is an L^∞ -Carathéodory function provided $f = f(t, u)$ satisfies

- (i) the map $u \mapsto f(t, u)$ is continuous for almost every $t \in I$,
- (ii) the map $t \mapsto f(t, u)$ is measurable for all $(u, p) \in \mathbb{R}^k$,
- (iii) for each bounded subset $B \subset \mathbb{R}^k$,

$$l_f(t) = \sup\{\|f(t, u)\|, u \in B\} \in L^\infty(I),$$

where L^∞ is the space of Lebesgue integrable functions with the norm

$$\|f\|_\infty = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in J} \|f\|.$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $f: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Carathéodory function and let us consider the problem (3.1), (3.2). Assume

- (i) there is a constant $M \geq 0$ such that $uf(t, u, p) \geq 0$ for almost every t in $[0, 1]$, $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|u\| > M$ and $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $pu = 0$,
- (ii) there exist positive L^∞ -Carathéodory functions A_j, B_j , where the index j is from $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,

$$A_j: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n+j-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad B_j: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^{n+j-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

such that for almost every $t \in [0, 1]$

$$|f_j(t, u, p)| \leq A_j(t, u, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1})p_j^2 + B_j(t, u, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}),$$

where $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ and for $j = 1$, A_1 and B_1 are independent of p functions.

Then the problem (3.1), (3.2) has a solution.

Proof. Let f_ε be an approximated function as in Section 2, where $a = a^* = 0$, $b = b^* = 1$ and $k = 2$, that is

$$f_\varepsilon(t, u, p)u = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \varphi\left(\frac{t-\eta}{\varepsilon}\right) f(\eta, u, p) d\eta,$$

and let $V: C_n^1([0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be a continuous operator of boundary conditions $V(x) = (x(0) - x(a), x(b) - x(1))$. Then

- 1) for $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, for $\forall t \in [0, 1]$, $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|u\| > M$ and $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $pu = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_\varepsilon(t, u, p)u &= \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \varphi\left(\frac{t-\eta}{\varepsilon}\right) f(\eta, u, p) d\eta \right) u = \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \varphi\left(\frac{t-\eta}{\varepsilon}\right) (f(\eta, u, p)u) d\eta \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the assumption (i) of this theorem.

- 2) Let $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$,

$$A_j(u, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [0, 1]} \{A_j(t, u, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1})\}$$

and

$$B_j(u, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}) = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [0,1]} \{B_j(t, u, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1})\}.$$

Since A_j, B_j are L^∞ -Carathéodory functions, A_j, B_j are obviously continuous. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_\varepsilon(t, u, p)| &= \left| \int_{-1}^1 \overline{F}_j(t - \varepsilon\eta, u, p)\varphi(\eta) \, d\eta \right| \leq \int_{-1}^1 |\overline{F}_j(t - \varepsilon\eta, u, p)|\varphi(\eta) \, d\eta \\ &\leq \int_{-1}^1 (A_j(u, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1})p_j^2 + B_j(u, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}))\varphi(\eta) \, d\eta \\ &\leq \int_{-1}^1 A_j(u, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1})p_j^2\varphi(\eta) \, d\eta + \int_{-1}^1 B_j(u, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1})\varphi(\eta) \, d\eta \\ &= A_j(u, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1})p_j^2 + B_j(u, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{j-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.1, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a solution x_ε to the approximated problem

$$(3.1_\varepsilon) \quad x'' = f_\varepsilon(t, x, x')$$

where x satisfies boundary conditions (3.2) such that $\|x_\varepsilon\|_1 \leq \mathfrak{M}$.

Now all assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are fulfilled and therefore there exists a solution to the problem (1.1), (3.1). \square

References

- [1] *P. Calábek*: A four-point problem for second-order differential systems. Acta Univ. Palack. Olomuc. Fac. Rerum Natur. Math. 114, 7–15.
- [2] *P. Calábek*: Second-order differential systems and a regularization operator. Ann. Math. Sil. 10, 67–78.
- [3] *S. Fučík, O. John, A. Kufner*: Function Spaces. Academia, Prague, 1977.
- [4] *K. Yosida*: Functional Analysis. 6-th edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [5] *A. Granas, R. Guenther, J. Lee*: Some existence principles in the Carathéodory theory of nonlinear differential systems. J. Math. Pures Appl. 70 (1991), 153–196.
- [6] *I. T. Kiguradze*: Boundary value problems for systems of ordinary differential equations. Itogi nauki i tehniki, ser. Sovr. problemy matematiki. Nov. dostizhenija. t. 30, VINITI, Moskva, 1987, pp. 3–103. (In Russian.)

Author's address: Pavel Calábek, Department of Algebra and Geometry, Palacký University, Tomkova 40, 779 00 Olomouc, Czech Republic, e-mail: calabek@risc.upol.cz.