

Palaniappan Kannappan; Pushpa Narayan Rathie
An axiomatic characterization of generalized directed-divergence

Kybernetika, Vol. 9 (1973), No. 4, (330)--337

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/125383>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

An Axiomatic Characterization of Generalized Directed-divergence

PL. KANAPPAN, P. N. RATHIE

A characterization theorem for the generalized directed-divergence defined in (1.1) is proved by assuming a set of five postulates (2.1)–(2.5).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$, $R = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$, $p_i, q_i, r_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i = 1$ be three finite discrete probability distributions. Then we define the *generalized directed-divergence* by the expression, (refer [1]),

$$(1.1) \quad I_n(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n; r_1, \dots, r_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log(q_i | r_i).$$

Here the convention $0 \log 0 = 0$ is followed and logarithms will be to the base 2. Also whenever q_i or r_i is zero then the corresponding p_i is also zero and $\log(q_i | r_i)$ is to be taken as $(\log q_i - \log r_i)$.

For $n = 2$, (1.1) takes the following form:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2(p, 1-p; q, 1-q; r, 1-r) &= \\ &= p \log(q/r) + (1-p) \log\{(1-q)/(1-r)\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $p, q, r \in K$, where $K =]0, 1[\times]0, 1[\times]0, 1[\cup \{(0, y, z)\} \cup \{(1, y', z')\}$, with $y, z \in [0, 1)$ and $y', z' \in (0, 1]$.

For $P \equiv Q$, (1.1) reduces to the ordinary measure of directed-divergence ([5], [7]) as given below:

$$(1.3) \quad I_n(p_1, \dots, p_n; r_1, \dots, r_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log(p_i/r_i).$$

An axiomatic characterization of (1.3) was given earlier in [2] and that its theorem lacks mathematical rigour was pointed out by us in [6].

In this paper, we will prove a characterization theorem for the generalized directed-divergence defined in (1.1) by assuming a set of five postulates.

A more general measure, called the generalized directed-divergence of type β , was discussed and characterized through axioms by us in [4]. The characterization theorem in [4] was proved entirely on different lines than those of the present paper.

2. POSTULATES

In this section we give a set of five postulates which will be used in the next section to establish a characterization theorem for the generalized directed-divergence.

Postulate 1 (Recursivity).

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} I_n(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n; r_1, \dots, r_n) = \\ = I_{n-1}(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n; q_1 + q_2, q_3, \dots, q_n; r_1 + r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n) + \\ + (p_1 + p_2) I_2[p_1/(p_1 + p_2), p_2/(p_1 + p_2); q_1/(q_1 + q_2), q_2/(q_1 + q_2); \\ r_1/(r_1 + r_2), r_2/(r_1 + r_2)], \end{aligned}$$

for $p_1 + p_2, q_1 + q_2, r_1 + r_2 > 0$.

Postulate 2 (Symmetry).

$$(2.2) \quad I_3(p_1, p_2, p_3; q_1, q_2, q_3; r_1, r_2, r_3) = I_3(p_a, p_b, p_c; q_a, q_b, q_c; r_a, r_b, r_c)$$

where $\{a, b, c\}$ is an arbitrary permutation of $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

Postulate 3 (Derivative). Let

$$(2.3) \quad f(p, q, r) = I_2(p, 1 - p; q, 1 - q; r, 1 - r),$$

for all $(p, q, r) \in K$ where K is as given in (1.2). Also let f have continuous first partial derivatives with respect to all the three variables $p, q, r \in (0, 1)$.

Postulate 4 (Nullity).

$$(2.4) \quad f(p, p, p) = 0 \quad \text{for } p \in (0, 1).$$

Postulate 5 (Normalization).

$$(2.5) \quad f\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{1}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad f\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right) = 0.$$

In this section we will prove the following theorem:

Theorem. *The only function I_n satisfying the postulates 1 to 5 is the generalized directed-divergence given by (1.1).*

Proof. The proof of the theorem depends on the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. I_2 is symmetric.

Proof. The postulate 1 for $n = 3$, $p_1 + p_2$, $q_1 + q_2$, $r_1 + r_2 > 0$, give

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & I_3(p_1, p_2, p_3; q_1, q_2, q_3; r_1, r_2, r_3) = \\ & = I_2(p_1 + p_2, p_3; q_1 + q_2, q_3; r_1 + r_2, r_3) + \\ & + (p_1 + p_2) I_2 \left[p_1/(p_1 + p_2), p_2/(p_1 + p_2); \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{q_1}{q_1 + q_2}, \frac{q_2}{q_1 + q_2}; \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2}, \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & I_3(p_2, p_1, p_3; q_2, q_1, q_3; r_2, r_1, r_3) = \\ & = I_2(p_2 + p_1, p_3; q_2 + q_1, q_3; r_2 + r_1, r_3) + \\ & + (p_2 + p_1) I_2 \left[p_2/(p_2 + p_1), p_1/(p_2 + p_1); \frac{q_2}{q_1 + q_2}, \frac{q_1}{q_1 + q_2}; \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2}, \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Thus postulate 2, (3.1) and (3.2) prove lemma 1, which is equivalent to

$$(3.3) \quad f(p, q, r) = f(1 - p, 1 - q, 1 - r),$$

for $(p, q, r) \in K$.

In particular, (3.3) gives

$$(3.4) \quad f(0, 0, 0) = f(1, 1, 1).$$

Lemma 2. f defined by (2.3) satisfies the functional equation

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & f(x, y, z) + (1 - x) f \left(\frac{x}{1 - u}, \frac{y}{1 - v}, \frac{z}{1 - w} \right) = \\ & = f(u, v, w) + (1 - u) f \left(\frac{u}{1 - x}, \frac{v}{1 - y}, \frac{w}{1 - z} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y, z, u, v, w \in [0, 1[$ with $x + u, y + v, z + w \in]0, 1]$ and that

$$(3.6) \quad f(x, y, z) = x \log \frac{y}{z} + (1-x) \log \frac{1-y}{1-z},$$

for $(x, y, z) \in K$.

Proof. The postulate 2 gives

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} I_3(x_1, x_2, x_3; y_1, y_2, y_3; z_1, z_2, z_3) = \\ = I_3(x_2, x_3, x_1; y_2, y_3, y_1; z_2, z_3, z_1) = I_3(x_3, x_1, x_2; y_3, y_1, y_2; z_3, z_2, z_1). \end{aligned}$$

The equations (3.7), (2.3) (3.3) and the postulate 1 yield,

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2) + (x_1 + x_2) f\left(x_1/(x_1 + x_2), \frac{y_1}{y_1 + y_2}, \frac{z_1}{z_1 + z_2}\right) = \\ = f(x_1, y_1, z_1) + (1 - x_1) f\left(x_2/(1 - x_1), \frac{y_2}{1 - x_2}, \frac{z_2}{1 - z_1}\right) = \\ = f(x_2, y_2, z_2) + (1 - x_2) f\left(x_1/(1 - x_2), \frac{y_1}{1 - y_2}, \frac{z_1}{1 - z_2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

for $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in [0, 1)$, $x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2 \in (0, 1]$ and with the convention of section 1.

From the second and third equation pairs in (3.8), we see that f satisfies the functional equation (3.5).

Let f_1 denote the partial derivative of f with respect to the first variable. Then differentiating partially the first and third equation pairs in (3.8) with respect to x_1 , we get

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2) + \\ + f\left[x_1/(x_1 + x_2), \frac{y_1}{y_1 + y_2}, \frac{z_1}{z_1 + z_2}\right] + \{x_2/(x_1 + x_2)\} = \\ = f_1\left[x_1/(x_1 + x_2), \frac{y_1}{y_1 + y_2}, \frac{z_1}{z_1 + z_2}\right] = f_1\left[x_1/(1 - x_2), \frac{y_1}{1 - y_2}, \frac{z_1}{1 - z_2}\right], \end{aligned}$$

for $x_1, y_1, z_1 \in (0, 1)$, $x_2, y_2, z_2 \in [0, 1)$ and $x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2 \in (0, 1]$.

Now differentiating partially with respect to x_2 the first and second equation pairs in (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.10) \quad & f_1(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2) + \\
 & + f \left[x_1/(x_1 + x_2), \frac{y_1}{y_1 + y_2}, \frac{z_1}{z_1 + z_2} \right] - \{x_1/(x_1 + x_2)\} = \\
 & = f_1 \left[x_1/(x_1 + x_2), \frac{y_1}{y_1 + y_2}, \frac{z_1}{z_1 + z_2} \right] = f_1 \left[x_2/(1 - x_1), \frac{y_2}{1 - y_1}, \frac{z_2}{1 - z_1} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

for $x_2, y_2, z_2 \in (0, 1)$, $x_1, y_1, z_1 \in [0, 1]$, $x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2 \in (0, 1]$.

Thus subtracting (3.10) from (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad & f_1 \left[x_1/(x_1 + x_2), \frac{y_1}{y_1 + y_2}, \frac{z_1}{z_1 + z_2} \right] + f_1 \left[x_2/(1 - x_1), \frac{y_2}{1 - y_1}, \frac{z_2}{1 - z_1} \right] = \\
 & = f_1 \left[x_1/(1 - x_2), \frac{y_1}{1 - y_2}, \frac{z_1}{1 - z_2} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

for $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in (0, 1)$ with $x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2 \in (0, 1]$.

Substituting $x_1 = xu/(1 + x + xu)$, $x_2 = x/(1 + x + xu)$, $y_1 = yv/(1 + y + yv)$, $x_2 = y/(1 + y + yv)$, $z_1 = zw/(1 + z + zw)$, and $z_2 = z/(1 + z + zw)$ in (3.11), the equation (3.11) takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad & f_1 \left[u/(1 + u), \frac{v}{1 + v}, \frac{w}{1 + w} \right] + f_1 \left[x/(1 + x), \frac{y}{1 + y}, \frac{z}{1 + z} \right] = \\
 & = f_1 \left[ux/(1 + ux), \frac{vy}{1 + vy}, \frac{wz}{1 + wz} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y, z, u, v, w \in (0, \infty)$.

Define

$$(3.13) \quad F(x, y, z) = f_1 \left[x/(1 + x), \frac{y}{1 + y}, \frac{z}{1 + z} \right], \quad \text{for } x, y, z \in (0, \infty),$$

so that (3.12) reduces to

$$(3.14) \quad F(u, v, w) + F(x, y, z) = F(xu, yv, zw), \quad \text{for } x, y, z, u, v, w \in (0, \infty).$$

Since f_1 is continuous due to postulate 3, F is also continuous. By letting $y = z = v = w = 1$, we get from (3.14), that

$$F(u, 1, 1) = a \log u,$$

so that, this in (3.14) for $y = z = 1$ gives

$$F(u, v, w) + a \log x = F(xu, v, w) = F(x, v, w) + a \log u,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} F(u, v, w) - a \log u &= F(x, v, w) - a \log x = \\ &= \text{a function of } v \text{ and } w \text{ alone} = A(v, w) \text{ (say)}. \end{aligned}$$

This in (3.14) gives

$$A(v, w) + A(y, z) = A(yv; zw).$$

Repeating the above argument, it is easy to see that $A(v, w) = b \log v + c \log w$, so that the continuous solution of (3.14) is given by

$$(3.15) \quad F(x, y, z) = a \log x + b \log y + c \log z,$$

for $x, y, z \in (0, \infty)$, where a, b, c are arbitrary constants.

Hence (3.15) with the help of (3.13) gives

$$(3.17) \quad f_1(x, y, z) = a \log \{x/(1-x)\} + b \log \{y/(1-y)\} + c \log \{z/(1-z)\}$$

for $x, y, z \in (0, 1)$.

This on integration with respect to x gives $f(x, y, z) = a[x \log x + (1-x) \cdot \log(1-x)] + bx \log \{y/(1-y)\} + cx \log \{z/(1-z)\} + g(y, z)$, for $x, y, z \in (0, 1)$, where g is a function of y and z only, that is,

$$(3.17) \quad f(x, y, z) = a S(x) + bx \log \frac{y}{1-y} + cx \log \frac{z}{1-z} + g(y, z),$$

for $x, y, z \in]0, 1[$, where $S(x)$ is the Shannon function,

$$(3.18) \quad S(x) = -x \log x - (1-x) \log(1-x).$$

For $x = y$, the postulates 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 give due to [3] that,

$$f(x, y, z) = x \log \frac{x}{z} + (1-x) \log \frac{1-x}{1-z},$$

whereas (3.17) gives,

$$f(x, x, z) = -a S(x) + bx \log \frac{x}{1-x} + cx \log \frac{z}{1-z} + g(x, z),$$

336 so that, these with (3.17) yield,

$$(3.19) \quad f(x, y, z) = a[-S(x) + S(y)] + \\ + b(x-y) \log \frac{y}{1-y} + c(x-y) \log \frac{z}{1-z} + y \log \frac{y}{z} + (1-y) \log \frac{1-y}{1-z},$$

for $x, y, z \in]0, 1[$.

For $u = v = w = t$, the equation (3.5), with (3.19) becomes

$$(3.20) \quad (a+b) [t \log t + (1-y-t) \log(1-y-t) - (1-y) \log(1-y)] + \\ + c[t \log t + (1-y-t) \log(1-z-t) - (1-y) \log(1-z)] + \\ + (1-y) \log \frac{1-y}{1-z} - (1-y-t) \log \frac{1-y-t}{1-z-t} = 0,$$

provided $x-y \neq 0$, which can very well be chosen like that.

For $t = 1-y$, (3.20) gives with the convention $0 \log 0 = 0$, that $c = -1$. For $y = 0 = z$, (3.20) gives $a + b = 0$, provided $S(t) \neq 0$, which can be had for proper t . Thus

$$f(x, y, z) = a \left[-S(x) + S(y) - (x-y) \log \frac{y}{1-y} \right] + \\ + x \log \frac{y}{z} + (1-x) \log \frac{1-y}{1-z},$$

for $x, y, z \in]0, 1[$, that is,

$$(3.21) \quad f(x, y, z) = a \left[x \log \frac{x}{y} + (1-x) \log \frac{1-x}{1-y} \right] + \\ + x \log \frac{y}{z} + (1-x) \log \frac{1-y}{1-z}$$

for $x, y, z \in]0, 1[$.

By postulate 5, taking $x = \frac{2}{3}$, $y = \frac{1}{3}$, $z = \frac{1}{3}$ in (3.21), we get $a = 0$, so that f has the form given by (3.6) for $x, y, z \in]0, 1[$.

With little manipulation and the use of (3.5) and (3.21), it can be shown that, f indeed has the form (3.6) for $(x, y, z) \in K$.

The proof of Lemma 2 is now complete.

Proof of the Theorem. Applying successively the postulate 1, we have

$$(3.22) \quad I_n(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n; r_1, \dots, r_n) = \sum_{i=2}^n P_i f(p_i/P_i, q_i/Q_i, r_i/R_i),$$

where $P_i = p_1 + \dots + p_i$, $Q_i = q_1 + \dots + q_i$, $R_i = r_1 + \dots + r_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $P_n = Q_n = R_n = 1$.

Hence (3.22) and (3.6) give

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.23) \quad I_n(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n; r_1, \dots, r_n) &= \\
 &= \sum_{i=2}^n P_i \left[\frac{p_i}{P_i} \log \left(\frac{q_i R_i}{Q_i r_i} \right) + \left(1 - \frac{p_i}{P_i} \right) \log \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{q_i}{Q_i} \right) \left(1 - \frac{r_i}{R_i} \right) \right\} \right] = \\
 &= \sum_{i=2}^n p_i \log \left(\frac{q_i}{r_i} \right) + \sum_{i=2}^n p_i \log \left(\frac{R_i}{Q_i} \right) + \sum_{i=2}^n P_{i-1} \log \left(\frac{Q_{i-1}}{R_{i-1}} \right) = \\
 &= \sum_{i=2}^n p_i \log \left(\frac{q_i}{r_i} \right) + P_1 \log \left(\frac{Q_1}{R_1} \right) = \sum_{i=2}^n p_i \log \left(\frac{q_i}{r_i} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem.

(Received May 17, 1972.)

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aczel, P. Nath: Axiomatic characterizations of some measures of divergence in information. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.* 21 (1972), 215–224.
- [2] A. Hobson: A new theorem on Information Theory. *J. Statist. Physics* 1 (1969), 383–391.
- [3] Pl. Kannappan, P. N. Rathie: On a characterizations of Directed-divergence. (Submitted.)
- [4] Pl. Kannappan, P. N. Rathie: An application of a functional equation to Information Theory. *Ann. Polon. Math.* 26 (1972).
- [5] S. Kullback: *Information Theory and Statistics*. John Wiley and Sons, New York 1959.
- [6] P. N. Rathie, Pl. Kannappan: On a new characterization of directed-divergence in Information Theory. In: *Trans. of the sixth Prague Conference on Information Theory, Statistical Decision Functions and Random Processes*, Prague, 1971. Academia, Prague 1973.
- [7] A. Renyi: On measures of entropy and information. *Proc. Fourth Berkeley Symposium Math. Statist. and Probability* 1 (1961), 547–561.

Pl. Kannappan; *Faculty of Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.*
 P. N. Rathie; *Department of Mathematics, M. R. Engineering College, Jaipur, India.*