

Elisabetta Alvoni; Pier Luigi Papini

Quasi-concave copulas, asymmetry and transformations

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 48 (2007), No. 2, 311--319

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119661>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## Quasi-concave copulas, asymmetry and transformations

ELISABETTA ALVONI, PIER LUIGI PAPINI

*Abstract.* In this paper we consider a class of copulas, called quasi-concave; we compare them with other classes of copulas and we study conditions implying symmetry for them.

Recently, a measure of asymmetry for copulas has been introduced and the maximum degree of asymmetry for them in this sense has been computed: see Nelsen R.B., *Extremes of nonexchangeability*, Statist. Papers **48** (2007), 329–336; Klement E.P., Mesiar R., *How non-symmetric can a copula be?*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **47** (2006), 141–148. Here we compute the maximum degree of asymmetry that quasi-concave copulas can have; we prove that the supremum of  $\{|C(x, y) - C(y, x)|; x, y \text{ in } [0, 1]; C \text{ is quasi-concave}\}$  is  $\frac{1}{5}$ . Also, we show by suitable examples that such supremum is a maximum and we indicate copulas for which the maximum is achieved.

Moreover, we show that the class of quasi-concave copulas is preserved by simple transformations, often considered in the literature.

*Keywords:* copula, quasi-concave, asymmetry

*Classification:* 62H05, 26B35

### 1. Copulas and asymmetry

As known, copulas link the joint distribution function of a random vector to the corresponding marginal distribution functions. Moreover, from some years, in Finance, Statistics and Probability there is a growing interest on nonexchangeability of random variables, and this can be studied in terms of non-symmetric copulas.

We recall some definitions.

A (bivariate) *copula* is a function  $C: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfying:

- (1)  $C(1, y) = y, C(x, 1) = x, \text{ for } 0 \leq x, y \leq 1,$
- (2)  $C(x', y') - C(x, y') \geq C(x', y) - C(x, y) \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq x' \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq y' \leq 1.$

In particular, condition (2), usually called *2-increasingness*, together with (1) implies:

- (3)  $C(x, y)$  is increasing in each variable

---

During the preparation of this paper, the authors were partially supported by the Italian National Research Groups PRIN - Real Analysis, and GNAMPA.

and

$$(4) \quad C(0, y) = 0, \quad C(x, 0) = 0, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x, y \leq 1.$$

Also, we obtain from (2) (set  $y' = 1$  or  $x' = 1$ ):

$$(5) \quad C(x, y) \text{ is 1-Lipschitz in each variable.}$$

A copula is the restriction to the unit square of a distribution function with uniform marginals on  $[0,1]$ . We refer to [5] for general results on copulas.

A copula  $C(x, y)$  is *commutative* or *symmetric*, if

$$(6) \quad C(x, y) = C(y, x) \text{ for all } x, y \text{ in } [0, 1].$$

If a copula is not commutative, it can be interesting to know how large the difference between  $C(x, y)$  and  $C(y, x)$  can be.

According to [6], we set, for a copula  $C$ :

$$(7) \quad \beta_C = \sup\{|C(x, y) - C(y, x)|; x, y \in [0, 1]\}.$$

As proved in [6, Theorem 2.2] and in [3], we have:

$$(8) \quad \sup\{\beta_C; C \text{ is a copula}\} = \frac{1}{3};$$

due to this fact, it was suggested to use  $3\beta_C$  as a normalized measure of asymmetry for copulas.

Moreover, the supremum is achieved: the set of copulas for which such value is attained, was characterized in [6]; their elements were called *maximally nonexchangeable copulas*. These and other results on asymmetry have been considered also in [3].

To see how and where the interest in asymmetry can arise, we recall that for example in [2] it was explained why it can be suitable to change symmetric copulas into asymmetric ones.

## 2. Quasi-concave copulas, symmetry and other related classes of copulas

We define a class of copulas, described in [5, Section 3.4.3].

**Definition 1.** We say that a copula is *quasi-concave* if for all  $(x, y), (x', y')$  in  $[0, 1]^2$  and all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , we have:

$$(9) \quad C(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x', \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)y') \geq \min\{C(x, y), C(x', y')\}.$$

Another, more popular, class of copulas can be described in the following way (see for example [5, Definition 3.4.6]):

**Definition 2.** We say that a copula is *Schur-concave* if for all  $x, y, \lambda$  in  $[0, 1]$ , we have:

$$(10) \quad C(x, y) \leq C(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y, \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x).$$

It is clear that a copula satisfying (10) is commutative.

A weakening of condition (10) has been considered, mainly in a context different from that of copulas (see [4, (4.1)]):

$$(11) \quad C\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) \geq C(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in [0, 1].$$

For copulas, an ‘‘asymmetric’’ version of (11) was considered in [1].

The meaning of (11) and, respectively, (10), is the following. Consider the values of  $C$  along the line segment  $x + y = 2\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ); if (11) holds, then  $C(x, y)$  takes the maximum value at the point  $(\alpha, \alpha)$ ; if (10) holds, then  $C(x, y)$  is also increasing in the upper part of the line  $x + y = 2\alpha$ , from the border of the unit square to the diagonal, and decreasing along the lower part (from  $(\alpha, \alpha)$  to the border).

We recall (see [5, p.104]) that quasi-concave copulas are also Schur-concave if they are symmetric (but not in general).

Now we prove that also quasi-concavity together with (11) implies Schur-concavity. Thus we obtain a description of symmetric quasi-concave copulas.

**Theorem 1.** *If a quasi-concave copula satisfies (11), then it is Schur-concave.*

PROOF: Let  $C(x, y)$  be quasi-concave and satisfy (11); assume, by contradiction that  $C$  is not Schur-concave, and let be  $(x, y), (x', y')$  points along the segment  $x + y = 2\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ) such that:

$$(*) \quad 0 \leq x < x' \leq \frac{x+y}{2}; \quad C(x, y) > C(x', y').$$

Since, according to (11):

$$C\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) \geq C(x, y),$$

the quasi-concavity of  $C$  implies

$$C(x', y') \geq \min\{C(x, y), C\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right)\} = C(x, y),$$

against (\*); so we have a contradiction.

Analogously, we obtain a contradiction starting from  $\frac{x+y}{2} \leq x < x' \leq 1$ . This concludes the proof of the theorem. □

We have immediately the following consequence.

**Corollary.** For a quasi-concave copula  $C$  the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $C$  is symmetric,
- (ii)  $C$  satisfies (11),
- (iii)  $C$  is Schur-concave.

**Remark.** For an example of a (symmetric) Schur-concave copula which is not quasi-concave see [5, Example 3.28(a)]; so condition (11) does not imply quasi-concavity. Example 2 in [1] describes a symmetric copula satisfying (11), which is not Schur-concave (so neither quasi-concave).

We can ask for some other condition implying the quasi-concavity of a copula. We give below one possible answer.

We consider another class of copulas, satisfying a condition which also has a statistical meaning (see [5, Definition 5.2.9 and Corollary 5.2.11]).

**Definition 3.** We say that a copula is *stochastically increasing* in  $x$  and  $y$ , (SI) for short, if it is concave in each variable; namely:

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} &C(x, y) \text{ is a concave function of } y \text{ for any fixed } x, \\ &\text{and a concave function of } x \text{ for any fixed } y \quad (x, y \in [0, 1]). \end{aligned}$$

We have the following result.

**Theorem 2.** (SI) copulas are quasi-concave.

PROOF: We recall that, since we are dealing with continuous functions, quasi-concavity for copulas is equivalent to Jensen (midpoint) quasi-concavity, that is to

$$(9') \quad C\left(\frac{x+x'}{2}, \frac{y+y'}{2}\right) \geq \min\{C(x, y), C(x', y')\}.$$

We prove now a simple claim.

**Claim.** If a copula  $C(x, y)$  is not quasi-concave, then (9') is violated by a pair of points  $(x, y), (x', y')$  such that the line joining them has a negative slope.

PROOF OF THE CLAIM: Let  $C(x, y)$  be a copula. Let the points  $(x, y), (x', y')$  be such that the line joining them has a non-negative slope; if  $x \leq x'$  and  $y \leq y'$ , then (3) implies:  $\min\{C(x, y), C(x', y')\} = C(x, y) \leq C(x', y')$ ; moreover  $C(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)x', \lambda y + (1-\lambda)y'), \lambda \in [0, 1]$ , is an increasing function of  $\lambda$ .

Thus in this case (9') is satisfied; this proves the claim.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2: We deal with Jensen concavity. Let  $C(x, y)$  be an (SI) copula; assume, by contradiction, that  $C(x, y)$  is not quasi-concave: according to

the claim, there are two points  $(x, y), (x', y')$  such that the line joining them has a negative slope and moreover:

$$\min\{C(x, y), C(x', y')\} > C\left(\frac{x+x'}{2}, \frac{y+y'}{2}\right).$$

Assume that, for example,  $x < x'$  and  $y > y'$  (a similar reasoning applies in the case  $x > x'$  and  $y < y'$ ).

According to (12), we have

$$C\left(\frac{x+x'}{2}, \frac{y+y'}{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}(C(x, \frac{y+y'}{2}) + C(x', \frac{y+y'}{2}));$$

therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(C(x, \frac{y+y'}{2}) + C(x', \frac{y+y'}{2})) &< \min\{C(x, y), C(x', y')\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(C(x, y) + C(x', y')). \end{aligned}$$

Since (by (12))

$$C(x', y) - C(x', \frac{y+y'}{2}) \leq C(x', \frac{y+y'}{2}) - C(x', y'),$$

we obtain

$$C(x', y) - C(x', \frac{y+y'}{2}) < C(x, y) - C(x, \frac{y+y'}{2})$$

or

$$C(x, \frac{y+y'}{2}) + C(x', y) < C(x, y) + C(x', \frac{y+y'}{2}).$$

The last inequality contradicts 2-increasingness. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

**Remark 1.** Following the lines of the now given proof, also the following fact can be proved:

*If a copula is (SI), then it is also concave along lines with a negative slope.*

Recall that (SI) does not imply concavity of a copula (the definition of concavity being the usual one, which implies (SI)): in fact, there exists a unique concave copula (which is the greatest copula: see [5, Example 3.26.(a)]). Also: Schur concavity and concavity are independent notions for functions (see [7, p.258]); but the unique concave copula is Schur-concave.

**Remark 2.** Note that in general (SI) copulas are not symmetric (or equivalently, according to Theorem 2 and the Corollary to Theorem 1, they do not satisfy (10) or (11)). An example of an asymmetric, (SI) copula, is the following:

**Example 1.** Consider the following copula:

$$C(x, y) = \begin{cases} xy^{3/4} & \text{if } x \leq y^{1/2} \\ yx^{1/2} & \text{if } x > y^{1/2}. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 3.** It is also possible to see that symmetric, quasi-concave copulas (see the Corollary) are not in general (SI) copulas: consider for example as  $C(x, y)$  a copula whose level lines, which are broken lines, join  $(x^2, 1)$ ,  $(x, x)$ ,  $(1, x^2)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

**Remark 4.** Recall that a copula is *associative* if for all  $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$  we have:

$$C(C(x, y), z) = C(x, C(y, z)) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in [0, 1].$$

The following copula is (SI), symmetric but not associative:

$$C(x, y) = \begin{cases} x\sqrt{y} & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ y\sqrt{x} & \text{if } x \geq y; \end{cases}$$

to see this, it is enough to consider for example in the above definition  $x = y = \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $z = \frac{1}{4}$ .

### 3. Quasi-concave copulas and asymmetry

In this section we want to study the quantity

$$(13) \quad \beta(Q) = \sup\{\beta_C; C \text{ is a quasi-concave copula}\}.$$

We recall the following result (see [6, Lemma 2.1]).

**Lemma.** For any copula  $C$  and any  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  we have:

$$(14) \quad |C(x, y) - C(y, x)| \leq \min\{x, y, 1 - x, 1 - y, |x - y|\}.$$

Now we prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.** We have:

$$(15) \quad \beta(Q) = 1/5.$$

**PROOF:** Let  $\beta(C) = \beta > 0$  for some quasi-concave copula  $C$  and let  $\beta = C(x, y) - C(y, x)$  for a pair  $x, y$ . It is not a restriction to assume  $x < y$  (otherwise, by

symmetry, we may construct a copula  $C'$ , with same asymmetry, for which this holds true).

Let  $C(y, x) = \alpha$  and  $C(x, y) = \alpha + \beta$ . According to the Lemma,  $P \equiv (x, y)$  belongs to the triangle  $T : \{(x, y); x \geq \beta; y \leq 1 - \beta; y \geq x + \beta\}$ .

The points  $(1, \alpha + \beta)$ ,  $(\alpha + \beta, 1)$ ,  $(x, y)$  all belong to the level sets  $L = \{(u, v); C(u, v) = \alpha + \beta\}$ .

Recall that  $C(y, x) = \alpha$ ; let  $(y, z)$  be the lower point of abscissa  $y$  that belongs to  $L$ , and  $(y, z')$  the point of abscissa  $y$  that belongs to the segment of extremes  $(x, y)$ ,  $(1, x)$  ( $x \geq \alpha + \beta$ ). Considering that segment, if we write  $y = \frac{1-y}{1-x}x + \frac{y-x}{1-x}1$ , we see that

$$z' = \frac{1-y}{1-x}y + \frac{y-x}{1-x}x.$$

Now the quasi-concavity of  $C$  implies  $C(y, z') \geq \alpha + \beta$ , so  $z' \geq z > x$ , and then (by using (5))  $z' - x \geq z - x \geq C(y, z) - C(y, x) = \beta$ ; then

$$\frac{1-y}{1-x}y + \frac{y-x}{1-x}x - x \geq \beta; \text{ equivalently } \frac{y - y^2 + yx - x}{1-x} \geq \beta.$$

Now consider the function  $f(x, y) = \frac{-y^2 + y(1+x) - x}{1-x}$  in the triangle  $T$ ; simple computations show that it attains its maximum at the point  $(\beta, \frac{1+\beta}{2})$ . So we have

$$\frac{1-\beta}{4} \geq \beta, \text{ which is equivalent to } \beta \leq 1/5.$$

We have proved that  $1/5$  is an upper bound for  $\beta(Q)$ . To conclude the proof we must produce an example of a quasi-concave copula  $C$  such that

$$\beta_C = \sup\{|C(x, y) - C(y, x)|; x, y \in [0, 1]\} = 1/5.$$

This is done by the example below.

**Example 2.** The above proof shows that the value  $1/5$  for asymmetry can only be attained, in the upper triangle  $y \geq x$  of the unit square, at the point  $(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{3}{5})$ .

Note that the copulas we are considering are related to examples in Section 3.2.1 of [5].

We define a copula  $C_1(x, y)$ , whose asymmetry is  $1/5$ , in the following way:

$$C_1(x, y) = \begin{cases} \max\{y + (x - 1)/2, 0\} & \text{if } 0 \leq y \leq \frac{x+1}{2}, \\ x & \text{if } \frac{x+1}{2} < y \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

The copula  $C_1$  is quasi-concave (the upper boundary of level sets are convex: see [5, Theorem 3.4.5]). The support of  $C_1$  consists of the two line segments in  $I^2$ :

$$\{(x, y) \in I^2; y = \frac{1+x}{2}\} \cup \{(x, y) \in I^2; y = \frac{1-x}{2}\}.$$



We can also consider a copula  $C_2$ , with the same asymmetry, whose support is distributed along some line segments: weight  $4/5$  spread along the line joining  $(1/5, 1)$  and  $(1, 1/5)$ ; weight  $1/5$  along the segment joining  $(0, 3/5)$  and  $(1/5, 2/5)$ ; weight  $2/5$  along the segment joining  $(1/5, 2/5)$  and  $(1, 0)$ ; finally, negative weight  $2/5$  spread along the segment of extremes  $(1/5, 3/5)$  and  $(1, 1/5)$ .

The copulas  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  seem to be, respectively, the largest and the smallest quasi-concave copulas among of all quasi-concave copulas such that  $C(3/5, 1/5) = 0$ ,  $C(1/5, 3/5) = 1/5$ .

Analogously we can construct, by symmetry, another pair of copulas  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  so that, by using these 4 copulas, we can indicate all quasi-concave copulas attaining the largest values for asymmetry. All of this can be done following the scheme of [6]. □

**Remark.** Our last result also says how far a quasi-concave copula can be from being Schur-concave. For example, given any quasi-concave copula  $C(x, y)$ , the copula  $C'(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(C(x, y) + C(y, x))$  is a symmetric copula such that

$$|C(x, y) - C'(x, y)| \leq \frac{1}{10} \text{ for all } x, y.$$

But we can observe that in this way the copula we obtain is not in general a quasi-concave copula. This can be seen by starting, for example, from the copula in Exercise 3.8 in [5], with  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ .

#### 4. Quasi-concave copulas and transformations

The following transformations have often been considered for aggregation operators, in particular for copulas.

Let  $\varphi$  be a strictly increasing bijection of  $[0,1]$ .

Set

$$C_\varphi(x, y) = \varphi^{-1}(C(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))).$$

It is well known that only if  $\varphi$  is concave,  $C_\varphi$  is a copula whenever  $C$  is a copula.

Now we prove that if  $\varphi$  is concave, then also quasi-concavity of copulas is preserved.

**Theorem 4.** *If  $C$  is a quasi-concave copula and  $\varphi$  is concave, then  $C_\varphi$  is a quasi-concave copula.*

PROOF: We already know that under our assumptions,  $C_\varphi$  is a copula. Assume, by contradiction, that  $C_\varphi$  is not quasi-concave. This means that there exist two pairs  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  and some  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that for the point  $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)$ , where  $x_\lambda = \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2$ ;  $y_\lambda = \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2$ , we have:

$$C_\varphi(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) < C_\varphi(x_1, y_1); C_\varphi(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) < C_\varphi(x_2, y_2);$$

since  $\varphi$  is increasing, these are equivalent to

$$C(\varphi(x_\lambda), \varphi(y_\lambda)) < C(\varphi(x_1), \varphi(y_1)); C(\varphi(x_\lambda), \varphi(y_\lambda)) < C(\varphi(x_2), \varphi(y_2)).$$

Now set, for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ :

$$x'_\lambda = \lambda\varphi(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)\varphi(x_2); y'_\lambda = \lambda\varphi(y_1) + (1 - \lambda)\varphi(y_2).$$

The fact that  $\varphi$  is concave implies

$$\varphi(x_\lambda) \geq x'_\lambda, \varphi(y_\lambda) \geq y'_\lambda;$$

therefore we obtain:

$$C(x'_\lambda, y'_\lambda) \leq C(\varphi(x_\lambda), \varphi(y_\lambda)) < C(\varphi(x_1), \varphi(y_1)),$$

and similarly,

$$C(x'_\lambda, y'_\lambda) < C(\varphi(x_2), \varphi(y_2)),$$

against the quasi-concavity of  $C$ . This contradiction proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The authors are indebted to R. Nelsen for several suggestions concerning a preliminary draft of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Durante F., *Solution of an open problem for associative copulas*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **152** (2005), 411–415.
- [2] Genest C., Ghoudi K., Rivest L.-P., *Discussion on “Understanding relationships using copulas” by E. Frees and E. Valdez*, N. Am. Actuar. J. **2** (1999), 143–149.
- [3] Klement E.P., Mesiar R., *How non-symmetric can a copula be?*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **47** (2006), 141–148.
- [4] Klement E.P., Mesiar R., Pap E., *Different types of continuity of triangular norms revisited*, New Math. Nat. Comput. **1** (2005), 1–17.
- [5] Nelsen R.B., *An Introduction to Copulas*, 2nd edition, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [6] Nelsen R.B., *Extremes of nonexchangeability*, Statist. Papers **48** (2007), 329–336.
- [7] Robert A.W., Varberg D.E., *Convex Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.

DIPARTIMENTO MATEMATES, VIALE FILOPANTI, 5, 40126 BOLOGNA, ITALY

*E-mail:* elisabetta.alvoni@unibo.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, PIAZZA PORTA S. DONATO, 5, 40126 BOLOGNA, ITALY

*E-mail:* papini@dm.unibo.it

(Received September 5, 2006, revised February 27, 2007)