

Jiří Spurný

Banach space valued mappings of the first Baire class contained in usco mappings

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 48 (2007), No. 2, 269--272

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119656>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Banach space valued mappings of the first Baire class contained in usco mappings

JIRÍ SPURNÝ

Abstract. We prove that any Baire-one usco-bounded function from a metric space to a closed convex subset of a Banach space is the pointwise limit of a usco-bounded sequence of continuous functions.

Keywords: Baire-one functions, usco map, usco-bounded sequence of continuous functions

Classification: 54C60, 54E45, 26A21

1. Introduction

O. Kalenda studied in [2] the following question:

Let X be a metric space, Y a convex subset of a normed linear space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a Baire-one function whose graph is contained in the graph of a usco mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$. Does there exist a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of continuous functions $f_n : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ and the graphs of all f_n 's are contained in a usco map $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$?

(We refer the reader to the next section and [2] for terminology.) He answered the question affirmatively in case Y is a closed convex subset of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d ([2, Theorem 3.3]). The aim of this note is a positive answer to [2, Question 4.1] given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (X, ρ) , (Y, σ) be metric spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a usco-bounded Baire-one mapping. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a usco-bounded simple function $g : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\sup_{x \in X} \sigma(f(x), g(x)) < \varepsilon$.*

Using [2, Theorem 3.2] we get from Theorem 1.1 the following strengthening of [2, Theorem 3.3].

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a metric space, Y a closed convex subset of a Banach space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a Baire-one usco-bounded function. Then there exists a usco-bounded sequence $\{f_n\}$ of continuous functions from X to Y such that $f_n \rightarrow f$.*

Research was supported in part by the grants GAČR 201/06/0018, GAČR 201/03/D120, and in part by the Research Project MSM 0021620839 from the Czech Ministry of Education.

2. Proofs

We recall that a nonempty-valued mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ between topological spaces X and Y is called *upper semi-continuous compact-valued* (briefly *usco*) if $\varphi(x)$ is a nonempty compact subset of Y for each $x \in X$ and $\{x \in X : \varphi(x) \subset U\}$ is open in X for every open $U \subset Y$. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is termed *Baire-one* if f is the pointwise limit of a sequence of continuous functions. A family of functions defined on X with values in Y is called *usco-bounded* if there is a usco map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ whose graph contains the graph of every function from the family.

A family \mathcal{A} of subsets of a topological space X is *discrete* if each point of X has a neighbourhood intersecting at most one element of the family, \mathcal{A} is σ -*discrete* if \mathcal{A} is a countable union of discrete families. The family \mathcal{A} is *locally finite* if each point of X has a neighbourhood meeting at most finitely many elements of \mathcal{A} . A family \mathcal{B} is a *refinement* of \mathcal{A} if $\bigcup \mathcal{A} = \bigcup \mathcal{B}$ and for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $B \subset A$.

A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *simple* if there is a σ -discrete partition of X consisting of F_σ -sets such that f is constant on each element of the partition.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X and Y be metric spaces and let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a set-valued mapping with nonempty values. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a usco map $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\varphi \subset \psi$ (i.e., the graph of φ is contained in the graph of ψ),*
- (ii) *if $\{x_n\} \subset X$ converges to $x \in X$ and $y_n \in \varphi(x_n)$, then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.*

PROOF: See [2, Lemma 2.1]. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a metric space and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a σ -discrete locally finite partition of X consisting of F_σ -sets of diameter smaller than ε .*

PROOF: Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X consisting of sets of diameter smaller than ε . By [1, Theorem 4.4.1] we can find an open σ -discrete locally finite refinement \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} . We pick a well-ordering \leq of \mathcal{V} and set

$$P_V = V \setminus \bigcup \{W : W \in \mathcal{V}, W < V\}, \quad V \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Then $\mathcal{P} = \{P_V : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$, as a shrinking of \mathcal{V} (see [1, p.386]), is also σ -discrete and locally finite. Obviously, \mathcal{P} consists of F_σ -sets of diameter smaller than ε . This finishes the proof. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1: Let f be as in the premise and $\varepsilon > 0$. We select $\eta \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})$. According to [2, Lemma 2.2], there exists a simple function $g_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\sup_{x \in X} \sigma(f(x), g_1(x)) < \eta$. By the definition of simple functions, there

is a σ -discrete partition \mathcal{A} of X consisting of F_σ -sets such that g_1 is constant on each element of \mathcal{A} .

For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we find a point $x_A \in A$ and set

$$g_2(x) = f(x_A), \quad x \in A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then g_2 is also a simple function and $\sup_{x \in X} \sigma(f(x), g_2(x)) \leq 2\eta$. Indeed, for $x \in A \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(f(x), g_2(x)) &= \sigma(f(x), f(x_A)) \\ &\leq \sigma(f(x), g_1(x_A)) + \sigma(g_1(x_A), f(x_A)) \\ &= \sigma(f(x), g_1(x)) + \sigma(g_1(x_A), f(x_A)) \\ &< 2\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{A}_n$ where each \mathcal{A}_n is discrete. Using Lemma 2.2 we find σ -discrete locally finite partitions $\mathcal{P}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, of X such that each element of \mathcal{P}_n is an F_σ -set of diameter smaller than $\frac{1}{n}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{A}_n \wedge \mathcal{P}_n$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \{A \cap P : A \in \mathcal{A}_n, P \in \mathcal{P}_n\}.$$

A routine verification yields that each \mathcal{B}_n is a σ -discrete locally finite family of pairwise disjoint sets. Then $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{B}_n$ is a σ -discrete partition of X consisting of F_σ -sets.

For each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ we pick a point $x_B \in B$ and define

$$g(x) = f(x_B), \quad x \in B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Then g is a simple function and $\sup_{x \in X} \sigma(f(x), g(x)) \leq 4\eta$. Indeed, given $x \in B \in \mathcal{B}$, let A be the unique set in \mathcal{A} such that $B \subset A$. Then $g_2(x_B) = g_2(x_A) = g_2(x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(f(x), g(x)) &= \sigma(f(x), f(x_B)) \\ &\leq \sigma(f(x), g_2(x_B)) + \sigma(g_2(x_B), f(x_B)) \\ &= \sigma(f(x), g_2(x)) + \sigma(g_2(x_B), f(x_B)) \\ &< 2\eta + 2\eta. \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof we have to verify that g is usco-bounded. To this end, let $\{x_k\}$ be a sequence of points of X converging to x . Our aim is to find a convergent subsequence of $\{g(x_k)\}$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we find $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_k \in \bigcup \mathcal{B}_{n_k}$. Assume first that $\{n_k\}$ is a bounded sequence. Then there is an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for infinitely many k 's we have $x_k \in \bigcup \mathcal{B}_n$. Since \mathcal{B}_n is a locally finite family and $x_k \rightarrow x$, there is a set $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$ such that $x_k \in B$ for infinitely many k 's. Since g is constant on B , $\{g(x_k)\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

If $\{n_k\}$ is not bounded, we may assume that $\{n_k\}$ is increasing. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we find $B_k \in \mathcal{B}_{n_k}$ such that $x_k \in B_k$. As diameter of B_k is smaller than $\frac{1}{n_k}$ and $x_k \rightarrow x$, $x_{B_k} \rightarrow x$ as well. Since $g(x_k) = f(x_{B_k})$, we can use the hypothesis on f to conclude that $\{g(x_k)\}$ has a convergent subsequence. This finishes the proof. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2: Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a Baire-one usco-bounded function. Using Theorem 1.1 we construct a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of functions $f_n : X \rightarrow Y$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that each f_n is usco-bounded and $\{f_n\}$ converges to f uniformly. By [2, Theorem 3.1], each f_n is a pointwise limit of a usco-bounded sequence of continuous functions from X to Y . According to [2, Theorem 3.2], the same holds true for the function f . This concludes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Engelking R., *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [2] Kalenda O.F.K., *Baire-one mappings contained in a usco map*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **48** (2007), 135–145.

CHARLES UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAGUE 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail: spurny@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

(Received September 25, 2006, revised November 2, 2006)