

Petr Vojtěchovský

On the uniqueness of loops $M(G, 2)$

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 44 (2003), No. 4, 629–635

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119417>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2003

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

On the uniqueness of loops $M(G, 2)$

PETR VOJTĚCHOVSKÝ

Abstract. Let G be a finite group and C_2 the cyclic group of order 2. Consider the 8 multiplicative operations $(x, y) \mapsto (x^i y^j)^k$, where $i, j, k \in \{-1, 1\}$. Define a new multiplication on $G \times C_2$ by assigning one of the above 8 multiplications to each quarter $(G \times \{i\}) \times (G \times \{j\})$, for $i, j \in C_2$. If the resulting quasigroup is a Bol loop, it is Moufang. When G is nonabelian then exactly four assignments yield Moufang loops that are not associative; all (anti)isomorphic, known as loops $M(G, 2)$.

Keywords: Moufang loops, loops $M(G, 2)$, inverse property loops, Bol loops

Classification: 20N05

1. Introduction

Because of the specialized topic of this paper, we assume that the reader is familiar with the theory of Bol and Moufang loops (cf. [6]).

Chein introduced the following construction in [1] to obtain Moufang loops from groups: Let G be a finite group and let $\overline{G} = \{\overline{x}; x \in G\}$ be a set of new elements. Define multiplication $*$ on $G \cup \overline{G}$ by

$$(1) \quad x * y = xy, \quad x * \overline{y} = \overline{yx}, \quad \overline{x} * y = \overline{xy^{-1}}, \quad \overline{x} * \overline{y} = y^{-1}x,$$

where $x, y \in G$. The resulting Moufang loop $M(G, 2)$ is associative if and only if G is abelian, according to [1].

Loops $M(G, 2)$ play an important role among Moufang loops of small order (cf. [1], [5]). Recently, it was found that all Moufang loops of order $n \in \{16, 24, 32\}$ can be obtained by modifying one quarter of the multiplication tables of loops $M(G, 2)$ in a certain way [4]. The smallest nonassociative Moufang loop is isomorphic to $M(S_3, 2)$, where S_3 is the symmetric group on 3 points (cf. [3], [7]).

We are going to study a generalization of Chein's construction (1). Given a group G , consider the 8 multiplicative operations on G : $(x, y) \mapsto (x^i y^j)^k$, where $i, j, k \in \{-1, 1\}$. Let C_2 be the cyclic group of order 2. Define a new multiplication on $G \times C_2$ by assigning one of the above 8 multiplications to each quarter $(G \times \{i\}) \times (G \times \{j\})$, for $i, j \in C_2$. Let M be the resulting quasigroup.

Work partially supported by Grant Agency of Charles University, grant number 269/2001/B-MAT/MFF.

In this note, we characterize when M is a loop (Lemma 1); we show that if M is a Bol loop, it is Moufang (Lemma 2); and we prove that when G is nonabelian then there are exactly 4 assignments that yield nonassociative Moufang loops, all (anti)isomorphic to the loop $M(G, 2)$. See Theorem 6 for details.

Chein’s construction (1) is therefore unique, in a sense.

2. Notation

Let us introduce a notation that will better serve our purposes. Consider the permutations ι, σ, τ of $G \times G$ defined by $(x, y)\iota = (x, y)$, $(x, y)\sigma = (y, x)$, and $(x, y)\tau = (y^{-1}, x)$. Since $\sigma^2 = \tau^4 = \iota$ and $\sigma\tau\sigma = \tau^{-1}$, the group A generated by σ and τ is isomorphic to Q_8 , the quaternion group of order 8. The elements ψ of A are described by

$$(x,y)\psi \left| \begin{array}{cccccccc} \iota & \sigma & \tau & \tau^2 & \tau^3 & \sigma\tau & \sigma\tau^2 & \sigma\tau^3 \\ \hline (x,y) & (y,x) & (y^{-1},x) & (x^{-1},y^{-1}) & (y,x^{-1}) & (x^{-1},y) & (y^{-1},x^{-1}) & (x,y^{-1}) \end{array} \right. .$$

We like to think of these elements as multiplications in G , and often identify $\psi \in A$ with the map $\psi\Delta : G \times G \rightarrow G$, where $(x, y)\Delta = xy$. For instance, the permutation $\sigma\tau$ determines the multiplication $x * y = x^{-1}y$. Note that $\sigma\Delta = \iota\Delta$ when G is abelian, and that $A\Delta = \iota\Delta$ when G is an elementary abelian 2-group.

To avoid trivialities, we assume throughout the paper that G is not an elementary abelian 2-group, and that $|G| > 1$.

It is natural to split the multiplication table of $M(G, 2)$ into four quarters $G \times G, G \times \overline{G}, \overline{G} \times G$ and $\overline{G} \times \overline{G}$, as in

$$\begin{array}{c|c} * & G \overline{G} \\ \hline \overline{G} & \\ \hline \overline{G} & \end{array} .$$

Then Chein’s construction (1) can be represented by the matrix

$$(2) \quad M_c = \begin{pmatrix} \iota & \sigma \\ \sigma\tau^3 & \tau \end{pmatrix} .$$

For example, we can see from M_c that $\overline{x} * y = \overline{(x, y)\sigma\tau^3} = \overline{xy^{-1}}$, for $x, y \in G$.

3. Main result

When we look at Chein’s construction (1) via (2), it appears to be somewhat arbitrary. Let us therefore investigate all multiplications

$$(3) \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in A$. We will no more distinguish between the matrix M and the groupoid it defines.

We note in passing that every M is a quasigroup. The next lemma characterizes all loops M . In the course of the proof we encounter several identities of the form $w_1 = w_2$, where w_i is a word in some symbols $x_1, \dots, x_m \in G$. When w_1, w_2 reduce to the same word in the free group on x_1, \dots, x_m , then $w_1 = w_2$ surely holds in G . Conversely, since we assumed that G is not an elementary abelian 2-group and $|G| > 1$, there are many identities that do not hold in G , no matter what G is. For instance, $x \neq x^{-1}$, $y \neq xy^{-1}x^{-1}$ (set $x = y$), and so on.

Lemma 1. *M is a loop if and only if $\alpha \in \{\iota, \sigma\}$, $\beta \in \{\iota, \sigma, \tau^3, \sigma\tau\}$ and $\gamma \in \{\iota, \sigma, \tau, \sigma\tau^3\}$. When M is a loop, its neutral element coincides with the neutral element of G .*

PROOF: We first show that if M is a loop, its neutral element e coincides with the neutral element 1 of G . This is clear, as for some $\varepsilon \in A$ we have $1 = 1 * e = (1, e)\varepsilon \in \{e, e^{-1}\}$, and thus $1 = e$.

The equation $y = 1 * y$ holds for every $y \in G$ if and only if $y = (1, y)\alpha$, which happens if and only if $\alpha \in \{\iota, \sigma, \tau^3, \sigma\tau\}$. Similarly, the equation $y = y * 1$ holds for every $y \in G$ if and only if $\alpha \in \{\iota, \sigma, \tau, \sigma\tau^3\}$. Altogether, $y = y * 1 = 1 * y$ holds for every $y \in G$ if and only if $\alpha \in \{\iota, \sigma\}$.

Following the same strategy, $\bar{y} = 1 * \bar{y}$ holds for every $y \in G$ if and only if $\beta \in \{\iota, \sigma, \tau^3, \sigma\tau\}$, and $\bar{y} = \bar{y} * 1$ holds for every $y \in G$ if and only if $\gamma \in \{\iota, \sigma, \tau, \sigma\tau^3\}$. □

Once M is a loop, it must have two-sided inverses:

Lemma 2. *If M is a loop then it is an inverse property loop. In particular, if M happens to be a Bol loop, it must be Moufang.*

PROOF: Assume that $x * y = 1$ for some $x, y \in G \cup \bar{G}$. Then both x, y belong to G , or both belong to \bar{G} , by Lemma 1. We therefore want to show that $(x, y)\varepsilon = 1$ implies $(y, x)\varepsilon = 1$ for every $\varepsilon \in A$ and $x, y \in G$.

Pick $\varepsilon \in A$. Then $(x, y)\varepsilon = (x^i y^j)^k$ for some $i, j, k \in \{-1, 1\}$. Assume that $(x, y)\varepsilon = 1$. Then $x^i y^j = 1$ and $y^j x^i = 1$. If $i = j$, we conclude from the latter equality that $y^i x^j = 1$, and thus $(y, x)\varepsilon = 1$. The inverse of the former equality yields $y^{-j} x^{-i} = 1$. If $i = -j$, we immediately have $y^i x^j = 1$, and thus $(y, x)\varepsilon = 1$.

Hence M is an inverse property loop. It is well-known that a Bol loop is Moufang if and only if it is an inverse property loop (cf. [2]). □

Given M as in (3), let

$$M^{\text{op}} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma\alpha & \sigma\gamma \\ \sigma\beta & \sigma\delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3. *The quasigroup M^{op} is opposite to M .*

PROOF: Denote by \circ the multiplication in M^{op} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ y &= (x, y)\sigma\alpha = (y, x)\alpha = y * x, \\ x \circ \bar{y} &= \overline{(x, y)\sigma\gamma} = \overline{(y, x)\gamma} = \bar{y} * x, \\ \bar{x} \circ y &= \overline{(x, y)\sigma\beta} = \overline{(y, x)\beta} = y * \bar{x}, \\ \bar{x} \circ \bar{y} &= (x, y)\sigma\delta = (y, x)\delta = \bar{y} * \bar{x}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $x, y \in G$. □

Let us assume from now on that G is nonabelian. Then the identity $xy = yx$ and any other identity that reduces to $xy = yx$ do not hold in G , of course. We will come across the identity $xxxy = yxx$. Note that this identity holds in G if and only if the center of G is of index 2 in G .

We would like to know when M is a Bol (and hence Moufang) loop. Assume from now on that M is a loop.

Recall that the opposite of a Moufang loop is again Moufang. We can therefore combine Lemmas 1, 3 and assume that the loop M satisfies $\alpha = \iota$. Since every Moufang loop is diassociative, we are going to have a look at such loops:

Lemma 4. *If G is nonabelian and M is a diassociative loop with $\alpha = \iota$ then (β, γ, δ) is one of the eight triples*

$$(4) \quad (\iota, \iota, \iota), \quad (\tau^3, \iota, \sigma\tau), \quad (\sigma, \sigma, \sigma), \quad (\sigma\tau, \sigma, \tau^3), \\ (\tau^3, \tau, \tau^2), \quad (\iota, \tau, \sigma\tau^3), \quad (\sigma, \sigma\tau^3, \tau), \quad (\sigma\tau, \sigma\tau^3, \sigma\tau^2).$$

PROOF: The identities $(\bar{x} * \bar{x}) * y = \bar{x} * (\bar{x} * y)$, $\bar{x} * (y * \bar{x}) = (\bar{x} * y) * \bar{x}$ hold in M , for every $x, y \in G$. They translate into

$$(5) \quad (x, x)\delta y = (x, (x, y)\gamma)\delta,$$

$$(6) \quad (x, (y, x)\beta)\delta = ((x, y)\gamma, x)\delta,$$

respectively. We are first going to check which pairs (γ, δ) satisfy (5).

Assume that $\gamma = \iota$. Then (5) becomes $(x, x)\delta y = (x, xy)\delta$. Denote this identity by $I(\delta)$. Then $I(\iota)$ is $xxxy = xxy$ (true), $I(\sigma)$ is $xxxy = xyx$ (false), $I(\tau)$ is $y = y^{-1}$ (false), $I(\tau^2)$ is $x^{-2}y = x^{-1}y^{-1}x^{-1}$ (false), $I(\tau^3)$ is $y = xyx^{-1}$ (false), $I(\sigma\tau)$ is $y = y$ (true), $I(\sigma\tau^2)$ is $x^{-2}y = y^{-1}x^{-1}x^{-1}$ (false), and $I(\sigma\tau^3)$ is $y = xy^{-1}x^{-1}$ (false).

Assume that $\gamma = \sigma$. Then (5) becomes $(x, x)\delta y = (x, yx)\delta$. Verify that this identity holds only if $\delta = \sigma$ or $\delta = \tau^3$. (The case $\delta = \sigma$ leads to the identity $xxxy = yxx$ mentioned before this lemma.)

When $\gamma = \tau$, (5) holds only if $\delta = \tau^2$ or $\delta = \sigma\tau^3$.

When $\gamma = \sigma\tau^3$, (5) holds only if $\delta = \tau$ or $\delta = \sigma\tau^2$.

Altogether, (5) can be satisfied only when (γ, δ) is one of the 8 pairs (ι, ι) , $(\iota, \sigma\tau)$, (σ, σ) , (σ, τ^3) , (τ, τ^2) , $(\tau, \sigma\tau^3)$, $(\sigma\tau^3, \tau)$, $(\sigma\tau^3, \sigma\tau^2)$. All these pairs will now be tested on (6).

Straightforward calculation shows that (6) can be satisfied only when (β, γ, δ) is one of the 8 triples listed in (4). □

The *Moufang identity* $((xy)x)z = x(y(xz))$ will help us eliminate 4 out of the 8 possibilities in (4). We have $((x * \bar{y}) * x) * z = x * (\bar{y} * (x * z))$ in M , and thus

$$(7) \quad (((x, y)\beta, x)\gamma, z)\gamma = (x, (y, xz)\gamma)\beta.$$

The pairs $(\beta, \gamma) = (\sigma, \sigma)$, (τ^3, ι) , (ι, τ) , $(\sigma\tau, \sigma\tau^3)$ do not satisfy (7). For instance, $(\beta, \gamma) = (\sigma, \sigma)$ turns (7) into $zxyx = xzyx$, i.e., $zx = xz$.

The four remaining triples from (4) yield Moufang loops, as we are going to show.

The quadruple $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = (\iota, \iota, \iota, \iota) = G_\iota$ corresponds to the direct product of G and the two-element cyclic group. The quadruple $(\iota, \sigma, \sigma\tau^3, \tau) = M_c$ is the Chein Moufang loop $M(G, 2)$ that is associative if and only if G is abelian, by [1]. (We can also verify this directly.)

Set $G_\tau = (\iota, \tau^3, \tau, \tau^2)$ and $M_\sigma = (\iota, \sigma\tau, \sigma, \tau^3)$. We claim that G_ι is isomorphic to G_τ , and M_c is isomorphic to M_σ .

Lemma 5. Define $T : A^4 \rightarrow A^4$ by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \tau^3\beta \\ \gamma\tau & \tau^2\delta \end{pmatrix} = MT.$$

If $((x, y)\beta\Delta)^{-1} = (y^{-1}, x^{-1})\beta\Delta$ and $((x, y)\gamma\Delta)^{-1} = (x^{-1}, y)\gamma\tau\Delta$ then M is isomorphic to MT .

PROOF: Consider the permutation f of $G \cup \bar{G}$ defined by $f(x) = x$, $f(\bar{x}) = \overline{x^{-1}}$, for $x \in G$. Let $*$ be the multiplication in M and \circ the multiplication in MT . We show that $(x * y)f = xf \circ yf$ for every $x, y \in G \cup \bar{G}$. With $x, y \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x * y)f &= (x, y)\alpha\Delta f = (x, y)\alpha\Delta = x \circ y = xf \circ yf, \\ (\bar{x} * \bar{y})f &= (x, y)\delta\Delta f = (x, y)\delta\Delta = (x^{-1}, y^{-1})\tau^2\delta\Delta = \bar{x}f \circ \bar{y}f. \end{aligned}$$

Using the assumption on β and γ , we also have

$$(x * \bar{y})f = \overline{(x, y)\beta\Delta}f = \overline{((x, y)\beta\Delta)^{-1}} = \overline{(y^{-1}, x^{-1})\beta\Delta} = \overline{(x, y^{-1})\tau^3\beta\Delta} = xf \circ \bar{y}f,$$

and

$$(\bar{x} * y)f = \overline{(x, y)\gamma\Delta}f = \overline{((x, y)\gamma\Delta)^{-1}} = \overline{(x^{-1}, y)\gamma\tau\Delta} = \bar{x}f \circ yf. \quad \square$$

Note that $G_\iota T = G_\tau$ and $M_c T = M_\sigma$. Now, $\beta \in \{\iota, \sigma\}$ satisfies $((x, y)\beta\Delta)^{-1} = (y^{-1}, x^{-1})\beta\Delta$, and $\gamma \in \{\iota, \sigma\tau^3\}$ satisfies $((x, y)\gamma\Delta)^{-1} = (x^{-1}, y)\gamma\tau\Delta$. By Lemma 5, G_ι is isomorphic to G_τ , and M_c is isomorphic to M_σ .

We have proved:

Theorem 6. *Let G with $|G| > 1$ be a finite group that is not an elementary abelian 2-group. With the above conventions, let*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$$

specify the multiplication in $L = G \cup \overline{G}$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in A = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$, and $(x, y)\sigma = (y, x)$, $(x, y)\tau = (y^{-1}, x)$. If L is a Bol loop then it is Moufang.

When G is nonabelian, then L is a Bol loop if and only if M is equal to one of the following matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} G_\iota &= \begin{pmatrix} \iota & \iota \\ \iota & \iota \end{pmatrix}, & G_\iota^{\text{op}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \sigma \\ \sigma & \sigma \end{pmatrix}, \\ G_\tau &= \begin{pmatrix} \iota & \tau^3 \\ \tau & \tau^2 \end{pmatrix}, & G_\tau^{\text{op}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \sigma\tau \\ \sigma\tau^3 & \sigma\tau^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_c &= \begin{pmatrix} \iota & \sigma \\ \sigma\tau^3 & \tau \end{pmatrix}, & M_c^{\text{op}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \tau^3 \\ \iota & \sigma\tau \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_\sigma &= \begin{pmatrix} \iota & \sigma\tau \\ \sigma & \tau^3 \end{pmatrix}, & M_\sigma^{\text{op}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \iota \\ \tau & \sigma\tau^3 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The loops X^{op} are opposite to the loops X . The isomorphic loops G_ι , G_τ and their opposites are groups. The isomorphic loops M_c , M_σ and their opposites are Moufang loops that are not associative.

Even when G is abelian, it turns out there are no additional matrices M besides those listed in Theorem 6 that yield Bol loops. The proof of this observation is not long and can be found in [8].

REFERENCES

- [1] Chein O., *Moufang loops of small order*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, Volume **13**, Issue 1, Number **197** (1978).
- [2] Chein O., Pflugfelder H.O., Smith J.D.H., Eds., *Quasigroups and Loops: Theory and Applications*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics **8**, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [3] Chein O., Pflugfelder H.O., *The smallest Moufang loop*, Arch. Math. **22** (1971), 573–576.

- [4] Drápal A., Vojtěchovský P., *Moufang loops that share associator and three quarters of their multiplication tables*, submitted.
- [5] Goodaire E.G., May S., Raman M., *The Moufang Loops of Order less than 64*, Nova Science Publishers, 1999.
- [6] Pflugfelder H.O., *Quasigroups and Loops: Introduction*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics **7**, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [7] Vojtěchovský P., *The smallest Moufang loop revisited*, to appear in Results Math.
- [8] Vojtěchovský P., *Connections between codes, groups and loops*, Ph.D. Thesis, Charles University, 2003.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DENVER, 2360 S GAYLORD ST., DENVER, CO 80208, USA

E-mail: petr@math.du.edu

(Received December 9, 2002, revised March 17, 2003)