

Salvatore Leonardi

Weighted Miranda-Talenti inequality and applications to equations with discontinuous coefficients

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 43 (2002), No. 1, 43--59

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119299>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Weighted Miranda–Talenti inequality and applications to equations with discontinuous coefficients

S. LEONARDI

Abstract. Let Ω be an open bounded set in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$), with C^2 boundary, and $N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ ($1 < p < +\infty, 0 \leq \lambda < n$) be a weighted Morrey space.

In this note we prove a weighted version of the Miranda-Talenti inequality and we exploit it to show that, under a suitable condition of Cordes type, the Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = f(x) \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique strong solution in the functional space

$$\left\{ u \in W^{2,p} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega) : \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Keywords: Miranda-Talenti inequality, nonvariational elliptic equations, Hölder regularity

Classification: 35B45, 35B65, 35J25, 35J60, 35R05

1. Introduction

Let Ω be an open bounded set in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$), with C^2 boundary, and $N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ ($1 < p < +\infty, 0 \leq \lambda < n$) be the weighted Morrey space formed by the functions $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which

$$\|u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} = \sup_{x_o \in \Omega} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |x - x_o|^{-\lambda} |u(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

Also, let $W^{k,p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ be the linear space of functions $u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ such that $D^\alpha u \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ for $|\alpha| = k$.

In this note we will prove, at first, a weighted version of the Miranda-Talenti inequality (see [35]), namely we will demonstrate the following

Theorem. *Let $1 < p < +\infty$ and $0 \leq \lambda < n$. Then there exists a constant $C_{MT} = C_{MT}(n, p, \lambda, \partial\Omega) > 0$ such that, for any $u \in W^{2,p} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for which $\Delta u \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$, we have*

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p,\lambda}(\Omega) \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{MT} \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}.$$

Next, we exploit the previous result to show that, under a suitable condition of Cordes type, the Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = f(x) \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has a unique strong solution in the functional space $W^{2,p,\lambda} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

2. Notations, assumptions, auxiliary results

In \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$), with a generic point $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, we shall denote by Ω an open nonempty bounded set with C^2 -boundary $\partial\Omega$ ⁽¹⁾.

For $\rho > 0$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} B(x_0, \rho) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < \rho\} \\ \Omega(x_0, \rho) &= \Omega \cap B(x_0, \rho). \end{aligned}$$

If $u \in L^1(A)$, A being an open nonempty bounded set of \mathbb{R}^n , then we will set

$$u_A = \frac{1}{|A|} \int_A u(x) dx \quad (2),$$

if moreover $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we recall the definition of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function

$$Mu(x) = \sup_{\rho > 0} u_{B(x,\rho)}.$$

If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is a multiindex we set

$$|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n, \quad D^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}} \quad (3).$$

Moreover let $p \in]1, +\infty[$ and $\lambda \in [0, n[$ ⁽¹⁾.

Definition 2.1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ (respectively $W_o^{k,p}(\Omega)$) we denote the closure of $C^\infty(\Omega)$ (respectively $C_o^\infty(\Omega)$) with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \left\| \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} |D^\alpha u|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

¹ This hypothesis will always be implicitly used.

² $|A|$ is the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure of A .

³ For the sake of simplicity we will denote the gradient $(D^\alpha u)_{|\alpha|=1}$ by Du and the Hessian matrix $(D^\alpha u)_{|\alpha|=2}$ by $H(u)$.

Definition 2.2 (Morrey’s space). By $L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ we denote the linear space of functions $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$(1) \quad \|u\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} = \sup_{x_0 \in \Omega, \rho > 0} \left\{ \rho^{-\lambda} \int_{\Omega(x_0, \rho)} |u(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

$L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm (1) is a Banach space.

Definition 2.3 (Weighted Morrey’s space [27]). By $N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ we denote the linear space of functions $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$(2) \quad \|u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} = \left\{ \sup_{x_0 \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} |x - x_0|^{-\lambda} |u|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

Remark 2.1. Fixed $x_o \in \mathbb{R}^n$, set

$$\nu_{x_o}(x) = |x - x_o|^{-\lambda}.$$

The weight $\nu_{x_o}(x)$ satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $\frac{1}{\nu_{x_o}(x)} \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
- (ii) $\nu_{x_o}(x) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
- (iii) $\nu_{x_o}(x)$ is an A_p (or Muckenhoupt) weight i.e. $\nu_{x_o}(x)$ satisfies the condition

$$\sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \nu_{x_o}(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \nu_{x_o}(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx \right)^{p-1} < +\infty$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q (see [37, Corollary 4.4, p. 236 and Proposition 3.2, p. 229]).

Properties (i), (ii) imply respectively that $N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm (2) is a Banach space and that $C^\infty_0(\Omega)$ is dense in $N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 2.1 ([22]). *It holds*

$$N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega) \subset L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega).$$

Proposition 2.2 ([22]). *If*

$$\frac{\lambda_2 - n}{p} \leq \frac{\lambda_1 - n}{q},$$

with $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$, then

$$N^{q,\lambda_1}(\Omega) \subset N^{p,\lambda_2}(\Omega).$$

Definition 2.4. By $W^{k,p,(\lambda)}(\Omega)$ we denote the linear space of functions $u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ such that $D^\alpha u \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ for $|\alpha| = k$.

$W^{k,p,(\lambda)}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$(3) \quad \|u\|_{W^{k,p,(\lambda)}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \left\| \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} |D^\alpha u|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}$$

is a Banach space.

Proposition 2.3 (Weighted Poincaré's inequality). *Let $u \in W^{1,p,(\lambda)}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(n, p, \lambda, |\Omega|) > 0$ such that*

$$\|u - u_\Omega\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \leq C \|Du\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}.$$

PROOF: From Lemma 3.4 in [26] (see also [15, p. 162]) we deduce

$$(4) \quad |u(x) - u_\Omega| \leq C(n) \int_\Omega |x - y|^{1-n} |Du(y)| dy =: C(n) I(x) \quad \text{a.a. } x \in \Omega.$$

After extending Du to the whole \mathbb{R}^n by assuming $Du = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ we get

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} I(x) &\leq \int_{|x-y| \leq d_\Omega} |x - y|^{1-n} |Du(y)| dy \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \int_{d_\Omega 2^{-j-1} \leq |x-y| < d_\Omega 2^{-j}} |x - y|^{1-n} |Du(y)| dy \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} (d_\Omega 2^{-j-1})^{1-n} \int_{|x-y| < d_\Omega 2^{-j}} |Du(y)| dy \\ &\leq C(n, d_\Omega) M |Du(x)| \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} 2^{-j}. \end{aligned}$$

The thesis now follows from the weighted norm estimate for the maximal function (see [24] or Theorem 1 from [9]) and Remark 2.1(iii); indeed we have

$$\|u - u_\Omega\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \leq C \|I\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|Du\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = C \|Du\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}.$$

□

Proposition 2.4. *Let $u \in W^{2,p,\lambda}(\Omega)$. Then $D^\alpha u \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ for $|\alpha| \leq 1$.*

PROOF: Poincaré’s inequality gives

$$(6) \quad \|Du - (Du)_\Omega\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \leq C(n, p, \lambda, |\Omega|) \|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}.$$

On the other hand by Hölder’s inequality and (6) we infer

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Du\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} &\leq \left[\|Du - (Du)_\Omega\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} + |(Du)_\Omega| \sup_{x_o \in \Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} |x - x_o|^{-\lambda} dx \right)^{1/p} \right] \\ &\leq C(n, p, \lambda, |\Omega|) \left(\|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} + \|Du\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right) < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

whence, using again Poincaré’s inequality,

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} &\leq \left[\|u - u_\Omega\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} + |u_\Omega| \sup_{x_o \in \Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} |x - x_o|^{-\lambda} dx \right)^{1/p} \right] \\ &\leq C(n, p, \lambda, |\Omega|) \left(\|Du\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\leq C(n, p, \lambda, |\Omega|) \left(\|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} + \|Du\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

A consequence of the above proposition is the following interpolation inequality.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $u \in W^{2,p,\lambda}(\Omega)$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ one has*

$$(9) \quad \|Du\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \leq C(\varepsilon) \|u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} + \varepsilon \|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}$$

where $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ is independent of u .

PROOF: It is enough to establish (9) for $u \in C^2(\Omega)$.

For $y \in \Omega$ fixed, let us introduce radial and angular coordinates $\rho = |x - y|$, $\omega = \frac{x-y}{\rho}$.

Then we have for $x \in \Omega$,

$$Du(y) = Du(x) - \int_0^\rho D_r^2 u(y + r\omega) dr$$

whence

$$|Du(y)|^p \leq 2^{p-1} \left[|Du|^p dx + \left| \int_0^\rho D_r^2 u(y + r\omega) dr \right|^p \right].$$

Fixing $\delta_o > 0$ and integrating with respect to x over $\Omega(y, \delta_o)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Du(y)|^p \leq 2^{p-1} C(n) \delta_o^{-n} \left[\int_{\Omega} |Du|^p dx \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{\Omega(y, \delta_o)} \left| \int_0^\rho D_r^2 u(y + r\omega) dr \right|^p dx \right] \\
& = 2^{p-1} C(n) \delta_o^{-n} \left[\int_{\Omega} |Du|^p dx \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^{\delta_o} \int_{|\omega|=1} \left| \int_0^\rho D_r^2 u(y + r\omega) dr \right|^p \rho^{n-1} d\omega d\rho \right] \\
& = 2^{p-1} C(n) \delta_o^{-n} \left[\int_{\Omega} |Du|^p dx \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^{\delta_o} \int_{|\omega|=1} \rho^\lambda \rho^{n-1-\lambda} \left| \int_0^\rho D_r^2 u(y + r\omega) dr \right|^p d\omega d\rho \right] \\
(10) \quad & \leq 2^{p-1} C(n) \delta_o^{-n} \left[\int_{\Omega} |Du|^p dx \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \delta_o^\lambda \int_0^{\delta_o} \int_{|\omega|=1} \rho^{p-1} \rho^{n-1-\lambda} \int_0^\rho |D_r^2 u(y + r\omega)|^p dr d\omega d\rho \right] \\
& \leq 2^{p-1} C(n) \delta_o^{-n} \left[\int_{\Omega} |Du|^p dx \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \delta_o^{\lambda+p} \int_0^{\delta_o} \int_{|\omega|=1} \rho^{n-1-\lambda} |D_r^2 u(y + \rho\omega)|^p d\omega d\rho \right] \\
& = 2^{p-1} C(n) \delta_o^{-n} \left[\int_{\Omega} |Du|^p dx + \delta_o^{\lambda+p} \int_{\Omega} |x - y|^{-\lambda} |H(u)|^p dx \right] \\
& \leq 2^{p-1} C(n) \delta_o^{-n} \left[\|Du\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \delta_o^{\lambda+p} \|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}^p \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of (10) by $|y - x_o|^{-\lambda}$ and integrating with respect to y over $\Omega(x_o, \delta_o)$, for fixed $x_o \in \Omega$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega(x_o, \delta_o)} |Du(y)|^p |y - x_o|^{-\lambda} dy \\
& \leq C(n, p, \lambda) \delta_o^{-\lambda} \left[\|Du\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \delta_o^{\lambda+p} \|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}^p \right]
\end{aligned}$$

whence, using Theorem 7.28 from [15],

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{\delta \leq \delta_o} \int_{\Omega(x_o, \delta)} |Du(y)|^p |y - x_o|^{-\lambda} dy \\
 (11) \quad & \leq C(n, p, \lambda, |\Omega|) \left[\delta_o^{-p-2\lambda} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \delta_o^p \|H(u)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \delta_o^p \|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}^p \right] \\
 & \leq C(n, p, \lambda, |\Omega|) \left[\delta_o^{-p-2\lambda} \|u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}^p + \delta_o^p \|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}^p \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

The thesis now follows from the equivalence of norms as in [20, p. 25]. \square

3. Weighted Miranda-Talenti inequality

Before proving a weighted version of the Miranda-Talenti inequality we will premise some useful propositions.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $u \in W_o^{2,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\Delta u \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$. Then $H(u) \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ and there exists a constant $C = C(n, p, \lambda) > 0$ such that*

$$(12) \quad \|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \leq C \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}.$$

PROOF: We will proceed as in the proof of Proposition 3, p. 57 from [32].

Denoted by $R_j(v)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, the j -th Riesz transform of a function $v \in C_o^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [32, pp. 57 and 68]). By a density argument and Theorem 3, p. 39 from [32] we get the identity

$$(13) \quad H(u) = -R_i(R_j(\Delta u)), \quad \forall u \in W_o^{2,p}(\Omega).$$

If we now extend Δu to the whole \mathbb{R}^n by setting $\Delta u = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$, the thesis is then an immediate consequence of (13), the properties of the kernel of the Riesz transform (see also [34, pp. 220 and 243]) and the weighted L^p inequality from [9, p. 244] (see also [25] and [31]).

Namely we have

$$\|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \leq C \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = C \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}.$$

\square

The above proposition allows us to prove the following interior estimate.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\Delta u \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$. Then, for any domains $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega'' \subset\subset \Omega$, $H(u) \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega')$ and there exists a constant $C = C(n, p, \lambda, \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega'')) > 0$ such that*

$$(14) \quad \|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega')} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega'')} + \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \right).$$

PROOF: Suppose $0 < \lambda < n$ (if $\lambda = 0$ see e.g. Theorem 9.11 from [15]).

Let $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega'' \subset\subset \Omega$, $0 < R \leq \text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega'')$; set $B_R \equiv B(y_o, R)$, $y_o \in \overline{\Omega'}$ and, for $\sigma \in]0, 1[$, let us introduce a cutoff function $\eta \in C_o^2(B_R)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \eta \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in B_R \\ \eta &= 1 \quad \text{in } B_{\sigma R} \\ \eta &= 0 \quad \text{for } |x - y_o| \geq \sigma' R, \quad \sigma' = \frac{1 + \sigma}{2} \\ |D\eta| &\leq \frac{4}{(1 - \sigma)R}, \quad |H(\eta)| \leq \frac{16}{(1 - \sigma)^2 R^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, if $v = \eta u$ we also have $v \in W_o^{2,p}(B_R)$. We want to prove that $\Delta v \in N^{p,\lambda}(B_R)$.

As a matter of fact, being $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$, one obtains $u, Du \in N^{p,\mu}(\Omega)$ for some $\mu > 0$ ⁽⁴⁾. Thus, since $\Delta u \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ it follows $\Delta v \in N^{p,\mu}(B_R)$ for some $\mu \in]0, \lambda]$.

Let us suppose $\mu \in]0, \lambda[$.

In this case the previous observations together with Proposition 3.1 imply $H(v) \in N^{p,\mu}(B_R)$ and thus $H(u) \in N^{p,\mu}(B_{\sigma R})$, $\mu \in]0, \lambda[$.

Starting now from the fact that $u \in W^{2,p,(\mu)}(B_{\sigma R})$ and repeating the above argument we get $u, Du \in N^{p,\mu_1}(B_{\sigma R})$, for some $\mu_1 \in]\mu, \lambda]$ ⁽⁴⁾, and $\Delta v \in N^{p,\mu_1}(B_R)$.

If still $\mu_1 \neq \lambda$ we iterate a finite number of times the previous procedure up obtaining $\Delta v \in N^{p,\lambda}(B_R)$.

Thus another application of Proposition 3.1 gives

$$H(v) \in N^{p,\lambda}(B_R) \Rightarrow H(u) \in N^{p,\lambda}(B_{\sigma R})$$

and

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_{\sigma R})} &= \|H(v)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_R)} \leq C \|\Delta v\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_R)} \\ &\leq C \left[\frac{1}{(1 - \sigma)^2 R^2} \|u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_R)} + \frac{1}{(1 - \sigma)R} \|Du\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_{\sigma' R})} + \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_R)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding now as in the proof of Theorem 9.11 from [15] and taking into account Proposition 2.5, we then obtain, for $\sigma = 1/2$,

$$\|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_{R/2})} \leq \frac{C}{R^2} \left[\|u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_R)} + R^2 \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_R)} \right].$$

⁴ Using Sobolev and Hölder inequalities and Proposition 2.2.

The required estimate follows once more from the above one by covering Ω' with a finite number of balls of radius $R/2$. \square

In order to extend Theorem 3.1 to the boundary $\partial\Omega$ we first consider the case of a flat boundary portion.

If $y_o \equiv (y_{o1}, \dots, y_{on-1}, 0)$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} B_R^+ &= (B(y_o, R))^+ = B(y_o, R) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n \\ &= B(y_o, R) \cap \{x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $u \in W^{2,p}(B_1^+)$, $u = 0$ on $B_1 \cap \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$, such that $\Delta u \in N^{p,\lambda}(B_1^+)$. Then, for every $R \in]0, 1[$, $H(u) \in N^{p,\lambda}(B_R^+)$ and there exists a constant $C = C(n, p, \lambda) > 0$ such that*

$$(16) \quad \|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_R^+)} \leq C \left[\|u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_1^+)} + \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_1^+)} \right].$$

PROOF: We extend u and the weight $\nu_{x_o}(x) = |x - x_o|^{-\lambda}$, $x_o \in B_1^+$, to all of B_1 (see [2, Lemma IX.2]) by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nu}_{x_o}(x', x_n) &= \begin{cases} \nu_{x_o}(x', x_n) & \text{for } (x', x_n) \in B_1^+ \\ \nu_{x_o}(x', -x_n) & \text{for } (x', -x_n) \in B_1 \setminus B_1^+, \end{cases} \\ \tilde{u}(x', x_n) &= \begin{cases} u(x', x_n) & \text{for } (x', x_n) \in B_1^+ \\ 0 & \text{for } (x', x_n) \in B_1 \cap \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \\ u(x', -x_n) & \text{for } (x', -x_n) \in B_1^+. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It can be readily checked that the function $\tilde{u} \in W^{2,p}(B_1)$ and moreover

$$\|\Delta \tilde{u}\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_1)} \leq C \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_1^+)} < +\infty.$$

Arguing as in the previous theorem, for $R \in]0, 1[$, let us introduce a cutoff function $\eta \in C_o^2(B_1)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \eta \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in B_1 \\ \eta &= 1 \quad \text{in } B_R \\ \eta &= 0 \quad \text{for } |x - y_o| \geq R', \quad R' = \frac{1+R}{2} \\ |D\eta| &\leq \frac{4}{(1-R)}, \quad |H(\eta)| \leq \frac{16}{(1-R)^2} \end{aligned}$$

and consider the function $v = \eta\tilde{u} \in W_o^{2,p}(B_1)$.

Then, since $\Delta v \in N^{p,\lambda}(B_1)$, we have $H(\tilde{u}) \in N^{p,\lambda}(B_R)$ and

$$\|H(\tilde{u})\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_R)} \leq C \left[\|\tilde{u}\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_1)} + \|\Delta \tilde{u}\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_1)} \right].$$

The estimate (16) follows now in the standard way:

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_R^+)} &\leq \|H(\tilde{u})\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_R)} \\ &\leq C \left[\|\tilde{u}\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_1)} + \|\Delta \tilde{u}\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_1)} \right] \\ &\leq C \left[\|u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_1^+)} + \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_1^+)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

With the aid of the previous propositions we derive a global estimate.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $u \in W^{2,p} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\Delta u \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$. Then $H(u) \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ and there exists a constant $C = C(n, p, \lambda, \partial\Omega) > 0$ such that*

$$(17) \quad \|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} + \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \right).$$

PROOF: Since $\partial\Omega \in C^2$, for each point $y_o \in \partial\Omega$ there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_{y_o}$ and a corresponding diffeomorphism $\psi = \psi_{y_o}$ from \mathcal{N} onto the unit ball $B = B(0, 1)$ in \mathbb{R}^n such that

- (i) $\psi \in C^2(\mathcal{N})$, $\psi^{-1} \in C^2(B)$,
- (ii) $\psi(\mathcal{N} \cap \Omega) = B^+$,
- (iii) $\psi(\mathcal{N} \cap \partial\Omega) = B \cap \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$.

Writing

$$\tilde{u}(x) = u(\psi(x)), \quad x \in \mathcal{N}$$

we have $\tilde{u} \in W^{2,p}(B^+)$, $\Delta \tilde{u} \in N^{p,\lambda}(B^+)$ and $\tilde{u} = 0$ on $B \cap \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n$.

By Proposition 3.2 we thus obtain the estimate

$$\|H(\tilde{u})\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_R^+)} \leq C \left[\|\tilde{u}\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_1)} + \|\Delta \tilde{u}\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(B_1)} \right], \quad R \in]0, 1[.$$

Taking $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{y_o} = \psi^{-1}(B_{R/2})$ and returning back to our original coordinates, we obtain

$$\|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\tilde{\mathcal{N}})} \leq C \left[\|u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\mathcal{N})} + \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\mathcal{N})} \right].$$

Finally, by covering $\partial\Omega$ with a finite number of such neighborhoods $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ and using also the interior estimate (14) we obtain the thesis. □

The following inequality of Miranda-Talenti type holds (see Talenti [35], Grisvard [18, Section 2.3] and also Gilbarg, Trudinger [15, Chapter 9]).

Theorem 3.2. *There exists a constant $C_{MT} = C_{MT}(n, p, \lambda, \partial\Omega) > 0$ such that, for any $u \in W^{2,p,(\lambda)} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (5), we have*

$$(18) \quad \|u\|_{W^{2,p,(\lambda)} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{MT} \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}.$$

PROOF: Since $N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$ (6) the Laplace operator

$$\Delta : W^{2,p} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$$

is a bijection. Moreover, by virtue of Proposition 3.3

$$\Delta : W^{2,p,(\lambda)} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega),$$

is also a bijection.

On the other hand, being

$$\|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{W^{2,p,(\lambda)} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)},$$

it follows that

$$\Delta : W^{2,p,(\lambda)} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$$

is continuous and thus, by the “open mapping” Theorem, also Δ^{-1} is continuous, i.e.

$$\|\Delta^{-1}(\Delta u)\|_{W^{2,p,(\lambda)} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{MT} \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}.$$

□

4. Applications to elliptic equations

Let us now consider the question of existence and uniqueness in $W^{2,p,(\lambda)} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of the solution to the Dirichlet problem:

$$(19) \quad \begin{cases} E(u) \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = f(x) \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The structural hypotheses on the operator E (see Cordes [10], [11], [12], Talenti [35], Giusti [16], Campanato, Cannarsa [8], Campanato [6] and also Guglielmino [19], Nicolosi [28]) are:

⁵ Due to inequality (11) we can equip $W^{2,p,(\lambda)} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with the norm (3).

⁶ See Proposition 2.1.

- (a) $a_{ij}(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $a_{ij}(x) = a_{ji}(x)$ $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$;
 (b) (Strong ellipticity condition) there exists a constant $\nu > 0$ such that

$$(20) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \nu |\xi|^2 \quad \text{a.a. } x \in \Omega, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n;$$

- (c) (Cordes-type condition) there exists a constant $K \in [0, 1[$ such that

$$(21) \quad \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}(x))^2}{\sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x))^2} \geq n - \frac{K^2}{C_{MT}^2} \quad \text{a.a. } x \in \Omega.$$

Existence-uniqueness of the solution in the space $W^{2,2} \cap W_o^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and regularity of its second derivatives in the classical Morrey space $L^{2,\lambda}(\Omega)$ for such a class of elliptic equations have been studied respectively by Talenti [35] and by Talenti [36], Giusti [16], [17]; while in the case of a generic $p \in]1, +\infty[$, as far as the author is aware, until now only existence-uniqueness of the solution in the space $W^{2,p} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ have been studied by Pucci [29] and Campanato [4], [5], [6] (see also Pucci, Talenti [30]).

It is our aim to prove global regularity in $N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ of the second derivatives of the solution to the problem (19).

Before proving the above stated result we will premise some remarks.

Remark 4.1. Hypothesis (20) implies that

$$(22) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}(x) \geq n \nu.$$

Moreover, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we infer

$$(23) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \delta_{ij} \leq \sqrt{n} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x))^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The above two inequalities yield

$$(24) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x))^2 \geq n \nu^2.$$

From (a), (22), (23) and (24) we deduce that the function

$$(25) \quad a(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}(x)}{\sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x))^2}$$

is measurable, strictly positive and bounded a.e. in Ω (⁷) (see also Giusti [16, p. 368] and Campanato, Cannarsa [8, pp. 1378–1379]).

Now, using the Lax-Milgram type Theorem of [21] (see also Campanato [5], [6], [7]) we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ and let conditions (a),(b),(c) be satisfied. Then there exists a unique solution u of the problem*

$$(26) \quad \begin{cases} u \in W^{2,p,\lambda} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = f(x). \end{cases}$$

Moreover we have the estimate

$$(27) \quad \|u\|_{W^{2,p,\lambda} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_{MT}}{\nu(1-K)} \|f\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}.$$

PROOF: Fixed $f \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$, let us observe that, by virtue of Remark 4.1, problem (26) is equivalent to problem

$$(28) \quad \begin{cases} u \in W^{2,p,\lambda} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ A(u) \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n a(x) a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = a(x) f(x). \end{cases}$$

We will prove that the operator A is “near” by the Laplace operator

$$\Delta : W^{2,p,\lambda} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega).$$

⁷ By (23) and (24) we get

$$a(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}(x)}{(\sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x))^2)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{(\sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x))^2)^{1/2}} \leq \frac{1}{\nu}.$$

In fact, for any $u \in W^{2,p,\lambda} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we have
(29)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \Delta u - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a(x) a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\delta_{ij} - a(x) a_{ij}(x)) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \\
&\leq \left\| \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n (\delta_{ij} - a(x) a_{ij}(x))^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \\
&= \left\| \left[n - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n a(x) a_{ii}(x) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a(x) a_{ij}(x))^2 \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \\
&= \left\| \left[n - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}(x) \right)^2}{\sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij}(x))^2} \right]^{1/2} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \\
&\leq \frac{K}{C_{MT}} \|H(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have exploited Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, the definition of $a(x)$ and hypotheses (a), (21).

From (29) and (18) we deduce

$$(30) \quad \|\Delta u - A(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \leq K \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}.$$

Thus from the Theorem in [21] it follows that there exists a unique $u \in W^{2,p,\lambda} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega)$ which satisfies equation (26).

To prove the required estimate for the solution u we will argue in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
(31) \quad \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} &\leq \|\Delta u - A(u)\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \\
&\quad + \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n a(x) a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \\
&\leq K \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} + 1/\nu \|f\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows

$$(32) \quad \|\Delta u\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{\nu(1-K)} \|f\|_{N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}.$$

Combining together (18) and (32) we get (27). \square

Corollary 4.1. *Let the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 be satisfied.*

- (i) *If $1 < p \leq n$, $n - p < \lambda < n$, then $Du \in C^{0,\mu}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\mu = 1 - \frac{n-\lambda}{p}$;*
- (ii) *if $p > n$, $0 \leq \lambda < n$, then $Du \in C^{0,\mu}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\mu = 1 - \frac{n}{p}$.*

Remark 4.2. Given a function $\psi \in W^{2,p,(\lambda)}(\Omega)$, the result of Theorem 4.1 can be readily extended to the nonhomogeneous Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} u \in W^{2,p,(\lambda)}(\Omega) \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = f(x) \in N^{p,\lambda}(\Omega) \\ u - \psi \in W^{2,p,(\lambda)} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

just observing that the previous problem is equivalent to the following one

$$\begin{cases} w \in W^{2,p,(\lambda)} \cap W_o^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = f(x) - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.3. Let us consider the fully nonlinear second order elliptic operator of “quasi-basic” type

$$A(u) = a(x, H(u))$$

where

$$x \in \Omega, \quad u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \quad (N \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$a(x, \xi)$ is a vector of \mathbb{R}^N , measurable in x and continuous in ξ such that $a(x, 0) = 0$, elliptic in the sense of the definition (A_q) of Campanato [6], i.e. there exist three constants α, γ, δ , with $\gamma + \delta < 1$, such that $\forall x \in \Omega$ and $\forall \xi, \tau \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2 N}$

$$\left\| \sum_i \xi_{ii} - \frac{\alpha}{C(q)} [a(x, \xi + \tau) - a(x, \tau)] \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^N} \leq \frac{\gamma^{q+1}}{C(q)} \|\xi\| + \delta^{\frac{q+1}{q}} \left\| \sum_i \xi_{ii} \right\| \quad (8)$$

With a few formal adjustments the above result can as well be extended to quasi-basic operators just substituting the constant $C(q)$ by the constant C_{MT} from Theorem 3.2.

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to thank Professors F. Guglielmino, J. Nečas, F. Nicolosi and E.M. Stein for their interest in this work.

⁸ $C(q)$ is the constant of the unweighted (i.e. $\lambda = 0$) Miranda-Talenti inequality.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adams R.A., *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press Inc., Orlando, 1977.
- [2] Brezis H., *Analisi Funzionale*, Liguori Editore, Napoli, 1986.
- [3] Campanato S., *Maggiorazioni interpolatorie negli spazi $H_\lambda^{m,p}(\Omega)$* , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. Ser. IV **LXXV** (1967), 261–276.
- [4] Campanato S., *Un risultato relativo ad equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine di tipo non variazionale*, Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa (III) **XXI** Fasc. IV (1967), 701–707.
- [5] Campanato S., *Non variational differential systems. A condition for local existence and uniqueness*, Proceedings of the Caccioppoli Conference (1989), Ricerche di Matem., Suppl., **XL** (1991), 129–140.
- [6] Campanato S., *On the condition of nearness between operators*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. Ser. IV **CLXVII** (1994), 243–256.
- [7] Campanato S., *Attuale formulazione della teoria degli operatori vicini e attuale definizione di operatore ellittico*, Le Matematiche **LI** (1996), no. 2, 291–298.
- [8] Campanato S., Cannarsa P., *Second order nonvariational elliptic systems*, Bollettino U.M.I. (5) **17-B** (1980), 1365–1394.
- [9] Coifman R.R., Fefferman C., *Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals*, Studia Math. **51** (1974), 241–250.
- [10] Cordes H.O., *Über die erste Rundwertaufgabe bei quasi linearen Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung in mehr als zwei Variablen* Math. Ann. **131** (1956), 278–312.
- [11] Cordes H.O., *Vereinfachter Beweis der Existenz einer Apriori-Holderkonstanten*, Math. Ann. **138** (1959), 155–178.
- [12] Cordes H.O., *Zero order a priori estimates for solution of elliptic differential equations*, Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math. IV, pp. 157–166, 1961.
- [13] Garcia-Cuerva J., Rubio de Francia J.L., *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North Holland Math. Studies 116, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [14] Giaquinta M., *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations and Nonlinear Elliptic Systems*, Annals of Math. Studies, vol. 105 Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1983.
- [15] Gilbarg D., Trudinger N.S., *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Second Edit., Springer Verlag, 1983.
- [16] Giusti E., *Sulla regolarità delle soluzioni di una classe di equazioni ellittiche*, Rend. Sem. Matem. Univ. Padova **XXXIX** (1967), 362–375.
- [17] Giusti E., *Equazioni Ellittiche del Secondo Ordine*, Pitagora Editrice, Bologna, 1978.
- [18] Grisvard P., *Elliptic Problems in Nonsmooth Domains*, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics **24**, Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, 1985.
- [19] Guglielmino F., *Nuovi contributi allo studio delle equazioni paraboliche del secondo ordine di tipo non variazionale*, Ricerche di Matem. **14** (1965), 124–144.
- [20] Koshelev A.I., *Regularity Problem for Quasilinear Elliptic and Parabolic Systems*, Springer Verlag, 1995.
- [21] Leonardi S., *On the Campanato nearness condition*, Le Matematiche **48.1** (1993), 179–181.
- [22] Leonardi S., *Remarks on the Regularity of Solutions of Elliptic Systems*, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, pp. 325–344, New York, 1999.
- [23] Miranda C., *Istituzioni di Analisi Funzionale Lineare*, U.M.I. 1978.
- [24] Muckenhoupt B., *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **165** (1972), 207–226.
- [25] Muckenhoupt B., Whedeen R.L., *Weighted norm inequalities for singular and fractional integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **161** (1971), 249–258.
- [26] Murthy M.K.V., Stampacchia G., *Boundary value problems for some degenerate-elliptic operators*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. Ser. IV **80** (1968), 1–122.

- [27] Nečas J., *On the Regularity of Weak Solutions to Nonlinear Elliptic Systems of Partial Differential Equations*, Lectures at Scuola Normale Sup. Pisa, 1979.
- [28] Nicolosi F., *Problemi parabolici in più variabili*, Le Matematiche **XXVII** (1972), no. 1, 153–166.
- [29] Pucci C., *Equazioni ellittiche con soluzioni in $W^{2,p}$, $p < 2$* , Convegno sulle Equaz. alle Derivate Parziali, pp. 145–148, Bologna, 1967.
- [30] Pucci C., Talenti G., *Elliptic (second order) partial differential equations with measurable coefficients and approximating integral equations*, Adv. Math. **19** (1976), no. 1, 48–105.
- [31] Stein E.M., *Note on singular integrals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 250–254.
- [32] Stein E.M., *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.
- [33] Stein E.M., *Harmonic Analysis: Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.
- [34] Stein E.M., Weiss G., *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1971.
- [35] Talenti G., *Sopra una classe di equazioni ellittiche a coefficienti misurabili*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **LXIX** (1965), 285–304.
- [36] Talenti G., *Equazioni lineari ellittiche in due variabili*, Le Matematiche **XXI** (1966), 339–376.
- [37] Torchinsky A., *Real-variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis*, Academic Press Inc., Orlando, 1986.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA ED INFORMATICA, VIALE A. DORIA 6, 95125 CATANIA, ITALY
E-mail: leonardi@dmi.unict.it

(Received May 2, 2001, revised November 1, 2001)