

Raushan Z. Buzyakova

On clopen sets in Cartesian products

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 42 (2001), No. 2, 357--362

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119250>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

On clopen sets in Cartesian products

RAUSHAN Z. BUZYAKOVA

Abstract. The results concern clopen sets in products of topological spaces. It is shown that a clopen subset of the product of two separable metrizable (or locally compact) spaces is not always a union of clopen boxes. It is also proved that any clopen subset of the product of two spaces, one of which is compact, can always be represented as a union of clopen boxes.

Keywords: clopen set, clopen box, Cartesian product of spaces

Classification: 54B10, 54B15, 55M10

In notation and terminology we will follow [ENG]. In particular, a set A is *clopen* in a space X if it is closed and open in X . A *clopen box* in a space $X \times Y$ is a clopen subset of the form $U \times V$, where U and V are clopen subsets of X and Y , respectively. It is known that a subset of the Cartesian product of two spaces is open if and only if it can be represented as a union of open boxes. When the same is true for clopen subsets?

§1. Example 1

The following question was first formulated by Alexander Shostak at the beginning of 90's. He posed it in his talk at a seminar on General Topology at Moscow University. Independently, Andrej Bauer asked the same question, motivated by some problems in Computer Science on which he was working on.

Question 1 (A. Bauer and A. Shostak). *Is it always true that any clopen subset of the Cartesian product of two spaces can be represented as a union of clopen boxes?*

Bauer also noticed the following interpretation of Question 1.

For a topological space X let $z(X)$ be its zero-dimensional reflection, i. e., the same underlying set X but with topology generated by the collection of all clopen subsets of X . *Does zero-dimensional reflection commute with product operation?*

Motivation of Shostak for the question was purely topological. He considered the following property P of a space X : *every covering of X by clopen subsets contains a finite subcovering*. Shostak wanted to know if this property is preserved by finite products. Clearly, if the answer to Question 1 were affirmative then the answer to the question about productivity would be positive as well. Later, several

counterexamples to the latter question were found (see [STE], [SHO], and [SaS]). That provides counterexamples to Question 1. However, the spaces involved in those counterexamples are of large cardinality, non-metrizable, and non-locally compact. So, it would be interesting to restrict Question 1 to separable metrizable spaces or to spaces with strong compactness-type properties.

Question 2 (A. Bauer and A. Shostak). *Is it always true that any clopen subset of the Cartesian product of two separable metrizable spaces can be represented as a union of clopen boxes?*

Example 1. *There exist two spaces, X (a locally compact subspace of \mathbb{R}^2) and Z (a countable G_δ -subspace of \mathbb{R}), whose product contains a clopen set that cannot be represented as a union of clopen boxes.*

Construction of X .

Let X_1 be a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 consisting of the ray $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y = 0, x \geq 1\}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, take the sequence $S_n = \{(n, 1/k) : k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}\}$ that converges to $(n, 0)$. Put $X = X_1 \cup (\bigcup\{S_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$. The topology in X is inherited from \mathbb{R}^2 . For further reference, let O_n^k be a fixed neighborhood of $(n, 0)$ of radius $1/k$.

Construction of Z .

Let $Z = \{0\} \cup \{K_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}\}$, where $K_n = \{a_k^n : a_k^n = 1/n + (1/(n - 1) - 1/n)/2^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. That is, K_n is a sequence of numbers converging to $1/n$ and lying in between $1/n$ and $1/(n - 1)$. So, Z is a countable metrizable non-compact space with only one non-isolated point 0.

The space $X \times Z$ is the space we are looking for.

Indeed, $X \times Z$ contains a clopen set A that cannot be represented as a union of clopen boxes.

Construction of A .

Let A_1 be the union of all copies of the connected part X_1 of X in $X \times Z$. That is,

$$A_1 = X_1 \times Z.$$

A_1 is closed in $X \times Z$ but not open since points of the form $(n, 0, z)$ are on the boundary. Let us first supply points $(n, 0, 0)$ with their neighborhoods. Let $U(n, 0, 0) = O_n^1 \times (Z \setminus \bigcup\{K_l : l < n\})$. So, the sets $U(n, 0, 0)$'s form together a staircase.

Let $A_2 = A_1 \cup (\bigcup\{U(n, 0, 0) : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$. The set A_2 is still not clopen since points $(n, 0, z)$, where $z \in K_l$ for $l < n$, are on the boundary. Let us supply these points with neighborhoods.

Consider $K_l = \{a_k^l : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ (see the definition of Z). For each $a_k^l \in K_l$, let $U(n, 0, a_k^l) = O_n^k \times \{a_k^l\}$. That is, $U(n, 0, a_k^l)$ is the neighborhood of $(n, 0)$ of radius $1/k$ on level $X \times \{a_k^l\}$.

Put

$$A = A_2 \cup \left(\bigcup \{U(n, 0, a_k^l) : n, l, k \in \mathbb{N}\} \right).$$

A is the set we need.

The set A is open for the following reasons:

1. Every point of form (x, y, z) , where x is not a natural number, is in A with an open neighborhood since $(X_1 \setminus \{(n, 0) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \times Z$ is open, is a subset of A , and contains all points of this form. (That is, the ray X_1 is in A on each level).
2. All other points in A are either in $U(n, 0, 0)$ or in $U(n, 0, a_k^l)$.

The set A is closed for the following reasons:

1. The closure of A is the union of the closures of the traces of A on each level $X \times \{z\}$, where z is in Z , since $X \times \{0\}$ is the only non-isolated level in our product and it is entirely in A .
2. On each discrete level $X \times \{a_k^l\}$, where a_k^l is in K_l (constructed for Z), the complement of A is a collection of isolated points, and therefore, open. So, the trace of A on each level is closed.

Thus, A is clopen. Now, let us prove that A cannot be represented as a union of clopen sets of the form $U \times V$. Indeed, any clopen neighborhood of the point $(1, 0, 0)$ of the form $U \times V$ in $X \times Z$ must contain the entire ray X_1 on 0 's level (that is, set $X_1 \times \{0\}$) because of connectedness. And therefore, any clopen box of $(1, 0, 0)$ in $X \times Z$ must contain a set of the form

$$\bigcup \{O_n^k : O_n^k \text{ is one of fixed neighborhoods of } (n, 0), n \in \mathbb{N}\} \times (Z \setminus \bigcup \{K_l : l < m, \text{ for some fixed } m \in \mathbb{N}\}),$$

that is, a set obtained by multiplying the union of neighborhoods of $(n, 0)$'s by the space Z without a finite number of K_l 's.

(This is shown by an obvious connectedness argument).

But this is impossible, since for each n , $O_n^k \times (Z \setminus \bigcup \{K_l : l < m\})$, where m is fixed, is contained in A only when $n \leq m$.

Note. There is nothing special about $(1, 0, 0)$. You can take any point that lies in the connected part of $X \times \{0\}$.

§2. Arhangel'skii's observations

Example 1 gives us a negative answer to Question 2. However, it would be interesting to find some conditions under which a clopen set in a product is always a union of clopen boxes. Arhangel'skii noticed that the following theorem holds.

Theorem 1. *Let X and Y be topological spaces and let X be compact. Then any clopen subset of $X \times Y$ can be represented as a union of clopen boxes.*

This fact is a direct consequence of Theorem 2 proved below.

The next statement is well known and easy to prove (see Lemma 3.1.15 in [ENG]):

Lemma 1. *Suppose F is a compact subspace of a space Y , x is a point of a space X , and W is an open subset of the product space $X \times Y$ such that $\{x\} \times F \subset W$. Then there exists an open subset V in X such that $x \in V$ and $V \times F \subset W$.*

Now we apply Lemma 1 to prove the next statement:

Lemma 2. *Suppose F is a compact subspace of a space Y , X a space, and W an open and closed subset of the product space $X \times Y$. Then the set $U_F = \{x \in X : \{x\} \times F \subset W\}$ is an open and closed subset of X .*

PROOF: Indeed, it is immediate from Lemma 1 that U_F is open in X . Now take any $x \in \overline{U_F}$. By the definition of U_F , we have $U_F \times F \subset W$. Therefore,

$$\{x\} \times F \subset \overline{U_F} \times F \subset \overline{U_F \times F} \subset \overline{W} = W,$$

which implies that $x \in U_F$. Hence, the set U_F is closed. \square

Theorem 2. *Suppose Y is a compact space, X is a space, W an open and closed subset of the product space $X \times Y$, and (a, b) a point in W . Then there exist an open and closed subset U in X and an open and closed subset V in Y such that $(a, b) \in U \times V \subset W$.*

PROOF: Put $F = \{y \in Y : (a, y) \in W\}$. Since W is closed, the set F is closed in Y and therefore, compact. Besides, F is open, since W is open. We also have $b \in F$ and $\{b\} \times F \subset W$. From Lemma 2 it follows that the set $U_F = \{x \in X : \{x\} \times F \subset W\}$ is an open and closed subset of X . Clearly, $a \in U_F$ and $(a, b) \in U_F \times F \subset W$. Thus, $U = U_F$ and $V = F$ are the sets we are looking for. \square

Remark. Theorem 2 obviously generalizes to the case when Y is any space satisfying the following condition:

(lc) For each $y \in Y$ there exists an open and closed subset V of Y such that the subspace V is compact.

§3. Example 2

Since in Example 1 X is locally compact, compactness of X is important in Theorem 1. However, a natural question arises.

Question 3 (M. Reed). *Let X and Y be locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Is it true that any clopen subset of $X \times Y$ can be represented as a union of clopen boxes?*

Example 2. *There exist two locally compact Hausdorff spaces X and Y whose product contains a clopen subset that cannot be represented as a union of clopen boxes.*

Let X and Z be spaces from Example 1.

Let $Y_1 = \bigcup\{N_n : N_n \text{ is a copy of } \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with discrete topology. Consider $Y_2 = \beta Y_1 \setminus [\bigcup\{\beta N_n \setminus N_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}]_{\beta Y_1}$. That is, Y_2 is obtained from βY_1 by removing the closure of the union of the remainders of N_n 's.

The space Y_2 is locally compact (since it is obtained from a compactum by removing a closed subset) and satisfies the following property.

(0) *Any clopen neighborhood of closed set $Y_2 \setminus Y_1$ contains almost all N_n 's except maybe finite number of them.* (Proof: any sequence of elements $\{a_n \in N_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and the set $\bigcup\{\beta N_n \setminus N_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are disjoint closed subsets of σ -compact space $\bigcup\{\beta N_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Therefore, their closures in Stone-Ćech compactification (which is βY_1) do not intersect. So the remainder of any sequence $\{a_n \in N_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ lies entirely in $Y_2 \setminus Y_1$.)

The space Y_2 is almost what we need, but we want $Y_2 \setminus Y_1$ to live in a connected component. To achieve this, let us again consider βY_1 , take the cone over $\beta Y_1 \setminus \bigcup\{\beta N_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and denote the resulting space by Y_3 . Now, the space

$$Y = Y_3 \setminus [\bigcup\{\beta N_n \setminus N_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}]_{\beta Y_1}$$

is the space we need. The space Y has the following properties:

- (1) *Y consists of closed discrete sets N_n 's and a connected component $Y \setminus Y_1$ (by construction);*
- (2) *Y is locally compact (since obtained from a compactum by removing a closed subset);*
- (3) *any neighborhood of $Y \setminus Y_1$ contains almost all N_n 's except maybe finite number of them (see property (0)).*

Let Y^* be a quotient space of Y under a partition whose only non-trivial element is the connected component $Y \setminus Y_1$. And let $p : Y \rightarrow Y^*$ be a quotient map. The map p and space Y^* have the following properties.

(4) Y^* is homeomorphic to Z (Z is the space in Example 1). This follows from properties (1), (3), and from construction of Z .

(5) For any clopen subset U of Y , $p(U)$ is clopen in Y^* . (It follows from the definition of p and the fact that any clopen set that intersect $Y \setminus Y_1$ must contain $Y \setminus Y_1$ (due to connectedness)).

Now, X and Y are both locally compact. Let us prove that $X \times Y$ contains a clopen set that cannot be represented as a union of clopen boxes. Let $f = i \times p : X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Z$ be the product of the identity map $i : X \rightarrow X$ and the quotient map $p : Y \rightarrow Z$ (see property (5)). The map f satisfies the following property.

(6) Image of any clopen box in $X \times Y$ under f is a clopen box in $X \times Z$ (follows from the definition of product maps, property (5), and the fact that i is identity).

Let A be a clopen subset of $X \times Z$ that cannot be represented as a union of clopen boxes (we constructed such a set in Example 2). Consider $f^{-1}(A)$ in $X \times Y$. By continuity of f , $f^{-1}(A)$ is clopen in $X \times Y$ and, by property (6), $f^{-1}(A)$ cannot be represented as a union of clopen boxes.

The space Y in our example is not metrizable. Can we make it metrizable? The following theorem shows that it is impossible.

Theorem 3 (Kenneth Kunen). *Suppose X and Y are both locally compact Hausdorff and paracompact. Then any clopen subset of $X \times Y$ is a union of clopen boxes.*

REFERENCES

- [ARH] Arhangel'skii A., *private communications*, 1999.
- [BAU] Bauer A., *private communications*, 2000.
- [ENG] Engelking R., *General Topology*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics 6, Heldermann, Berlin, revised ed., 1989.
- [KUN] Kunen K., *private communications*, 2000.
- [STE] Stephenson R.M., *Product space and the Stone-Weierstrass theorem*, *General Topology Appl.* **3** (1973), 77–79.
- [SHO] Shostak A., *On a class of spaces containing all bicomacts and all connected spaces*, in *General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra*, Proceeding of the Forth Prague Topological Symposium, Vol. B, 1976.
- [SaS] Steprans J., Shostak A., *Restricted compactness properties and their preservation under products*, *Topology Appl.* **11** (2000), 213–229.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCES, MORTON HALL 321,
ATHENS, OHIO 45701-2979, USA

E-mail: rbuzyako@bing.math.ohiou.edu

(Received June 5, 2000)