

N. Hayek; Benito J. González

The index ${}_2F_1$ -transform of generalized functions

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 34 (1993), No. 4, 657--671

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118623>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

The index ${}_2F_1$ -transform of generalized functions

N. HAYEK, B.J. GONZÁLEZ

Abstract. In this paper the index transformation

$$F(\tau) = \int_0^\infty f(t) {}_2F_1\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right) t^\alpha dt$$

${}_2F_1\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right)$ being the Gauss hypergeometric function, is defined on certain space of generalized functions and its inversion formula established for distributions of compact support on $\mathbf{I} = (0, \infty)$.

Keywords: hypergeometric function, index integral transform, generalized functions

Classification: 44A15, 46F12

1. Introduction.

The index ${}_2F_1$ -transform (see [6]) of a real valued function f is defined by:

$$(1.1) \quad F(\tau) = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) f(t) dt$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) = {}_2F_1\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right) t^\alpha$$

and ${}_2F_1\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right)$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function, α and μ are complex parameters and τ real.

In this paper, according to Zemanian [14], we introduce the testing function space $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ containing the kernel of the transform. As usual, $U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ denotes the dual space of $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$. The generalized index ${}_2F_1$ -transformation of $f \in U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ is defined by:

$${}_2\mathcal{F}_1(f) = F(\tau) = \langle f(t), \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) \rangle, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

An inversion formula on the space $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{I})$ is proved.

The notation and terminology used here is that of Zemanian [14]. In the following \mathbf{I} denotes the open interval $(0, \infty)$ and \mathbb{R}_+ the set of the positive real numbers. The spaces $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{I})$, $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{I})$, $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{I})$ and $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{I})$ have their usual meaning [11]. The parameter a is always in $[0, \frac{1}{2})$.

2. The testing function space and its dual.

Let $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ be the linear space of C^∞ -functions on \mathbf{I} according to:

$$U_{a,\mu,\alpha} = \{ \phi \in C^\infty : \gamma_{k,a,\mu,\alpha}(\phi) < \infty, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \}$$

where

$$(2.1) \quad \gamma_{k,a,\mu,\alpha}(\phi) = \sup_{0 < t < \infty} \left| (2t + 1)^a t^{\frac{\mu}{2} - \alpha} (t + 1)^{\frac{\mu}{2}} A_t^k \phi(t) \right|$$

A_t being the differential operator:

$$(2.2) \quad t^{\alpha - \mu} (t + 1)^{-\mu} D_t t^{\mu + 1} (t + 1)^{\mu + 1} D_t t^{-\alpha}$$

$U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ equipped with the topology arising from the family $\{ \gamma_{k,a,\mu,\alpha} \}$ of seminorms of which $\gamma_{0,a,\mu,\alpha}$ is a norm, is a countably multinormed, locally convex, Hausdorff space. By using a technique of Zemanian [14] it follows immediately that $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ is sequentially complete, i.e. a Fréchet space.

From the relation:

$$(2.3) \quad A_t \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) = - \left[\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right] \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t)$$

and by the asymptotic behavior of the hypergeometric function it follows that $\mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) \in U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$.

The dual space $U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ of $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ is a space of generalized functions. Equipped with the usual weak topology it is a separated multinormed space which is sequentially complete.

The assertions of the following proposition can be proved by using standard techniques (cf. [14]):

Proposition 2.1.

(i) $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{I})$ is a subspace of $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ and the topology of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{I})$ is stronger than that induced on it by $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$. Consequently, the restriction of any $f \in U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ to $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{I})$ is in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{I})$. $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{I})$ is not dense in $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$.

(ii) $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{I})$. Hence $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{I})$ is a subspace of $U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$.

(iii) For $f \in U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ there exists $C > 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that

$$|\langle f, \phi \rangle| \leq C \max_{0 \leq k \leq r} \gamma_{k,a,\mu,\alpha}(\phi)$$

for all $\phi \in U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$.

(iv) The differential operator A_t is a continuous linear mapping from $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ into $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$. Its adjoint operator A'_t maps $U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ continuously into $U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$.

(v) A locally integrable function f on \mathbf{I} such that

$$(2t + 1)^{-a} t^{\alpha - \frac{\mu}{2}} (t + 1)^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} f(t)$$

is absolutely integrable on \mathbf{I} , gives rise to a regular generalized function on $U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ with

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \int_0^\infty f(t) \phi(t) dt, \quad \phi \in U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$$

(vi) If $Re(2\alpha - \frac{\mu}{2}) > -1$ and $a + Re(\mu - \alpha) < -\frac{1}{2}$, $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ is contained in $U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$.

Lemma 2.1. For each compact subset \mathbb{K} contained in \mathbf{I} and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ let the seminorm $\gamma_{k,K}$ be defined by

$$\gamma_{k,K}(\phi) = \sup_{t \in K} \left| A_t^k \phi(t) \right|, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{I})$$

where A_t is defined by (2.2). Then, $\{\gamma_{k,K}\}$ gives rise to a topology in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{I})$ which coincides with its usual topology.

PROOF: From an inductive argument it can be proved that:

$$A_t^k \phi(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2k} t^{j-k} p_{j,k}(t) D_t^j \phi(t)$$

with

$$p_{2k,k}(t) = (t + 1)^k \quad \text{and} \quad p_{2k-1,k}(t) = k(t + 1)^{k-1} [\mu - 2\alpha + k + 2t(\mu - \alpha + k)]$$

$p_{j,k}(t)$ being polynomials of degree k , $0 \leq j \leq 2k$. Therefore, if a sequence $\{\phi_n(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{I})$ converges to zero in the usual topology on $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{I})$, then ϕ_n converges to zero in the topology generated from $\gamma_{k,K}$.

Conversely, let $\{\phi_n(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence on $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{I})$ converging to zero in the topology generated from $\gamma_{k,K}$. Obviously, $\phi_n(t)$ and $A_t \phi_n(t)$ tend to zero uniformly on every compact $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbf{I}$.

Moreover,

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} A_t \phi_n(t) &= t(t + 1) D_t^2 \phi_n(t) + [\mu - 2\alpha + 1 + 2t(\mu - \alpha + 1)] D_t \phi_n(t) + \\ &+ \left[\alpha(\alpha - 2\mu - 1) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - \mu)}{t} \right] \phi_n(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} A_t \phi_n(t) - \left[\alpha(\alpha - 2\mu - 1) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - \mu)}{t} \right] \phi_n(t) &= \\ = t(t + 1) D_t^2 \phi_n(t) + [\mu - 2\alpha + 1 + 2t(\mu - \alpha + 1)] D_t \phi_n(t) \end{aligned}$$

tends uniformly to zero on \mathbb{K} . Now, taking into account that (2.5) can be written as:

$$(2.6) \quad t^{2\alpha-\mu} (t + 1)^{-\mu} D_t \left[t^{\mu-2\alpha+1} (t + 1)^{\mu+1} D_t \phi_n(t) \right]$$

by an integration it follows that $D_t \phi_n(t)$ and also $D_t^2 \phi_n(t)$ tends to zero uniformly in \mathbb{K} . By a similar argument it is proved for every non negative integer k , that $D_t^k \phi_n(t)$ converges uniformly to zero in \mathbb{K} .

Finally, since $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{I})$ is a metrizable space, the conclusion follows. □

3. The generalized transform.

For $f \in U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ the generalized index ${}_2F_1$ -transform is defined by

$$(3.1) \quad {}_2\mathcal{F}_1(f) = F(\tau) = \langle f(t), \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) \rangle, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

For regular generalized functions this formula coincides with (1.1).

Proposition 3.1. *For all $f \in U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$, and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, one has:*

$${}_2\mathcal{F}_1(A'_t{}^k f) = (-1)^k \left[\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right]^k {}_2\mathcal{F}_1(f)$$

A'_t being the adjoint operator of A_t .

PROOF: By making use of the relation (2.3) the conclusion follows. □

Now, the analyticity of the index ${}_2F_1$ -transform will be established. For it, the next two lemmas are required.

Lemma 3.1. *For each non negative integer m and $Re\mu > -\frac{1}{2}$, one has:*

$$(3.2) \quad |D_\tau^m \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t)| \leq \\ \leq Mt^{Re\alpha} \left[\log \left(2t + 1 + 2\sqrt{t(t+1)} \right) \right]^m [t(t+1)]^{-Re\frac{\mu}{2}} P_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-Re\mu}(2t+1)$$

$P_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-Re\mu}$ being the well-known associated Legendre function.

PROOF: The integral representation ([1, p. 155]),

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) = \\ = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)t^\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\pi \left(2t+1+2\sqrt{t(t+1)}\cos\xi \right)^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}-i\tau} (\sin\xi)^{2\mu} d\xi$$

is valid for $Re\mu > -\frac{1}{2}$. Now, differentiating with respect to the parameter τ , (3.2) holds. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let μ be a complex parameter with $Re\mu > -\frac{1}{2}$ and k, m non negative integers. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that:*

$$(3.4) \quad \gamma_{k,a,\mu,\alpha}(D_\tau^m \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t)) \leq C \left| \left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right|^k.$$

PROOF: For $k = 0$, making use of the asymptotic behavior:

$$P_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-Re\mu}(2t+1) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{2}{\pi(2t+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(2t+1), \quad t \rightarrow \infty$$

(cf. [9, p. 173 (12.20)]), it follows from Lemma 3.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{0,a,\mu,\alpha}(D_\tau^m \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t)) &\leq \\ &\leq M_1 \sup_{0 < t < \infty} \left| (2t + 1)^a \left[\log \left(2t + 1 + 2\sqrt{t(t+1)} \right) \right]^m P_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-R_e\mu}(2t + 1) \right| \leq M_2 \end{aligned}$$

with $M_1, M_2 > 0$.

For $k > 0$, by using the commutativity of A_t^k and D_τ^m , (2.3) and Lemma 3.1, one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{k,a,\mu,\alpha}(D_\tau^m \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t)) &\leq \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} H_j \left| D_\tau^j \left[\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right]^k \right| \leq C \left| \left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right|^k \end{aligned}$$

$H_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and C being suitable constants. □

Theorem 3.1. For $f \in U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$, $R_e\mu > -\frac{1}{2}$, the generalized transform $F(\tau)$ defined by (3.1) is an analytic function and

$$(3.5) \quad D_\tau^m F(\tau) = \langle f(t), D_\tau^m \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) \rangle.$$

PROOF: By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 it follows that (3.5) has a sense. Moreover, set

$$\frac{F(\tau + \Delta\tau) - F(\tau)}{\Delta\tau} - \langle f(t), D_\tau \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) \rangle = \langle f(t), \Upsilon_{\Delta\tau}(t) \rangle$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad \Upsilon_{\Delta\tau}(t) &= \frac{1}{\Delta\tau} [\mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau + \Delta\tau, t) - \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t)] - D_\tau \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta\tau} \int_\tau^{\tau+\Delta\tau} dx \int_\tau^x D_y^2 \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, y, t) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (2.3), for any k non negative integer,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| (2t + 1)^a t^{\frac{\mu}{2} - \alpha} (t + 1)^{\frac{\mu}{2}} A_t^k \Upsilon_{\Delta\tau}(t) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{|\Delta\tau|}{2} \left| (2t + 1)^a t^{\frac{\mu}{2} - \alpha} (t + 1)^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \right| \sup_{y \in \Lambda} \left| D_y^2 \left[\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + y^2 \right]^k \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, y, t) \right| \end{aligned}$$

Λ being the interval $\tau - |\Delta\tau| < y < \tau + |\Delta\tau|$.

Now, by the boundedness on $0 < t < \infty$ of

$$\left| (2t + 1)^a t^{\frac{\mu}{2} - \alpha} (t + 1)^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \right| \sup_{y \in \Lambda} \left| D_y^2 \left[\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + y^2 \right]^k \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, y, t) \right|$$

for $|\Delta\tau| < 1$, it follows that $\Upsilon_{\Delta\tau}(t) \rightarrow 0$ in $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ as $\Delta\tau \rightarrow 0$. With this the proof is finished. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $F(\tau)$ be the generalized ${}_2F_1$ -transform of f given by (3.1). Then:*

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \text{(i) For } \tau \rightarrow 0, \text{ one has } F^{(m)}(\tau) = O(1), \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \\ \text{(ii) There exists a } p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \text{ such that } F(\tau) = O\left(\tau^{2p-R_e\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\right), \tau \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

PROOF: It follows immediately from (2.3), Proposition 2.1 (iii), and taking into account that:

$$|\mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t)| \leq Mt^{-\frac{1}{2}-R_e(\alpha+\mu)}(t+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}-R_e\frac{\mu}{2}}\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}-R_e\mu}, \quad \tau \rightarrow \infty$$

(cf. [10, (24), p. 231]).

4. Generalized inversion formula.

In this paragraph we state the main result of this work. For it we recall the definition of the $\mathcal{M}_{c,\gamma}^{-1}(L)$ spaces introduced in [13].

Let c and γ be real numbers such that $2 \operatorname{sgn} c + \operatorname{sgn} \gamma \geq 0$. The space of functions $f(x)$ which can be represented in the form of:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma} \rho(s)x^{-s} ds, \quad x \in (0, \infty), \quad \sigma = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : R_e s = \frac{1}{2}\}$$

where

$$\rho(s) = s^{-\gamma} e^{-c\pi|Im s|} F(s) \quad \text{with} \quad \int_{\sigma} |F(s)| ds < \infty,$$

is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{c,\gamma}^{-1}(L)$. Before giving the inversion theorem we need to prove the following lemmas:

Lemma 4.1. *If $2 \operatorname{sgn} (c + 1) + \operatorname{sgn} (\gamma - R_e\mu) > 0$, there exists the integral*

$$F(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\Gamma(\mu + 1)}{\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau)\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau)} \cdot \int_{\sigma} \frac{\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2} - \alpha + i\tau - s)\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2} - \alpha - i\tau - s)\Gamma(\alpha + s)}{\Gamma(1 + \mu - \alpha - s)} f^*(1 - s) ds$$

f^* being the Mellin transform of $f \in \mathcal{M}_{c,\gamma}^{-1}(L)$, $\alpha, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\sigma = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : R_e s = \frac{1}{2}\}$.

Moreover, if $R_e\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $R_e(\mu - \alpha) > 0$, then:

$$(4.2) \quad F(\tau) = \int_0^{\infty} f(t) \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) dt.$$

PROOF: From the asymptotic behavior of the Gamma function (see [1, p. 47]) and since $f \in \mathcal{M}_{c,\gamma}^{-1}(L)$ it follows the existence of the first integral.

On the other hand, if $Re\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $Re(\mu - \alpha) > 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t)t^{s-1} dt$$

converges absolutely $\forall s \in \sigma$.

Moreover, $f^* \in L(\sigma)$ and consequently:

$$\int_0^\infty f(t)\mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) dt \int_\sigma f^*(1-s)t^{s-1} ds.$$

Now the absolute convergence of this integral allows us to interchange the order of integration to obtain:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\sigma f^*(1-s) ds \int_0^\infty \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t)t^{s-1} dt$$

and the conclusion follows. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let α, μ and s be complex parameters with $Re\alpha > 0, Re\mu > 0, Re s = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8} < Re(\mu - \alpha) < \frac{1}{4}, Re(\mu - 2\alpha) < -1$. Then one has the following integral representation:*

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\mu + 1)} sh \pi\tau\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2} - \alpha + i\tau - s)\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2} - \alpha - i\tau - s)t^{\alpha-\mu}\mathbf{G}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) = \\ = \int_0^\infty z^{\mu-\alpha-s}C_\mu(tz) dz \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{2\theta(\mu-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}-s)} d\theta \int_{|\theta|}^\infty C_0(ze^\theta\Psi) \sin 2\tau u du$$

where $\Psi = 2 ch u - 2 ch \theta$ and

$$\mathbf{G}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) = x^{\mu-\alpha} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right).$$

Remark 4.1. C_μ denotes the Bessel-Clifford function of the first kind and order μ . This function is related with the Bessel function J_μ through $C_\mu(z) = z^{-\frac{\mu}{2}}J_\mu(2\sqrt{z})$ (see [4]).

PROOF: Let us consider the integral representation (cf. [7]):

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{2}{\pi}K_{2\tau i}(2\sqrt{z})K_{2\tau i}(2\sqrt{y}) sh 2\pi\tau = \\ = \int_{|\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{y}{z}|}^\infty C_0(2\sqrt{zy}chu - z - y) \sin 2\tau u du$$

and also that (cf. [12, p. 248] and [2, 10.2(2)] resp.)

$$\int_0^\infty K_{2\tau i}(2\sqrt{z})z^{-\frac{1}{2}}C_\mu(tz) dz = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - i\tau)}{2\Gamma(\mu + 1)}t^{\alpha-\mu}\mathbf{G}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) \\ \int_0^\infty y^{\mu-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}-s}K_{2\tau i}(2\sqrt{y})dy = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2} - \alpha + i\tau - s)\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2} - \alpha - i\tau - s).$$

Now, by means of the change $\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{y}{z} = \theta$, one has (4.3). The existence of the integral (4.3) follows from the asymptotic behavior of the Bessel-Clifford functions $C_\nu(x)$ (cf. [4]) and the hypotheses. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $F(\tau) = {}_2\mathcal{F}_1(f)$, $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{I})$ be and set*

$$(4.5) \quad \varphi(\tau) = S(\mu, \tau) \int_0^\infty \phi(t) \mathbf{G}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) dt$$

then

$$(4.6) \quad \int_0^N \varphi(\tau) \langle f(x), \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, x) \rangle d\tau = \left\langle f(x), \int_0^N \varphi(\tau) \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, x) d\tau \right\rangle$$

where

$$S(\mu, \tau) = \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(\mu + 1)^2} \tau \operatorname{sh} \pi \tau \Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau) \Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau).$$

PROOF: By the asymptotic behavior of the hypergeometric function, one has that

$$(4.7) \quad \Theta_N(x) = \int_0^N \varphi(\tau) \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, x) d\tau$$

belongs to $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$.

Moreover, if we put

$$Q(x, n) = \frac{N}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \varphi\left(\frac{pN}{n}\right) \mathbf{F}\left(\mu, \alpha, \frac{pN}{n}, x\right)$$

it follows

$$(4.8) \quad \langle f(x), Q(x, n) \rangle = \frac{N}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \varphi\left(\frac{pN}{n}\right) \left\langle f(x), \mathbf{F}\left(\mu, \alpha, \frac{pN}{n}, x\right) \right\rangle$$

and it can be easily proved that (4.8) tends to

$$\int_0^N \varphi(\tau) \langle f(x), \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, x) \rangle d\tau \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, by (2.3) and the asymptotic behavior of $\mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, x)$ it follows the existence of an $X > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| (2x + 1)^a x^{\frac{\mu}{2} - \alpha} (x + 1)^{\frac{\mu}{2}} A_x^k [\Theta_N(x) - Q(x, n)] \right| < \varepsilon$$

for $x > X$ and $n > n_0$.

Furthermore, by the uniform continuity of $\mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, x)$ ($R_e \alpha > 0$) on the domain $E = \{(x, \tau) : 0 \leq x \leq X, 0 \leq \tau \leq N\}$, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| (2x + 1)^a x^{\frac{\mu}{2} - \alpha} (x + 1)^{\frac{\mu}{2}} A_x^k [\Theta_N(x) - Q(x, n)] \right| < \varepsilon$$

for $0 \leq x \leq X$ and $n > n_1$. This fact implies that $Q(x, n) \rightarrow \Theta_N(x)$ in $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore (4.6) holds. □

Lemma 4.4. Assume that $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{I})$ and let $\Theta_N(x)$ be given as in Lemma 4.5. If α and μ are complex parameters such that $R_e\alpha > 0$, $R_e\mu > 0$, $\frac{1}{8} < R_e(\mu - \alpha) < \frac{1}{4}$ and $R_e(\frac{\mu}{2} - \alpha) < -\frac{1}{2}$, then $\Theta_N(x)$ converges in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{I})$ to $\phi(x)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF: Let ϕ be in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{I})$. If the support of ϕ is contained in the closed interval $[c, d]$, $0 < c < d < \infty$, one has:

$$\Theta_N(x) = \int_0^N S(\mu, \tau) \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, x) d\tau \int_c^d \phi(t) \mathbf{G}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) dt.$$

By virtue of the smoothness of the functions and the finiteness of the limits of integration we may repeatedly differentiate under the integral sign. By using the identity (2.3) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} A_x^k \Theta_N(x) &= \\ &= \int_0^N S(\mu, \tau) (-1)^k \left[\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right]^k \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, x) d\tau \cdot \\ &\quad \int_c^d \phi(t) \mathbf{G}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) dt = \\ &= \int_0^N S(\mu, \tau) \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, x) d\tau \int_c^d \phi(t) (-1)^k \left[\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right]^k \mathbf{G}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts and using the identity

$$(4.9) \quad A_t' \mathbf{G}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) = - \left[\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right] \mathbf{G}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t)$$

A_t' being the adjoint operator of A_t . It follows by applying some properties of the hypergeometric function that (see [1, p. 105]):

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} A_x^k \Theta_N(x) &= \\ &= \int_0^N S(\mu, \tau) x^\alpha (x+1)^{-\mu} \mathbf{G}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, x) d\tau \int_c^d t^{\mu-2\alpha} (t+1)^\mu A_t^k \phi(t) \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of our assumptions, $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{I}) \subset \mathcal{M}_{0,n}^{-1}(L)$ ($\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$) and by Lemma 4.1, (4.10) can be rewritten as follows:

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} &2x^\alpha (x+1)^{-\mu} \int_0^N S(\mu, \tau) \mathbf{G}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, x) d\tau \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2}+i\tau)\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2}-i\tau)} \cdot \\ &\int_\sigma \frac{\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2}-\alpha+i\tau-s)\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2}-\alpha-i\tau-s)\Gamma(\alpha+s)}{\Gamma(1+\mu-\alpha-s)} \cdot \\ &\quad \left[t^{\mu-2\alpha} (t+1)^\mu A_t^k \phi(t) \right]^* (1-s) ds \end{aligned}$$

with $\sigma = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : R_e s = \frac{1}{2}\}$, and where

$$\left[t^{\mu-2\alpha}(t+1)^\mu A_t^k \phi(t) \right]^* (1-s)$$

is the Mellin transform of the function within the square brackets calculated at the point $1-s$.

Taking into account that

$$\int_0^N \tau \sin 2\tau u \, d\tau = -\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \frac{\sin 2Nu}{u}$$

by reversing the order of integration and using Lemma 4.2 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{x^\alpha(x+1)^{-\mu}}{2\pi i} \int_\sigma \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+s)}{\Gamma(1+\mu-\alpha-s)} \left[t^{\mu-2\alpha}(t+1)^\mu A_t^k \phi(t) \right]^* (1-s) \, ds \\ & \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty z^{\mu-\alpha-s} C_\mu(xz) \, dz \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{2\theta(\mu-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}-s)} \, d\theta. \\ & \int_{|\theta|}^\infty C_0(z e^\theta \Psi) \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \frac{\sin 2Nu}{u} \right) \, du. \end{aligned}$$

The absolute convergence allows the interchanging of the order of integration and it follows

$$\begin{aligned} (4.12) \quad A_x^k \Theta_N(x) &= \frac{x^\alpha(x+1)^{-\mu}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \frac{\sin 2Nu}{u} \right) \, du \int_{-u}^u e^{2\theta(\mu-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}-s)} \, d\theta. \\ & \int_0^\infty z^{\mu-\alpha} C_\mu(xz) C_0(z e^\theta \Psi) \, dz. \\ & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\sigma \left(t^{\mu-2\alpha}(t+1)^\mu A_t^k \phi(t) \right)^* (1-s) \left(z e^{2\theta} \right)^{-s} \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\sigma \left(t^{\mu-2\alpha}(t+1)^\mu A_t^k \phi(t) \right)^* (1-s) \left(z e^{2\theta} \right)^{-s} \, ds$$

represents the G_{02}^{10} -transform of

$$t^{\mu-2\alpha}(t+1)^\mu A_t^k \phi(t)$$

evaluated at the point $z e^{2\theta}$ (see [13]). This transform exists since it can be proved that $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{I}) \subset \mathcal{M}_{0,n}^{-1}(L)$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote (4.13) by $G(\phi_k)(z e^{2\theta})$.

Now, by making the change of variable $z e^{2\theta} = y$, (4.12) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (4.14) \quad & \frac{x^\alpha(x+1)^{-\mu}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \frac{\sin 2Nu}{u} \right) \, du \int_{-u}^u e^{-\theta} \, d\theta. \\ & \int_0^\infty G(\phi_k)(y) y^{\mu-\alpha} C_\mu(x y e^{-2\theta}) C_0(y e^{-2\theta} \Psi) \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

A partial integration leads to:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_x^k \Theta_N(x) &= \\
 &= -\frac{\sin 2Nu}{u} \frac{x^\alpha(x+1)^{-\mu}}{\pi} \int_{-u}^u e^{-\theta} d\theta \cdot \\
 (4.15) \quad &\int_0^\infty G(\phi_k)(y)y^{\mu-\alpha} C_\mu(xy e^{-2\theta}) C_0(ye^{-2\theta}\Psi) dy \Big|_0^\infty \\
 &+ \frac{x^\alpha(x+1)^{-\mu}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \Phi(x, u) \frac{\sin 2Nu}{u} du
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi(x, u) &= e^{-u} \int_0^\infty G(\phi_k)(y)y^{\mu-\alpha} C_\mu(xy e^{2u}) dy + \\
 (4.16) \quad &+ e^u \int_0^\infty G(\phi_k)(y)y^{\mu-\alpha} C_\mu(xy e^{-2u}) dy + \\
 &+ \int_{-u}^u e^{-\theta} d\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \int_0^\infty G(\phi_k)(y)y^{\mu-\alpha} C_\mu(xy e^{-2\theta}) C_0(ye^\theta\Psi) dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that the first term of (4.15) tends uniformly to zero for $u \rightarrow 0$ and $u \rightarrow \infty$ if $\frac{1}{8} < R_e(\mu - \alpha) < \frac{1}{4}$ when x belongs to any compact $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbf{I}$.

Next, by the absolute convergence, one can differentiate under the integral sign in the last term of (4.16). By using the identity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} C_0(ye^{-\theta}\Psi) = 2ye^{-2\theta}(e^{\theta-u} - 1)C_1(ye^{-\theta}\Psi) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} C_0(ye^{-\theta}\Psi)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.17) \quad \Phi(x, u) &= \\
 &= 2e^u \int_0^\infty G(\phi_k)(y)y^{\mu-\alpha} C_\mu(xy e^{-2u}) dy + F_1(x, u) - F_2(x, u) - F_3(x, u)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1(x, u) &= 2e^{-u} \int_{-u}^u e^{-2\theta} d\theta \int_0^\infty G(\phi_k)(y)y^{\mu-\alpha+1} C_\mu(xy e^{-2\theta}) C_1(ye^{-\theta}\Psi) dy, \\
 F_2(x, u) &= 2 \int_{-u}^u e^{-3\theta} d\theta \int_0^\infty G(\phi_k)(y)y^{\mu-\alpha+1} C_\mu(xy e^{-2\theta}) C_1(ye^{-\theta}\Psi) dy, \\
 F_3(x, u) &= \int_{-u}^u e^{-\theta} d\theta \cdot \\
 &\int_0^\infty G(\phi_k)(y)y^{\mu-\alpha} \left[e^{-\theta} C_\mu(xy e^{-2\theta}) + 2xy e^{-3\theta} C_{\mu+1}(xy e^{-2\theta}) \right] dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, observe that (see [13])

$$G(\phi_k)(y) = \int_0^\infty t^{\mu-2\alpha}(t+1)^\mu A_t^k \phi(t) t^\mu C_\mu(ty) dt.$$

According to the inversion formula of the Hankel-Clifford transform (see [5] and [8]) we get:

$$(4.18) \quad \Phi(x, u) = 2x^{\alpha-\mu}(xe^{2u} + 1)^\mu e^{-2u(\mu-\alpha-\frac{1}{2})} A_x^k \phi(xe^{2u}) + F_1(x, u) - F_2(x, u) - F_3(x, u).$$

Thus

$$A_x^k \Theta_N(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty 2e^{-2u(\mu-\alpha-\frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{xe^{2u} + 1}{x + 1}\right)^\mu A_x^k \phi(xe^{2u}) \frac{\sin 2Nu}{u} du + x^\alpha(x + 1)^{-\mu} \int_0^\infty (F_1(x, u) - F_2(x, u) - F_3(x, u)) \frac{\sin 2Nu}{u} du.$$

Let us consider now

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & A_x^k(\Theta_N(x) - \phi(x)) = \\ & \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left[e^{-2u(\mu-\alpha-\frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{xe^{2u} + 1}{x + 1}\right)^\mu A_x^k \phi(xe^{2u}) - A_x^k \phi(x) \right] \frac{\sin 2Nu}{u} du + \\ & + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty (F_1(x, u) - F_2(x, u) - F_3(x, u)) \frac{\sin 2Nu}{u} du. \end{aligned}$$

For x in a compact $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbf{I}$,

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} A_x^k(\Theta_N(x) - \phi(x)) &= \left(\int_0^\delta + \int_\delta^\infty \right) v(x, u) \sin 2Nu du + \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty (F_1(x, u) - F_2(x, u) - F_3(x, u)) \frac{\sin 2Nu}{u} du \end{aligned}$$

where

$$v(x, u) = \frac{2}{\pi u} \left[e^{-2u(\mu-\alpha-\frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{xe^{2u} + 1}{x + 1}\right)^\mu A_x^k \phi(xe^{2u}) - A_x^k \phi(x) \right]$$

with $\delta > 0$.

From the boundedness of $v(x, u)$ on $E = \{(x, u) : x \in \mathbb{K}, 0 \leq u \leq 1\}$, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for each δ in the interval $(0, \delta_1]$, $x \in \mathbb{K}$ and $N > 0$, we have

$$\left| \int_0^\delta v(x, u) \sin 2Nu du \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

In order to study \int_{δ}^{∞} , set

$$\lambda(x, u) = \frac{1}{u} e^{-2u(\mu-\alpha-\frac{1}{2})} \left(\frac{x e^{2u} + 1}{x + 1} \right)^{\mu} A_x^k \phi(x e^{2u}).$$

Since $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{I})$ there exists a constant $m > 0$ such that the support of $\lambda(x, u)$ with respect to u is upperly bounded by m whatever $x \in \mathbb{K}$ may be. An integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \sin 2Nu \lambda(x, u) du = \\ &= \frac{1}{2N} [(\cos 2N\delta) \lambda(x, \delta)] + \int_{\delta}^h (\cos 2Nu) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \lambda(x, u) du. \end{aligned}$$

But $\lambda(x, u)$ is a bounded function of x and $\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \lambda(x, u)$ is a bounded function of (x, u) for all $x \in \mathbb{K}$ and $u \in [\delta, m]$. Moreover,

$$\int_{2N\delta}^{\infty} \frac{\sin u}{u} du \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

These facts imply that there exists an N_1 such that, for every $N > N_1$, and every $x \in \mathbb{K}$

$$\left| \int_{\delta}^{\infty} v(x, u) \sin 2Nu du \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Finally, by the boundedness of the functions $x^{\frac{1}{4}} C_0(x)$ and $x^{\frac{1}{4}} C_1(x)$ [4], the imposed conditions and the estimation

$$|G(\psi)(w)| < C w^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

C being a suitable constant, it follows after some manipulations that

$$x^{\alpha}(1+x)^{-\mu} \frac{F_i(x, u)}{u} \in L(0, \infty), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Furthermore, from the Riemann lemma, we can conclude that

$$x^{\alpha}(x+1)^{-\mu} \int_0^{\infty} F_i(x, u) \frac{\sin 2Nu}{u} du \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly in \mathbb{K} , as $N \rightarrow \infty$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, $\Theta_N(x) \rightarrow \phi(x)$ in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{I})$, and the lemma is proved. \square

Now, we establish an inversion formula on the subspace $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{I})$ of the distributions of compact support which is a subspace of $U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{I})$ be and set*

$$F(\tau) = \langle f(t), \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) \rangle.$$

Then, for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{I})$

$$(4.21) \quad \langle f, \phi \rangle = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \int_0^N S(\mu, \tau) \mathbf{G}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) F(\tau) d\tau, \phi(t) \right\rangle$$

with $R_e\alpha > 0, R_e\mu > 0, \frac{1}{8} < R_e(\mu - \alpha) < \frac{1}{4}$ and $R_e(\frac{\mu}{2} - \alpha) < -\frac{1}{2}$.

PROOF: Let $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{I})$ be. We shall show that

$$(4.22) \quad \left\langle \int_0^N S(\mu, \tau) \mathbf{G}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) F(\tau) d\tau, \phi(t) \right\rangle$$

tends to $\langle f, \phi \rangle$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. From the analyticity of $F(\tau)$ and the fact that the support of $\phi(t)$ is a compact subset of \mathbf{I} , it follows that (4.22) is really a repeated integral in (t, τ) having a continuous integrand on a closed bounded domain of integration. Thus, we may change the order of integration to obtain from (4.22):

$$\int_0^N \langle f(x), \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, x) \rangle d\tau \int_0^\infty \phi(t) S(\mu, \tau) \mathbf{G}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) dt.$$

By Lemma 4.3, this is equal to

$$(4.23) \quad \left\langle f(x), \int_0^N \mathbf{F}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, x) d\tau \int_0^\infty \phi(t) S(\mu, \tau) \mathbf{G}(\mu, \alpha, \tau, t) dt \right\rangle.$$

Then, $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{I})$, and according to Lemma 4.4, the testing function inside (4.23) converges in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{I})$ to $\phi(x)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and this completes the proof. □

An immediate consequence of the above inversion theorem is the following uniqueness theorem:

Theorem 4.2. *Let $F(\tau) = {}_2\mathcal{F}_1(f)$ and $G(\tau) = {}_2\mathcal{F}_1(g)$ with $f, g \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbf{I})$ and assume that $F(\tau) = G(\tau)$ for all $\tau > 0$. Then $f = g$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Erdelyi A., Magnus W., Oberhettinger F., Tricomi F., *Higher Transcendental Functions*, vol. I, McGraw-Hill Book Co. Inc., New York, 1953.
- [2] ———, *Tables of Integral Transforms*, vol. II, McGraw-Hill Book Co. Inc., New York, 1954.
- [3] Glaeske H.N., Hess A., *On the Convolution Theorem of the Mehler-Fock-Transform for a Class of Generalized Functions (II)*, Math. Nachr. (1988), 119–129.
- [4] Hayek N., *Estudio de la ecuación diferencial $xy'' + (\nu + 1)y' + y = 0$ y de sus aplicaciones*, Collect. Mat. **XVII** (1966–67), 57–174.

- [5] ———, *Sobre la transformación de Hankel*, Actas de la VIII Reunión Anual de Matemáticos Españoles, Madrid, 1968, pp. 47–60.
- [6] Hayek N., Negrin E.R., Gonzalez B.J., *Una clase de transformada índice relacionada con la de Olevskii*, Actas XIV Jornadas Hispano-Lusas de Matemáticas (Puerto de la Cruz), vol. I, 1989, pp. 401–405.
- [7] Lebedev N.N., *Sur une formule d'inversion*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **52** (1946), 655–658.
- [8] Mendez J.M., *La transformación integral de Hankel-Clifford*, Tesis, Secretariado de Publicaciones, Univ. La Laguna, Col. Monog. N. 8, 1981.
- [9] Olver F.W.J., *Asymptotics and special functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1967.
- [10] Robin L., *Fonctions Sphériques de Legendre et Fonctions Sphéroidales, Tome II*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1958.
- [11] Schwartz L., *Théorie des distributions*, Hermann & Cie., Paris, 1966.
- [12] Van Der Pol B., Bremer H., *Operational Calculus*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1964.
- [13] Vu Kim Tuan, Marichev O.I., Yakubovich S.B., *Composition structure of integral transformations*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **33** (1986), 166–170.
- [14] Zemanian A.H., *Generalized integral transformations*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1968.
- [15] ———, *The Kantorovich-Lebedev transformation on distributions of compact support and its inversion*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **77** (1975), 139–143.

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA, 38271 LA LAGUNA (TENERIFE), CANARY ISLANDS, SPAIN

(Received July 7, 1992, revised May 5, 1993)