

L. Tarba; Jana Stará

On the behaviour of solutions to the nonlinear elliptic Neumann problem in unbounded domains

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 32 (1991), No. 4, 723--729

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118452>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## On the behaviour of solutions to the nonlinear elliptic Neumann problem in unbounded domains

L.TARBA, J.STARÁ

*Abstract.* The asymptotic behaviour is studied for minima of regular variational problems with Neumann boundary conditions on noncompact part of boundary.

*Keywords:* variational problem, Neumann boundary value problem, unbounded domains, asymptotic behaviour of solutions

*Classification:* 35J50, 35J65, 35J35

Let  $F$  be a Caratheodory function such that

$$(1) \quad C_1|\xi_m|^p - g(x) \leq F(x, \xi_0, \dots, \xi_m) \leq C_2|\xi_m|^p + f(x),$$

where  $p > 1, C_1, C_2$  are positive constants,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G \subset R^n, \xi_i \in R^{N_i}, N_i = C_{n+i-1}^{n-1}, 0 \leq i \leq m; g(x) \geq 0, f(x) \geq 0$  are given functions,  $G$  is an arbitrary domain that may be unbounded and on which some natural conditions will be imposed below. We shall denote  $C_0^\infty(G, \Gamma)$  the space of functions vanishing in a neighbourhood of  $\Gamma$  and having a finite norm

$$\|f\| = \left( \int_G \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a part of the boundary  $\partial G$  of the domain  $G$  with  $(n-1)$  dimensional finite and positive measure. Then  $W_0^{p,m}(G, \Gamma)$  is a completion of  $C_0^\infty(G, \Gamma)$  according to the above mentioned norm.

Let  $\psi$  be a given function having the generalized derivatives  $D^\alpha \psi \in L_p(G)$  for all  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| = m$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{M}_\psi(G, \Gamma)$  the set of all functions  $v$  having the generalized derivatives  $D^\alpha v \in L_p(G)$  for all  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| = m$ , such that  $v - \psi \in W_0^{p,m}(G, \Gamma)$ .

The function  $u \in \mathcal{M}_\psi(G, \Gamma)$  is said to be a solution of the variational problem for a functional

$$(2) \quad \Phi(v, G) = \int_G F(x, v(x), Dv(x), \dots, D^m v(x)) dx$$

satisfying the Dirichlet data on  $\Gamma$  and Neumann zero conditions on  $\tilde{\Gamma} = \partial G \setminus \bar{\Gamma}$  if

$$\Phi(u, G) = \min\{\Phi(v, G); v \in \mathcal{M}_\psi(G, \Gamma)\}.$$

The aim of this paper is to get estimates of the rate of decrease in the infinity for solutions of the variational problem satisfying Neumann zero conditions on the non-compact part of the boundary. The analogous questions for solutions of higher order elliptic equations satisfying the Dirichlet zero conditions on the lateral surface of a cylinder and having the bounded Dirichlet integral was first investigated by P.D. Lax ([1]). Recently, these problems and in particular the asymptotics of solutions have been exploited in a significant number of articles (i.e. [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]). We would like to remark that the problem being considered is not covered by the above mentioned papers that prevalently study the Dirichlet boundary value problem or use much stronger conditions on the functional. Moreover, as there are no requirements as to the smoothness of  $F$ , in general the Euler equation does not exist for the functional  $\Phi(u, G)$ .

If  $\Gamma$  is sufficiently smooth part of  $\partial G$  then for any function  $\psi$  having the generalized derivatives  $D^\alpha \psi \in L_p(G)$  for all  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| = m$  there are the traces  $D^\beta \psi \Big|_\Gamma \in L_p(\Gamma)$  for  $\beta$  such that  $|\beta| \leq m-1$ . Then the condition  $v - \psi \in W_0^{p,m}(G, \Gamma)$  means that the traces of  $v$  and  $\psi$  on  $\Gamma$  coincide.

Let  $H = \{Q^{n-1} \times ]-\infty; \infty[ \}$ , where  $Q^{n-1}$  is an  $(n-1)$  dimensional ball and  $Q_r$  is an  $n$ -dimensional ball with radius  $r$ .

We shall denote by  $u \Big|_{G_T}$  restriction of the function  $u$  on the domain  $G_T = G \cap \{x_n > T\}$ , as well as  $\Gamma_T = G \cap \{x_n = T\}$ .

Now we introduce a definition of the type of domains we shall deal with in the theorem.

**Definition.** Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $R^n, \Omega_1$  its convex subdomain. We call  $\Omega$  star-shaped with respect to  $\Omega_1$  if for every point  $s \in \Omega$  all intervals connecting point  $s$  with any point  $t \in \Omega_1$  are contained in  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem.** Let a domain  $G \subset H$  have the following structure: there are such numbers  $T_0$  and positive  $r, a$  that

- (i)  $\partial G_{T_0} \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$ ,
- (ii) for every number  $A \geq T_0$  a domain  $G \cap \{A - a < x_n < A + a\}$  is starshaped with respect to the ball  $Q_r$  contained in  $G \cap \{A - \frac{a}{4} < x_n < A + \frac{a}{4}\}$ . Let functions  $f, g$  from (1) decrease exponentially at infinity, i.e.  $f \cdot \exp(\frac{\eta}{q} x_n) \in L_q(G), g \cdot \exp(\frac{\eta_1}{q_1} x_n) \in L_{q_1}(G)$  with  $q, q_1 > 1$  and  $\eta, \eta_1$  positive. Let  $\mathcal{M}_\psi(G, \Gamma) \neq \emptyset$  and  $u$  be a solution of the variational problem (2). Then there is a number  $\kappa > 0$  such that

$$\int_G e^{\kappa \cdot x_n} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx < \infty.$$

Before starting the proof of the theorem we need the following

**Lemma.** Let the domain  $G$  and the function  $u$  be the same as in the theorem.

Then for every number  $T$  such that  $T \geq T_0$  we have

$$\Phi(u, G_T) = \min\{\Phi(v, G_T); v \in \mathcal{M}_{u|_{G_T}}(G_T, \Gamma_T)\}.$$

PROOF: Let there exist a function  $\hat{u}$  different from  $u$  such that  $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{M}_{u|_{G_T}}(G_T, \Gamma_T)$  and  $\Phi(\hat{u}, G_T) = \min\{\Phi(v, G_T); v \in \mathcal{M}_{u|_{G_T}}(G_T, \Gamma_T)\} < \Phi(u, G_T)$ . Denote now

$$\tilde{u} = \begin{cases} \hat{u} - u, & \text{for } x \in G_T \\ 0, & \text{for } x \in G \setminus G_T \end{cases}$$

and consider a new function  $w = u + \tilde{u}$ . It follows from the definition of generalized derivatives that there exist  $D^\alpha w \in L_p(G)$  for all  $\alpha, |\alpha| = m$ . In addition,  $w \in \mathcal{M}_\Psi(G, \Gamma)$ . Let us evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(w, G) &= \int_{G \cap \{x_n < T\}} F(x, u(x), Du(x), \dots, D^m u(x)) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{G_T} F(x, \hat{u}(x), D\hat{u}(x), \dots, D^m \hat{u}(x)) dx \\ &= \int_{G \cap \{x_n < T\}} F(x, u(x), Du(x), \dots, D^m u(x)) dx + \Phi(\hat{u}, G_T) \\ &< \int_{G \cap \{x_n < T\}} F(x, u(x), Du(x), \dots, D^m u(x)) dx + \Phi(u, G_T) < \Phi(u, G). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality gives the contradiction. □

PROOF OF THE THEOREM: Let us introduce the domains

$$\Omega_i = G \cap \{A + (2i - 3)a < x_n < A + (2i - 1)a\}, i \geq 1.$$

Taking into account the structure of the domain  $G$  it can be shown that in every domain  $\Omega_i$  there exist balls  $Q_r^i$  of fixed radius  $r$  such that

$$Q_r^i \subset G \cap \{A + (2i - \frac{9}{4})a < x_n < A + (2i - \frac{7}{4})a\}$$

and the domains  $\Omega_i$  are starshaped with respect to  $Q_r^i$ .

Let us consider a function

$$z_i(x) = u(x) - \bar{u}_i - \sum_{i_1=1}^n a_{i_1}^i x_{i_1} - \dots - \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}=1}^n a_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}}^i x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{m-1}}$$

where the numbers  $\bar{u}_i, a_{i_1}^i, \dots, a_{i_1 \dots i_{m-1}}^i$  are chosen so that all the derivatives  $D^\alpha z_i$  with  $|\alpha| < m$  are orthogonal to constants in a domain  $\Omega_i$ .

Let  $\sigma_i(x_n) \in C^\infty(R)$ ,  $\sigma_i \leq 1$ ,

$$\sigma_i(x_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } x_n \geq A + (2i - 1)a, \\ 0, & \text{for } x_n \leq A + (2i - 3)a. \end{cases}$$

Denote for the simplicity  $u|_{G_{A+(2i-3)a}} = \psi_i$ . Taking into account the Lemma, we can easily conclude that for every  $i \geq 1$

$$(3) \quad \Phi(u, G_{A+(2i-3)a}) = \min\{\Phi(v, G_{A+(2i-3)a}); v \in \mathcal{M}_{\Psi_i}(G_{A+(2i-3)a}, \Gamma_{A+(2i-3)a})\}.$$

Let us consider a function  $u - \sigma_i z_i$  in a domain  $G_{A+(2i-3)a}$ . It is clear that  $u - \sigma_i z_i \in \mathcal{M}_{\Psi_i}(G_{A+(2i-3)a}, \Gamma_{A+(2i-3)a})$ . By using the assumption of the theorem and the inequality (1) and (3) we obtain

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{G_{A+(2i-3)a}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u(x)|^p dx \\ & \leq C \int_{G_{A+(2i-3)a}} g(x) dx + \Phi(u, G_{A+(2i-3)a}) \\ & \leq C \int_{G_{A+(2i-3)a}} g(x) dx + \Phi(u - \sigma_i z_i, G_{A+(2i-3)a}) \\ & \leq C \left[ e^{-\frac{\eta}{q}[A+(2i-3)a]} + e^{-\frac{\eta_1}{q_1}[A+(2i-3)a]} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{G_{A+(2i-3)a}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha(u - \sigma_i z_i)|^p dx \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $C$  depends on  $C_1, C_2, H, A, a, m, n, \eta, \eta_1, q, q_1, f$  and  $g$  but does not depend on  $i$ .

Taking into account the choice of  $\sigma_i, z_i$  we get the following estimate for the last integral in (4)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G_{A+(2i-3)a}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha(u - \sigma_i z_i)|^p dx = \int_{\Omega_i} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha(u - \sigma_i z_i)|^p dx \\ & \leq C \left[ \int_{\Omega_i} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx + \int_{\Omega_i} \sum_{|s_1|+|s_2|=m, |s_2| \leq m-1} |D^{s_1} \sigma_i D^{s_2} z_i|^p dx \right] \\ & \leq C \left[ \int_{\Omega_i} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx + \int_{\Omega_i} \sum_{|s_2| \leq m-1} |D^{s_2} z_i|^p dx \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $C$  does not depend on  $i$ . (We shall denote by  $C$  different constants specifying, if necessary, what they depend on. Generally, they do not depend on  $i$ .)

Applying the Steklov inequality ([7]) for domains  $\Omega_i$  starshaped with respect to  $Q_r^i$  and taking into account the orthogonality of functions  $z_i$  to constants, we obtain for all  $i$  and  $s_2$  such that  $|s_2| \leq m - 1$

$$(5) \quad \int_{\Omega_i} |D^{s_2} z_i|^p dx \leq C \left[ \left| \int_{\Omega_i} D^{s_2} z_i dx \right| + \left( \int_{\Omega_i} \sum_{|s_3|=|s_2|+1} |D^{s_3} z_i|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^p.$$

Since the first integral on the right hand side of this inequality is equal to zero for all  $s_2$  such that  $|s_2| \leq m - 1$  then

$$\int_{\Omega_i} |D^{s_2} z_i|^p dx \leq C \int_{\Omega_i} \sum_{|s_3|=|s_2|+1} |D^{s_3} z_i|^p dx.$$

If  $|s_3| < m$  we apply the Steklov inequality once more. Finally we get

$$(6) \quad \int_{\Omega_i} |D^{s_2} z_i|^p dx \leq C \int_{\Omega_i} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx$$

for every  $s_2$  such that  $|s_2| \leq m - 1$ . Note that in the inequality (6) the constant  $C$  depends on  $\text{meas } Q_r^i$ ,  $\text{meas } \Omega_i$ ,  $m, p$  but does not depend on  $i$ . Because of (5) and (6) we have from (4)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G_{A+(2i-3)a}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx \leq \\ & \leq C \left[ e^{-\frac{\eta}{q}[A+(2i-3)a]} + e^{-\frac{\eta_1}{q_1}[A+(2i-3)a]} + \int_{\Omega_i} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the last inequality

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_i} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx \geq \\ & \geq \frac{1}{C} \int_{G_{A+(2i-3)a}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx - \left( e^{-\frac{\eta}{q}[A+(2i-3)a]} + e^{-\frac{\eta_1}{q_1}[A+(2i-3)a]} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using (7) we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G_{A+(2i-1)a}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx = \int_{G_{A+(2i-3)a}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx - \int_{\Omega_i} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx \\ & \leq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{C} \right) \int_{G_{A+(2i-3)a}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx + e^{-\frac{\eta}{q}[A+(2i-3)a]} + e^{-\frac{\eta_1}{q_1}[A+(2i-3)a]} \\ & \leq e^{-\kappa_1} \int_{G_{A+(2i-3)a}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx + e^{-\frac{\eta}{q}[A+(2i-3)a]} + e^{-\frac{\eta_1}{q_1}[A+(2i-3)a]}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $e^{-\kappa_1} = 1 - \frac{1}{C}$  and does not depend on  $i$ .

Let  $\kappa_2 = \min\{\frac{q}{q_1}, \frac{q_1}{q_1}, \kappa_1\}$ . It follows from the last inequality that

$$(8) \quad \int_{G_{A+(2i-1)a}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx \leq e^{-\kappa_2} \int_{G_{A+(2i-3)a}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx + 2e^{-\kappa_2[A+(2i-3)a]}.$$

Let us denote  $A - a = T$ , thus (8) can be rewritten as follows

$$(9) \quad \int_{G_{T+2ia}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx \leq e^{-\kappa_2} \int_{G_{T+(2i-2)a}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx + 2e^{-\kappa_2[T+2(i-1)a]}.$$

By induction we can get from (9) the inequalities

$$(10) \quad \int_{G_{T+2ia}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx \leq \begin{cases} e^{-i\kappa_2} \int_{G_T} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx + 2ie^{-\kappa_2[T+2(i-1)a]}, \\ \text{if } 2a < 1, \\ e^{-i\kappa_2} \int_{G_T} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx + 2ie^{-\kappa_2[T+i-1]}, \\ \text{if } 2a \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $2a < 1$ . Then by using (10) we can get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G_T} e^{\kappa x_n} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1} e^{\kappa x_n} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx + \int_{\Omega_2} e^{\kappa x_n} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx + \dots \\ &+ \int_{\Omega_i} e^{\kappa x_n} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx + \dots \\ &\leq e^{\kappa(T+2a)} \int_{G_T} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx \\ &+ e^{\kappa(T+4a)} \left[ e^{-\kappa_2} \int_{G_T} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx + 2e^{-\kappa_2 T} \right] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ e^{\kappa(T+2ia)} \left[ e^{-(i-1)\kappa_2} \int_{G_T} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx + 2(i-1)e^{-\kappa_2[T+2(i-2)a]} \right] + \dots \\
 &= e^{\kappa(T+2a)} \int_{G_T} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^\alpha u|^p dx \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{(2a\kappa-\kappa_2)(i-1)} \\
 &+ 2e^{(\kappa-\kappa_2)T+4a\kappa_2} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} (i-1)e^{2ai(\kappa-\kappa_2)} < \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

if  $\kappa < \kappa_2$ . By the same way we get the result for  $2a \geq 1$  and  $\kappa < \frac{\kappa_2}{2a}$ . □

REFERENCES

- [1] Lax P.D., *Phragmen-Lindelöf theorem in harmonic analysis and its application to some questions in the theory of elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (1957), 361-389.
- [2] Oleñik O.A., Josif'jan G.A., *The Saint Venant principle for a mixed problem of elasticity theory and its applications*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR (5) **233** (1977), 824-827.
- [3] Tarba L.A., *On behaviour of the solutions to the elliptic equations in unbounded domains* (in Russian), VINITI **2573** (1980).
- [4] Grishina T.V., *On the regularity and the behaviour of solutions to the nonlinear elliptic Dirichlet boundary value problem in the neighbourhood of the singular point of the boundary* (in Russian), Vestnik Mosk. Un. ser. 1, Matematika, Mechanika **4** (1986), 84-87.
- [5] Kondratiev V.A., Landis V.M., *Qualitative theory of linear partial differential equations of second order* (in Russian), VINITI, Itogi nauki i techn., Sovr. probl. mat., Fund. napravlenije **32** (1988), 99-215.
- [6] Sitnik S.M., *Rate of decay of the solutions of some elliptic and ultraelliptic equations* (in Russian), Differentsial'nye Uravneniya (3) **24** (1988), 538-539.
- [7] Kantorovich L.V., Akilov C.P., *Functional Analysis* (in Russian), Nauka, Moskva, 1977, second edition.

UNIVERSITY OF SUCHUMI, USSR

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 00 PRAGUE 8, CZECHOSLOVAKIA

(Received September 9, 1991)