

Zdena Riečanová

A note on weakly Borel measures

*Časopis pro pěstování matematiky*, Vol. 97 (1972), No. 1, 47--49

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117747>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A NOTE ON WEAKLY BOREL MEASURES

ZDENA RIEČANOVÁ, Bratislava

(Received March 16, 1970)

In [1] S. K. BERBERIAN compared several of the commonly used definitions of "regular measure". In Theorem 3 he proved that

if  $\varrho$  is a finite measure on the weakly Borel sets of a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (A)  $\varrho$  is inner regular,
- (B)  $\varrho$  is biregular,
- (C)  $\varrho$  is sesquiregular,
- (D)  $\varrho$  is outer regular, and there exists a Borel set  $E$  such that  $\varrho(X - E) = 0$ .

In the present paper we show: 1. the assumption of the local compactness of  $X$  can be dropped, 2. the conditions (A) and (D) can be replaced by weaker ones, 3. the finiteness of  $\varrho$  can be replaced by  $(\mathbf{U}, \sigma)$ -finiteness.

Let  $X$  be an arbitrary nonvoid set of elements. Let  $\mathbf{S}$  be the  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of  $X$ , and  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{U}$  nonempty subfamilies of  $\mathbf{S}$ . Let  $\mu$  be a measure defined on  $\mathbf{S}$ . Measure  $\mu$  is said to be *inner  $\mathbf{C}$ -regular* on  $\mathbf{S}$  if

$$\mu(A) = \sup \{ \mu(C) : A \supset C \in \mathbf{C} \} \quad \text{for all sets } A \in \mathbf{S},$$

*outer  $\mathbf{U}$ -regular* on  $\mathbf{S}$  if

$$\mu(A) = \inf \{ \mu(U) : A \subset U \in \mathbf{U} \} \quad \text{for all sets } A \in \mathbf{S},$$

and  *$(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{U})$ -regular* on  $\mathbf{S}$  if it is both inner  $\mathbf{C}$ -regular and outer  $\mathbf{U}$ -regular on  $\mathbf{S}$ .

Troughout the paper  $X$  denotes an arbitrary Hausdorff space,  $\mathbf{C}$  the family of all compact subsets of  $X$ ,  $\mathbf{D}$  the family of all closed subsets of  $X$  and  $\mathbf{U}$  denotes the family of all open subsets of  $X$ . By  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{C})$  and  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$  we denote the  $\sigma$ -rings generated by  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  respectively.

A measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$  is said to be  *$(\mathbf{U}, \sigma)$ -finite* if  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ ,  $U_n \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $\mu(U_n) < \infty$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

Remark 1. If  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite and outer  $\mathbf{U}$ -regular measure on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$  then  $\mu$  is  $(\mathbf{U}, \sigma)$ -finite. In fact, if  $E \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$  and  $\mu(E) < \infty$  then there exists a set  $U \in \mathbf{U}$  such that  $U \supset E$  and  $\mu(U) < \infty$ .

We compare the following conditions:

- (a)  $\mu(U) = \sup \{\mu(D) : U \supset D \in \mathbf{D}\}$  for all sets  $U \in \mathbf{U}$  and there exists a set  $Y \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{C})$  such that  $\mu(X - Y) = 0$ ,
- (b)  $\mu(U) = \sup \{\mu(C) : U \supset C \in \mathbf{C}\}$  for all sets  $U \in \mathbf{U}$ ,
- (c)  $\mu$  is inner  $\mathbf{C}$ -regular on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$ ,
- (d)  $\mu$  is sesquiregular on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$  (i.e.  $\mu$  is outer  $\mathbf{U}$ -regular on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$  and satisfies the condition (b)),
- (e)  $\mu$  is  $(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{U})$ -regular on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$ ,
- (f)  $\mu$  is  $(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{U})$ -regular on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$  and there exists a set  $Y \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{C})$  such that  $\mu(X - Y) = 0$ ,
- (g)  $\mu$  is outer  $\mathbf{U}$ -regular on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$  and there exists a set  $Y \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{C})$  such that  $\mu(X - Y) = 0$ ,
- (h)  $\mu(D) = \inf \{\mu(U) : D \subset U \in \mathbf{U}\}$  for all sets  $D \in \mathbf{D}$  and there exists a set  $Y \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{C})$  such that  $\mu(X - Y) = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $X$  is an arbitrary Hausdorff topological space and  $\mu$  is a  $(\mathbf{U}, \sigma)$ -finite measure on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$ , the conditions (a)–(f) are equivalent.*

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (f): Let  $E \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$  such that  $E \subset U_0 \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $\mu(U_0) < \infty$ . The formula  $\mu^0(A) = \mu(A \cap U_0)$  defines a finite measure on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$ . If  $U \in \mathbf{U}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^0(U) &= \mu(U \cap U_0) = \sup \{\mu(D) : U \cap U_0 \supset D \in \mathbf{D}\} = \\ &= \sup \{\mu^0(D) : U \cap U_0 \supset D \in \mathbf{D}\} \leq \sup \{\mu^0(D) : U \supset D \in \mathbf{D}\} \leq \mu^0(U). \end{aligned}$$

By ([2], Theorem 8, p. 43, or example 3, p. 45)  $\mu^0$  is  $(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{U})$ -regular on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$ . Hence

$$\mu(E) = \mu^0(E) = \sup \{\mu^0(D) : E \supset D \in \mathbf{D}\} = \sup \{\mu(D) : E \supset D \in \mathbf{D}\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E) = \mu^0(E) &= \inf \{\mu^0(U) : E \subset U \in \mathbf{U}\} = \inf \{\mu(U \cap U_0) : E \subset U \in \mathbf{U}\} \geq \\ &\geq \inf \{\mu(U) : E \subset U \in \mathbf{U}\} \geq \mu(E). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A$  be an arbitrary set of  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$ . From the  $(\mathbf{U}, \sigma)$ -finiteness of  $\mu$  it follows that  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A \cap U_n)$ , where  $U_n \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $U_n \subset U_{n+1}$  and  $\mu(U_n) < \infty$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . According to what was said above,  $A \cap U_n$  and hence also  $A$  (see the proof of Theorem 3, [5], p. 220) are  $(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{U})$ -regular sets according to  $\mu$ . Hence  $\mu$  is  $(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{U})$ -regular on  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{D})$ .

(f)  $\Rightarrow$  (e): Let  $E_0 \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{C})$  such that  $E_0 \subset C \in \mathbf{C}$ . Then

$$\mu(E_0) = \sup \{\mu(D) : E_0 \supset D \in \mathbf{D}\} = \sup \{\mu(C) : E_0 \supset C \in \mathbf{C}\},$$

since  $D \in \mathbf{D}$ ,  $D \subset E_0$  implies  $D \in \mathbf{C}$ .

Let  $E \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{C})$  be an arbitrary set. Then  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where  $E_n \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{C})$ ,  $E_n \subset E_{n+1}$ ,  $E_n \subset C_n \in \mathbf{C}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Hence  $\mu$  is inner  $\mathbf{C}$ -regular on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{C})$ . By ([3], Theorem 1, p. 135)  $\mu$  is  $(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{U})$ -regular on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{D})$ .

It is trivial that (e)  $\Rightarrow$  (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) and (e)  $\Rightarrow$  (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b).

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Since  $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{D}$ , it is

$$\mu(U) = \sup \{ \mu(D) : U \supset D \in \mathbf{D} \} \quad \text{for all } U \in \mathbf{U}.$$

From the  $(\mathbf{U}, \sigma)$ -finiteness of  $\mu$  it follows that  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ ,  $U_n \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $\mu(U_n) < \infty$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). By ([3], Lemma 1, p. 136) there exist sets  $Y_n \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{C})$  such that  $\mu(U_n - Y_n) = 0$ . Let  $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$ . Then  $Y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{C})$  and  $\mu(X - Y) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(U_n - Y_n) = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space and  $\mu$  is a  $(\mathbf{U}, \sigma)$ -finite measure on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{D})$ , the conditions (a)–(h) are equivalent.*

*Proof.* It is trivial that (f)  $\Rightarrow$  (g)  $\Rightarrow$  (h).

(h)  $\Rightarrow$  (e): From the  $(\mathbf{U}, \sigma)$ -finiteness of  $\mu$  it follows that  $\mu(C) < \infty$  for all  $C \in \mathbf{C}$ . If  $C \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $C \subset U \in \mathbf{U}$ , there exists an open Baire set  $O$  such that  $C \subset O \subset U$ . Hence

$$\mu(C) = \inf \{ \mu(U) : C \subset U, U \text{ open Baire set} \}.$$

This proves the  $(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{U})$ -regularity of  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{C})$ . By ([3], Theorem 1 p. 135)  $\mu$  is  $(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{U})$ -regular on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{D})$ .

The other implications follow from Theorem 1.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $X$  is an arbitrary Hausdorff topological space and  $\mu$  is a finite measure on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{D})$ , the conditions (a)–(h) are equivalent.*

*Proof.* It is trivial that (f)  $\Rightarrow$  (g)  $\Rightarrow$  (h).

(h)  $\Rightarrow$  (f): By ([2], Theorem 8, p. 43, or example 3 p. 45). The other implications follow from Theorem 1.

#### References

- [1] S. K. Berberian: Sesquiregular measures, Amer. Math. Monthly 74 (1967), 986–990.
- [2] З. Риечанова: О регулярности меры. Mat. časop. 17 (1967), 38–47.
- [3] Z. Riečanová: On regularity of a measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra, Mat. časop. 19 (1969), 135–137.
- [4] S. K. Berberian: Measure and integration, Macmillan, New York, 1965.
- [5] И. П. Халмош: Теория меры. Москва 1953.

*Author's address:* Bratislava, Gottwaldovo nám. 2 (SVŠT).