

Tibor Šalát

Remarks on Denjoy property and \mathcal{M}'_2 property of real functions

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 96 (1971), No. 4, 391--397

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117737>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

REMARKS ON DENJOY PROPERTY AND \mathcal{M}'_2 PROPERTY
OF REAL FUNCTIONS

TIBOR ŠALÁT, Bratislava

(Received March 31, 1970)

In the whole paper the interval means a normal non-degenerate interval on the real line E_1 and the measure means the Lebesgue measure on the real line. In what follows $|M|$ denotes the measure of the set M .

The real function $f: \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow E_1$ is said to have the property \mathcal{M}'_2 if for each $a \in E_1$ and each closed interval $I \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ each of sets $I \cap E_a(f)$, $I \cap E^a(f)$,

$$E_a(f) = \{x \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle; f(x) > a\}, \quad E^a(f) = \{x \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle; f(x) < a\}$$

is either void or it has a positive measure (cf. [6]).

Further, the function $f: \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow E_1$ is said to have the Denjoy property if for each two numbers $a, b \in E_1$ and each closed interval $I \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ the set $I \cap E_a^b(f)$, $E_a^b(f) = \{x \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle; a < f(x) < b\}$ is either void or it has a positive measure (cf. [1]).

It is obvious from the previous definitions that each function $f: \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow E_1$ with the \mathcal{M}'_2 or Denjoy property is Lebesgue measurable.

It is easy to see that each function with the Denjoy property has the \mathcal{M}'_2 property, too. L. Mišík has shown the equivalence of these two properties for functions of the first Baire class (cf. [2]).

The function $f: \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow E_1$ is said to have the Darboux property if f maps each interval $I \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ onto an interval or a one-point set.

Denote by F the set of all functions $f: \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow E_1$. For $S \subset F$ we put $CS = F - S$. Denote by M'_2, D^*, D the set of all $f \in F$ with the \mathcal{M}'_2 , Denjoy, Darboux property, respectively. Further B_α ($\alpha \geq 0$) denotes the set of all functions $f \in F$ of the Baire class α .

We have already remarked that $D^* \subset M'_2$ and $D^* \cap B_1 = M'_2 \cap B_1$. L. Mišík has shown (cf. [2]) that the set

$$S_2 = B_2 \cap [M'_2 - (D \cup D^*)] = B_2 \cap M'_2 \cap CD \cap CD^*$$

is non-void and he asked whether the set $T_2 = B_2 \cap M'_2 \cap D \cap CD^*$ is non-void, too. J. LIPÍŃSKI has given an affirmative answer to this question (cf. [1]). He showed by using some properties of Köpcke derivatives that each of the sets S_2, T_2 is non-void.

In this paper we shall give new proofs for the non-voidness of each of the sets S_2, T_2 , the proof of the non-voidness of T_2 being based on some properties of certain functions which were defined in the paper [5] by means of subseries of divergent series. Further we shall study some properties of the sets S_2, T_2 as subsets of the metric space $M(0, 1)$ of all bounded functions $f \in F$ (see Theorem 7 below).

At first we show a simple construction of functions $f \in S_2$. Let $A \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ be an F_σ set with the following property: For each interval $I \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ each of the sets $A \cap I, A' \cap I$ ($A' = \langle 0, 1 \rangle - A$) has a positive measure (cf. [3], p. 244). R denotes the set of all rational numbers $r \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$. Put $B = A - R, B' = A' - R$. Let t be an arbitrary positive real number. Put $g_t(x) = t$ for $x \in B, g_t(x) = -t$ for $x \in B'$ and $g_t(x) = 0$ for $x \in R$.

Theorem 1. *The function g_t belongs to S_2 .*

Proof. 1. We shall show at first that $g_t \in B_2$. Let $a \in E_1, E^a(g_t) = \{x \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle; g_t(x) < a\}$. Then we have

$$E^a(g_t) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{for } a \leq -t, \\ B' & \text{for } -t < a \leq 0, \\ B' \cup R & \text{for } 0 < a \leq t, \\ \langle 0, 1 \rangle & \text{for } t < a. \end{cases}$$

Since A is an F_σ set, B' is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set and we see at once that the set $E^a(g_t)$ is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set for each a . It can be shown analogously that for each $a \in E_1$ the set $E_a(g_t) = \{x \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle; g_t(x) > a\}$ is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set.

2. The function g_t has not the Darboux property since $g_t(\langle 0, 1 \rangle) = \{0, t, -t\}$.

3. The function g_t has not the Denjoy property since the set $E_{-t}^t(g_t) = \{x \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle; -t < g_t(x) < t\}$ is non-void and its measure is 0.

4. The function g_t has the \mathcal{M}'_2 property. Indeed, let $a \in E_1$ and let $I \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ be a closed interval. If $I \cap E^a(g_t) \neq \emptyset$, then $a > -t$ and therefore the set $I \cap B'$ is contained in the set $I \cap E^a(g_t)$. In view of the properties of the set A we have $|I \cap B'| > 0$ and so $|I \cap E^a(g_t)| > 0$. It can be shown analogously that if $I \cap E_a(g_t) \neq \emptyset$ then $|I \cap E_a(g_t)| > 0$. This completes the proof.

Remark. From the previous theorem we obtain a set of the power c (c is the power of the continuum) of functions from S_2 . Since $S_2 \subset B_2$ and the power of the set B_2 is c , we see that the set S_2 has the power c .

In what follows we shall use some functions defined in [5] by subseries of divergent series. Let $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| = +\infty, x \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle, x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k(x) \cdot 2^{-k}$ (non-terminating dyadic

expansion of x , $\varepsilon_k(x) = 0$ or 1 and for an infinite number of k 's we have $\varepsilon_k(x) = 1$). Denote by $f = f(\sum_1^\infty a_n)$ the function defined on $(0, 1)$ in the following way: If the series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(x) a_k$$

converges and has the sum $S(x)$, then we put $f(x) = S(x)/(1 + |S(x)|)$. If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(x) a_k = +\infty$ ($\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(x) a_k = -\infty$), then we put $f(x) = 1$ ($f(x) = -1$). If (1) oscillates, then $f(x) = 0$.

It is well-known (cf. [6] Theorem 1, p. 6) that each function $f \in F$ of the first Baire class with the \mathcal{M}'_2 property has the Darboux property. So the inclusion $B_1 \cap M'_2 \subset B_1 \cap D$ holds. In the connection with this fact we shall show that for the functions of the second Baire class the inclusion $B_2 \cap D \subset B_2 \cap M'_2$ is not true.

Theorem 2. Let $a_k \rightarrow 0$ and let the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ fulfil one of the following conditions:

- 1) $\sum_{k; a_k \geq 0} a_k = +\infty$, $\sum_{k; a_k < 0} |a_k| < +\infty$;
- 2) $\sum_{k; a_k < 0} a_k = -\infty$, $\sum_{k; a_k \geq 0} a_k < +\infty$.

Define the function $g : \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow E_1$ in the following way: $g(0) = 1$ in the case 1) and $g(0) = -1$ in the case 2). Further we put $g(x) = f(\sum_1^\infty a_n)(x)$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ (in both cases).

Then $g \in B_2 \cap (D - M'_2)$.

Proof. Let $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ fulfil the condition 1) (in the case 2) the theorem can be proved in an analogous way). We know that $f(\sum_1^\infty a_n)$ is a function from the second Baire class (see [5], Theorem 2,6). From this it follows easily that $g \in B_2$.

Further it is known that $f(\sum_1^\infty a_n)$ has the Darboux property and the set $\{x \in (0, 1); f(\sum_1^\infty a_n)(x) = 1\}$ is dense in $(0, 1)$ (see [5], Theorem 2,4 and 1,10). From this it can be easily deduced that $g \in D$.

Since $a_k \rightarrow 0$ there exists a sequence $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ of natural numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{k_n}| < +\infty$. Put $x_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(x_0) 2^{-k}$ ($\varepsilon_k(x_0) = 0$ for $k \neq k_n$ and $\varepsilon_{k_n}(x_0) = 1$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$). Then it follows from the definition of g that $g(x_0) < 1$ and so $E^1(g) = \{x \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle; g(x) < 1\} \neq \emptyset$. From the theorem 1,10 of the paper [5] we get $|\{x \in (0, 1); \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(x) a_k = +\infty\}| = 1$ and so we have $|E^1(g)| = 0$. Hence $g \notin M'_2$ and so finally $g \in B_2 \cap (D - M'_2)$. This completes the proof.

Remark. In view of Theorem 2 there exists a function $f_1 \in U_2$, $U_2 = B_2 \cap \langle 0, 1 \rangle \cap (D - M_2')$. It is easy to check that each of the functions $f_1 + a$ ($a \in E_1$) belongs to U_2 , too. From this we see at once that the set U_2 has the power c .

We shall prove now the non-voidness of the set T_2 . The proof of this fact will be based on some properties of functions $f(\sum_1^\infty a_n)$.

Theorem 3. $T_2 = B_2 \cap M_2' \cap D \cap CD^* \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let C_0 denote the Cantor set in $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$. In the closure of the longest component interval J_1 of the set $\langle 0, 1 \rangle - C_0$ we construct again a Cantor-like set C_1 . Thus the only common points of C_1, C_0 are the end-points of the interval J_1 . In the closure of the longest component interval J_2 of the set $\langle 0, 1 \rangle - (C_0 \cup C_1)$ we construct again a Cantor-like set C_2 . Thus the only common points of $C_2, C_0 \cup C_1$ are the end-points of the interval J_2 . We continue this construction by induction. Hence we obtain the set $C = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty C_n$. Obviously $|C| = 0$ and the sets C_n ($n > 0$), $C_0 \cup C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_{n-1}$ have only two common points ($\inf C_n$ and $\sup C_n$). If $I \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ is an arbitrary interval, then there exists an m such that $C_m \subset I$. Let $\varphi_n : C_n \rightarrow \langle -1, 1 \rangle$ denote the function which maps C_n onto $\langle -1, 1 \rangle$, φ_n being continuous and non-decreasing on C_n (this function is analogous to the well-known Cantor function - see [3] p. 410).

Further we construct an F_σ set $A \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ such that for each interval $P \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ we have

$$(*) \quad |A \cap P| > 0, \quad |A' \cap P| > 0 \quad (A' = \langle 0, 1 \rangle - A)$$

(cf. [3], p. 244). Put $G = \langle 0, 1 \rangle - C$. Then $\langle 0, 1 \rangle = C \cup GA \cup GA'$, the summands on the right-hand side being pairwise disjoint. Let

$$a_k > 0, \quad a_k \rightarrow 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k = +\infty; \quad b_k < 0, \quad b_k \rightarrow 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^\infty b_k = -\infty.$$

Define the function g in the following way: $g(x) = \varphi_0(x)$ for $x \in C_0 = C_0^*$, $g(x) = \varphi_1(x)$ for $x \in C_1 - C_0 = C_1^*$, ..., $g(x) = \varphi_n(x)$ for $x \in C_n - (C_0 \cup C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_{n-1}) = C_n^*$, ... Further we put $g(x) = f(\sum_1^\infty a_n)(x)$ for $x \in GA$ and $g(x) = f(\sum_1^\infty b_n)(x)$ for $x \in GA'$.

1) We show that $g \in B_2$. For $a \in E_1$ we have $E^a(g) = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup M_3$, where

$$M_1 = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \{x \in C_n^*; \varphi_n(x) < a\}, \quad M_2 = \{x \in GA; f(\sum_1^\infty a_n)(x) < a\},$$

$$M_3 = \{x \in GA'; f(\sum_1^\infty b_n)(x) < a\}.$$

Owing to the continuity of φ_n on C_n^* the set $\{x \in C_n^*; \varphi_n(x) < a\}$ is open in C_n^* and therefore it is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set. So the set M_1 is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set, too. Further $M_2 = GA \cap \{x \in (0, 1); f(\sum_1^{\infty} a_n)(x) < a\}$. Since GA is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set and $\{x \in (0, 1); f(\sum_1^{\infty} a_n)(x) < a\}$ is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set, too (see [5], Theorem 2,6), the set M_2 is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set. In an analogous way we can verify that M_3 is also a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set. So $E^a(g)$ is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set. Analogously it can be shown that $E_a(g)$ is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set.

2) We shall show that g has the property \mathcal{M}'_2 . Let $a \in E_1$ and let $I \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ be a closed interval. If

$$(2) \quad I \cap E^a(g) \neq \emptyset,$$

then $a > -1$ and the set $I \cap E^a(g)$ contains the set $I \cap \{x \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle; g(x) = -1\}$. According to the theorem 1,10 from [5] we have $g(x) = -1$ for almost all $x \in GA'$ and so owing to the property (*) of the set A we obtain $|I \cap E^a(g)| > 0$. In an analogous way we can show that also the set $I \cap E_a(g)$ is either void or it has a positive measure.

3) We shall show that g has the Darboux property. If $I \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ is an interval then there exists an m such that $C_m \subset I$ and so

$$(3) \quad g(I) \supset g(C_m) \supset \varphi_m(C_m^*) = (-1, 1).$$

In view of (*) and $|C| = 0$ we have $|(GA) \cap I| > 0$, $|(GA') \cap I| > 0$. But for almost all $x \in GA(x \in GA')$ we have $g(x) = 1$ ($g(x) = -1$) (see [5], Theorem 1,10). Owing to this fact there exist two points $x_1, x_2 \in I$ such that $g(x_1) = 1$, $g(x_2) = -1$. This together with (3) gives $g(I) \supset \langle -1, 1 \rangle$. But $g(\langle 0, 1 \rangle) \subset \langle -1, 1 \rangle$, therefore $g(I) = \langle -1, 1 \rangle$.

4) We shall prove that g has not the Denjoy property.

Let us choose $a = -1$, $b = 1$, $I = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$. Then $I \cap E_a^b(g) = \{x \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle; -1 < g(x) < 1\} \neq \emptyset$ and $I \cap E_a^b(g) \subset C \cup M$ where M denotes the set of all such $x \in GA \cup GA'$ for which at least one of the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(x) a_k$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(x) b_k$ converges. It follows from the theorem 1,10 of the paper [5] that $|M| = 0$ and since $|C| = 0$, we have $|I \cap E_a^b(g)| = 0$. This completes the proof.

Remark. It is easy to verify that T_2 has the power c .

It is easy to check that if $f \in M'_2$ or $f \in D^*$, then for each $k \in E_1$ also the function kf belongs to M'_2 , D^* respectively. In connection with this fact the question arises whether the sum of two functions from M'_2 or D^* is again a function belonging to M'_2 or D^* , respectively (i.e. whether M'_2 or D^* is a linear function space). The following example gives a negative answer to this question.

Example. Let $C \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ be the Cantor set, $C' = \langle 0, 1 \rangle - C$. Let $A \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ be such an F_σ set that for each interval $P \subset \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ we have $|A \cap P| > 0$, $|A' \cap P| > 0$ ($A' = \langle 0, 1 \rangle - A$). Then $\langle 0, 1 \rangle = C \cup C'A \cup C'A'$, the summands on the right-hand side being pairwise disjoint. Put $h_1(x) = 1$ for $x \in C \cup C'A$ and $h_1(x) = -1$ for $x \in C'A'$. Further put $h_2(x) = 1$ for $x \in C \cup C'A'$ and $h_2(x) = -1$ for $x \in C'A$. If we put $h = h_1 + h_2$, then $h(x) = 2$ for $x \in C$ and $h(x) = 0$ for $x \in C'$. It is easy to verify that $h_1, h_2 \in D^*$. Since $\{x \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle; h(x) > 0\} = C$, the function h does not belong to M'_2 .

In what follows we shall study the structure of the space $M(0, 1)$ (with the metric $\varrho(f, g) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |f(t) - g(t)|$) from the point of view of the Denjoy and Zahorski's property \mathcal{M}'_2 . Let $D^*(0, 1)$ and $M'_2(0, 1)$ denote the set $D^* \cap M(0, 1)$, $M'_2 \cap M(0, 1)$, respectively. Let us remark that if (X, ϱ) is a metric space, then the symbol $S(p, \delta)$ ($p \in X$, $\delta > 0$) denotes the spherical neighbourhood of the point p in the space X , i.e. $S(p, \delta) = \{x \in X; \varrho(p, x) < \delta\}$.

Theorem 4. Each of the sets $D^*(0, 1)$, $M'_2(0, 1)$ is a perfect non-dense set in $M(0, 1)$.

Proof. We shall prove the theorem for $D^*(0, 1)$ (the proof for $M'_2(0, 1)$ being analogous). It suffices to prove the following assertions:

- 1) $D^*(0, 1)$ is a closed subset of the space $M(0, 1)$;
- 2) $D^*(0, 1)$ has no isolated point;
- 3) $D^*(0, 1)$ is non-dense in $M(0, 1)$.

1) Let $f_n \in D^*(0, 1)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ uniformly converge to f . Then it is known that $f \in D^*(0, 1)$ (cf. [7], Theorem 15).

2) Let $f \in D^*(0, 1)$ and $\delta > 0$. It is easy to check that each of the functions $f + t$, $|t| < \delta$ belongs to $S(f, \delta)$ and $f + t \in D^*(0, 1)$.

3) Since each of the functions $f \in D^*(0, 1)$ is measurable, we have $D^*(0, 1) \subset L(0, 1)$, $L(0, 1)$ being the set of all Lebesgue measurable functions from $M(0, 1)$. But $L(0, 1)$ is a non-dense set in $M(0, 1)$ (see [4]) and therefore $D^*(0, 1)$ is non-dense, too. The proof is complete.

In an analogous way we can prove the following

Theorem 5. Each of the sets $Z \cap M(0, 1)$, $Z = S_2, T_2, U_2$ is a perfect non-dense set in $M(0, 1)$.

Proof. It follows from the inclusions $S_2 \subset M'_2$, $T_2 \subset M'_2$ that $S_2 \cap M(0, 1)$, $T_2 \cap M(0, 1)$ are non-dense. Further $U_2 \subset D$ and $D \cap M(0, 1)$ is non-dense in $M(0, 1)$ (see [4]), so that $U_2 \cap M(0, 1)$ is non-dense, too. The perfectness of the sets $Z \cap M(0, 1)$, $Z = S_2, T_2, U_2$ can be proved in an analogous way as the perfectness of $D^*(0, 1)$ was proved in Theorem 4.

References

- [1] *J. S. Lipiński*: Sur la classe \mathcal{M}'_2 . Čas. pěst. mat. 93 (1968), 222—226.
- [2] *L. Mišík*: Über die Klasse M_2 . Čas. pěst. mat. 91 (1966), 389—393.
- [3] *R. Sikorski*: Funkcje rzeczywiste I, Warszawa, 1958.
- [4] *J. Smital - T. Neubrunn - T. Šalát*: On the structure of the space $M(0, 1)$. Rev. roum. math. pures et appl. 13 (1968), 377—386.
- [5] *T. Šalát*: On subseries of divergent series. Mat. čas. SAV 18 (1968) 312—338.
- [6] *Z. Zahorski*: Sur la première dérivée. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1950), 1—54.
- [7] *L. Mišík*: Über die Eigenschaft von Darboux und einiger Klassen von Funktionen, Rev. roum. math. pures et appl. 11 (1966), 411—430.

Author's address: Bratislava, Šmeralova 2b (SVŠT).