

Peter Štefan

On the proof of a theorem of V. G. Boltianskij

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 93 (1968), No. 3, 341--345

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117615>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1968

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE PROOF OF A THEOREM OF V. G. BOLTIANSKIJ

PETER ŠTEFAN, Praha

(Received June 5, 1967)

The proof of sufficient conditions for optimality of the time-optimal control problem is simplified and completed.

INTRODUCTION

In his book [1] BOLTIANSKIJ has formulated and proved two theorems giving sufficient conditions for optimality of the time-optimal control problem (Theorems IV. 4., p. 226 and IV. 7., p. 238). We are going to deal with the first of them; it will be referred to as *ThB*. (The second theorem is a non-trivial consequence of the first one.)

The proof of *ThB* is based on the following proposition: Let A_k and B_n be sufficiently smooth manifolds with boundary of dimension k and n respectively. Suppose that $k \leq n$ and that $\Phi : A_k \rightarrow B_n$ is a C^1 -mapping. Denote S the set of singular points of Φ . Then $\Phi(S)$ is a set of the first category in B_n .

For the proof of this proposition the reader of [1] is referred to [2] if $k < n$, and to [3] if $k = n$. However, in the proof of *ThB* this proposition can be replaced by the following well-known fact: If $k = n$, A_k is an open subset of R^n and $B_n = R^n$, then $\Phi(S)$ has a zero Lebesgue measure. Particularly, we need not speak about manifolds with boundary. To show it is the objective of this paper.

(In his interesting paper [3] Dubovickij deals mainly with the non-trivial case $k > n$. He shows that in this case Φ has to be a C^{k-n+1} -mapping.)

ASSUMPTIONS AND NOTATIONS
FORMULATION OF LEMMA 1

Denote $\mathcal{L}(R^k, R^n)$ the space of all linear mappings of R^k into R^n with its usual topology. We shall write simply $\mathcal{L}(R^n)$ instead of $\mathcal{L}(R^n, R^n)$. Furthermore, we shall identify the space $\mathcal{L}(R, R^n)$ with R^n by means of the canonical isomorphism. Denote $\text{rank } A$ the rank of the operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(R^k, R^n)$.

Let H be a neighborhood of $x \in R^k$. The derivative $DF(x)$ of a function $F : H \rightarrow R^n$ is an element of $\mathcal{L}(R^k, R^n)$ (if it exists). Also, if $k = 1$, $\dot{F}(t)$ will be written instead of $DF(t)$. If, for example, $H \subset R^k \times R^l \times R^m$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, then $D_2F(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ denotes the partial derivative of F with respect to the second variable at the point x . It is an element of $\mathcal{L}(R^l, R^n)$ ([4]).

Consider the following time-optimal control problem:

$$(1) \quad \dot{x} = f(x, u),$$

where $f : G \times U \rightarrow R^n$ is continuous, G is open in R^n , and U is a Hausdorff space.

Suppose that the derivative $D_1f(x, u)$ exists for all $(x, u) \in G \times U$ and that $D_1f : G \times U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(R^n)$ is also continuous.

We consider only the piece-wise continuous strategies u defined on a compact interval in R . If the strategy $u : \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle \rightarrow U$ is fixed, the trajectory x is a solution of (1), i.e. it is a continuous function defined on some interval $I \subset \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$ which satisfies (1) at all points of continuity of u . If $I = \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$, denote the pair of x and u by $(x, u)_{t_1}^{t_2}$ and call it a process.

ThB is an immediate consequence of the next lemma (see [1], p. 225):

Lemma 1. *Let M be a piece-wise smooth subset of G of dimension less than n . Let $\omega : G \rightarrow R$ be continuous in G and have a derivative $D\omega(x)$ at all points $x \in G - M$. Suppose that the inequality $D\omega(x) \circ f(x, u) \leq 1$ holds for all $x \in G - M$ and for all $u \in U$. Then, for every process $(x, u)_{t_1}^{t_2}$ we have $t_2 - t_1 \geq \omega(x_2) - \omega(x_1)$, $x_i = x(t_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.*

We shall recall now the definition of a piece-wise smooth set, introducing simultaneously some useful notation.

A set K is called an s -dimensional polyhedron iff K is a convex compact polyhedron in R^s with a non-empty interior. A mapping $\varphi : K \rightarrow R^n$ is said to be of the class C^1 iff φ is the restriction of a C^1 -mapping ψ defined on an open neighborhood of K . It is easy to see that for $\xi \in K$ the value of $D\psi(\xi) \in \mathcal{L}(R^s, R^n)$ depends only on φ ; we can therefore denote it by $D\varphi(\xi)$. A C^1 -mapping φ is called regular iff $\mathbf{q}D\varphi(\xi) = s$ for all $\xi \in K$.

A curvilinear polyhedron $L = \varphi(K)$ of dimension s in R^n is, by definition, a triple (L, φ, K) where K is an s -dimensional polyhedron, $\varphi : K \rightarrow R^n$ is a regular injection and L is a subset of R^n , $L = \varphi(K)$. We shall call it simply the polyhedron L , or polyhedron $L = \varphi(K)$ provided there is no danger of misunderstanding. The points of the set $L \subset R^n$ will be referred to as the points of polyhedron L . Similarly, the union of a family of polyhedra is the union of the corresponding family of subsets of R^n etc.

Let us keep for a while the meaning of letters L, φ, K and s . Let $x \in L$, $\xi = \varphi^{-1}(x)$ and $A = D\varphi(\xi)$. Then AR^s is a vector subspace of dimension s in R^n ; it will be denoted by L_x and called the tangent space of L at the point x .

Definition 1. A set $M \subset G$ is called a piece-wise smooth set of dimension less

than n in G iff M is a union of a locally finite family of curvilinear polyhedra of dimension less than n .

Of course, M need not be a piece-wise smooth set in some $G' \supset G$.

PROOF OF LEMMA 1

We shall take advantage of the following form of the theorem on continuous dependence on the initial conditions for equation (1):

Theorem 1. Let $(x, u)_{t_1}^{t_2}$ be a process and $G' \subset G$ be an open neighborhood of the point-set

$$(2) \quad [x] = x(\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle).$$

For a fixed strategy u let us denote H the set of all initial conditions $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}) \in G \times \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$ such that the trajectory $y(t) = y(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau})$, $y(\tilde{\tau}) = \tilde{x}$ can be defined on the entire interval $\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$ and assumes its values in G' . Denote $\psi(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}) = y(t_1, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau})$. Finally, let σ be a finite subset of $\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$ containing t_1, t_2 and all points of discontinuity of the strategy u . Then

1° H is open in $G' \times \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$.

2° $\psi : H \rightarrow G'$ is piece-wise smooth with respect to σ . (See Definition 2.)

3° The linear operator $A(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}) = D_1\psi(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}) \in \mathcal{L}(R^n)$ is regular for all $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}) \in H$.

4° The following formula is valid:

$$(3) \quad D_2\psi(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}) = -A(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}) \circ D_1y(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}) \quad \text{if } \tilde{\tau} \notin \sigma.$$

The proof of the theorem follows the standard pattern. For example, it can be easily obtained by a slight modification of the proofs of Theorems 7.1. and 7.2. in [5]. For the sake of completeness a proof of relation (3) will be given here, because it is not contained in [5]: We have $y(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}) = y(t, y(s, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}), s)$ and particularly $\psi(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}) = \psi(y(s, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}), s)$. Hence, $D_2\psi(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}) = D_1\psi(y(s, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}), s) \circ D_3y(s, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau})$ and it suffices to put $s = \tilde{\tau}$ because $D_3y(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau}) = -D_1y(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{\tau})$ (see the proof of Theorem 7.2. in [5]).

Next, the definition of a piece-wise smooth function will be given:

Definition 2. Let I be a compact interval in R and σ be a finite subset of I containing its end-points. Let Ω be open in $R^k \times I$. Denote $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \cap (R^k \times (I - \sigma))$, which is an open subset of $R^k \times R$. A function $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow R^n$ will be called *piece-wise smooth with respect to σ* iff the following conditions hold:

1° Φ is continuous.

2° $\Phi_{\tilde{\Omega}} : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow R^n$ is a C^1 -mapping.

3° The mappings $x \rightarrow \Phi(x, t)$ are of the class C^1 on the corresponding sets $\Omega(t)$ for all $t \in \sigma$. (Or, equivalently, for all $t \in I$.)

Here $\Omega(t)$ denotes the section of Ω , i.e.

$$(4) \quad \Omega(t) = \{x \in R^k : (x, t) \in \Omega\}.$$

Besides Theorem 1, we shall need some consequences of the following well-known theorem of advanced calculus:

Theorem 2. *Let Ω be open in R^n and $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow R^n$ be of the class C^1 . Let $S = \{\xi \in \Omega : \mathfrak{qD}\Phi(\xi) < n\}$ be the set of all singular points of Φ . Then $|\Phi(S)| = 0$. ($|X|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set X .)*

For a simple proof see [6].

The next corollaries are trivial but useful consequences of Theorem 2:

Corollary 1. *The statement of Theorem 2 remains true for $\Omega \subset R^k$, $k \leq n$. (It suffices to apply Theorem 2 to the function Ψ , where $\Psi(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_n) = \Phi(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$ defined on the cylinder $\Omega \times R^{n-k}$ in R^n .)*

Corollary 2. *Using the notation of Definition 2, $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow R^n$ is a piece-wise smooth function with respect to σ . Suppose that $k + 1 \leq n$. Let*

$$(5) \quad S = S_1 \cup S_2,$$

where

$$(6) \quad S_1 = \{\xi \in \tilde{\Omega} : \mathfrak{qD}\Phi(\xi) < n\}$$

and

$$(7) \quad S_2 = \bigcup_{t \in \sigma} \Omega(t) \times \{t\}.$$

Then $|\Phi(S)| = 0$.

(Obvious.)

Let us finally turn to the proof of Lemma 1. As shown in [1] it suffices to prove the following assertion:

Lemma 2. *Let Γ be a family of (curvilinear) polyhedra of dimension less than n , which is locally finite in G . Let $(x, u)_{t_1}^{t_2}$ be a process. Then in every neighborhood of $x_1 = x(t_1)$ a point y_1 exists such that:*

1° *There exists a process $(y, u)_{t_1}^{t_2}$ satisfying $y(t_1) = y_1$.*

2° *The trajectory $y : \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle \rightarrow G$ of this process intersects only a finite number of polyhedra of Γ .*

3° *If $y(t) \in L \in \Gamma$ for some $t \in \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$, then the strategy u is continuous in t and $\dot{y}(t) \notin L_{y(t)}$.*

Proof. The set $[x] \subset G$ (see (2)) is compact. Thus there exists an open, relatively compact set G' ; $[x] \subset G' \subset \bar{G}' \subset G$. Then G' intersects only a finite number of polyhedra of the family Γ . Denote them by L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m . Assume that the sets

$\sigma \subset \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$, $H \subset G' \times \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$ and the functions $y(t, \tilde{x}, \tilde{z})$ and ψ are defined as in Theorem 1. Let $W = H(t_1)$ be the section of H (see (4)). Then $W \subset G'$ is an open neighborhood of x_1 . Conditions 1° and 2° of our lemma are satisfied for every $y_1 \in W$. Denote \tilde{N} the set of these $y_1 \in W$ for which condition 3° is not fulfilled. Similarly, denote N_i the set of those $y_1 \in W$ for which 3° is not fulfilled provided the family Γ is reduced to $\{L_i\}$. Thus we have $\tilde{N} = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_m$.

We have to prove that in every neighborhood of x_1 there exists a point $y_1 \in W - \tilde{N}$. It is sufficient to show that $|N_i| = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. The subscript i once fixed will be omitted in the following.

Let $L = \varphi(K)$. We may assume that φ is defined and belongs to the class C^1 on some open neighborhood K' of K . Let s be the dimension of L . Define a continuous mapping $g : K' \times \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle \rightarrow G' \times \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$ by the formula $g(\xi, t) = (\varphi(\xi), t)$. Denote $\Omega = g^{-1}(H)$. Ω is open in $K' \times \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$ and the function $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow G'$; $\Phi(\xi, t) = \psi(\varphi(\xi), t) = (\psi \circ g)(\xi, t)$ is piece-wise smooth in Ω with respect to σ .

Let S be defined as in Corollary 2 (see (5)). It is sufficient to show that $N \subset \Phi(S)$. Consider now an arbitrary $y_1 \in N$. Then $y(t_0, y_1, t_1) = y_0 \in L$ for some $t_0 \in \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle$ and at least one of the following relations holds: $t_0 \in \sigma$ or $\dot{y}(t_0) \in L_{y_0}$. Denote $\xi_0 = \varphi^{-1}(y_0)$. Then $y_1 = \psi(y_0, t_0) = \Phi(\xi_0, t_0)$. It can be immediately seen that $y_1 \in \Phi(S)$ if $t_0 \in \sigma$ (see (7)). Suppose $t_0 \in \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle - \sigma$. Let $A = D_1\psi(y_0, t_0) \in \mathcal{L}(R^n)$ and $B = D\Phi(\xi_0, t_0) = D(\psi \circ g)(\xi_0, t_0) \in \mathcal{L}(R^{s+1}, R^n)$. To find the rank of B we shall determine the dimension of the vector space $BR^{s+1} \subset R^n$. According to the theorem on the derivative of a composed function we have immediately $BR^{s+1} = AL_{y_0} + \{D_2\psi(y_0, t_0)\}_v$, where $\{a\}_v$ denotes the vector space spanned by a vector a . However, $D_2\psi(y_0, t_0) = -A\dot{y}(t_0)$ (see (3)) and consequently, $BR^{s+1} = AL_{y_0} + \{A\dot{y}(t_0)\}_v = A(L_{y_0} + \{\dot{y}(t_0)\}_v)$. Therefore, by the regularity of A (Theorem 1) we have $\dim BR^{s+1} = \dim(L_{y_0} + \{\dot{y}(t_0)\}_v)$. If now $y_1 \in N$, then $L_{y_0} + \{\dot{y}(t_0)\}_v = L_{y_0}$ and $\dim BR^{s+1} = s \leq n - 1$. Hence, $(\xi_0, t_0) \in S$ (see (5), (6)) and $y_1 = \Phi(\xi_0, t_0) \in \Phi(S)$.

Thus we have $N \subset \Phi(S)$, and, by Corollary 2, $|N| = 0$. This proves our lemma.

References

- [1] В. Г. Болтянский: Математические методы оптимального управления. Наука, Москва 1966.
- [2] Л. С. Понтрягин: Гладкие многообразия и их применения в теории гомотопий. Труды матем. института В. Д. Стеклова, Москва 1955.
- [3] А. Я. Дубовицкий: О дифференцируемых отображениях n -мерного куба в k -мерный куб. Матем. Сборник 32 (74) 1953, стр. 443—464.
- [4] J. Dieudonné: Foundations of Modern Analysis. Academic Press, New York—London 1960.
- [5] E. A. Coddington, N. Levinson: Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations. McGraw-Hill, New York 1955.
- [6] J. Mařík: Transformations of m -dimensional Lebesgue integrals. Czech. Math. Journ. 6 (81) 1956, p. 212—214.

Author's address: Praha 1, Smetanovo náměstí, 6 (Fakulta technické a jaderné fyziky ČVUT).