

Tomáš Kepka

m -medial n -quasigroups

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 32 (1991), No. 1, 9--14

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/116937>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

m-medial n-quasigroups

TOMÁŠ KEPKA

Abstract. For $n \geq 4$, every n -medial n -quasigroup is medial. If $1 \leq m < n$, then there exist m -medial n -quasigroups which are not $(m + 1)$ -medial.

Keywords: n -quasigroup, medial

Classification: 20N15

Idempotent symmetric 3-medial 2-quasigroups (also known as distributive Steiner quasigroups, idempotent Manin quasigroups, Hall triple systems, affine triple systems, planarily affine Steiner–Kirkman (2, 3)-systems, etc., etc.) possess many interesting algebraical, geometrical and combinatorial properties (see e.g. [1], [2], [5] for some of them). Similarly, idempotent symmetric 3-quasigroups corresponding to Steiner–Kirkman (3, 4)-systems, are 3-medial and, certainly, they are of some combinatorial interest. On the other hand, it is not clear whether the same applies to the general case of m -medial n -quasigroups, $1 \leq m \leq n^2$. In the present note, an investigation is started in this respect. It is shown that every n -medial n -quasigroup is medial for $n \geq 4$ and that for every $1 \leq m < n$ there exist m -medial n -quasigroups which are not $(m + 1)$ -medial.

1. Introduction.

An n -groupoid, where $n \geq 1$, is a non-empty set together with an n -ary operation (usually denoted multiplicatively). If G is an n -groupoid, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in G^{n-1}$, then we put $T_{i,a}(x) = a_1 \dots a_{i-1} x a_i \dots a_{n-1}$ for each $x \in G$. This transformation $T_{i,a}$ of G is called the i -th translation of G by a .

An n -groupoid G is said to be

- idempotent, if $x \dots x = x$ for each $x \in G$;
- commutative, if $x_1 \dots x_n = x_{p(1)} \dots x_{p(n)}$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in G$ and any permutation p of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$;
- medial, if $(x_{11} \dots x_{1n})(x_{21} \dots x_{2n}) \dots (x_{n1} \dots x_{nn}) = (x_{11} \dots x_{n1})(x_{12} \dots x_{n2}) \dots (x_{1n} \dots x_{nn})$ for all $x_{ij} \in G$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$;
- m -medial, where $1 \leq m$, if every subgroupoid of G generated by at most m elements is medial;
- symmetric if all the translations of G are involutions;
- an n -quasigroup if all the translations of G are permutations.

The following result is well known (see e.g. [6]):

Proposition 1.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. The following conditions are equivalent for an n -groupoid G :*

- (i) G is a medial n -quasigroup.
- (ii) There exist an abelian group $G(+)$, pair-wise commuting automorphisms f_1, \dots, f_n of the group and an element $s \in G$ such that $x_1 \dots x_n = f_1(x_1) + \dots + f_n(x_n) + s$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in G$.

For $n \geq 1$, let R_n designate the polynomial ring $Z[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_1^{-1}, \dots, \alpha_n^{-1}]$.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $n \geq 2$. The following conditions are equivalent for an n -groupoid G :*

- (i) G is a medial n -quasigroup.
- (ii) There exist an R_n -module $G(+, \alpha x)$ and an element $s \in G$ such that $x_1 \dots x_n = \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n + s$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in G$.

PROOF: If the condition (i) is satisfied, one may define a scalar multiplication on $G(+)$ (see 1.1) whose domain of operators is R_n by setting $\alpha_i \cdot x = f_i(x)$. \square

Proposition 1.3. *Let $n \geq 2$. The following conditions are equivalent for an n -groupoid G :*

- (i) G is idempotent, symmetric and medial.
- (ii) There exists an abelian group $G(+)$ such that $(n+1)x = 0$ and $x_1 \dots x_n = -x_1 - \dots - x_n = n(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$ for all $x, x_1, \dots, x_n \in G$.

PROOF: Let (i) be satisfied. First of all, $0 = 0 \dots 0 = s$. Next, $0 = b0 \dots 0(b0 \dots 0) = \alpha_1 b + \alpha_n \alpha_1 b = a + \alpha_n a$, $b = \alpha_1^{-1} a$, $\alpha_n a = -a$ and $\alpha_n = -1$. Similarly, $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{n-1} = -1$. \square

2. Auxiliary results.

In this section, let Q be an n -quasigroup, where $n \geq 2$, and let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in Q$. Put $f = T_{1,u}$, $g = T_{2,v}$, $u = (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n)$, $v = (a_1, a_3, \dots, a_n)$ and $x * y = f^{-1}(x)g^{-1}(y)a_3 \dots a_n$ for all $x, y \in Q$. It is easy to check that the 2-groupoid $Q(*)$ is a loop and $e = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ is its neutral element.

Observation 2.1. Let P be a subquasigroup of the n -quasigroup Q and suppose that $a_1, \dots, a_n \in P$ and P is medial. By 1.2 (ii) there exist an R_n -module $P(+, \alpha x)$ and an element $s \in P$ such that $x_1 \dots x_n = \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n + s$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in P$. Now, $f^{-1}(x) = \alpha_1^{-1} x - \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_2 a_2 - \dots - \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_n a_n - \alpha_1^{-1} s$ and $g^{-1}(y) = \alpha_2^{-1} y - \alpha_2^{-1} \alpha_1 a_1 - \alpha_2^{-1} \alpha_3 a_3 - \dots - \alpha_2^{-1} \alpha_n a_n - \alpha_2^{-1} s$, and hence $x * y = x - \alpha_2 a_2 - \dots - \alpha_n a_n - s + y - \alpha_1 a_1 - \alpha_3 a_3 - \dots - \alpha_n a_n - s + \alpha_3 a_3 + \dots + \alpha_n a_n + s = x + y - \alpha_1 a_1 - \alpha_2 a_2 - \dots - \alpha_n a_n - s = x + y - e$ for all $x, y \in P$. We have shown that

$$(2.1.1) \quad x * y = x + y - e$$

for all $x, y \in P$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $a, b, c \in Q$ be such that the subquasigroup generated by a, b, c, a_1, \dots, a_n is medial. Then $a * b = b * a$ and $a * (b * c) = (a * b) * c$.*

PROOF: This follows easily from (2.1.1). \square

Now, put $w = ee \dots e$ and denote by z the unique element of Q such that $w * z = e$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $x \in Q$, let $g_i(x) = (ee \dots exe \dots e) * z$, where x is on the i -th position. Clearly, these transformations g_i are permutations.

Observation 2.3. Consider the situation from 2.1. Then $e = w * z = w + z - e$, and so $w + z = 2e$. Further, $ee \dots exe \dots e = w - \alpha_i e + \alpha_i x$ and we have $g_i(x) = w - \alpha_i e + \alpha_i x + z - e = \alpha_i x - \alpha_i e + e$. Thus

$$(2.1.2) \quad g_i(x) = \alpha_i(x - e) + e$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $x \in P$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $a, b \in Q$ be such that the subquasigroup generated by a, b, a_1, \dots, a_n is medial. Then $g_i(a * b) = g_i(a) * g_i(b)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.

PROOF: This follows easily from (2.1.) and (2.1.2). □

Lemma 2.5. Let $a \in Q$ be such that the subquasigroup generated by a, a_1, \dots, a_n is medial. Then $g_i g_j(a) = g_j g_i(a)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

PROOF: This follows easily from (2.1.2). □

Lemma 2.6. Let P be a medial subquasigroup of Q such that $a_1, \dots, a_n \in P$. Then $P(*)$ is an abelian group and $g_i | P$ are pair-wise commuting automorphisms of $P(*)$.

PROOF: Use 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4. □

Lemma 2.7. Let P be a medial subquasigroup of Q such that $a_1, \dots, a_n \in P$. Then $u_1 \dots u_n = g_1(u_1) * \dots * g_n(u_n) * w$ for all $u_1, \dots, u_n \in P$.

PROOF: By (2.1.1) and (2.1.2), $g_1(u_1 * \dots * g_n(u_n) * w) = (\alpha_1(u_1 - e) + e) * \dots * (\alpha_n(u_n - e) + e) * w = \alpha_1 u_1 + \dots + \alpha_n u_n - \alpha_1 e - \dots - \alpha_n e + ne + w - ne = \alpha_1 u_1 + \dots + \alpha_n u_n + s = u_1 \dots u_n$, since $w = \alpha_1 e + \dots + \alpha_n e + s$. □

3. Auxiliary results.

In this section, let Q be a 4-medial n -quasigroup, where $n \geq 2$. For every $a \in Q$, let $u_a = (a, a, \dots, a) \in Q^{(n-1)}$, $f_a = T_{1, u_a}$, $g_a = T_{2, u_a}$, $e_a = aa \dots a \in Q$ and $x o_a y = f_a^{-1}(x) g_a^{-1}(y) a \dots a$ for all $x, y \in Q$. By 2.2, $Q(o_a)$ is an abelian group and e_a is its neutral element.

Further, let $w_a = e_a e_a \dots e_a$, $w_a o_a z_a = e_a$ and let $g_{i,a}(x) = (e_a e_a \dots e_a x e_a \dots e_a) o_a z_a$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. By 2.4 and 2.5, $g_{i,a}$ are pair-wise commuting automorphisms of $Q(o_a)$, and hence they induce a structure of an R_n -module on $Q(o_a)$. We denote by $(\alpha, x) \rightarrow q_a x$ the corresponding scalar multiplication, so that $\alpha_i q_a x = g_{i,a}(x)$.

Lemma 3.1. $x o_b y = x o_a (e_a o_b e_a)$ for all $a, b, x, y \in Q$.

PROOF: Denote by P the subquasigroup generated by x, y, a, b . Then P is medial and let $P(+, \alpha x, s)$ be a corresponding pointed R_n -module (see 1.2). By (2.1.1), $u_o a v = u + v - e_a$ and $u o_b v = u + v - e_b$ for all $u, v \in P$. Hence $x o_a y o_a (e_a o_b e_a) = x + y + 2e_a - 2e_a - e_b = x + y - e_b = x o_b y$. □

Lemma 3.2. $\alpha_i q_b x = (\alpha_i q_a x) o_a (\alpha_i q_b e_a)$ for all $a, b, x \in Q$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

PROOF: Let P be the subquasigroup generated by x, a, b and consider a corresponding pointed R_n -module $P(+, \alpha x, s)$. By (2), $\alpha_i q_a u = \alpha_i u - \alpha_i e_a + e_a$ and $\alpha_i q_b u = \alpha_i u - \alpha_i e_b + e_b$ for each $u \in P$. Consequently, $(\alpha_i q_a x) o_a (\alpha_i q_b e_a) = \alpha_i x - \alpha_i e_a + e_a) o_a (\alpha_i e_a - \alpha_i e_b + e_b) = \alpha_i x - \alpha_i e_a + e_a + \alpha_i e_a - \alpha_i e_b + e_b - e_a = \alpha_i x - \alpha_i e_b + e_b = \alpha_i q_b x$. \square

In the remaining part of this section, suppose that Q is n -medial. Further, let $a \in Q, e = e_a, w = w_a, * = o_a$ and $o = q_a$.

Lemma 3.3. There is a transformation h of Q such that $x_1 \dots x_n = (\alpha_1 o x_1) * \dots * (\alpha_n o x_n) * h(x_1)$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in Q$.

PROOF: Put $b = x_1$ and denote by P the subquasigroup generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . Then P is medial and we have $x_1 \dots x_n = (\alpha_1 q_b x_1) o_b \dots o_b (\alpha_n q_b x_n) o_b w_b$ by 2.7. However, by 3.1 and 3.2, we can write $x_1 \dots x_n = (\alpha_1 q_b x_1) * \dots * (\alpha_n q_b x_n) * w_b * r$, where $r = (e o_b e) * \dots * (e o_b e)$ (n -times), and $x_1 \dots x_n = (\alpha_1 o x_1) * \dots * (\alpha_n o x_n) * w_b * r * t$, where $t = (\alpha_1 q_b e) * \dots * (\alpha_n q_b e)$. Now, it is enough to put $h(x_1) = h(b) = w_b * r * t$. \square

Lemma 3.4. $x_1 \dots x_n = (\alpha_1 o x_1) * \dots * (\alpha_n o x_n) * w$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in Q$.

PROOF: With respect to 3.3, we have to show that $h(y) = w$ for every $y \in Q$. Denote by P the subquasigroup generated by y and a and let $P(+, \alpha x, s)$ be a corresponding pointed module. Then $ye \dots e = (\alpha_1 o y) * h(y)$ by 3.3. But $ye \dots e = \alpha_1 y + \alpha_2 e + \dots + \alpha_n e + s$ and $(\alpha_1 o y) * h(y) = (\alpha_1 o y) + h(y) - e = \alpha_1 y - \alpha_1 e + e + h(y) - e = \alpha_1 y - \alpha_1 e + h(y)$. Thus $h(y) = \alpha_1 e + \dots + \alpha_n e + s = ee \dots e = w$. \square

4. Main results.

Construction 4.1. Let $2 \leq m \leq n$, let p be a prime dividing n and let $Q(+, F)$ be an m -ary ring satisfying the following identities: $px = 0; F(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$ whenever $x_i = x_j$ for some $i < j$; $F(F(x_1, \dots, x_m), y_2, \dots, y_m) = F(y_1, F(x_1, \dots, x_m), y_3, \dots, y_m) = \dots = F(y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}, F(x_1, \dots, x_m)) = 0$. Now define an n -ary operation on Q by $x_1 \dots x_n = x_1 + \dots + x_n + F(x_1, \dots, x_m)$. In this way, we get an n -groupoid Q .

Lemma 4.1.1. The n -groupoid Q is an $(m-1)$ -medial n -quasigroup and $xx \dots x = 0$ for every $x \in Q$.

PROOF: Let $1 \leq i \leq n, a_1, \dots, a_n \in Q, a = (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \in Q^{(n-1)}$ and $T = T_{i,a}$. Further, let $b = a_1 + \dots + a_{i-1} + a_{i+1} + \dots + a_n$ and $x \in Q$. If $i \leq m$, then $T(x) = x + b + F(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_m) = x + b + f(x), T^2(x) = x + 2b + 2f(x) + c$, where $c = F(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{m+1} + \dots + a_n, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_m)$, and $T^k(x) = x + kb + kf(x) + (k(k-1)/2)c$ for $k \geq 3$. Consequently, $T^{2p} = id_Q$ ($T^p = id_Q$ provided that p is odd). If $m < i$, then $T(x) = x + b + F(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and $T^p = id_Q$. We have proved that every translation of Q is a permutation, i.e. Q is an n -quasigroup.

Now, let $a_1, \dots, a_{m-1} \in Q$ and let P be the subgroup generated by these elements in the additive group $Q(+)$ of the m -ary ring. Then $F \mid P^{(m)} = 0$, so that P is a subring as well. However, then P is a subquasigroup which is clearly medial. \square

Lemma 4.1.2. *Suppose that $3 \leq n$ and $F \neq 0$. Then the n -quasigroup Q is not m -medial.*

PROOF: Let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in Q$ be such that $F(a_1, \dots, a_m) \neq 0$. Denote by P the subquasigroup generated by these elements and suppose that P is medial. Since $a_1 a_1 \dots a_1 = 0$, we have also $0 \in P$. By 1.2, there exists a pointed R_n -module $P(*, \alpha x, s)$ such that $x_1 \dots x_n = \alpha_1 x_1 * \dots * \alpha_n x_n * s$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in P$. Let $e \in P$ be the neutral element of the abelian group $P(*)$. We have $x_1 + \dots + x_n + F(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \alpha_1 x_1 * \dots * \alpha_n x_n * s$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in P$. In particular, $x_1 = \alpha_1 x_1 * \alpha_2 0 * \dots * \alpha_n 0 * s$, $e = e * \alpha_2 0 * \dots * \alpha_n 0 * s$, $e = \alpha_2 0 * \dots * \alpha_n 0 * s$ and $x_1 = \alpha_1 x_1 * e = \alpha_1 x_1$. Similarly, $x_2 = \alpha_2 x_2$, etc., and we have proved that $x_1 + \dots + x_n + F(x_1, \dots, x_m) = x_1 * \dots * x_n * s$. Consequently, $x + y = x * y * 2e$ for all $x, y \in P$, and therefore $x_1 + \dots + x_n + F(x_1, \dots, x_m) = x_1 * \dots * x_n * F(x_1, \dots, x_m) * u$, where $u = 2e * \dots * 2e$ (n -times). Now, we conclude that $x_1 * \dots * x_n * s = x_1 * \dots * x_n * F(x_1, \dots, x_m) * u$, $s = F(x_1, \dots, x_m) * u$ and $F \mid P^{(m)}$ is constant. Since $0 \in P$, $F \mid P^{(m)} = 0$, a contradiction. \square

Example 4.2. Let $2 \leq m \leq n, 3 \leq n$, let p be the least prime dividing n and let $q = Z_p^{(m+1)}$. For $x_i = (x_{ij}) \in Q, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq m + 1$, put $F(x_1, \dots, x_m) = (0, \dots, 0 \det X) \in Q, X = (x_{rs}), 1 \leq r, s \leq m$. Then $Q(+, F)$ is an m -ary ring satisfying the identities from 4.1 and $F \neq 0$. Now, the corresponding n -quasigroup (see 4.1) is $(m - 1)$ -medial but not m -medial.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $n \geq 4$.*

- (i) *If $m \geq n$, then every m -medial n -quasigroup is medial.*
- (ii) *If $1 \leq m < n$, then there exists an m -medial n -quasigroup which is not $(m + 1)$ -medial.*

PROOF: (i) This follows from 3.4 and 1.2.

(ii) See 4.2. \square

Example 4.4. Let $n \geq 3$ and $Q = Z_2^{(n+1)}$. Define an n -ary ring $Q(+, F)$ in the same way as in 4.2 and consider the corresponding n -quasigroup Q . Then Q is $(n - 1)$ -medial. For $n \geq 4$, Q is not n -medial and for $n = 3$, Q is 3-medial and not 4-medial. For n odd, Q is idempotent and symmetric.

Remark 4.5. By 3.4, every m -medial 3-quasigroup is medial for $m \geq 4$. On the other hand, by 4.2 and 4.4, for every $1 \leq m \leq 3$ there exists an m -medial 3-quasigroup which is not $(m + 1)$ -medial.

Remark 4.6. Obviously, for $m \geq 4$, every m -medial 2-quasigroup is medial and it is easy to show that, for $m = 1, 2$, there exists an m -medial 2-quasigroup which is not $(m + 1)$ -medial. As concerns the 3-medial 2-quasigroups, the following example is well known (see [4]): Let $Q = Z_3^{(4)}$ and $x * y = -x - y + (0, 0, 0, x_1 x_3 y_2 - x_2 x_3 y_1 -$

$x_1y_2y_3 + x_2y_1y_3$) for all $x, y \in 0$. Then $Q(\ast)$ is an idempotent symmetric 3-medial 2-quasigroup and it is not medial. By [7], every non-medial 3-medial 2-quasigroup contains at least 81 elements and, by [3], there exist up to isomorphism just 35 non-medial 3-medial 2-quasigroups of order 81.

Remark 4.7. Every 1-groupoid, and hence every 1-quasigroup, is medial.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bénéteau L., *Free commutative Moufang loops and anticommutative graded rings*, J. Algebra **67** (1980), 1–35.
- [2] Bénéteau L., *Une classe particulière de matroïdes parfaits*, Annals of Discr. Math. **8** (1980), 229–232.
- [3] Bénéteau L., Kepka t., Lacaze J., *Small finite trimedial quasigroups*, Commun. Algebra **14** (1986), 1067–1090.
- [4] Bol G., *Gewebe und Gruppen*, Math. Ann. **114** (1937), 414–431.
- [5] Deza M., Hamada N., *The geometric structure of a matroid design derived from some commutative Moufang loops and a new MDPB association scheme*, Techn. report nr. 18, Statistic Research group, Hiroshima Univ., 1980.
- [6] Evans T., *Abstract mean values*, Duke Math. J. **30** (1963), 331–347.
- [7] Kepka T., *Structure of triabelian quasigroups*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **17** (1976), 229–240.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 00 PRAHA 8, CZECHOSLOVAKIA

(Received September 24, 1990)