

Ying Ge

On three equivalences concerning Ponomarev-systems

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 42 (2006), No. 3, 239--246

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108002>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THREE EQUIVALENCES CONCERNING PONOMAREV-SYSTEMS

YING GE

ABSTRACT. Let $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ be a sequence of covers of a space X such that $\{st(x, \mathcal{P}_n)\}$ is a network at x in X for each $x \in X$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{P}_n = \{P_\beta : \beta \in \Lambda_n\}$ and Λ_n be endowed the discrete topology. Put $M = \{b = (\beta_n) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n : \{P_{\beta_n}\} \text{ forms a network at some point } x_b \text{ in } X\}$ and $f : M \rightarrow X$ by choosing $f(b) = x_b$ for each $b \in M$. In this paper, we prove that f is a sequentially-quotient (resp. sequence-covering, compact-covering) mapping if and only if each \mathcal{P}_n is a cs^* -cover (resp. fcs -cover, cfp -cover) of X . As a consequence of this result, we prove that f is a sequentially-quotient, s -mapping if and only if it is a sequence-covering, s -mapping, where “ s ” can not be omitted.

1. INTRODUCTION

A space is called a Baire’s zero-dimensional space if it is a Tychonoff-product space of countable many discrete spaces. In [9], Ponomarev proved that each first countable space can be characterized as an open image of a subspace of a Baire’s zero-dimensional space. More precisely, he obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a space with the topology $\tau = \{P_\beta : \beta \in \Lambda\}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $\Lambda_n = \Lambda$ and endow Λ_n the discrete topology. Put $Z = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n$, which is a Baire’s zero-dimensional space, and put $M = \{b = (\beta_n) \in Z : \{P_{\beta_n}\} \text{ forms a neighbourhood base at some point } x_b \text{ in } X\}$. Define $f : M \rightarrow X$ by choosing $f(b) = x_b$ for each $b \in M$. Then*

- (1) f is a mapping.
- (2) f is continuous and onto.
- (3) If X is first countable, then f is an open mapping.

Recently, while generalizing the Ponomarev’s methods, Lin ([6]) introduced *Ponomarev-systems* $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$ as in the following definition.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54E35, 54E40.

Key words and phrases: Ponomarev-system, point-star network, cs^* -(resp. fcs -, cfp -)cover, sequentially-quotient (resp. sequence-covering, compact-covering) mapping.

This project was supported by NSFC(No.10571151).

Received June 7, 2005, revised February 2006.

Definition 1.2.

(1) Let $\mathcal{P} = \cup\{\mathcal{P}_x : x \in X\}$ be a cover of a space X , where $\mathcal{P}_x \subset (\mathcal{P})_x = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : x \in P\}$. \mathcal{P} is called a network of X ([8]), if for each $x \in U$ with U open in X , there exists $P \in \mathcal{P}_x$ such that $x \in P \subset U$, where \mathcal{P}_x is called a network at x in X .

(2) Let $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ be a sequence of covers of a space X . $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ is called a point-star network of X ([7]), if $\{st(x, \mathcal{P}_n)\}$ is a network at x in X for each $x \in X$, where $st(x, \mathcal{P}) = \cup\{P \in \mathcal{P} : x \in P\}$.

(3) Let $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ be a point-star network of a space X . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $\mathcal{P}_n = \{P_\beta : \beta \in \Lambda_n\}$ and endow Λ_n the discrete topology. Put $M = \{b = (\beta_n) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n : \{P_{\beta_n}\} \text{ forms a network at some point } x_b \text{ in } X\}$, then M , which is a subspace of the product space $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n$, is a metric space and x_b is unique for each $b \in M$. Define $f : M \rightarrow X$ by choosing $f(b) = x_b$, then f is a continuous and onto mapping. $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$ is called a *Ponomarev-system* ([7, 10]).

In a *Ponomarev-system* $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$, the following results have been obtained.

Theorem 1.3 ([6, 7, 10]). *Let $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$ be a Ponomarev-system. Then the following hold.*

(1) *If each \mathcal{P}_n is a point-finite (resp. point-countable) cover of X , then f is a compact mapping (resp. s -mapping).*

(2) *If each \mathcal{P}_n is a cs^* -cover (resp. cfp -cover) of X , then f is a sequentially-quotient (resp. compact-covering) mapping.*

Take Theorem 1.3 into account, the following question naturally arises.

Question 1.4. Can implications (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.3 be reversed?

In this paper, we investigate the *Ponomarev-system* $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$ to answer Question 1.4 affirmatively. We also prove that, in a *Ponomarev-system* $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$, f is a sequence-covering mapping if and only if each \mathcal{P}_n is an *fc*-cover. As a consequence of these results, f is a sequentially-quotient, s -mapping if and only if it is a sequence-covering, s -mapping, where “ s ” can not be omitted.

Throughout this paper, all spaces are assumed to be regular and T_1 , and all mappings are continuous and onto. \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all natural numbers, $\{x_n\}$ denotes a sequence, where the n -th term is x_n . Let X be a space and let A be a subset of X . We call that a sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to x in X is eventually in A if $\{x_n : n > k\} \cup \{x\} \subset A$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let \mathcal{P} be a family of subsets of X and let $x \in X$. $\cup \mathcal{P}$, $st(x, \mathcal{P})$ and $(\mathcal{P})_x$ denote the union $\cup\{P : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$, the union $\cup\{P \in \mathcal{P} : x \in P\}$ and the subfamily $\{P \in \mathcal{P} : x \in P\}$ of \mathcal{P} respectively. For a sequence $\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of covers of a space X and a sequence $\{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of subsets of a space X , we abbreviate $\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ to $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ and $\{P_n\}$ respectively. A point $b = (\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of a Tychonoff-product space is abbreviated to (β_n) , and the n -th coordinate β_n of b is also denoted by $(b)_n$.

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

Definition 2.1. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping.

(1) f is called a sequentially-quotient mapping ([1]) if for each convergent sequence S in Y , there exists a convergent sequence L in X such that $f(L)$ is a subsequence of S .

(2) f is called a sequence-covering mapping ([4]) if for each convergent sequence S converging to y in Y , there exists a compact subset K of X such that $f(K) = S \cup \{y\}$.

(3) f is called a compact-covering mapping ([8]) if for each compact subset L of Y , there exists a compact subset K of X such that $f(K) = L$.

Remark 2.2. (1) Compact-covering mapping \implies sequence-covering mapping \implies (if the domain is metric) sequentially-quotient mapping ([6]).

(2) “sequence-covering mapping” in Definition 2.1 (2) was also called “pseudo-sequence-covering mapping” by Ikeda, Liu and Tanaka in [5].

Definition 2.3. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. f is called a π -mapping ([9]), if for each $y \in Y$ and for each neighbourhood U of y in Y , $d(f^{-1}(y), X - f^{-1}(U)) > 0$.

Remark 2.4. (1) For a Ponomarev-system $(f, M, X, \{P_n\})$, $f : M \rightarrow X$ is a π -mapping ([7, 10]).

(2) Recall a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a compact mapping (resp. s -mapping), if $f^{-1}(y)$ is a compact (resp. separable) subset of X for each $y \in Y$. It is clear that each compact mapping from a metric space is an s - and π -mapping.

Definition 2.5. Let \mathcal{P} be a cover of a space X .

(1) \mathcal{P} is called a cs^* -cover of X ([6]) if for each convergent sequence S in X , there exists $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and a subsequence S' of S such that S' is eventually in P .

(2) \mathcal{P} is called an fcs -cover of X ([3]) if for each sequence S converging to x in X , there exists a finite subfamily \mathcal{P}' of $(\mathcal{P})_x$ such that S is eventually in $\bigcup \mathcal{P}'$.

(3) \mathcal{P} is called a cfp -cover of X ([7]) if for each compact subset K , there exists a finite family $\{K_n : n \leq m\}$ of closed subsets of K and $\{P_n : n \leq m\} \subset \mathcal{P}$ such that $K = \bigcup \{K_n : n \leq m\}$ and each $K_n \subset P_n$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $(f, M, X, \{P_n\})$ be a Ponomarev-system and let $U = (\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n) \cap M$, where $\Gamma_n \subset \Lambda_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $f(U) \subset \bigcup \{P_\beta : \beta \in \Gamma_k\}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $b = (\beta_n) \in U$ and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{P_{\beta_n}\}$ forms a network at $f(b)$ in X and $\beta_k \in \Gamma_k$. So $f(b) \in P_{\beta_k} \subset \bigcup \{P_\beta : \beta \in \Gamma_k\}$. This proves that $f(U) \subset \bigcup \{P_\beta : \beta \in \Gamma_k\}$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $(f, M, X, \{P_n\})$ be a Ponomarev-system. Then the following hold.

(1) f is a compact mapping (resp. s -mapping) if and only if \mathcal{P}_m is point-finite (resp. point-countable) cover of X for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) f is a sequentially-quotient mapping if and only if \mathcal{P}_m is a cs^* -cover of X for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

(3) f is a compact-covering mapping if and only if \mathcal{P}_m is a cfp -cover of X for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.3, we only need to prove necessities of (1), (2) and (3). Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

(1) We only give a proof for the parenthetic part. If \mathcal{P}_m is not point-countable, then, for some $x \in X$, there exists an uncountable subset Γ_m of Λ_m such that $\Gamma_m = \{\beta \in \Lambda_m : x \in P_\beta\}$. For each $\beta \in \Gamma_m$, put $U_\beta = ((\prod_{n < m} \Lambda_n) \times \{\beta\} \times (\prod_{n > m} \Lambda_n)) \cap M$. Then $\{U_\beta : \beta \in \Gamma_m\}$ covers $f^{-1}(x)$. If not, there exists $c = (\gamma_n) \in f^{-1}(x)$ and $c \notin U_\beta$ for each $\beta \in \Gamma_m$, so $\gamma_m \notin \Gamma_m$. Thus $x \notin P_{\gamma_m}$ from construction of Γ_m . But $x = f(c) \in P_{\gamma_m}$ from Lemma 2.6. This is a contradiction. Thus $\{U_\beta : \beta \in \Gamma_m\}$ is an uncountable open cover of $f^{-1}(x)$, but it has not any proper subcover. So $f^{-1}(x)$ is not separable, hence f is not an s -mapping.

(2) Let f be a sequentially-quotient mapping, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence converging to x in X . Then there exists a sequence $\{b_k\}$ converging to b in M such that $f(b_k) = x_{n_k}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $b = (\beta_n) \in (\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n) \cap M$. We claim that the subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ is eventually in P_{β_m} . In fact, put $U = ((\prod_{n < m} \Lambda_n) \times \{\beta_m\} \times (\prod_{n > m} \Lambda_n)) \cap M$, then U is an open neighbourhood of b in M . So sequence $\{b_k\}$ is eventually in U , hence sequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is eventually in $f(U)$. $f(U) \subset P_{\beta_m}$ from Lemma 2.6, so $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is eventually in P_{β_m} . Note that $\beta_m \in \Lambda_m$, so $P_{\beta_m} \in \mathcal{P}_m$. This proves that \mathcal{P}_m is a cs^* -cover of X .

(3) Let f be a compact-covering mapping, and let C be a compact subset of X . Then there exists a compact subset K of M such that $f(K) = C$. For each $a \in K$, put $U_a = ((\prod_{n < m} \Lambda_n) \times \{(a)_m\} \times (\prod_{n > m} \Lambda_n)) \cap M$, where $(a)_m \in \Lambda_m$ is the m -th coordinate of a , then $U_a \cap K$ is an open (in subspace K) neighbourhood of a . So there exists an open (in subspace K) neighbourhood V_a of a such that $a \in V_a \subset Cl_K(V_a) \subset U_a \cap K$, where $Cl_K(V_a)$ is the closure of V_a in subspace K . Note that $\{V_a : a \in K\}$ is an open cover of subspace K and K is compact in M , so there exists a finite subset $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s\}$ of K such that $\{V_{a_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$ is a finite cover of K . Thus $\bigcup \{Cl_K(V_{a_i}) : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\} = K$, and so $\bigcup \{f(Cl_K(V_{a_i})) : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\} = f(\bigcup \{Cl_K(V_{a_i}) : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}) = f(K) = C$. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, put $C_i = f(Cl_K(V_{a_i}))$. Since $Cl_K(V_{a_i})$ is compact in K , C_i is compact in C , so C_i is closed in C , and $C = \bigcup \{C_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, $C_i = f(Cl_K(V_{a_i})) \subset f(U_{a_i} \cap K) \subset f(U_{a_i})$, and $f(U_{a_i}) \subset P_{(a_i)_m}$ from Lemma 2.6, so $C_i \subset P_{(a_i)_m}$. Note that $(a_i)_m \in \Lambda_m$, so $P_{(a_i)_m} \in \mathcal{P}_m$. This proves that \mathcal{P}_m is a cfp -cover of X . \square

By viewing the above theorem, we ask: in a Ponomarev-system $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$, what is the sufficient and necessary condition such that f is a sequence-covering mapping? We give an answer to this question.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$ be a Ponomarev-system. Then f is a sequence-covering mapping if and only if each \mathcal{P}_n is an fcs -cover of X .*

Proof. *Sufficiency:* Let each \mathcal{P}_n be an fcs -cover of X , and let $S = \{x_n\}$ be a sequence converging to x in X . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since \mathcal{P}_n is an fcs -cover, there exists a finite subfamily \mathcal{F}_n of $(\mathcal{P}_n)_x$ such that S is eventually in $\bigcup \mathcal{F}_n$.

Note that $S - \bigcup \mathcal{F}_n$ is finite. There exists a finite subfamily \mathcal{G}_n of \mathcal{P}_n such that $S - \bigcup \mathcal{F}_n \subset \bigcup \mathcal{G}_n$. Put $\mathcal{F}_n \cup \mathcal{G}_n = \{P_{\beta_n} : \beta_n \in \Gamma_n\}$, where Γ_n is a finite subset of Λ_n . For each $\beta_n \in \Gamma_n$, if $P_{\beta_n} \in \mathcal{F}_n$, put $S_{\beta_n} = (S \cap P_{\beta_n}) \cup \{x\}$, otherwise, put $S_{\beta_n} = (S - \bigcup \mathcal{F}_n) \cap P_{\beta_n}$. It is easy to see that $S = \bigcup_{\beta_n \in \Gamma_n} S_{\beta_n}$ and $\{S_{\beta_n} : \beta_n \in \Gamma_n\}$ is a family of compact subsets of X .

Put $K = \{(\beta_n) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n : \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_{\beta_n} \neq \emptyset\}$. Then

Claim 1: $K \subset M$ and $f(K) \subset S$.

Let $b = (\beta_n) \in K$, then $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_{\beta_n} \neq \emptyset$. Pick $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_{\beta_n}$, then $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_{\beta_n}$. Note that $\{P_{\beta_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ forms a network at y in X if and only if $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_{\beta_n}$. So $b \in M$ and $f(b) = y \in S$. This proves That $K \subset M$ and $f(K) \subset S$.

Claim 2: $S \subset f(K)$.

Let $y \in S$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, pick $\beta_n \in \Gamma_n$ such that $y \in S_{\beta_n}$. Put $b = (\beta_n)$, then $b \in K$ and $f(b) = y$. This proves that $S \subset f(K)$.

Claim 3: K is a compact subset of M .

Since $K \subset M$ and $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n$ is a compact subset of $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n$. We only need to prove that K is a closed subset of $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n$. It is clear that $K \subset \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n$. Let $b = (\beta_n) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n - K$. Then $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_{\beta_n} = \emptyset$. There exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bigcap_{n \leq n_0} S_{\beta_n} = \emptyset$. Put $W = \{(\gamma_n) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n : \gamma_n = \beta_n \text{ for } n \leq n_0\}$. Then W is open in $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n$ and $b \in W$. It is easy to see that $W \cap K = \emptyset$. So K is a closed subset of $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n$.

By the above three claims, f is a sequence-covering mapping.

Necessity: Let f be a sequence-covering mapping and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence converging to x in X , there exists a compact subset K of M such that $f(K) = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\}$. Since $f^{-1}(x) \cap K$ is a compact subset of M , there exists a finite subset $\{a_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$ of $f^{-1}(x) \cap K$ and a finite open cover $\{U_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$ of $f^{-1}(x) \cap K$, where for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, $U_i = ((\prod_{n < m} \Lambda_n) \times \{(a_i)_m\} \times (\prod_{n > m} \Lambda_n)) \cap M$ is an open neighbourhood of a_i , and $(a_i)_m \in \Lambda_m$ is the m -th coordinate of a_i . By Lemma 2.6, $x = f(a_i) \in f(U_i) \subset P_{(a_i)_m} \in (\mathcal{P}_m)_x$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$. We only need to prove that sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to x is eventually in $\bigcup \{P_{(a_i)_m} : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$. If not, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_k} \notin \bigcup \{P_{(a_i)_m} : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, $x_{n_k} \notin P_{(a_i)_m}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we pick $b_k \in K$ such that $f(b_k) = x_{n_k}$. If for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, $b_k \in U_i$, then $x_{n_k} = f(b_k) \in f(U_i) \subset P_{(a_i)_m}$ from Lemma 2.6. This is a contradiction. So $b_k \notin U_i$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$. Thus $\{b_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset K - \bigcup \{U_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$. Note that $K - \bigcup \{U_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$ is a compact metric subspace, there exists a sequence $\{b_{k_j}\}$ converging to a point $b \in K - \bigcup \{U_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$. Thus $b \notin f^{-1}(x)$, so $f(b) \neq x$. On the other hand, $\{f(b_{k_j})\}$ converges to $f(b)$ by the continuity of f and $\{f(b_{k_j})\} = \{x_{n_{k_j}}\}$ converges to x , so $f(b) = x$. This is a contradiction. So sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to x is eventually in $\bigcup \{P_{(a_i)_l} : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$. \square

3. SOME CONSEQUENCES

cs^* -cover and fcs -cover are not equivalent in general, but there exist some relations between cs^* -cover and fcs -cover.

Proposition 3.1. *Let \mathcal{P} be a cover of a space X . Then the following hold.*

- (1) *If \mathcal{P} is an fcs -cover of X , then \mathcal{P} is a cs^* -cover of X .*
- (2) *If \mathcal{P} is a point-countable cs^* -cover of X , then \mathcal{P} is an fcs -cover of X .*

Proof. (1) holds from Definition 2.5. We only need to prove (2).

Let \mathcal{P} be a point-countable cs^* -cover of X . Let $S = \{x_n\}$ be a sequence converging to x in X . Since \mathcal{P} is point-countable, put $(\mathcal{P})_x = \{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then S is eventually in $\bigcup_{n \leq k} P_n$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If not, then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, S is not eventually in $\bigcup_{n \leq k} P_n$. So, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_{n_k} \in S - \bigcup_{n \leq k} P_n$. We may assume $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_{k-1} < n_k < n_{k+1} < \dots$. Put $S' = \{x_{n_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then S' is a sequence converging to x . Since \mathcal{P} is a cs^* -cover, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a subsequence S'' of S' such that S'' is eventually in P_m . Note that $P_m \in (\mathcal{P})_x$. This contradicts the construction of S' . \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$ be a Ponomarev-system. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *f is a sequentially-quotient, s -mapping;*
- (2) *f is a sequence-covering, s -mapping.*

Proof. Consider the following conditions.

- (3) \mathcal{P}_n is a point-countable cs^* -cover of X for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (4) \mathcal{P}_n is a point-countable fcs -cover of X for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then (1) \iff (3) and (2) \iff (4) from Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.8 respectively. (3) \iff (4) from Proposition 3.1. So (1) \iff (2). \square

Can “ s ” in Corollary 3.2 be omitted? We give a negative answer for this question. We call a family \mathcal{D} of subsets of a set D is an almost disjoint family if $A \cap B$ is finite whenever $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$, $A \neq B$.

Example 3.3. There exists a space X , which has a point-star network $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ consisting of cs^* -covers of X , but \mathcal{P}_n is not an fcs -cover of X for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $X = \{0\} \cup \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ endow usual subspace topology of real line \mathbb{R} . Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we construct \mathcal{P}_n as follows.

Put $A_n = \{1/k : k > n\}$. Using Zorn’s Lemma, there exists a family \mathcal{A}_n of infinite subsets of A_n such that \mathcal{A}_n is an almost disjoint family and maximal with respect to these properties. Then \mathcal{A}_n must be infinite (in fact, \mathcal{A}_n must be uncountable) and denote it by $\{P_\beta : \beta \in \Lambda_n\}$. Put $\mathcal{B}_n = \{P_\beta \cup \{0\} : \beta \in \Lambda_n\}$, and put $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{B}_n \cup \{1/k : k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Thus \mathcal{P}_n is constructed. We only need to prove the following three claims.

Claim 1: $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ is a point-star network of X .

Let $x \in U$ with U open in X . If $x = 0$, then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A_m \subset U$. It is easy to check that $st(0, \mathcal{P}_m) = A_m \cup \{0\}$. So $0 \in st(0, \mathcal{P}_m) \subset U$. If

$x = 1/n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $st(1/n, \mathcal{P}_n) = \{1/n\}$. So $1/n \in st(1/n, \mathcal{P}_n) \subset U$. This proves that $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ is a point-star network of X .

Claim 2: For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{P}_n is a cs^* -cover of X .

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $S = \{x_k\}$ be a sequence converging to x in X . Without loss of generalization, we can assume S is nontrivial, that is, the set $L = \{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap A_n$ is an infinite subset of A_n and the limit point $x = 0$. If $L \in \mathcal{A}_n$, it is clear that S has a subsequence is eventually in $L \cup \{0\} \in \mathcal{B}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_n$. If $L \notin \mathcal{A}_n$, then there exists $\beta \in \Lambda_n$ such that $L \cap P_\beta$ is infinite. Otherwise, $L \in \mathcal{A}_n$ by maximality of \mathcal{A}_n . Thus S has a subsequence is eventually in $P_\beta \cup \{0\} \in \mathcal{B}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_n$. So \mathcal{P}_n is a cs^* -cover of X .

Claim 3: For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{P}_n is not an fcs -cover of X .

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If \mathcal{P}_n is an fcs -cover of X , then, for sequence $\{1/k\}$ converging to 0 in X , there exist $P_{\beta_1}, P_{\beta_2}, \dots, P_{\beta_s} \in \mathcal{A}_n$ and some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A_m = \{1/k : k > m\} \subset \bigcup \{P_{\beta_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$. Since Λ_n is infinite, pick $\beta \in \Lambda_n - \{\beta_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$. Then $A_m \cap P_\beta$ is infinite, and $A_m \cap P_\beta \subset \bigcup \{P_{\beta_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, s\}$. So there exists $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ such that $A_m \cap P_\beta \cap P_{\beta_i}$ is infinite. Thus $P_\beta \cap P_{\beta_i}$ is infinite. This contradicts that \mathcal{A}_n is almost disjoint. So \mathcal{P}_n is not an fcs -cover of X .

Thus we complete the proof of this example. \square

Remark 3.4. Let X and $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ be given as in Example 3.3. Then, for *Ponomarev*-system $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$, f is sequentially-quotient from Theorem 2.7 and Claim 2 in Example 3.3 (note: f is also a π -mapping from Remark 2.(1)), and f is not sequence-covering from Theorem 2.8 and Claim 3 in Example 3.3. So “ s ” in Corollary 3.2 can not be omitted.

Remark 3.5. Recently, Lin proved that each sequentially-quotient, compact mapping from a metric space is sequence-covering, which answers [6, Question 3.4.8] (also, [2, Question 2.6]). Naturally, we ask: is each sequentially-quotient, π -mapping from a metric space sequence-covering? The answer is negative. In fact, let f be a mapping in Remark 3.4. Then f is a sequentially-quotient, π -mapping from a metric space M , but it is not sequence-covering.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable amendments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Boone, J. R., and Siwiec F., *Sequentially quotient mappings*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **26** (1976), 174–182.
- [2] Ge, Y., *On quotient compact images of locally separable metric spaces*, Topology Proceedings **276** (2003), 351–560.
- [3] Ge, Y. and Gu, J., *On π -images of separable metric spaces*, Mathematical Sciences **10** (2004), 65–71.
- [4] Gruenhage, G., Michael, E. and Tanaka, Y., *Spaces determined by point-countable covers*, Pacific J. Math. **113** (1984), 303–332.

- [5] Ikeda, Y., Liu, C. and Tanaka, Y., *Quotient compact images of metric spaces and related matters*, *Topology Appl.* **122** (2002), 237–252.
- [6] Lin, S., *Point-countable covers and sequence-covering mappings*, Chinese Science Press, Beijing, 2002. (Chinese)
- [7] Lin, S. and Yan, P., *Notes on cfp -covers*, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **44** (2003), 295–306.
- [8] Michael, E., \aleph_0 -spaces, *J. Math. Mech.* **15** (1966), 983–1002.
- [9] Ponomarev, V. I., *Axiom of countability and continuous mappings*, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Math.* **8** (1960), 127–133.
- [10] Tanaka, Y. and Ge, Y., *Around quotient compact images of metric spaces and symmetric spaces*, *Houston J. Math.* **32** (2006), 99–117.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUZHOU UNIVERSITY
SUZHOU 215006, P. R. CHINA
E-mail: geying@pub.sz.jsinfo.net