

Naseer Shahzad; Salma Sahar

Some common fixed point theorems for biased mappings

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 36 (2000), No. 3, 183--194

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107730>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

SOME COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR BIASED MAPPINGS

NASEER SHAHZAD AND SALMA SAHAR

ABSTRACT. Some common fixed point theorems in normed spaces are proved using the concept of biased mappings- a generalization of compatible mappings.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Jungck [2] generalized the concept of commuting mappings by introducing compatible mappings. Several authors proved common fixed point theorems using this concept (see, for example, the work of Pathak and Fisher [6], Jungck [3], and Kaneko and Sessa [1]). Jungck, Murthy and Cho [4] gave the notion of compatible mappings of type (A) which is equivalent to the concept of compatible mappings under some conditions. Afterwards, Pathak and Khan [8] introduced the concept of compatible mappings of type (B) and compared these mappings with compatible mappings and compatible mappings of type (A) in normed spaces. A related but different concept was also given by Pathak, Kang and Cho [7]. Recently, Jungck and Pathak [5] introduced a generalization of compatible mappings called “biased mappings”. The purpose of this paper is to prove some common fixed point theorem using this concept. We also generalize a recent result of Pathak and Fisher [6]. It is worth mentioning that the class of biased maps includes the class of compatible maps and commuting maps as well.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. The self-mappings A and $B : X \rightarrow X$ are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_n d(ABx_n, BAx_n) = 0$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_n Ax_n = \lim_n Bx_n = t$, for some $t \in X$. The pair $\{A, B\}$ is B -biased iff whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X and $Ax_n, Bx_n \rightarrow t \in X$, then

$$\alpha d(BAx_n, Bx_n) \leq \alpha d(ABx_n, Ax_n)$$

if $\alpha = \liminf$ and if $\alpha = \limsup$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47H10, 54H25.

Key words and phrases: biased map, compatible map, fixed point, normed space.

Received April 30, 1999.

If the pair $\{A, B\}$ is compatible, then it is both A - and B -biased [5]. However, the converse is not true in general.

Example [5]. Let $X = [0, 1]$ with the usual metric d . Define the mappings $A, B : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$Ax = \begin{cases} 1 - 2x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1/2] \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in (1/2, 1] \end{cases}$$

and

$$Bx = \begin{cases} 2x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1/2] \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in (1/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then the pair $\{A, B\}$ is both A - and B -biased but not compatible.

The pair $\{A, B\}$ is weakly B -biased iff $Ap = Bp$ implies $d(BAp, Bp) \leq d(ABp, Ap)$. For more details, we refer to Jungck and Pathak [5].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. *Let A and B be two self-mappings of a normed space X and let C be a closed, convex and bounded subset of X satisfying the following condition.*

$$(1) \quad \|Ax - Ay\|^p \leq a\|Bx - By\|^p + (1 - a) \\ \times \max \left\{ \frac{\|Ax - By\|^p}{2}, \frac{\|Ay - Bx\|^p}{2} \right\},$$

$$(2) \quad B(C) \supseteq (1 - k)B(C) + kA(C)$$

for all $x, y \in C$, where $0 < a < 1$, $p > 0$, and for some fixed k such that $0 < k < 1$. Suppose, for some $x_0 \in C$, the sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ defined inductively for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ by

$$(3) \quad Bx_{n+1} = (1 - k)Bx_n + kAx_n$$

converges to a point z of C and the pair $\{A, B\}$ is a B -biased. If B is continuous at z , then A and B have a unique common fixed point. Further, if B is continuous at Az , then A and B have a unique common fixed point at which A is continuous.

Proof. First, we are going to prove that $Az = Bz$.

We have

$$(4) \quad \|Bz - Az\|^p = \|Bz - Bx_{n+1} + Bx_{n+1} - Az\|^p \\ \leq (\|Bz - Bx_{n+1}\| + \|Bx_{n+1} - Az\|)^p.$$

Now, from (3), we obtain

$$\|Bx_{n+1} - Az\|^p = \|(1 - k)Bx_n + kAx_n - Az\|^p \\ = \|(1 - k)(Bx_n - Az) + k(Ax_n - Az)\|^p \\ \leq ((1 - k)\|Bx_n - Az\| + k\|Ax_n - Az\|)^p$$

and so

$$(5) \quad \|Bx_{n+1} - Az\|^p \leq [(1 - k)\|Bx_n - Az\| + k(\|Ax_n - Az\|^p)^{1/p}]^p.$$

It follows, from (1), that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax_n - Az\|^p &\leq a\|Bx_n - Bz\|^p + (1 - a) \\ &\times \max \left\{ \frac{\|Ax_n - Bx\|^p}{2}, \frac{\|Az - Bx_n\|^p}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since B is continuous at z , it follows that $Bx_n \rightarrow Bz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also, from (3), we have

$$\|Ax_n - Bz\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large n ,

$$(6) \quad \|Ax_n - Az\|^p \leq \frac{(1 - a)\|Az - Bz\|^p}{2} + \varepsilon.$$

Hence, from (4), (5) and (6), it follows that

$$\|Bz - Az\|^p < \left[(1 - k) + k \frac{(1 - a)^{1/p}}{2} \right]^p \|Bz - Az\|^p,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $Bz = Az$.

Let $w = Az = Bz$. Since $\{A, B\}$ is B -biased, it is weakly B -biased. It implies that

$$\|BAz - Bz\| \leq \|ABz - Az\|,$$

that is

$$(7) \quad \|Bw - w\| \leq \|Aw - w\|.$$

We assert that $Aw = w$. If not, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Aw - Ax_{n+1}\|^p &\leq a\|Bw - Bx_{n+1}\|^p + (1 - a) \\ &\times \max \left\{ \frac{\|Ax_{n+1} - Bw\|^p}{2}, \frac{\|Aw - Bx_{n+1}\|^p}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Aw - w\|^p &\leq a\|Bw - w\|^p + (1 - a) \\ &\times \max \left\{ \frac{\|w - Bw\|^p}{2}, \frac{\|Aw - w\|^p}{2} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + a)}{2} \|Aw - w\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, since $0 < a < 1$. Thus, $Aw = w$. It follows from (7) that $Bw = w$. Hence $w = Aw = Bw$, that is, $w = Az$ is a common fixed point of A and B .

Now, let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in C with the limit $Az = w$. Then using the condition (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ay_n - Aw\|^p &\leq a\|By_n - Bw\|^p + (1-a) \\ &\quad \times \max \left\{ \frac{\|Ay_n - Bw\|^p}{2}, \frac{\|Aw - By_n\|^p}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since B is continuous at $Az = w$, we have for sufficiently large n and $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\|Ay_n - Aw\|^p \leq \frac{(1-a)}{2} \|Ay_n - Bw\|^p + \varepsilon.$$

Again, since

$$w = Bw = Aw$$

we have, for sufficiently large n and $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\|Ay_n - Aw\|^p \leq \frac{(1-a)}{2} \|Ay_n - Aw\|^p + \varepsilon,$$

that is

$$\lim_n \|Ay_n - Aw\| = 0,$$

which means that A is continuous at Az .

Let w and w_1 be two common fixed point of A and B . Then

$$(8) \quad w = Aw = Bw$$

and

$$(9) \quad w_1 = Aw_1 = Bw_1.$$

It follows, from (1), that

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Aw - Aw_1\|^p &\leq a\|Bw - Bw_1\|^p + (1-a) \\ &\quad \times \max \left\{ \frac{\|Aw - Bw_1\|^p}{2}, \frac{\|Aw_1 - Bw\|^p}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (8), (9) and (10), it follows that

$$\|Bw - Bw_1\|^p \leq \frac{(a+1)}{2} \|Bw - Bw_1\|^p,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore,

$$w = Bw = Bw_1 = Aw = Aw_1 = w_1.$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let A be a mapping of a normed space X into itself and let C be a closed, convex and bounded subset of X satisfying the following condition:*

$$\|Ax - Ay\|^p \leq a\|x - y\|^p + (1 - a) \times \max \left\{ \frac{\|Ax - y\|^p}{2}, \frac{\|Ay - x\|^p}{2} \right\},$$

and $C \supseteq (1 - k)C + kA(C)$ for all x, y in C , where $0 < a < 1$ and $p > 0$, and for a fixed k such that $0 < k < 1$. If, for some $x_0 \in C$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X inductively defined for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - k)x_n + kAx_n$$

converges to a point z of C , then A has a unique fixed point at which A is continuous.

Example 2.3. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ with the Euclidean norm and $C = [0, 1]$. Let A and B be self-mappings of X defined by

$$Ax = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1], \\ 1 + x^2 & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

and

$$Bx = \begin{cases} 1 + x^2 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1], \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in [1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

For a fixed k such that $0 < k < 1$ we have

$$[1, 2) = B(C) \supseteq (1 - k)B(C) + kA(C) = [1, 2 - k)$$

and

$$\|Ax - Ay\|^p = 0$$

for all $x, y \in C$ and $p > 0$.

Consider a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X . If $Bx_n, Ax_n \rightarrow t (= 1) \in X$, then $x_n \rightarrow 0$. It follows that $\|BAx_n - Bx_n\| \rightarrow 0$ and so $\{A, B\}$ is B -biased. Also, for any $x_0 \in C$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $Bx_{n+1} = (1 - k)Bx_n + kAx_n$ for $n \geq 0$ converges to the point $z = 1$. Clearly, $A(1) = 1$ is a common fixed point of A and B .

Example 2.4. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ with the Euclidean norm and $C = [0, 1]$. Let A and B be self-mappings of X defined by

$$Ax = 1$$

and

$$Bx = \begin{cases} 1 + x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1], \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\|Ax - Ay\|^p = 0$$

for all x, y in C and for all $a, 0 < a < 1$ and $p > 0$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} B(C) &= [1, 2] \supset [1, 2 - k] \\ &= (1 - k)B(C) + kA(C). \end{aligned}$$

Consider a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converging to 0. Then $Bx_n, Ax_n \rightarrow t \in X$, but $\|BAx_n - Bx_n\| \rightarrow 1$ and $\|ABx_n - Ax_n\| \rightarrow 0$, as $x_n \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, $\{A, B\}$ is not B -biased. On the other hand, A and B do not have common fixed points.

Lemma 2.5. *Let A, B, S and T be self-mappings of a metric space (X, d) . Suppose that*

$$d^p(Sx, Ty) \leq \phi \left(\frac{ad^{2p}(Ax, By) + (1 - a) \max\{d^{2p}(Sx, By), d^{2p}(Ty, Ax)\}}{\max\{d^p(Sx, By), d^p(Ty, Ax)\}} \right)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ for which $\max\{d^p(Sx, By), d^p(Ty, Ax)\} \neq 0$, where $0 < a < 1$, $p > 0$ and ϕ is a function which is upper semicontinuous from \mathbb{R}^+ into itself such that $\phi(t) < t$ for each $t > 0$. If there exists $u, v, w \in X$ such that

$$w = Su = Au = Tv = Bv,$$

and $\{A, S\}$ is weakly A -biased and $\{B, T\}$ is weakly B -biased, then

$$w = Sw = Aw = Tw = Bw.$$

Proof. Since $\{A, S\}$ is weakly A -biased,

$$d(ASu, Au) \leq d(SAu, Su),$$

that is

$$d(Aw, w) \leq d(Sw, w).$$

We assert that $Sw = w$, and hence $Aw = w$. If not, then $Sw \neq Bw$, and therefore

$$\max\{d^p(Sw, Bv), d^p(Tv, Aw)\} \neq 0$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} d^p(Sw, w) &= d^p(Sw, Tv) \\ &\leq \phi \left(\frac{ad^{2p}(Aw, Bv) + (1 - a) \max\{d^{2p}(Sw, Bv), d^{2p}(Tv, Aw)\}}{\max\{d^p(Sw, Bv), d^p(Tv, Aw)\}} \right) \\ &= \phi \left(\frac{ad^{2p}(Aw, w) + (1 - a) \max\{d^{2p}(Sw, w), d^{2p}(w, Aw)\}}{\max\{d^p(Sw, w), d^p(w, Aw)\}} \right) \\ &\leq \phi \left(\frac{ad^{2p}(Sw, w) + (1 - a)d^{2p}(Sw, w)}{d^p(Sw, w)} \right) < d^p(Sw, w), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence $Sw = w$ and so $Aw = w$. Similarly, we can prove that $Tw = Bw = w$. □

Theorem 2.6. *Let A, B, S and T be self-mappings of a normed space X . Let C be a closed convex subset of X such that*

$$(11) \quad (1 - k)A(C) + kS(C) \subseteq A(C),$$

$$(12) \quad (1 - k')B(C) + k'T(C) \subseteq B(C),$$

where $0 < k, k' < 1$ and suppose that

$$(13) \quad \|Sx - Ty\|^p \leq \phi \left(\frac{a\|Ax - By\|^{2p} + (1 - a) \max\{\|Sx - By\|^{2p}, \|Ty - Ax\|^{2p}\}}{\max\{\|Sx - By\|^p, \|Ty - Ax\|^p\}} \right)$$

for all $x, y \in C$ for which

$$\max\{\|Sx - By\|^p, \|Ty - Ax\|^p\} \neq 0,$$

where $0 < a < 1, p > 0$ and ϕ is a function which is upper semicontinuous from \mathbb{R}^+ into itself such that $\phi(t) < t$ for each $t > 0$. If for some $x_0 \in C$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X defined inductively for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ by

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} Ax_{2n+1} &= (1 - k)Ax_{2n} + kSx_{2n}, \\ Bx_{2n+2} &= (1 - k')Bx_{2n+1} + k'Tx_{2n+1} \end{aligned}$$

converges to a point $z \in C$, if A and B are continuous at z , and if $\{A, S\}$ is A -biased, $\{B, T\}$ is B -biased, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point $w = Tz$ in C . Further, if A and B are continuous at w , then S and T are continuous at w .

Proof. First, we prove that

$$(15) \quad Az = Bz = Sz = Tz.$$

It follows, from (14), that

$$kSx_{2n} = Ax_{2n+1} - (1 - k)Ax_{2n},$$

and since A is continuous at z ,

$$\lim_n Ax_n = \lim_n Sx_{2n} = Az.$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_n Bx_n = \lim_n Tx_{2n+1} = Bz.$$

Suppose that $Az \neq Bz$ such that for large enough n , $Sx_{2n} \neq Bx_{2n+1}$. Then, using (13) we obtain

$$\|Sx_{2n} - Tx_{2n+1}\|^p \leq \phi \left(\frac{a\|Ax_{2n} - Bx_{2n+1}\|^{2p} + (1-a) \max\{\|Sx_{2n} - Bx_{2n+1}\|^{2p}, \|Tx_{2n+1} - Ax_{2n}\|^{2p}\}}{\max\{\|Sx_{2n} - Bx_{2n+1}\|^p, \|Tx_{2n+1} - Ax_{2n}\|^p\}} \right).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Az - Bz\|^p \\ & \leq \phi \left(\frac{a\|Az - Bz\|^{2p} + (1-a) \max\{\|Az - Bz\|^{2p}, \|Bz - Az\|^{2p}\}}{\max\{\|Az - Bz\|^p, \|Bz - Az\|^p\}} \right) \\ & = \phi(\|Az - Bz\|^p) < \|Az - Bz\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore, $Az = Bz$.

Now suppose that $Tz \neq Az$ such that for large enough n , $Tz \neq Ax_{2n}$. Then, using (13) again, we obtain

$$\|Sx_{2n} - Tz\|^p \leq \phi \left(\frac{a\|Ax_{2n} - Bz\|^{2p} + (1-a) \max\{\|Sx_{2n} - Bz\|^{2p}, \|Tz - Ax_{2n}\|^{2p}\}}{\max\{\|Sx_{2n} - Bz\|^p, \|Tz - Ax_{2n}\|^p\}} \right).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Az - Tz\|^p \\ & \leq \phi \left(\frac{a\|Az - Bz\|^{2p} + (1-a) \max\{\|Az - Bz\|^{2p}, \|Tz - Az\|^{2p}\}}{\max\{\|Az - Bz\|^p, \|Tz - Az\|^p\}} \right) \\ & = \phi((1-a)\|Az - Tz\|^p) < (1-a)\|Az - Tz\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore, $Az = Tz$. Similarly $Sz = Bz$. Hence

$$Az = Bz = Sz = Tz.$$

Let

$$w = Az = Bz = Sz = Tz.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.5, we get

$$w = Aw = Bw = Sw = Tw.$$

Let $\{y_n\}$ be an arbitrary sequence in C converging to w and suppose that the sequence $\{Sy_n\}$ does not converge to Sw . Then, for large enough n , and using (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Sy_n - Sw\|^p &= \|Sy_n - Tw\|^p \\ &\leq \phi \left(\frac{a\|Bw - Ay_n\|^{2p} + (1-a)\max\{\|Sy_n - Bw\|^{2p}, \|Tw - Ay_n\|^{2p}\}}{\max\{\|Sy_n - Bw\|^p, \|Tw - Ay_n\|^p\}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since A and B are continuous at w , it implies that, for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large n

$$\begin{aligned} \|Sy_n - Sw\|^p &\leq \phi((1-a)\|Sy_n - Sw\|^p + \varepsilon) \\ &< (1-a)\|Sy_n - Sw\|^p + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction since $a < 1$. Thus the sequence $\{Sy_n\}$ converges to Sw . Similarly, we can prove that T is also continuous at w . The uniqueness of the common fixed point follows from inequality (13). If w, w' are two common fixed points of A, B, S and T . Then

$$w = Aw = Bw = Sw = Tw$$

and

$$w' = Aw' = Bw' = Sw' = Tw'.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|w-w'\|^p &= \|Sw - Tw'\|^p \\ &\leq \phi \left(\frac{a\|Aw - Bw'\|^{2p} + (1-a)\max\{\|Sw - Bw'\|^{2p}, \|Tw' - Aw\|^{2p}\}}{\max\{\|Sw - Bw'\|^p, \|Bw' - Aw\|^p\}} \right) \\ &= \phi \left(\frac{a\|w - w'\|^{2p} + (1-a)\max\{\|w - w'\|^{2p}, \|w' - w\|^{2p}\}}{\max\{\|w - w'\|^p, \|w' - w\|^p\}} \right) \\ &= \phi(\|w - w'\|^p) < \|w - w'\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

When $S = T$ and $A = B$, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.7. *Let A and S be self-mappings of a normed space X . Let C be a closed convex subset of X such that*

$$(1 - k)A(C) + kS(C) \subseteq A(C),$$

where $0 < k < 1$ and suppose that

$$\|Sx - Sy\|^p \leq \phi \left(\frac{a\|Ax - Ay\|^{2p} + (1-a)\max\{\|Sx - Ay\|^{2p}, \|Sy - Ax\|^{2p}\}}{\max\{\|Sx - Ay\|^p, \|Sy - Ax\|^p\}} \right)$$

for all $x, y \in C$ for which $\max\{\|Sx - Ay\|^p, \|Sy - Ax\|^p\} \neq 0\}$, where $0 < a < 1$, $p > 0$ and ϕ is a function which is upper semicontinuous from \mathbb{R}^+ into itself such that $\phi(t) < t$ for each $t > 0$. If, for some $x_0 \in C$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X defined inductively for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ by

$$Ax_{n+1} = (1 - k)Ax_n + kSx_n$$

converges to a point $z \in C$, if A is continuous at z , and $\{A, S\}$ is A -biased, then A and S have a unique common fixed point $Sz = w$ in C . Further, if A is continuous at w , then S is continuous at w .

When $A = B = I$, the identity mapping on X , we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.8. *Let S and T be self-mappings of a normed space X . Let C be a closed convex subset of X such that*

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} (1 - k)C + kS(C) &\subseteq C, \\ (1 - k)C + kT(C) &\subseteq C, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < k, k' < 1$ and suppose that

$$\|Sx - Ty\|^p \leq \phi \left(\frac{a\|x - y\|^{2p} + (1 - a) \max\{\|Sx - y\|^{2p}, \|Ty - x\|^{2p}\}}{\max\{\|Sx - y\|^p, \|Ty - x\|^p\}} \right)$$

for all $x, y \in C$ for which

$$\max\{\|Sx - y\|^p, \|Ty - x\|^p\} \neq 0,$$

where $0 < a < 1$, $p > 0$ and ϕ is a function which is upper semicontinuous from \mathbb{R}^+ into itself such that $\phi(t) < t$ for each $t > 0$. If, for some $x_0 \in C$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X defined inductively for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ by

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} x_{2n+1} &= (1 - k)x_{2n} + kSx_{2n}, \\ x_{2n+2} &= (1 - k')x_{2n+1} + k'Tx_{2n+1} \end{aligned}$$

converges to a point $z \in C$, then T and S have a unique common fixed point $w = Tz$ in C . Further, T and S are continuous at w .

When $A = B = I$, the identity mapping and $\phi(t) = \alpha t$ for all $t > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.9. *Let S and T be self-mappings of a normed space X . Let C be a closed convex subset of X satisfying (16) and suppose that*

$$\|Sx - Ty\|^p \leq \alpha \left(\frac{a\|x - y\|^{2p} + (1 - a) \max\{\|Sx - y\|^{2p}, \|Ty - x\|^{2p}\}}{\max\{\|Sx - y\|^p, \|Ty - x\|^p\}} \right)$$

for all $x, y \in C$ for which

$$\max\{\|Sx - y\|^p, \|Ty - x\|^p\} \neq 0,$$

where $0 < \alpha, a < 1$ and $p > 0$. If, for some $x_0 \in C$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X defined by (17) converges to a point $z \in C$, then S and T have a unique common fixed point $w = Tz$ in C . Further, S and T are continuous at Tz .

Example 2.10. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ with the Euclidean norm and let $C = [0, 1]$. Define the mappings A, B, S and T of X into itself by

$$Ax = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1/2), \\ x & \text{if } x \in [1/2, \infty), \end{cases}$$

$$Sx = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1], \\ 1 + x^2 & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty), \end{cases}$$

$$Bx = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1/2), \\ x^2 & \text{if } x \in [1/2, \infty) \end{cases}$$

and

$$Tx = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1], \\ 1 + x^3 & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Then A and B are not continuous at $1/2$ and S and T are not continuous at 1 . Consider a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_n Ax_n = \lim_n Sx_n = t.$$

Then $\lim_n \|ASx_n - SAx_n\| = 0$. Thus $\{S, A\}$ is compatible, and hence is both S and A -biased. Similarly, $\{B, T\}$ is both B and T -biased.

For fixed $k, k' \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$(1 - k)A(C) + kS(C) = [1/2 + 1/2k, 1] \subseteq A(C) = [1/2, 1],$$

$$(1 - k')B(C) + k'T(C) = [1/4 + 3/4k', 1] \subseteq B(C) = [1/4, 1]$$

and

$$\|Sx - Ty\|^p = 0$$

for all $x, y \in C$ and $p > 0$. Also, for any $x_0 \in C$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in C such that

$$\begin{aligned} Ax_{2n+1} &= (1 - k)Ax_{2n} + kSx_{2n}, \\ Bx_{2n+2} &= (1 - k')Bx_{2n+1} + k'Tx_{2n+1} \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ converges to the point $z = 1$. Clearly, $w = T1$ is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T . For details, we refer to [6].

Example 2.11. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ with the Euclidean norm and let $C = [0, 1]$. Define the mappings B and T of X into itself by

$$Bx = \begin{cases} 1 + (1/2)x & \text{if } x \in [0, 1], \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty), \end{cases}$$

$$Tx = 1$$

Then we see that $\|Tx - Ty\|^p = 0$ for all $x, y \in C$ with $p > 0$.

For some $k \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$(1 - k)B(C) + kT(C) = [1, 3/2 - 1/2k] \subset B(C) = [1, 3/2].$$

Also, if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X converging to 0, then

$$\lim_n Bx_n = \lim_n Tx_n = 1,$$

but

$$\lim_n \|BTx_n - Bx_n\| = 1/2$$

and

$$\lim_n \|TBx_n - Tx_n\| = 0.$$

Consequently, $\{B, T\}$ is not B -biased. Clearly, B and T have no common fixed point in C . For details, see [6].

REFERENCES

- [1] Kaneko, H. and Sessa, S., *Fixed point theorems for compatible multivalued and single valued mappings*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **12** (1989), 257–269.
- [2] Jungck, G., *Compatible mappings and common fixed points*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **9** (1989), 771–779.
- [3] Jungck, G., *Common fixed points of commuting and compatible maps on compacta*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 977–983.
- [4] Jungck, G., Murthy, P. P. and Cho, Y. J., *Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points*, Math. Japonica **38**(2) (1993), 381–390.
- [5] Jungck, G. and Pathak, H. K., *Fixed points via “biased maps”*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 2049–2060.
- [6] Pathak, H. K. and Fisher, B., *A common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings on normed vector space*, Arch. Math.(Brno) **33** (1997), 245–251.
- [7] Pathak, H. K., Kang, S. M. and Cho, Y. J., *Gregus type common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings of type (T) and variational inequalities*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **46** (1995), 285–299.
- [8] Pathak, H. K. and Khan, M. S., *Compatible mappings of type (B) and common fixed point theorems of Gregus type*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **45**(120) (1995), 685–698.

N. SHAHZAD, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 KING ABDUL AZIZ UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE
 P.O.BOX 9028, JEDDAH, 21413, SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail: naseer_shahzad@hotmail.com

S. SAHAR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 QUAID-I-AZAM UNIVERSITY
 ISLAMABAD, PAKISTAN