

Roger Yue Chi Ming

On generalization of injectivity

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 28 (1992), No. 3-4, 215--220

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107453>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON GENERALIZATION OF INJECTIVITY

R. YUE CHI MING

Dedicated to Professor Carl Faith on his 65th birthday

ABSTRACT. Characterizations of quasi-continuous modules and continuous modules are given. A non-trivial generalization of injectivity (distinct from p -injectivity) is considered.

INTRODUCTION

Various generalizations of injective modules are extensively studied since several years. Y. Utumi introduced continuous rings as a generalization of self-injective rings. The concepts of continuity and quasi-continuity was extended to modules by L. Jeremy, S. Mohamed and T. Bouhy, V. Goel and S. K. Jain. According to [6], the notion of quasi-continuous modules, which effectively extends that of continuous modules, appears now to be more fundamental. We here give new characteristic properties of continuous and quasi-continuous modules. A generalization of injectivity, distinct from p -injectivity, is also studied.

Throughout, A denotes an associative ring with identity and A -modules are unital. J, Z will stand respectively for the Jacobson radical and the left singular ideal of A . Recall that (1) ${}_A M$ is injective iff for any left ideal I of A , every left A -homomorphism of I into M extends to A ; (2) ${}_A M$ is defined as quasi-injective if for any left submodule N of M , every left A -homomorphism of N onto M extends to an endomorphism of ${}_A M$; (3) ${}_A M$ is continuous iff (a) every complement left submodule of M is a direct summand of ${}_A M$ and (b) every left submodule of M isomorphic to a direct summand of ${}_A M$ is a direct summand of ${}_A M$; (4) ${}_A M$ is quasi-continuous if every complement left submodule of M is a direct summand of ${}_A M$ and for any direct summands P, N of ${}_A M$ such that $P \cap N = 0$, $P \oplus N$ is also a direct summand of ${}_A M$. It is well-known that injectivity \Rightarrow quasi-injectivity \Rightarrow continuity \Rightarrow quasi-continuity (cf. for example [6]).

In [6, Theorem 2.8], three characteristic properties of quasi-continuous modules are listed. We here give another characterization of quasi-continuous modules motivated by the definition of quasi-injective modules.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16D50, 16L60.

Key words and phrases: continuous modules, quasi-continuous modules, injective modules, quasi-Frobeniusean rings, m -injective rings.

Received October 14, 1991.

Theorem 1. *The following conditions are equivalent for a left A -module M :*

- (1) ${}_A M$ is quasi-continuous;
- (2) For any complement left submodule K of M , any relative complement C of K in M , any submodule N of M containing $K \oplus C$, every left A -homomorphism of N into M extends to an endomorphism of ${}_A M$.

Proof. Assume (1). Let K be a complement left submodule of M , C a relative complement of K in M . Then $K \oplus C$ is essential in ${}_A M$. Let N be a submodule of M containing $K \oplus C$. Since ${}_A M$ is quasi-continuous, $K \oplus C$ is a direct summand of ${}_A M$. Therefore $K \oplus C = N = M$ (1) implies (2).

Assume (2). Let K be a non-zero complement left submodule of M . If C is a relative complement of K in ${}_A M$ (C exists by Zorn's Lemma), let $E = K \oplus C$, $p : E \rightarrow K$ the natural projection. The set of submodules S of M containing E such that p extends to a left A -homomorphism of S into K has, by Zorn's Lemma, a maximal member L . Let $q : L \rightarrow K$ be the extension of p to L . If $j : K \rightarrow M$ is the inclusion map, then $jq : L \rightarrow M$ and by hypothesis, jq extends to an endomorphism h of ${}_A M$. Suppose that $h(M) \not\subseteq K$. Since K is a relative complement of ${}_A C$ in ${}_A M$, then $(h(M) + K) \cap C \neq o$. If $o \neq c \in (h(M) + K) \cap C$, $c = h(m) + k$, $m \in M$, $k \in K$, we see that $F = \{u \in M \setminus h(u) \in E\}$ is a submodule of ${}_A M$ which strictly contains L (because $m \in F$, $m \notin L$). If $s : F \rightarrow E$ is defined by $s(u) = h(u)$ for all $u \in F$, then $ps : F \rightarrow K$ extends p to F , which contradicts the maximality of L . Thus $h(M) \subseteq K$ which implies that $h(M) = K$. Now $K \cap \ker h = o$ and if $b \in M$, $b = h(b) + (b - h(b)) \in K + \ker h$ which yields $M = K \oplus \ker h$. Since C is a relative complement of K in ${}_A M$, $h(C) = o$ and then $C = \ker h$. Thus $M = K \oplus C$, proving that any complement submodule of M is a direct summand. Now let D be a direct summand of ${}_A M$ such that $K \cap D = o$. The set of submodules of ${}_A M$ containing D and having zero intersection with K has a maximal member V which is a relative complement of K in ${}_A M$. We have, as above, $M = K \oplus V$. Since $D \subseteq V$, D is a direct summand of ${}_A M$, then $V = D \oplus U$ which yields $M = K \oplus D \oplus U$. This proves that (2) implies (1). \square

Theorem 2. *The following conditions are equivalent for a left A -module M :*

- (1) ${}_A M$ is continuous;
- (2) For any isomorphic image K of a complement left submodule of M , any relative complement C of K in M , any submodule N of M containing $C \oplus K$, every left A -homomorphism of N into M extends to an endomorphism of ${}_A M$;
- (3) ${}_A M$ is quasi-continuous such that for any left submodule N of M which is isomorphic to a direct summand of ${}_A M$, every left A -homomorphism of N into M extends to an endomorphism of ${}_A M$.

Proof. Assume (1). Let K be a non-zero isomorphic image of a complement left submodule of M , C a relative complement of K in M , N a submodule of M containing $C \oplus K$. Since ${}_A M$ is continuous, K and C are direct summands of ${}_A M$ and since $K \cap C = o$, then $K \oplus C$ is a direct summand of ${}_A M$. But $K \oplus C$ is essential in ${}_A M$ which implies that $K \oplus C = M$ and hence $N = M$. Thus (1) implies (2).

Assume (2). By Theorem 1, ${}_A M$ is quasi-continuous. Now let N be a submodule of ${}_A M$ isomorphic to a direct summand of ${}_A M$. Let ${}_A Q$ be a relative complement of ${}_A N$ in ${}_A M$. If $f : N \rightarrow M$ is a left A -homomorphism, $g : Q \oplus N \rightarrow N$ the natural projection, then $fg : Q \oplus N \rightarrow M$ and by hypothesis, fg extends to an endomorphism h of ${}_A M$. Clearly, h is an extension of f and hence (2) implies (3).

Assume (3). Let N be a submodule of ${}_A M$ which is isomorphic to Q , where $M = Q \oplus D$. If $j : N \rightarrow M$ is the inclusion map, $g : N \rightarrow Q$ an isomorphism, $i : Q \rightarrow M$ the natural injection, $p : M \rightarrow Q$ the natural projection, then $ig : N \rightarrow M$ extends to an endomorphism $h : M \rightarrow M$. For every $n \in N$, $hj(n) = ig(n)$ and $g^{-1}phj(n) = g^{-1}pig(n) = g^{-1}g(n) = n$. This shows that $k = g^{-1}ph : M \rightarrow N$ such that $kj = \text{identity map on } N$. This proves that N is a direct summand of ${}_A M$. Since ${}_A M$ is quasi-continuous, then ${}_A M$ is continuous and therefore (3) implies (1). \square

Since a left continuous left natural Noetherian ring is left Artinian, applying [10, Theorem 7.10] to Theorem 2, we get a new characteristic property of commutative quasi-Frobeniusean rings.

Corollary 3. *The following conditions are equivalent for a commutative ring A :*

- (1) A is quasi-Frobeniusean;
- (2) A is a Noetherian ring such that for any ideal I containing a non-zero isomorphic image of a complement ideal of A , every A -homomorphism of I into A extends to an endomorphism of A .

Recall that A is a left V -ring iff every simple left A -module is injective. V -rings, von Neumann regular rings and their generalizations have drawn the attention of many authors (cf. [2],[3], [5], [7], [9], [11]-[14]). We note that if A is semi-prime, then any simple left A -module N has the following property (*): for any left ideal I of A , any left A -monomorphism of I into N extends to a left A -homomorphism of A into N . We call a left A -module M m -injective (mono-injective) if M has property (*). A is called left m -injective if ${}_A A$ is m -injective.

It is clear that m -injectivity does not imply injectivity (otherwise, any semi-prime ring would be a left (and right) V -ring !). Note that continuous modules need not be m -injective.

Recall that a left A -module M is p -injective if, for any principal left ideal P of A , any left A -homomorphism of P into M extends to A . A is called left p -injective if ${}_A A$ is p -injective. Without the terminology, a theorem of M. Ikeda - T. Nakayama asserts that A is left p -injective if, and only if, every principal right ideal of A is a right annihilator. P -injective modules have been studied in connection with von Neumann regular rings, continuous and self-injective regular rings (cf. for example, [3], [7], [12] - [19]).

Note that m -injectivity does not imply p -injectivity (otherwise, any commutative semi-prime ring would always be regular !). Since any m -injective left ideal of A is a direct summand of ${}_A A$, then p -injectivity does not imply m -injectivity either (otherwise, any von Neumann regular ring would always be Artinian !).

Remark 1. If A is left m -injective, then $Z = J$ and A/J is von Neumann regular

(cf. [2, Corollary 19.28]).

Remark 2. A left m -injective left Noetherian ring is left Artinian. Following [5], ${}_A M$ is called semi-simple if the intersection of all maximal left submodules of M is zero.

Remark 3. A is semi-simple Artinian iff every semi-simple left A -module is flat and m -injective.

Remark 4. A commutative ring A is semi-simple Artinian iff A is a semi-prime ring whose m -injective modules coincide with p -injectivity modules.

We now consider a particular case when m -injectivity implies injectivity.

Proposition 4. *Let A be a left m -injective ring containing an injective maximal left ideal K . Then A is left self-injective.*

Proof. $A = K \oplus U$, where $K = Ae$, $e = e^2 \in A$, $U = Au$, $u = 1 - e$. Then $uA = r(K)$. We show that uA is a minimal right ideal of A . Let $o \neq v \in uA$. Then $vA \subseteq uA$ and $l(u) \subseteq l(v)$. If $f : Au \rightarrow Av$ is the map defined by $f(au) = av$ for each $a \in A$, then f is an isomorphism (since Au is a minimal left ideal), and if $j : Au \rightarrow A$ is the inclusion map, we have a monomorphism $jf^{-1} : Av \rightarrow A$. Since ${}_A A$ is m -injective, there exists $y \in A$ such that $jf^{-1}(v) = vy$. Therefore $u = vy \in vA$ which yields $uA \subseteq vA$, whence $uA = vA$, proving that uA is a minimal right ideal of A . In the paper presented to the AMS meeting at OHIO (cf. Abstract American Mathematical Society, August 1990, Vol. 11 no 4 and Notices AMS 37(1990), no 6 (p. 707)), we proved that if A contains an injective maximal left ideal K such that $r(K)$ is a minimal right ideal, then A must be left self-injective. \square

Applying [2, Theorem 24.20], [4, Theorem] to Proposition 4, we get

Corollary 5. *If A contains an injective maximal left ideal, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) A is quasi-Frobeniusean;
- (b) A is left m -injective satisfying the maximum condition on left annihilators;
- (c) A is left m -injective satisfying the maximum condition on right annihilators;
- (d) A is left m -injective satisfying the ascending chain condition on essential left ideals;
- (e) A is left m -injective satisfying the ascending chain condition on essential right ideals.

Corollary 6. *If A contains an injective maximal left ideal, then A is left pseudo-Frobeniusean if, and only if, A is a left m -injective left Kasch ring.*

Corollary 7. *A is left self-injective regular with non-zero socle iff A is a left m -injective ring containing a non-singular injective maximal left ideal.*

Question. Is A semi-simple Artinian if A contains an injective maximal left ideal and every maximal left ideal of A is projective?

We now give a nice result on annihilators.

Proposition 8. *Let A be a left and right m -injective ring. Then any minimal left (or right) ideal of A is an annihilator.*

Proof. Let $U = Au, u \in A$, be a minimal left ideal of A . The proof of Proposition 4 shows that uA is a minimal right ideal of A . Let $o \neq d \in l(r(Au))$. Then $r(u) = r(Au) = r(l(r(Au))) \subseteq r(d)$ and if $f : uA \rightarrow dA$ is defined by $f(ua) = da$ for all $a \in A$, then f is an isomorphism (because uA is minimal). If $j : dA \rightarrow A$ is the inclusion map, then $jf : uA \rightarrow A$ is a monomorphism and since A_A is m -injective, there exists $z \in A$ such that $d = jf(u) = zu \in Au$. Since $Au \subseteq l(r(Au))$, we have $Au = l(r(Au))$. Similarly, any minimal right ideal of A is a right annihilator. \square

Theorem 9. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) A is quasi-Frobeniusean;
- (2) A is a left Noetherian, left p -injective, right m -injective ring;
- (3) A is a left Noetherian, left m -injective, right p -injective ring;
- (4) A is a left Noetherian, left and right m -injective ring;
- (5) A is a left Noetherian, left m -injective ring whose minimal left ideals are left annihilators;
- (6) A satisfies the maximum condition on left annihilators and for every left (right) ideal I of A containing a non-zero isomorphic image of a complement left (right) ideal of A , every left (right) A -homomorphism of I into A extends to an endomorphism of ${}_A A(A_A)$;
- (7) A is a left and right p -injective ring whose left and right socles coincide and A satisfies the maximum condition on left annihilators and complement right ideals.

Proof. It is obvious that (1) implies (2), (4) and (6). \square

Assume (2). Let $U = Au, u \in A$, be a minimal left ideal of A . Then $M = l(u)$ is a maximal left ideal. Let $o \neq v \in uA$. Since $vA \subseteq uA, M = l(v)$. Now $uA = r(l(uA)), vA = r(l(vA))$ which yield $uA = r(M) = r(l(vA)) = vA$, showing that uA is a minimal right ideal. The proof of Proposition 8 then shows that Au is a left annihilator. Since A satisfies the descending chain condition on right annihilators and A is left p -injective, then A is left perfect, whence A is left Artinian (in so far as A is left Noetherian). By [8, Proposition 1], (2) implies (3).

- (3) implies (5) by Ikeda-Nakayama's theorem.
- (4) implies (5) by [8, Proposition 1], Remark 1 and Proposition 8.

Assume (5). By Remark 2, A is left Artinian. Let $U = uA, u \in A$, be a minimal right ideal of A . Since A is left Artinian, Au contains a minimal left ideal $V = Av, v \in A$. Since $M = r(u)$ is a maximal right ideal of A , then $M = r(v)$. Now $Au \subseteq l(r(Au)) = l(M) = l(r(Av)) = Av$, which implies that $Au = Av$ is a minimal left ideal. The proof of Proposition 8 then shows that uA is a right annihilator. Thus (5) implies (1) by [8, Proposition 1].

- (6) implies (7) by [1, Theorem 1] and Theorem 2.

Assume (7). Since A satisfies the minimum condition on right annihilators and every principal right ideal is a right annihilator, then A is left perfect. Since A satisfies the maximum condition on left annihilators, then Z is nilpotent. Since A

is left p -injective, $Z = J$ which implies that A is semi-primary. By [1, Lemma 6], A is right Artinian. Then (7) implies (1) by [8, Proposition 1].

Corollary 10. *If A is commutative, the following are equivalent:*

- (a) A is quasi-Frobeniusean;
- (b) A is a p -injective Goldie ring;
- (c) A is a m -injective Noetherian ring.

We conclude with a connection between m -injective and continuity.

Remark 5. If A is a left m -injective left uniform ring, then A is a local left continuous ring.

REFERENCES

- [1] Camillo, V., Yousif, M. F., *Continuous rings with ACC on annihilators*.
- [2] Faith, C., *Algebra II: Ring Theory*, Grundlehren **191** (1976), Springer Verlag.
- [3] Hirano, Y., Tominaga, H., *Regular rings, V-rings and their generalizations*, Hiroshima Math J. **9** (1979), 137-149.
- [4] Jain, S. K., Loper-Permouth and Rizvi, T., *Continuous rings with ACC on essential are Artinian*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [5] Michler, G. O., Villamayor, O. E., *On rings whose simple modules are injective*, J. Algebra **25** (1973), 185-201.
- [6] Mohamed, S. H., Muller, B. J., *Continuous and discrete modules*, London Math. Soc. Lecture note Series **147** (Cambridge University Press) (1990).
- [7] Ogori, M., *Chain conditions and quotient rings of pp. rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **30** (1988), 71-78.
- [8] Storrer, H. H., *A note on quasi-Frobenius rings and ring epimorphisms*, Canad. Math. Bull. **12** (1969), 287-292.
- [9] Takehana, Y., *V-rings relative to hereditary torsion theories*, Tsukuba J. Math. **6** (1982), 293-298.
- [10] Utumi, Y., *On continuous rings and self-injective rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1965), 158-173.
- [11] Varadarajan, K., *Generalised V-rings and torsion theories*, Comm. Algebra **14** (1986), 455-467.
- [12] Varadarajan, K., Wehrhan K., *P-injectivity of simple pretorsion modules*, Glasgow Math. J. **28** (1986), 223-225.
- [13] Xue, Weimin, *On p.p.rings*, Kobe Math. **7** (1990), 77-80.
- [14] Yousif, M. F., *SI-modules*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **28** (1986), 133-146.
- [15] Yue Chi Ming, R., *On V-rings and prime rings*, J. Algebra **62** (1980), 13-20.
- [16] Yue Chi Ming, R., *On von Neumann regular rings*, XIII. Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII, Sc. Mat. **31** (1985), 49-61.
- [17] Yue Chi Ming, R., *On injectivity and p-injectivity*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **27** (1987), 439-452.
- [18] Yue Chi Ming, R., *On von Neumann regular rings*, XV. Acta Math. Vietnamica **13** (1988), 71-79.
- [19] Yue Chi Ming, R., *A note on regular rings*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belgique Ser. **B 41** (1989), 129-138.

R. YUE CHI MING
 UNIVERSITÉ PARIS VII
 UFR DE MATHS - URA 212 CNRS
 2, PLACE JUSSIEU
 75251 PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE