

Eugene D. Rodionov

On a new family of homogeneous Einstein manifolds

*Archivum Mathematicum*, Vol. 28 (1992), No. 3-4, 199--204

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107451>

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON A NEW FAMILY OF  
HOMOGENEOUS EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS

E. D. RODIONOV

ABSTRACT. We show that there exists exactly one homothety class of invariant Einstein metrics on each space  $[SU(2)]^{S+1}/T^S$  defined below.

In this paper we study a special family of homogeneous spaces  $M_i^{2S+3} = SU(2) \times \cdots \times SU(2)/T^S$  ( $s \geq 1$ ), where a maximal torus  $T_{\max}$  of  $SU(2) \times \cdots \times SU(2)$  ( $S + 1$  times) is decomposed into the direct product  $T_{\max} = T^S \times S^1$  with  $S^1$  as the subgroup of all product matrices of the form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} e^{2\pi i t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\pi i t} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} e^{2\pi i \iota_1 t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\pi i \iota_1 t} \end{bmatrix} \times \cdots \times \begin{bmatrix} e^{2\pi i \iota_s t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\pi i \iota_s t} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$t \in R, \iota = (1, \iota_1, \dots, \iota_s) : \iota_1, \dots, \iota_s \in Q$$

We prove the existence, up to a homothety, of a unique invariant Einstein metric on each  $M_i^{2S+3}(|\iota_i| \neq 0, 1; i \in \{1, \dots, S\})$ .

Let us remark that every space  $M_i^{2S+3}$  appears naturally as the underlying manifold of a globally  $\varphi$ -symmetric Sasakian structure  $(M, g, \varphi, \xi, \eta)$  which fibers over a Hermitian symmetric space  $[SU(2)]^{S+1}/T_{\max} = CP^1(\lambda_1) \times \cdots \times CP^1(\lambda_{S+1})$  with the convenient holomorphic curvatures  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{S+1}$  (cf. [T],[K - W],[J - K]).

Here  $\pi : M_i^{2S+3} \xrightarrow{S^1} CP^1(\lambda_1) \times \cdots \times CP^1(\lambda_{S+1})$  is a Riemannian submersion. Nevertheless, the corresponding Riemannian-Sasaki metric  $g$  is always different from the Einstein metric constructed in this paper.

The author is grateful to O. Kowalski for his criticism and useful discussions.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53C25, 53C30.

*Key words and phrases*: Einstein manifolds, homogeneous Riemannian manifolds, Ricci curvature, curvature tensor.

Received June 14, 1991.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $su(2)$  denote the Lie algebra of  $SU(2)$  provided by the scalar product  $B(x, y) = -1/2\text{Re}tr xy$ . We consider an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  of  $su(2)$  such that  $[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = -e_2, [e_2, e_3] = e_1$ . The Lie algebra  $s^1$  of  $S^1$  is of the form  $s^1 = R \cdot W = R \cdot (e_1, \iota_1 e_1, \dots, \iota_s e_1)$ . Put  $G = SU(2) \times \dots \times SU(2) (S+1 \text{ times})$ . Consider the scalar product on  $g = SU(2) \oplus \dots \oplus SU(2)$  given by  $B/g \times g = B_{SU(2)} + \dots + B_{SU(2)}$ . Then the Lie algebra  $h$  of the Lie group  $T^S = H$  has the following form:

$$h = \{Y = (\beta_0 e_1, \beta_1 e_1, \dots, \beta_S e_1) \in g : B(Y, W) = 0, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_S \in R\}, \text{ or}$$

$$h = \{Y = (\beta_0 e_1, \beta_1 e_1, \dots, \beta_S e_1) \in g : \beta_0 + \iota_1 \beta_1 + \dots + \iota_S \beta_S = 0, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_S \in R\}.$$

Further, we have a  $B$ -orthogonal decomposition  $g = h \oplus p_0 \oplus p_1 \oplus \dots \oplus p_{S+1}$ , where  $p_0 = R \cdot W, p_i = R \cdot (0, \dots, \overset{i}{e}_2, \dots, 0) + R \cdot (0, \dots, \overset{i}{e}_3, \dots, 0), i = 1, \dots, S + 1$ . Moreover,  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{S+1}$  are irreducible invariant subspaces w. r. to the adjoint representation  $adh$  on  $p = p_0 \oplus p_1 \oplus \dots \oplus p_{S+1}$  and  $p_0 \not\cong p_i, i \in \{1, \dots, S + 1\}$  w.r. to this representation.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Under the assumption  $|\iota_l| \neq 0, 1, l \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ , we have  $p_i \not\cong p_j$  for  $i \neq j$  with respect to the adjoint representation of  $h$  on  $p$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that there exists an isomorphism  $\varphi : p_i \rightarrow p_j$  such that  $ad V \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ ad V$  for every  $V \in h$ . Put  $A = (0, \dots, \overset{i}{e}_2, \dots, 0), B = (0, \dots, \overset{i}{e}_3, \dots, 0) \in p_i$ . Then we can write  $\varphi(A) = (0, \dots, \overset{j}{x}, \dots, 0), \varphi(B) = (0, \dots, \overset{j}{y}, \dots, 0)$ , where  $x, y \in \text{span}(e_2, e_3)$ . For  $V = (\beta_0 e_1, \beta_1 e_1, \dots, \beta_S e_1) \in h$  we have :

$$[V, \varphi(A)] = \varphi([V, A]) = \beta_i \varphi(B), \text{ and also}$$

$$[V, \varphi(B)] = \varphi([V, B]) = -\beta_i \varphi(A).$$

Hence we get  $\beta_j [e_1, x] = \beta_i y, \beta_j [e_1, y] = \beta_i x$ . Further, since the Lie bracket  $[x, y]$  on  $SU(2)$  coincides with the usual vector cross-product, we obtain immediately;  $|\beta_j| \cdot \|x\| = |\beta_i| \cdot \|y\|$  and  $|\beta_j| \cdot \|y\| = |\beta_i| \cdot \|x\|$ . Hence the equality  $|\beta_i| = |\beta_j|$  holds. But  $|\iota_l| \neq 0, 1$  for  $l \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ , therefore there exists an element  $V = (\beta_0 e_1, \beta_1 e_1, \dots, \beta_S e_S) \in h$  such that  $\beta_0 + \iota_1 \beta_1 + \dots + \iota_S \beta_S = 0$  and  $|\beta_i| \neq |\beta_j|$ , which is a contradiction. □

**Corollary 1.1.** *For  $|\iota_l| \neq 0, 1, l \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ , every  $Ad(H)$ -invariant scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $p$  has, up to a constant factor, the following form:*

$$(1.1) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle /_{p \times p} = B \upharpoonright p_0 + y_1 B \upharpoonright p_1 + \dots + y_{S+1} B \upharpoonright p_{S+1}$$

where  $y_1, \dots, y_{S+1} \in R^+.$

The proof follows from the Lemma 1.1 and the Schur's lemma.

We construct a scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $g$  by setting  $(\cdot, \cdot) = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{p \times p} + B/h \times h$ . Further, we consider the following  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ -orthonormal basis of  $p$ :

$$E_0 = W/\|W\| = 1/\|W\| \cdot (e_1, \iota_1 e_1, \dots, \iota_S e_1) \in p_0,$$

$$E_{2i-1} = 1/\sqrt{y_i} \cdot (0, \dots, \overset{i}{e_2}, \dots, 0), \quad E_{2i} = 1/\sqrt{y_i} (0, \dots, \overset{i}{e_3}, \dots, 0) \in p_i;$$

$$i = 1, \dots, S + 1.$$

By the direct calculation we obtain

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} [E_0, E_{2i-1}] &= \iota_{i-1}/\|W\| \cdot E_{2i}, \quad [E_0, E_{2i}] = -\iota_{i-1}/\|W\| \cdot E_{2i-1}, \\ [E_{2i-1}, E_{2i}] &= \iota_{i-1}/y_i \|W\| \cdot E_0 + [E_{2i-1}, E_{2i}]_h \end{cases}$$

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} [p_i, p_j] &= 0, \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, S + 1\} \\ [p_0, p_0] &= 0, \quad [p_0, p_i] = p_i, \quad [p_i, p_i] \subseteq p_0 \oplus h \end{cases}$$

2. THE SECTIONAL CURVATURES OF  $M_l^{2S+3}$

In this part we shall use the notation of the previous part. First, we see that every  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric on  $M_l^{2S+3}$ , for  $|\iota_l| \neq 0, 1, l \in \{1, \dots, S\}$ , is determined, up to a homothety, by an  $Ad(H)$ -invariant scalar product of the form (1.1). Further, the sectional curvatures of such metric can be calculated by means of the standard formula (see [B]):

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle R(X, Y)X, Y \rangle &= -3/4\| [X, Y]_p \|^2 - 1/2\langle [X, [X, Y]_p]_p, Y \rangle - \\ &- 1/2\langle [Y, [Y, X]_p]_p, X \rangle + \|U(X, Y)\|^2 - \langle u(X, X), u(Y, Y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where  $X, Y \in p, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the corresponding scalar product on  $p$  and the mapping  $u : p \times p \rightarrow p$  is defined by the formula:

$$(2.2) \quad 2\langle u(X, Y), Z \rangle = \langle [Z, X]_p, Y \rangle + \langle [Z, Y]_p, X \rangle$$

for all  $Z \in p$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *For an  $Ad(H)$ -invariant scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  of the form (1.1), the following formulas are true:*

$$u(X, Y) = (y_i - 1)/2y_i [X, Y], \text{ where } X \in p_0, Y \in p_i,$$

$$u(p_0, p_0) = u(p_i, p_i) = u(p_i, p_j) = 0, \text{ where } i, j \in \{1, \dots, S + 1\}$$

**Proof.** We shall use Formula (1.3) and (2.2). Let  $X \in p_0, Y \in p_i$ . If  $Z \in p_0$ , then  $[Z, X]_p = 0, [Z, Y]_p \in p_i$  and hence  $\langle u(X, Y), Z \rangle = 0$ . Further, if  $Z \in p_j, j \neq i$ , then  $[Z, X]_p \in p_j, [Z, Y]_p = 0$  and also  $\langle u(X, Y), Z \rangle = 0$ . Therefore  $u(X, Y) \in p_i$ . Finally, let  $Z \in p_i$ , then  $[Z, X]_p \in p_i, [Z, Y]_p \in p_0$  and we have

$$2y_i B(u(X, Y), Z) = y_i B([Z, X]_p, Y) + B([Z, Y]_p, X).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} & y_i B([Z, X]_p, Y) + B([Z, Y]_p, X) = \\ & = y_i B([Z, X], Y) + B([Z, Y], X) = \\ & = y_i B([X, Y], Z) - B([X, Y], Z), \end{aligned}$$

since  $B$  is  $Ad(G)$ -invariant. Hence  $u(X, Y) = (y_i - 1)/2y_i[X, Y]$  for  $X \in p_0, Y \in p_i$ . The other formulas of Lemma 2.1 can be proved similarly.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *For the sectional curvatures of  $M_i^{2S+3}$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} K_\sigma(E_0, E_{2i-1}) &= K_\sigma(E_0, E_{2i}) = (\iota_{i-1}/\|W\|2y_i)^2, \\ K_\sigma(E_{2i-1}, E_{2i}) &= 1/y_i - 3\iota_{i-1}^2/4y_i^2\|W\|^2, \\ K_\sigma(X, Y) &= 0, \quad X \in p_i, Y \in p_j, \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j \in 1, \dots, S + 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Let us calculate  $K_\sigma(E_0, E_{2i-1})$ . From the formulas (2.1), (1.2) and Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_\sigma(E_0, E_{2i-1}) &= -\frac{3}{4}\|\frac{\iota_{i-1}}{\|W\|}E_{2i}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\langle [E_0, \frac{\iota_{i-1}}{\|W\|}E_{2i}]_p, E_{2i-1} \rangle + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\langle [E_{2i-1}, \frac{\iota_{i-1}}{\|W\|}E_{2i}]_p, E_0 \rangle + \|\frac{(y_i - 1)}{2y_i} \cdot \frac{\iota_{i-1}}{\|W\|} \cdot E_{2i}\|^2 = \\ &= -\frac{3\iota_{i-1}^2}{4\|W\|^2} + \frac{\iota_{i-1}^2}{2\|W\|^2} + \frac{\iota_{i-1}^2}{2\|W\|^2y_i} + \frac{\iota_{i-1}^2}{\|W\|^2} \cdot \frac{(y_i - 1)^2}{4y_i^2} = (\frac{\iota_{i-1}}{2\|W\|y_i})^2. \end{aligned}$$

The other sectional curvatures are calculated analogously.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1.** *For the Ricci curvatures of  $M_i^{2S+3}$  we have*

$$textricc(E_0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{s+1} (\frac{\iota_{i-1}}{\|W\|y_i})^2; \quad ricc(E_{2i-1}) = ricc(E_{2i}) = \frac{1}{y_i} - \frac{\iota_{i-1}^2}{2y_i^2\|W\|^2}.$$

The proof follows from Lemma 2.2 by a straightforward computation.

### 3. INVARIANT EINSTEIN METRICS ON $M_i^{2S+3}$

We start with

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be an  $Ad(H)$ -invariant scalar product on  $p$  of the form (1.1). Then the invariant Einstein metrics on  $M_i^{2S+3}(|\iota_l| \neq 0, 1, l \in 1, \dots, S)$  are defined by the following system of quadratic equations*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha_i Z_i^2 - Z_i &= \alpha_j Z_j^2 - Z_j \\ \sum_{i=1}^{S+1} \alpha_i Z_i^2 &= Z_j - \alpha_j Z_j^2, \quad i, j \in 1, \dots, S + 1 \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha_i = \iota_{i-1}^2/2\|W\|^2$ ,  $Z_i = 1/y_i$ .

**Proof.** Since  $H = T^S$  acts transitively on  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{S+1}$  and preserves the Ricci curvature, then  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is Einsteinian iff  $\text{ricc}(E_0) = \text{ricc}(E_{2i}) = \text{ricc}(E_{2j})$  for all  $i, j \in 1, \dots, S$ . Our lemma follows immediately from this fact and Corollary 2.1.  $\square$

Further, it is obvious that (3.1) is equivalent to the formulas

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_i Z_i^2 - Z_i + A = 0, & i \in 1, \dots, S + 1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{S+1} \alpha_i Z_i^2 = A & (\alpha_i > 0, Z_i > 0, A > 0) \end{cases}$$

or

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} Z_i^\pm = (1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha_i A})/2\alpha_i, & i = 1, \dots, S + 1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{S+1} \alpha_i Z_i^2 = A & (0 < \alpha_i, 0 < Z_i, 0 < A \leq 1/4\alpha_i) \end{cases}$$

where  $A$  is an auxiliary parameter.

But  $\alpha_i(Z_i^+)^2 \geq A$  for all  $i \in 1, \dots, S + 1$ , under the assumption  $0 < \alpha_i, 0 < A \leq 1/4\alpha_i$ . In fact, we have the following sequence of inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha_i A} &\geq 0 \geq 4\alpha_i A - 1, \\ 1 + 2\sqrt{1 - 4\alpha_i A} + (1 - 4\alpha_i A) &\geq 4\alpha_i A, \\ (1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha_i A})^2 &\geq 4\alpha_i A \geq 0, \\ 1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha_i A} &\geq 2\alpha_i \sqrt{A/\alpha_i}, \end{aligned}$$

i. e.,  $Z_i^+ \geq \sqrt{A/\alpha_i}$  and hence  $\alpha_i(Z_i^+)^2 \geq A$ . On the other hand,  $\alpha_j(Z_j^-)^2 > 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, S + 1$ . Because  $S + 1 \geq 2$ , the second equality of (3.2) shows that no  $Z_i^+$  can be a part of any solution  $(Z_1, \dots, Z_{S+1})$  of (3.1). Hence (3.1), or (3.2), reduces to the formulas

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} Z_i = (1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha_i A})/2\alpha_i, & i = 1, \dots, S + 1, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{S+1} Z_i = (S + 2)A, & (0 < \alpha_i, 0 < Z_i, 0 < A \leq 1/4\alpha_i) \end{cases}$$

Finally, from (3.3) we see that the problem to find all invariant Einstein metrics of  $M_i^{2S+3}$  is equivalent to the problem of finding all positive zeros of the function:

$$f(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{S+1} (1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\alpha_i A})/2\alpha_i - (S + 2)A,$$

under the assumptions  $0 < \alpha_i, 0 < A \leq 1/4\alpha_{\max}$ , where

$$\alpha_{\max} = \max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{S+1}\}.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *The function  $f(A)$  has exactly one zero in the interval  $]0, 1/4\alpha_{\max}[$ .*

**Proof.** In the first place we have

$$f'(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{S+1} 1/\sqrt{1-4\alpha_i A} - (S+2),$$

$$f''(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{S+1} 2\alpha_i/\sqrt{(1-4\alpha_i A)^3} > 0 \quad \text{for } A \in ]0, 1/4\alpha_{\max}[.$$

Further,  $f'(A) = -1$  and also

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow 1/4\alpha_{\max}-0} f'(A) = \lim_{A \rightarrow 1/4\alpha_{\max}-0} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4\alpha_{\max}A}} - (S+2) \right) = +\infty.$$

Finally,  $f(0) = 0$ . Let us prove that  $f(1/4\alpha_{\max}) > 0$ . Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(1/4\alpha_{\max}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{S+1} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha_i/\alpha_{\max}}}{2\alpha_i} - \frac{(S+2)}{4\alpha_{\max}} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{S+1} \frac{1 - 1 + \alpha_i/\alpha_{\max}}{2\alpha_i(1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_i/\alpha_{\max}})} - \frac{(S+2)}{4\alpha_{\max}} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{S+1} \frac{1}{2\alpha_{\max}(1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_i/\alpha_{\max}})} - \frac{(S+2)}{4\alpha_{\max}} = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq \max}}^{S+1} \frac{1}{2\alpha_{\max}(1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_i/\alpha_{\max}})} + \frac{1}{2\alpha_{\max}} - \frac{S}{4\alpha_{\max}} - \frac{1}{2\alpha_{\max}} > \\ &> \frac{S}{4\alpha_{\max}} - \frac{S}{4\alpha_{\max}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The assertion of our Lemma follows now from the above fact above the behavior of  $f(A)$ ,  $f'(A)$ ,  $f''(A)$  in the segment  $[0, 1/4\alpha_{\max}]$ .  $\square$

Hence we obtain the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For each  $\iota = (1, \iota_1, \dots, \iota_S)$  ( $\iota_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $|\iota_i| \neq 0, 1$ ,  $i \in 1, \dots, S$ ) there exists, up to a homothety, a unique invariant Einstein metric on  $M_\iota^{2S+3}$  ( $S \geq 1$ ).*

**Remark.** For  $S = 1$  the solution can be calculated from a cubic equation (see the previous paper [R], which was devoted to this special case).

## REFERENCES

- [B] Besse, A., *Einstein manifolds*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [J – K] Jimenez, J.A., Kowalski, O., *The classification of  $\varphi$ -symmetric Sasakian manifolds*, 1991, preprint.
- [K – W] Kowalski, O., Wegrzynowski, S., *A classification of five-dimensional  $\varphi$ -symmetric spaces*, Tensor, N.S. **46** (1987), 379-386.
- [R] Rodionov, E.D., *Einstein metrics on a class of five-dimensional homogenous spaces*, 1991, to appear in CMUC, Prague.
- [T] Takahashi, T., *Sasakian  $\varphi$ -symmetric spaces*, Tohoku Math. J. **29** (1977), 91-113.

E. D. RODIONOV  
ALTAI STATE UNIVERSITY  
DIMITROV STREET 66  
656099 BARNAUL, USSR