

Roger Yue Chi Ming
Remarks on injectivity

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 23 (1987), No. 4, 207--214

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107298>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

REMARKS ON INJECTIVITY

R. YUE CHI MING

(Received December 20, 1985)

Abstract. Various properties of injective modules and generalizations are studied. Quasi-Frobeniusean and pseudo-Frobeniusean rings are characterized.

Key words and phrases. Injective modules, self-injective rings, CE-injectivity, p -injectivity, projective cover, quasi-Frobeniusean and pseudo-Frobeniusean rings.

MS Classification. 16 A 52.

INTRODUCTION

In this sequel to [10], certain properties of injectivity and generalizations are considered. The concept of injectivity is one of the fundamental concepts in the theory of rings and modules (cf. [3], [4], [5]) and has been extensively studied since several years. CE-injective modules, introduced in [10], are here further developed. This note contains the following results: (1) If A is a prime left self-injective regular ring, then for any left ideals B, D with an isomorphism $g : B \approx D$, there exist left ideals U, V containing B, D respectively and an isomorphism $f : U \approx V$ extending g such that either $U = A$ or $V = A$; (2) If M is a CE-injective left A -module such that any left submodule isomorphic to a complement submodule is a complement submodule, $B = \text{End}({}_A M)$, the following are then equivalent: (a) B is semi-perfect; (b) Every simple left B -module has a projective cover; (c) B contains no infinite set of orthogonal idempotents; (3) A is left and right pseudo-Frobeniusean iff the injective hull of every simple left A -module and the injective hull of every cyclic projective right A -module are projective; (4) A is quasi-Frobeniusean iff every left A -module has an injective projective left cover; (5) The following conditions are equivalent: (a) Every factor ring of A is quasi-Frobeniusean; (b) A is a left GFC ring such that the injective hull of every cyclic left A -module is cyclic projective; (c) The injective hull of every cyclic left A -module is cyclic projective and every simple left A -module has a projective cover; (6) A is semi-simple Artinian iff A is a left p.p. ring such that every simple left A -module has a p -injective projective cover.

Throughout, A denotes an associative ring with identity and A -modules are unital. Z, J will stand respectively for the left singular ideal and the Jacobson radical of A .

An ideal of A will always mean a two-sided ideal and A is called left duo if every left ideal of A is an ideal. A left (right) ideal of A is called reduced if it contains no non-zero nilpotent element. A left A -module M is called p -injective if, for any principal left ideal P of A , every left A -homomorphism of P into M extends to one of A into M . A is von Neumann regular iff every left (right) A -module is flat if every left (right) A module is p -injective. In general, there is no inclusion relation between the classes of flat modules and p -injective modules. However, if K is a maximal left ideal of A which is an ideal, then ${}_A A/K$ is flat iff A/K_A is injective iff A/K_A is p -injective. For any left A -module M , $Z(M) = \{y \in M \mid l(y) \text{ is essential in } {}_A A\}$ is the singular submodule of M . M is called singular (resp. non-singular) if $Z(M) = M$ (resp. $Z(M) = 0$). A is called semi-local if A/J is Artinian.

We start by considering non-singular left ideals in left self-injective rings.

Lemma 1. *Let A be a left self-injective ring. If I is a non-singular left ideal of A , for any $b \in I$, Ab is generated by an idempotent.*

Proof. Let $0 \neq b \in I$, K a non-zero complement left ideal of A such that $L = l(b) \oplus K$ is an essential left ideal. If $f: Kb \rightarrow A$ is the map $kb \rightarrow k(k \in K)$, since ${}_A A$ is injective, there exists $c \in A$ such that $f(kb) = kbc$ for all $k \in K$. Therefore $K \subseteq l(b - bcb)$ which implies $L \subseteq l(b - bcb)$, whence $b - bcb \in Z(I) = 0$. Thus $Ab = Ae$, where $e = cb$ is idempotent.

Proposition 2. *Let A be a left self-injective ring containing a non-singular left ideal I . If B, D are left ideals of A contained in I with an isomorphism $g: B \approx D$, there exist injective non-singular left ideals U_0, V_0 containing B, D respectively with an isomorphism $f_0: U_0 \approx V_0$ extending g , and injective non-singular left ideals P, Q which do not contain any non-zero mutually isomorphic left ideals of A such that $U_0 \oplus P = V_0 \oplus Q$ is the injective hull of I and $PQ = QP = 0$. If, further, A is semi-prime, then there exist central idempotents u_1, v_1 of A such that $P \subseteq Au_1, Q \subseteq Av_1, Pv_1 = Qu_1 = 0$.*

Proof. The set of essential extensions of ${}_A I$ in ${}_A A$ has, by Zorn's Lemma, a maximal member C which is a complement left ideal of A . Then ${}_A C$ is the injective hull of ${}_A I$. Also ${}_A C$ is non-singular by [8, Lemma 2]. Consider the set E of elements (U, V, f) , where U, V are left ideals of A in C containing B, D respectively and $f: U \approx V$ extending g , ordered by the following: $(U, V, f) \subseteq (U', V', f')$ iff $U \subseteq U', V \subseteq V'$ and f' extends f . Then, by Zorn's Lemma, E has a maximal member (U_0, V_0, f_0) . If \bar{U}, \bar{V} are the injective hulls of U_0, V_0 respectively in ${}_A C$, then f_0 extends to an isomorphism of \bar{U} into \bar{V} . By the maximality of (U_0, V_0, f_0) , we have $\bar{U} = U_0, \bar{V} = V_0$, whence $C = U_0 \oplus P = V_0 \oplus Q$, where $P = Au, Q = Av, u, v$ being idempotents in C , and P, Q do not contain any mutually isomorphic left ideals. We claim that $PQ = 0$. Suppose the contrary: if $b \in A$ such that $ubv \neq 0, h: Au \rightarrow Av$ the map defined by $h(au) = aubv$ for all $a \in A$, then $h(Au) = Aw, 0 \neq w = w^2 \in Av$ by Lemma 1, whence $\ker h$ is a direct summand of ${}_A Au$. Therefore $Au = \ker h \oplus Az,$

$0 \neq z = z^2 \in Au$ and $Az \approx Aw$, which is a contradiction! This proves that $PQ = 0$. Similarly $QP = 0$. Now suppose that A is semi-prime. Then $P \subseteq l(r(PA)) = Au_1$ and $Q \subseteq r(l(Q)) = Av_1$, where u_1, v_1 are central idempotents. Since $PQ = 0$, then $v \in r(PA)$ implies that $Au_1 \subseteq l(v)$, whence $Qu_1 = 0$. Similarly, $Pv_1 = 0$.

Corollary 2.1. *If A is prime left self-injective regular, then for any left ideals B, D with $g : B \approx D$, there exist left ideals U, V containing B, D respectively and $f : U \approx V$ extending g such that either $U = A$ or $V = A$.*

Left p -injective rings whose complement left ideals are principal generalize left self-injective rings and left continuous regular rings. The next proposition may be similarly proved.

Proposition 3. *Let A be a left p -injective ring whose complement left ideals are principal and K and injective non-singular left ideal. If B, D are left ideals contained in K with an isomorphism $g : B \approx D$, there exist left ideals U, V containing B, D respectively with an isomorphism $f : U \approx V$ extending g such that $K = U \oplus P = V \oplus Q$, where P, Q do not contain any non-zero mutually isomorphic left ideals and $PQ = QP = 0$. Consequently, if A is prime, then either $K = U$ or $K = V$.*

Remark 1. Let A be a left p -injective ring containing a reduced injective left ideal K . If B, D are isomorphic left ideals contained in K , then the conclusion of Proposition 3 holds.

As usual, (1) a left A -module M is said to have a projective cover if there exist a projective left A -module P and an epimorphism $g : P \rightarrow M$ such that $\ker g$ is superfluous in P . H. BASS [1] called A left perfect if every left A -module has a projective cover. (2) ${}_A M$ is a generator if, for any left A -module N , there exists an epimorphism from a direct sum of copies of M onto N . (3) ${}_A M$ is a cogenerator if, for any left A -module N , there exists a monomorphism of N into a direct product of copies of M . A is called left pseudo-Frobeniusean (resp. FPF) if every faithful (resp. finitely generated faithful) left A -module generates the category of left A -modules (cf. [3], [5]). The following conditions are equivalent: (1) A is left pseudo-Frobeniusean; (2) A is an injective cogenerator; (3) A is a semi-local left cogenerator; (4) A is a left cogenerating right Kasch ring. (A is right Kasch if every maximal right ideal of A is a right annihilator ideal.) Also, A is left cogenerating iff the injective hull of every simple left A -module is projective. Recall that A is a left p.p. ring if every principal left ideal of A is a projective left A -module.

Remark 2. A is von Neumann regular iff A is a left p.p. ring such that there exists a p -injective left generator.

Following [10], a left A -module M is CE -injective if, for any left submodule N containing a non-zero complement left submodule of M , every left A -homomorphism of N into M extends to an endomorphism of ${}_A M$. We now consider the ring of endomorphisms of a generalization of quasi-injective modules.

Proposition 4. *Let M be a CE-injective left A -module such that any left submodule isomorphic to a complement left submodule is a complement submodule. If $B = \text{End}({}_A M)$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) B is semi-perfect;
- (2) Every simple left B -module has a projective cover;
- (3) B contains no infinite set of orthogonal idempotents.

Proof. Since B is semi-perfect iff every finitely generated left B -module has a projective cover [1, Theorem 2.1], then (1) implies (2).

Assume (2). Let W denote the Jacobson radical of B and $\bar{K} = K + W$ a maximal left ideal of $\bar{B} = B/W$, where K is a maximal left ideal of B . Since B/K has a projective cover, let $g : P \rightarrow B/K$ be an epimorphism, where ${}_B P$ is projective and $\ker g$ is superfluous in P . If $p : B \rightarrow B/K$ is the natural projection, there exists a left B -homomorphism $h : B \rightarrow P$ such that $gh = p$ and for any $c \in P$, there exists $y \in B$ such that $g(c) = p(y) = gh(y)$ which yields $P = \ker g + h(B)$, whence $h(B) = P$. If $h(1) = d$, then $P = Bd$ and $h(B) = Bd$. Since $B/\ker h \approx P$, then $\ker h$ is a direct summand of ${}_B B$ (because ${}_B P$ is projective). If $h(K) = 0$, then $K = \ker h$ and $B = K \oplus Be$, $0 \neq e = e^2 \in B$, whence $Ke = 0$. In that case, $\bar{K} = l(\bar{e})$ (since $e \notin W$). If $h(K) \neq 0$, since $gh(K) = 0$, then $h(K)$ is superfluous in P . Since $h(B) = P$ is projective, there exists a left B -homomorphism $t : h(B) \rightarrow B$ such that $ht = i$, the identity map on $h(B)$. Since $h(K)$ is superfluous in $h(B)$, then $th(K)$ is superfluous in B . Now let $t(d) = b \in B$. Then $d = i(d) = ht(d) = h(b) = bh(1) = bd$ implies $0 \neq b = t(d) = t(bd) = bt(d) = b^2$ and $Kb = Kt(d) = t(Kd) = th(K)$ is superfluous in B . Thus in case $h(K) \neq 0$, there exists also a non-zero idempotent b such that Kb must be contained in every maximal left ideal of B , whence $Kb \subseteq W$. Therefore $\bar{K} = l_{\bar{B}}(\bar{b})$ (in as much as the Jacobson radical W contains no non-zero idempotent of B). The fact that \bar{b} is an idempotent in \bar{B} implies that \bar{K} is a direct summand of ${}_{\bar{B}} \bar{B}$. Therefore, whether $k(K) = 0$ or not, K must be a direct summand of ${}_B B$ which proves that B is semi-simple Artinian. B is therefore a semi-local ring whose idempotents can be lifted [10, Proposition 4 and Remark 6], whence (2) implies (1).

(1) and (3) are equivalent by [5, P. 305 ex. 8] and [10, Proposition 4].

Applying [4, Corollary 2.22], we get

Corollary 4.1. *If ${}_A M$ is non-singular quasi-injective, $B = \text{End}({}_A M)$, then B is semi-simple Artinian if every simple left B -module has a projective cover.*

It is well-known that if A is left self-injective, then idempotents of A/J can be lifted. Using [1, Theorem 2.1], one can similarly prove the next result.

Theorem 5. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) A is left pseudo-Frobeniusean;
- (2) For any simple left A -module U , U has a projective cover and the injective hull of ${}_A U$ is projective;

(3) Every simple left A -module has a projective cover and there exists a projective left cogenerator;

(4) A is left cogenerating such that every simple left A -module has a projective cover.

Remark 3. If A is left pseudo-Frobeniusean, then (a) the injective hull of every simple left A -module is cyclic; (b) a simple left A -module is projective iff it is injective.

Remark 4. If A is left f -injective with an injective maximal left ideal such that the injective hull of every simple left A -module is projective, then A is left pseudo-Frobeniusean. (A is called left f -injective if, for any finitely generated left ideal F of A , every left A -homomorphism of F into A extends to an endomorphism of ${}_A A$).

Proposition 6. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) A is left and right pseudo-Frobeniusean;

(2) The injective hull of every simple left A -module and the injective hull of every cyclic faithful projective right A -module are projective.

Proof. Assume (1). Since A is a left cogenerator, then the injective hull of every simple left A -module is projective. Let C be a cyclic faithful projective right A -module. If $C = cA$, then $r(c)$ is a direct summand of A_A which implies that $C_A (\approx A/r(c))$ is injective. Consequently, (1) implies (2).

Assume (2). Since A is a left cogenerator and hence left Kasch, then any proper finitely generated left ideal of A has non-zero right annihilator. If E_A is the injective hull of A_A , by hypothesis, E_A is projective and by [1, Theorem 5.4], A_A is a direct summand of E_A which implies $A = E$. Then (2) implies (1) by [5, Theorem 12.1.1].

We say that a left A -module M has an injective (resp. p -injective) projective cover if there exist an injective (resp. p -injective) projective left A -module P with an epimorphism $g : P \rightarrow M$ such that $\ker g$ is superfluous in P .

Theorem 7. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) A is quasi-Frobeniusean;

(2) A is left Noetherian with an injective left generator;

(3) Every left A -module has an injective projective left cover.

Proof. Since ${}_A A$ is a generator, then (1) implies (2).

Assume (2). Let G be an injective left generator. For any projective left A -module P , there exists an epimorphism $g : D \rightarrow P$, where D is a direct sum of copies of G . Since A is left Noetherian, then ${}_A D$ is injective. Therefore $D/\ker g \approx P$ implies that $\ker g$ is a direct summand of ${}_A D$, whence ${}_A P$ is injective. Since a left Artinian ring is left (and right) perfect, then by [3, Theorem 24.20], (2) implies (3).

Assume (3). For any projective left A -module P , there exists an injective projective left A -module Q with an epimorphism $g : Q \rightarrow P$ such that $\ker g$ is superfluous in Q . Then ${}_A P (\approx Q/\ker g)$ is injective and (3) implies (1) by [3, Theorem 24.20].

Remark 5. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) A is left p -injective left perfect;

(2) A is a left p -injective ring whose simple left modules have projective covers such that Z is left T -nilpotent;

(3) Every flat left A -module is p -injective projective.

We now turn to sufficient conditions for right Kasch rings to be left pseudo-Frobeniusean.

Proposition 8. *Let A be a right Kasch ring whose indecomposable injective left modules are projective. If A is of left finite Goldie dimension, then A is left pseudo-Frobeniusean.*

Proof. A contains an essential left ideal L which is a finite direct sum of non-zero uniform left ideals. If E is the injective hull of ${}_A A$, since the injective hull of any uniform left ideal in ${}_A E$ is an indecomposable left A -module, then E contains an essential left submodule F which is a finite direct sum of indecomposable injective left submodules. By hypothesis, F is an injective projective left A -module which yields $E = F$. Since A is right Kasch, then any proper finitely generated right ideal has non-zero left annihilator which implies that ${}_A A$ is a direct summand of ${}_A E$, whence $A = E$ is injective. Now the injective hull of any simple left A -module is indecomposable and therefore projective which implies that ${}_A A$ is a cogenerator. This proves that A is left pseudo-Frobeniusean.

Let us now characterize rings which are fully quasi-Frobeniusean. Following BIRKENMEIER [2], A is called a left GFC ring if every cyclic faithful left A -module is a generator. Left GFC rings generalize left pseudo-Frobeniusean and left FPF rings. Also, if every non-zero left ideal of A contains a non-zero ideal, then A is left GFC.

Theorem 9. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every factor ring of A is quasi-Frobeniusean;*
- (2) *The injective hull of every cyclic left A -module is cyclic projective and every simple left A -module has a projective cover;*
- (3) *A is a left GFC ring such that the injective hull of every cyclic left A -module is cyclic projective;*
- (4) *A is left GFC satisfying the maximum condition on left annihilators such that the injective hulls of cyclic left A -modules are cyclic.*

Proof. It is well-known that (1) implies (2).

Assume (2). Suppose there exists an injective left A -module Q which is not a direct sum of indecomposable submodules. Then ${}_A Q$ is not uniform. Therefore, there exist non-zero left submodules Q_1, M_2 such that $Q = Q_1 \oplus M_2$. We may suppose that M_2 is not uniform (by changing the notation, if necessary). Then $M_2 = Q_2 \oplus M_3$, where M_3 is again supposed not uniform (by changing the notation again, if necessary). This decomposition may be continued such that we obtain, for each positive integer n , $Q = Q_1 \oplus Q_2 \oplus \dots \oplus Q_n \oplus M_{n+1}$ where, M_{n+1} is supposed not uniform. Since each Q_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) contains a cyclic projective submodule P_i , then for any positive

integer n , Q contains a direct sum of cyclic projective submodules P_1, \dots, P_n . Each P_i is isomorphic to a left ideal K_i . Now since the injective hull of every simple left A -module is projective, then ${}_A A$ is a cogenerator and since every simple left A -module has a projective cover, then A is semi-local which yields A left pseudo-Frobeniusean. Then $F_n = K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_n$ is a finitely generated projective submodule which is a direct summand of ${}_A A$ (in as much as ${}_A A$ is injective). We thus produce an infinite ascending chain of direct summands $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_n \subset \dots$ which contradicts A left pseudo-Frobeniusean. This proves that every injective left A -module is a direct sum of indecomposable submodules, whence A is left Noetherian and therefore (2) implies (1) by [3, Proposition 25.4.6 B].

It is evident that (1) implies (3).

Assume (3). If E denotes the injective hull of ${}_A A$, then ${}_A E$ is a generator and there exists an epimorphism $g : F \rightarrow A$, where F is a finite direct sum of copies of E . Then ${}_A F$ is injective which implies that ${}_A A$ is injective. Since ${}_A A$ is a cogenerator, then A is left pseudo-Frobeniusean and the proof of “(2) implies (1)” shows that (3) implies (1).

Similarly, (1) and (4) are equivalent by [3, Theorem 24.20].

Corollary 9.1. *If A is left duo, the following are equivalent: (a) Every factor ring of A is quasi-Frobeniusean; (b) Every cyclic left A -module has a cyclic projective injective hull.*

Following [6], a left A -module M is called semi-simple if the intersection of all maximal left submodules is zero.

Theorem 10. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) A is semi-simple Artinian;
- (2) A is a left p.p. ring such that every simple left A -module has a p -injective projective cover;
- (3) Every cyclic semi-simple left A -module is flat and has a projective cover;
- (4) Every essential left ideal of A is a left annihilator and Z contains no non-zero nilpotent right ideal.

Proof. (1) implies (2) evidently.

Assume (2). Let U be a simple left A -module. There exist a p -injective projective left A -module P and an epimorphism $g : P \rightarrow U$ such that $\ker g$ is superfluous in P . Then $P/\ker g \approx U$ and since A is left p.p., by [9, Remark 2], ${}_A U$ is p -injective which implies that $J = 0$ [9, Lemma 1]. The proof of Proposition 4 then shows that A is semi-simple Artinian and therefore (2) implies (3).

Assume (3). Then ${}_A A/J$ is semi-simple and hence flat which yields $J = 0$. Since every simple left A -module has a projective cover, then A is semi-simple Artinian and (3) implies (4).

Assume (4). Suppose there exists a maximal left ideal M which is not a direct summand of ${}_A A$. Then M is an essential left ideal which implies that $M = l(b)$,

$0 \neq b \in A$. For any non-zero elements u, v in $r(M)$ such that $uv \neq 0$, there exists $d \in A$ such that $0 \neq du \in M$ and $dvw = 0$. Now $M = l(uv)$ implies that $d \in M$, whence $du = 0$ which is a contradiction! Therefore $(r(M))^2 = 0$ and since $r(M) \subseteq Z$, by hypothesis, $r(M) = 0$ which contradicts $b \neq 0$. This proves that every maximal left ideal of A is a direct summand of ${}_A A$ which yields A semi-simple Artinian. Thus (4) implies (1).

We conclude with two more remarks.

Remark 6. If every cyclic left A -module has a cyclic injective hull, the following are then equivalent: (a) A is left pseudo-Frobeniusean; (b) A is left GFC such that A/J satisfies the ascending chain condition on direct summands; (c) Every simple left A -module has a projective cover. In that case, A is local iff the left ideals of A are linearly ordered. (cf. [7, Corollary 1.11] and [10, Lemma 12].)

Remark 7. (1) If A is left GFC, then A is left self-injective iff the injective hull of every cyclic projective faithful left A -module is cyclic; consequently, the following are equivalent: (a) A is left and right self-injective strongly regular; (b) A is semi-prime left duo such that the injective hull of every cyclic projective faithful left A -module is cyclic.

(2) If A is left FPF, then A is left self-injective iff the injective hull of every cyclic projective faithful left A -module is projective.

(3) If every non-zero left ideal of A contains a non-zero ideal, then A is left pseudo-Frobeniusean iff the injective hull of every cyclic faithful projective left A -module is a cyclic left cogenerator.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bass, *Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960), 466–488.
- [2] G. F. Birkenmeier, *A generalization of FPF rings* (to appear).
- [3] C. Faith, *Algebra II: Ring Theory*, 191, Springer-Verlag (1976).
- [4] K. R. Goodearl, *Ring Theory: Non-singular rings and modules*, Pure and applied Math., 33, Dekker (1976).
- [5] F. Kasch, *Modules and rings*, London Math. Soc. Monograph 17 (translated by D. A. R. Wallace), 1982.
- [6] G. O. Michler and O. E. Villamayor, *On rings whose simple modules are injective*, J. Algebra 25 (1973), 185–201.
- [7] B. L. Osofsky, *Noncommutative rings whose cyclic modules have cyclic injective hulls*, Pac. J. Math. 25 (1968), 331–340.
- [8] R. Yue Chi Ming, *A note on singular ideals*, Tôhoku Math. J. 21 (1969), 337–342.
- [9] R. Yue Chi Ming, *On simple p -injective modules*, Math. Japonica 19 (1974), 173–176.
- [10] R. Yue Chi Ming, *On injective modules and annihilators*, Ricerche di Matematica 33 (1984), 147–158.

R. Yue Chi Ming

Université Paris VII, U. E. R. de Mathématique et Informatique

2, Place Jussieu

752 51 Paris Cédex 05

France