

Miloš Ráb

Linear integral inequalities

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 15 (1979), No. 1, 37--46

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107022>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

LINEAR INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES

MILOŠ RÁB, Brno
(Received May 2, 1978)

Since 1918—when Gronwall [4] published an estimate for any continuous non-negative function $x(t)$ defined in $[a, a + h]$ and satisfying the inequality

$$x(t) \leq \alpha + \int_a^t x(s) ds, \quad \beta > 0$$

a lot of papers have appeared generalizing this result. Many of them have been mentioned or reproved in the monograph by Филатов—Шарова [25].

The inequalities of Gronwall's type are special cases of an inequality for a monotone operator \mathcal{L} , $x \leq f + \mathcal{L}x$ in which the solution of $y = f + \mathcal{L}y$ provides an upper bound for x (Walter [13]). This result has been proved, for Volterra integral inequalities, by Sato—Iwasaki [11]. In the linear case, i.e. if a function $x(t)$ satisfies an inequality

$$(1) \quad x(t) \leq f(t) + \int_a^t k(t, s) x(s) ds,$$

an upper bound for $x(t)$ is given by a Neumann series—the solution of the equation— $y(t) = f(t) + \int_a^t k(t, s) y(s) ds$ —which represents the best upper bound of $x(t)$. It is well known that if $k(t, s) \equiv g(t) h(s)$, the solution of this equation can be written in a closed form (see Chu—Metcalf [3]). Putting down the requirement of receiving the best possible bound, we can replace the inequality (1) by another one providing a simple bound in general case, too.

The purpose of this paper is to treat inequalities containing multiple integrals; the results encompass the major part of papers quoted below.

There is an elegant generalization of Gronwall's inequality to systems of n linear inequalities in m variables published by Chandra—Davies [2].

For a better orientation we establish a survey of typical results concerning the linear integral inequalities for functions of one variable. In this survey, all functions are supposed to be continuous and nonnegative on the interval $I = [a, T)$, $T \leq \infty$

or on the Cartesian product $I \times \dots \times I$; all constants are supposed to be nonnegative. Gronwall (1918) [4]

$$x(t) \leq \int_a^t [\alpha x(s) + \beta] ds, \quad t \in [a, a+h] \Rightarrow x(t) \leq \beta h \exp \{dh\}, \\ t \in [a, a+h].$$

Reid (1930) [10]

$$x(t) \leq f(t) + \int_a^t [h(s)x(s) + g(s)] ds, \\ f(t) \leq M \Rightarrow x(t) \leq \left[\int_a^t g(s) ds + M \right] \exp \int_a^t h(s) ds$$

Харламов (1955) [26]

$$x(t) \leq f(t) + g(t) \int_a^t h(s)x(s) ds \Rightarrow x(t) \leq f(t) + \\ + g(t) \int_a^t f(s) h(s) \exp \int_s^t g(r) h(r) dr ds$$

Сарбарлы (1965) [23]

$$x(t) \leq f(t) + g(t) \int_a^\infty h(s)x(s) ds; \\ \int_a^\infty f h, \int_a^\infty g h < \infty \Rightarrow x(t) \leq f(t) + g(t) \int_a^\infty f(s) h(s) \exp \int_s^t g(r) h(r) dr ds$$

Willet (1965) [14]

$$x(t) \leq f(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(t) \int_a^t h_i(s)x(s) ds \Rightarrow x(t) \leq E_n f(t),$$

$$E_i = D_i D_{i-1} \dots D_0, \quad D_0 f = f, \quad D_j f = f + (E_{j-1} g_j) \left(\exp \int_a^t h_j E_{j-1} g_j \right) \int_a^t h_j f.$$

Мовлянкулов – Филатов (1972) [21]

$$x(t) \leq f(t) + g(t) \int_a^t k(t,s)x(s) ds \Rightarrow x(t) \leq F(t) \exp \left\{ G(t) \int_a^t K(t,s) ds \right\}, \\ F(t) = \sup_{a \leq r \leq t} f(r), \quad G(t) = \sup_{a \leq r \leq t} g(r), \quad K(t,s) = \sup_{a \leq r \leq t} k(r,s)$$

Филатов – Шарова (1976) [25]

$$x(t) \leq c + \int_a^t k(t,s)x(s) ds, \quad \frac{\partial k}{\partial t} \in C^0 \Rightarrow x(t) \leq c \exp \int_a^t (k(s,s) + \int_a^s \frac{\partial k}{\partial s}(s,\sigma) d\sigma) ds$$

Rachpatte (1975) [7]

$$x(t) \leq f(t) + \int_a^t g(s)x(s) ds + \int_a^t g(s) \int_a^s h(r)x(r) dr ds,$$

$$f(t) \text{ nondecreasing} \Rightarrow x(t) \leq f(t) \left[1 + \int_a^t g(s) \exp \int_a^s [g(r) + h(r)] dr ds \right]$$

Ведь (1965) [18]

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\leq c_1 + g(t) \left\{ c_2 + c_3 \int_a^t [h(s) x(s) + \int_a^s k(s, r) x(r) dr] ds \right\} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow x(t) \leq c_1 + g(t) \left[c_2 \exp \left\{ c_3 \int_a^t (g(s) h(s) + \int_a^s k(s, r) g(r) dr) ds \right\} + \right. \\ &\left. + c_1 c_3 \int_a^t (h(s) + \int_a^s k(s, r) dr) \exp \left\{ c_3 \int_s^t (h(r) g(r) + \int_a^r k(r, u) g(u) du) dr \right\} ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

Vencková (1977) [12]

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\leq f(t) + \int_a^t \int_a^{t_{2n-1}} \dots \int_a^{t_{n+1}} \frac{1}{p(t_n)} \int_a^{t_n} \dots \int_a^{t_1} g(t_0) x(t_0) dt_0 \dots dt_{2n-1} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow x(t) \leq f(t) \exp \int_a^t \int_a^{t_{2n-1}} \dots \int_a^{t_{n+1}} \frac{1}{p(t_n)} \int_a^{t_n} \dots \int_a^{t_1} g(t_0) dt_0 \dots dt_{2n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel let R^n denote the real n -dimensional, euclidian space of elements (t_1, \dots, t_n) . As usual, we shall use R instead of R^1 . Let E be a set in R^{n+1} . We shall mean by $C(E, R^m)$ the class of continuous mappings from E to R^m . If f is a member of this class, one writes $f \in C(E, R^m)$. Another accepted significations: $R^+ = [0, \infty)$, $R^- = (-\infty, 0]$, $J = [t_0, T)$, $T \leq \infty$. Finally let us define $D_{k+1} = \{(t, t_1, \dots, t_k) \in R^{k+1}, t_0 \leq t_k \leq \dots \leq t_1 \leq t < T\}$.

Theorem 1. Let $v_k(t, t_1, \dots, t_k), \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t}(t, t_1, \dots, t_k) \in C(D^{k+1}, R^+)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$.

For $u(t) \in C(J, R^+)$ let us define

$$R_2[u](t) = \int_{t_0}^t v_2(t, t, t_2) u(t_2) dt_2,$$

$$R_k[u](t) = \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^{t_2} \dots \int_{t_0}^{t_{k-1}} v_k(t, t, t_2, \dots, t_k) u(t_k) dt_k \dots dt_2, \quad k = 3, \dots, n$$

$$R[u](t) = v_1(t, t) u(t) + \sum_{k=2}^n R_k[u](t),$$

$$S_1[u](t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial t}(t, t_1) u(t_1) dt_1,$$

$$S_k[u](t) = \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \dots \int_{t_0}^{t_{k-1}} \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t}(t, t_1, \dots, t_k) u(t_k) dt_k \dots dt_1, \quad k = 2, \dots, n$$

$$S[u](t) = \sum_{k=1}^n S_k[u](t).$$

Suppose $a(t), b(t), x(t) \in C(J, R^+)$ and

(2)

$$x(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \left[\int_a^t v_1(t, t_1) x(t_1) dt_1 + \dots + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \dots \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} v_n(t, t_1, \dots, t_n) x(t_n) dt_n \dots dt_1 \right].$$

Then

$$(3) \quad x(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t (R[a] + S[a])(s) \exp \int_s^t (R[b] + S[b])(r) dr ds.$$

Proof. Denote

$$v(t) = \int_{t_0}^t v_1(t, t_1) x(t_1) dt_1 + \dots + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \dots \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} v_n(t, t_1, \dots, t_n) x(t_n) dt_n \dots dt_1.$$

Then $x(t) \leq a(t) + b(t)v(t)$ and $v(t)$ is nonnegative and nondecreasing. Since $u_1(t), u_2(t) \in C(J, R^+)$, $u_1(t) \leq u_2(t)$ imply $R[u_1](t) \leq R[u_2](t)$ and $S[u_1](t) \leq S[u_2](t)$, we obtain the following bound for the derivative $v'(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} v'(t) &= R[x](t) + S[x](t) \leq R[a + bv](t) + S[a + bv](t) = \\ &= (R + S)[a](t) + (R + S)[bv](t) \leq (R + S)[b](t)v(t) + (R + S)[a](t). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying this inequality by $\exp \left\{ - \int_{t_0}^t (R + S)[b](s) ds \right\}$, it may be written in the form

$$\left[v(t) \exp \left\{ - \int_{t_0}^t (R + S)[b](s) ds \right\} \right]' \leq (R + S)[a](t) \exp \left\{ - \int_{t_0}^t (R + S)[b](s) ds \right\}.$$

Integrating from t_0 to t we receive with respect to $v(t_0) = 0$

$$v(t) \exp \left\{ - \int_{t_0}^t (R + S)[b](s) ds \right\} \leq \int_{t_0}^t (R + S)[a](s) \exp \left\{ - \int_{t_0}^s (R + S)[b](r) dr \right\} ds,$$

and,

$$v(t) \leq \int_{t_0}^t (R + S)[a](s) \exp \int_s^t (R + S)[b](r) dr ds.$$

Since $x(t) \leq a(t) + b(t)v(t)$, the proof is complete. Th. 1 contains the results from the papers [1], [4], [5], [6], [10], [16]–[20], [22], [24]–[28] as special cases.

Theorem 2. Let $v_k(t, t_1, \dots, t_k), V_k(t, t_1, \dots, t_k) \in C(D^{k+1}, R^+)$ and let V_k be nondecreasing in t ,

$$V_k(t, t_1, \dots, t_k) \geq \max_{t_k \leq s \leq t} v_k(s, t_1, \dots, t_k), \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let $\hat{R}[u](t)$ be defined in the same way as $R[u](t)$ in Th. 1 replacing there v_k by V_k .

Then the inequality (2) implies that

$$(4) \quad x(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_{t_0}^t \hat{R}[a](s) \exp \int_s^t \hat{R}[b](r) dr ds, \quad t \in [t_0, T).$$

Proof. It is seen from (2) that for each $t \in [t_0, h]$, $h < T$ the following inequality is valid

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\leq a(t) + b(t) \left[\int_{t_0}^t V_1(h, t_1) x(t_1) dt_1 + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \dots \int_{t_0}^{t_n} V_n(h, t_1, \dots, t_n) x(t_n) dt_n \dots dt_1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\partial V_k}{\partial t} \equiv 0$ for $t \in [t_0, h]$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$, Th. 1 forces the validity of (4) for $t \in [t_0, h]$, especially for $t = h$. Thus the theorem is proved since h may be chosen arbitrarily in $[t_0, T)$.

Note. Let $A(t)$, $B(t)$ be continuous, positive and nondecreasing functions, $A(t) \geq \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} a(s)$, $B(t) \geq \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} b(s)$. Then the inequality (4) can be simplified to the following one

$$x(t) \leq A(t) \exp \left\{ B(t) \int_{t_0}^t \hat{R}[1](s) ds \right\}.$$

In fact, in view of (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\leq A(t) + B(t) \int_{t_0}^t \hat{R}[A](s) \exp \int_s^t \hat{R}[B](r) dr ds \leq \\ &\leq A(t) + B(t) \int_{t_0}^t A(s) \hat{R}[1](s) \exp \int_s^t B(r) \hat{R}[1](r) dr ds = \\ &= A(t) \left[1 - \exp \left\{ B(t) \int_s^t \hat{R}[1](s) ds \right\} \Big|_{s=t_0}^{s=t} \right] = \\ &= A(t) \exp \left\{ B(t) \int_{t_0}^t \hat{R}[1](s) ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 1$ see [21].

Theorem 3. Let $v_k(t, t_1, \dots, t_k) \in C(D^{k+1}, R^+)$, $\frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t}(t, t_1, \dots, t_k) \in C(D^{k+1}, R^-)$.

Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \dots \int_{t_{k-1}}^{\infty} v_k(t, t_1, \dots, t_k) dt_k \dots dt_1 &< \infty, \\ \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \dots \int_{t_{k-1}}^{\infty} \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t}(t, t_1, \dots, t_k) dt_k \dots dt_1 &> -\infty \end{aligned}$$

and define for admissible $u(t) \in C(J, R^+)$

$$P_2[u](t) = \int_t^\infty v_2(t, t, t_2) u(t_2) dt_2,$$

$$P_k[u](t) = \int_t^\infty \int_{t_2}^\infty \dots \int_{t_{k-1}}^\infty v_k(t, t, t_2, \dots, t_k) u(t_k) dt_k \dots dt_2, \quad k = 3, \dots, n,$$

$$P[u](t) = v_1(t, t) u(t) + \sum_{k=2}^n P_k[u](t),$$

$$Q_1[u](t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial t}(t, t_1) u(t_1) dt_1,$$

$$Q_k[u](t) = \int_t^\infty \int_{t_1}^\infty \dots \int_{t_{k-1}}^\infty \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t}(t, t_1, \dots, t_k) u(t_k) dt_k \dots dt_1, \quad k = 2, \dots, n,$$

$$Q[u](t) = \sum_{k=1}^n Q_k[u](t).$$

Let $a(t), b(t), x(t) \in C(J, R^+)$,

$$\int_t^\infty (P - Q)[a](s) ds < \infty, \quad \int_t^\infty (P - Q)[b](s) ds < \infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\leq a(t) + b(t) \left[\int_t^\infty v_1(t, t_1) x(t_1) dt_1 + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_t^\infty \int_{t_1}^\infty \dots \int_{t_{n-1}}^\infty v_n(t, t_1, \dots, t_n) x(t_n) dt_n \dots dt_1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$x(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_t^\infty (P - Q)[a](s) \exp \int_s^t (Q - P)[b](r) dr ds.$$

Proof. We shall proceed in the same manner as in the proof of Th. 1. Let

$$v(t) = \int_t^\infty v_1(t, t_1) x(t_1) dt_1 + \dots + \int_t^\infty \int_{t_1}^\infty \dots \int_{t_{n-1}}^\infty v_n(t, t_1, \dots, t_n) x(t_n) dt_n \dots dt_1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} v'(t) &= -P[x](t) + Q[x](t) \geq -P[a + bv](t) + Q[a + bv](t) = \\ &= (Q - P)[a](t) + (Q - P)[bv](t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $v(t)$ is nonnegative, nonincreasing and $(Q - P)[u_1](t) \geq (Q - P)[u_2](t)$ if $u_1(t) \leq u_2(t)$, it is $(Q - P)[bv](t) \geq (Q - P)[b](t)v(t)$. Hence

$$v'(t) \geq (Q - P)[b](t)v(t) + (Q - P)[a](t)$$

and

$$[v(t) \exp \int_t^\infty (Q - P)[b](s) ds]' \geq (Q - P)[a](t) \exp \int_t^\infty (Q - P)[b](s) ds.$$

Taking in account that $v(\infty) = 0$, we receive from this inequality

$$v(t) \leq \int_t^\infty (P - Q)[a](s) \exp \int_s^t (Q - P)[b](r) dr ds$$

and the theorem is proved.

For $n = 1$ this theorem has been proved by Сарбарлы [23].

In the special case $a(t) \equiv c \in R^+$, $b(t) \equiv 1$ and $v_k(t, t_1, \dots, t_k) = f_1(t_1) \dots f_k(t_k)$, where $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C^0(J, R^+)$, Th. 1 gives for $x(t)$ satisfying the inequality (2) the estimate

$$x(t) \leq c \exp \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t f_1(t_1) dt_1 + \dots + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(t_1) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_2(t_2) \dots \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} f_n(t_n) dt_n \dots dt_1 \right\}.$$

This result can be sharpened by using another method of the proof (see [7] for $n = 2$).

Theorem 4. Let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C^0(J, R^+)$ and let

$$x(t) \leq c + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(t_1) x(t_1) dt_1 + \dots + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(t_1) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_2(t_2) \dots \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} f_n(t_n) x(t_n) dt_n \dots dt_1$$

on J .

Then

$$(5) \quad x(t) \leq c \left[1 + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(t_1) dt_1 + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(t_1) \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_2(t_2) \exp \int_{t_0}^{t_2} f_2(t_3) dt_3 dt_2 dt_1 + \dots + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(t_1) \dots \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-2}} f_{n-1}(t_{n-1}) \exp \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} [f_{n-1}(t_n) + f_n(t_n)] dt_n \dots dt_1 \right].$$

Proof. Let us define for any $u(t) \in C(J, R^+)$

$$L_n u(t) = u(t) + \int_{t_0}^t f_n(s) u(s) ds,$$

$$L_k u(t) = u(t) + \int_{t_0}^t f_k(s) L_{k+1} u(s) ds, \quad k = n - 1, \dots, 2,$$

$$L_1 u(t) = c + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(s) L_2 u(s) ds$$

and let us denote

$$u_1(t) = L_1 x(t), \quad u_k(t) = L_k u_{k-1}(t), \quad k = 2, \dots, n$$

so that

$$u_k(t) \leq u_{k+1}(t).$$

The functions $u_i(t)$ satisfy the following system of differential inequalities

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} u'_1 &\leq f_1 u_2, \\ u'_i &\leq f_1 u_2 + \dots + f_i u_{i+1}, \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1, \\ u'_n &\leq f_1 u_2 + \dots + f_{n-2} u_{n-1} + (f_{n-1} + f_n) u_n. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, since $x(t) \leq u_1(t)$, it holds $u'_1 = f_1 L_2 x \leq f_1 L_2 u_1 = f_1 u_2$. Assuming that the first $k-1$ ($k \leq n-1$) inequalities are valid, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u'_k &= u'_{k-1} + f_k L_{k+1} u_{k-1} \leq f_1 u_2 + \dots + f_{k-1} u_k + f_k L_{k+1} u_k = \\ &= f_1 u_2 + \dots + f_k u_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $k = n$ it is

$$u'_n = u'_{n-1} + f_n u_{n-1} \leq f_1 u_2 + \dots + f_{n-1} u_n + f_n u_n$$

and the statement is proved. From the latter inequality it follows

$$u'_n \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f_i u_n,$$

so that

$$(7) \quad u_n(t) \leq c \exp \int_{t_0}^t \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(s) ds.$$

If we know the estimate for u_{i+1} , we receive from the inequality (6) written in the form

$$u'_i \leq \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} f_k u_i + f_i u_{i+1},$$

that

$$(8) \quad u_i(t) \leq \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \int_{t_0}^t f_k(s) ds \right\} \left[c + \int_{t_0}^t \exp \left\{ - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \int_{t_0}^s f_k(r) dr \right\} f_i(s) u_{i+1}(s) ds \right],$$

for $i = n-1, \dots, 2$, and,

$$u(t) \leq c + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(s) u_2(s) ds.$$

Hence starting from (7) and using (8) for $i = n-1, \dots, k$ we receive

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n-k}(t) &\leq c \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-k-1} \int_{t_0}^t f_i(s) ds \right\} \left[1 + \int_{t_0}^t f_{n-k}(t_{n-k}) \times \right. \\ &\times \exp \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-k}} f_{n-k+1}(\tau) d\tau \langle 1 + \dots + \{ 1 + \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-2}} f_{n-1}(t_{n-1}) \times \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} [f_{n-1}(\tau) + f_n(\tau)] d\tau dt_{n-1} \right\} \dots \rangle dt_{n-k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u(t) \leq c \left[1 + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(t_1) < 1 + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_2(t_2) \exp \int_{t_0}^{t_2} f_2(\tau) d\tau \{ 1 + \dots [1 + \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-2}} f_{n-1}(t_{n-1}) \times \right. \\ \left. \times \exp \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} (f_{n-1}(\tau) + f_n(\tau)) d\tau \} dt_{n-1} \dots \} dt_2 > dt_1 \right]$$

which is equivalent to (5). The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bellman R.: *The stability of solutions of linear differential equations*, Duke Math. J. 10 (1943), 643—647.
- [2] Chandra J.—Davis P. W.: *Linear generalizations of Gronwall's inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 60 (1976), 157—160.
- [3] Chu S. C.—Metcalfe F. T.: *On Gronwall's inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 439—440.
- [4] Gronwall T. H.: *Note on the derivatives with respect to a parameter of the solutions of a system of differential equations*, Ann. of Math. (2) (1918/19), 292—296.
- [5] Jones G.: *Fundamental inequalities for discrete and discontinuous functional equations*, J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math., 12 (1964), 43—57.
- [6] Pachpatte B. G.: *On some generalizations of Bellman's lemma*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 51 (1975), 141—150.
- [7] Pachpatte B. G.: *On some integral inequalities similar to Bellman—Bihari inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 49 (1975), 794—802.
- [8] Ráb M.: *Bounds for solutions of the equation $(p(t)x')' + q(t)x = h(t, x, x')$* , Arch. Math. (Brno), XI (1975), 79—84.
- [9] Rabczuk R.: *Elementy nierówności różniczkowych*, Państwowe wydawatelstwo naukowe, Warszawa 1976.
- [10] Reid W. T.: *Properties of solutions of an infinite System of ordinary linear differential equations of first order with auxiliary boundary conditions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 32 (1930), 284—318.
- [11] Sato T.—Iwasaki A.: *Sur l'équation intégrale de Volterra*, Proc. Japan Accad. 31 (1955), 395—398.
- [12] Vencková M.: *On the boundedness of solutions of higher order differential equations*, Arch. Math. (Brno) XIII (1977), 235—242.
- [13] Walter W.: *Differential- und Integral-Ungleichungen*, Springer Verlag, Berlin—Göttingen—Heidelberg—New York 1964.
- [14] Willet D.: *A linear generalization of Gronwall's inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), 774—778.
- [15] Барбашин Е. А., *Введение в теорию устойчивости*, Наука, Москва 1967.
- [16] Быков Я. В., Салпарагов Х. М., *К теории интегродифференциальных уравнений*, Исследование по интегродифференциальным уравнениям в Киргизии, вып. 2, Изд. А. Н. Киргизской ССР 1962.
- [17] Быков Я. В., *О некоторых задачах теории интегродифференциальных уравнений*, Фрунзе изд-во Кирг. Г. У. 1967.
- [18] Ведь Ю. А., *О возмущениях линейных однородных дифференциальных уравнений с переменными коэффициентами*, Исследования по интегродифференциальным уравнениям в Киргизии, вып. 3, Фрунзе Илим 1965.

- [19] Гамидов Ш. Г., *Некоторые интегральные неравенства для краевых задач дифференциальных уравнений*, Дифф. уравнения (1965).
- [20] Задирака К. В., *Исследование нерегулярно возмущенных дифференциальных уравнений*, Вопросы теории и истории дифференциальных уравнений, Наукова думка, Киев 1968.
- [21] Мовлянкулов Х., Филатов А. Н., *Об одном приближенном методе построения решений интегральных уравнений*, Труды Инст. киберн. ВЦАН УзССР, Вопросы вычислительной и прикладной мат., вып. 12, Ташкент 1972.
- [22] Сарбарлы С. М., *Исследование решений нелинейных интегральных уравнений на полуоси по параметру*, Уч. зап. АГУ № 5 (1965).
- [23] Сарбарлы С. М., *Исследование решений нелинейных интегральных уравнений на полуоси по параметру*, Уч. зап. АГУ № 6 (1965).
- [24] Филатов А. Н., *Методы усреднения в дифференциальных и интегродифференциальных уравнениях*, Фан Ташкент 1971.
- [25] Филатов А. Н., Шарова Л. В., *Интегральные неравенства и теория нелинейных колебаний*, Наука, Москва 1976.
- [26] Харламов П. В., *Об оценке решений системы дифференциальных уравнений*, Украинский мат. журнал 7 (1955) 471—473.
- [27] Чанбирова Т. И., *Об одном обобщении неравенства Гронуолла и его приложения*, Уч. зап. АГУ, Сер. физ.-мат. наук (1970) № 2.
- [28] Эфендиев А. Р., *Две теоремы об устойчивости движения*, Вестник Москов. унив. 1962, Сер. 1, мат.-мех., 9—14.

M. Ráb

662 95 Brno, Janáčkovo nám. 2a
Czechoslovakia