

# Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

---

Jindřich Nečas; Antonín Novotný; Miroslav Šilhavý  
Global solution to the ideal compressible heat conductive  
multipolar fluid

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 30 (1989), No. 3,  
551--564

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106776>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*  
<http://project.dml.cz>

# Global solution to the ideal compressible heat conductive multipolar fluid

JINDŘICH NEČAS, ANTONÍN NOVOTNÝ, MIROSLAV ŠILHAVÝ

Dedicated to the memory of Svatopluk Fučík

*Abstract.* Global existence of solutions to the initial boundary value problem in two or three dimensional bounded domains to the system of partial differential equations for ideal viscous compressible heat conductive multipolar fluid for the polarity  $k \geq 4$  is proved. Some other properties as cavitation of density and uniqueness are discussed.

*Keywords:* viscous multipolar fluids, compressible fluids, initial boundary value problem, existence, uniqueness

*Classification:* 35Q20, 76N10

## I. Introduction.

Presented paper is closely related to [4], [5] where we have investigated the global solvability to the isothermal and barotropic multipolar gas. In this paper we will deal with the ideal heat conductive viscous compressible multipolar flow. The physical background to the multipolar fluids is studied in [6]; here we recall only some important results. We suppose the polarity  $k \geq 4$ , a.i. besides the usual stress tensor  $\tau_{ij}^V$  we consider stress tensors  $\tau_{ii_1 j}^V, \dots, \tau_{ii_1 \dots i_k j}^V$ . Navier-Stokes equations will be of  $2k$ -th order. We assume the linear relation between stress tensors and derivatives of the velocity field. The condition  $k \geq 4$  enables us to prove the global solvability to the evolution problem. We get some regularity properties of density (noncavitation included) and regularity of velocity and temperature up to the strong solution. We prove also uniqueness.

## II. Formulation to the problem.

The state equation to ideal gas reads

$$(2.1) \quad p = R\rho\theta,$$

where  $p$  is the pressure,  $R$  universal gas constant,  $\rho$  density and  $\theta$  temperature. We suppose the standard stress tensor

$$(2.2) \quad \tau_{ij} = -p\delta_{ij} + \tau_{ij}^V$$

and higher order stress tensors

$$(2.3) \quad \tau_{ii_1 \dots i_m j}^V, \quad 1 \leq m \leq k, \quad k \geq 4,$$

where

$$\tau_{ii_1 \dots i_m j}^V(v) = a_{ij i_1 \dots i_m rs}^m e_{rs}(v) + \sum_{l=2}^{2k-1} a_{ij i_1 \dots i_m j_1 \dots j_l s}^m \frac{\partial^l w_s}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_l}}$$

for  $m = 0, \dots, k-1$ ,  $e_{ij}(v) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i})$ ; the stress tensor  $\tau_{ii_1 \dots i_k j}^V$  is equal to zero by definition. The coefficients of linear dependence are real constants such that  $\tau_{ij}^V, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \tau_{ii_1 j}^V$  are symmetric and  $\tau_{ii_1 \dots i_m j}^V$  are symmetric in  $i_1, \dots, i_m$ . Moreover, due to the Clausius-Duhem inequality we suppose that

$$(2.4) \quad \tau_{ij}^V(v) e_{ij}(v) + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\tau_{ii_1 \dots i_m j}^V(v) \frac{\partial^m v_i}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}}) \geq 0.$$

We put

$$(2.5) \quad \langle v, w \rangle = A_{ij i_1 j_1}^1 e_{ii_1}(v) e_{jj_1}(w) + \\ + \sum_{m=2}^k A_{ij i_1 \dots i_m j_1 \dots j_m}^m \frac{\partial^m v_i}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}} \frac{\partial^m w_j}{\partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_m}}.$$

We suppose that the coefficients  $A_{ij i_1 \dots i_m j_1 \dots j_m}^m$  ( $m = 1, \dots, k$ ) are symmetric in  $(i, j)$  and in  $(i_1, \dots, i_m), (j_1, \dots, j_m)$  and

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{ij i_1 j_1}^1 \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_{j_1}} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_{j_1}} &\geq \alpha e_{ij}(v) e_{ij}(v), \\ \sum_{m=2}^k A_{ij i_1 \dots i_m j_1 \dots j_m}^m J_{i_1 \dots i_m}^i J_{j_1 \dots j_m}^j &\geq \alpha \sum_{m=2}^k J_{i_1 \dots i_m}^i J_{i_1 \dots i_m}^i \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$  and for every set of real vectors  $(J_{i_1 \dots i_m}^i)$ ,  $m = 2, \dots, k$ . For every  $v, w \in V = W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, R^N) \cap W^{k,2}(\Omega, R^N)$  we define  $\langle (v, w) \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \langle v, w \rangle dx$ .

The tensors  $\tau_{ij}^V, \tau_{ii_1 j}^V, \dots, \tau_{ii_1 \dots i_k j}^V$  are supposed to be such that for  $v, w \in V \cap W^{2k,2}(\Omega, R^N)$ , it holds

$$(2.7) \quad \langle (v, w) \rangle = - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\tau_{ij}^V(v)) w_j dx + [[v, w]],$$

$$\text{where } [[v, w]] = \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \int_{\partial\Omega} \tau_{ii_1 \dots i_m j}^V(v) \frac{\partial^m w_i}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}} \nu_j dS.$$

Using Green formula, one gets from (2.5), (2.7)

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\tau_{ij}^V(v)) &= \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^k (-1)^{m+1} A_{ij i_1 \dots i_m j_1 \dots j_m}^m \frac{\partial^{2m} v_j}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m} \partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_m}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad [[v, w]] =$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{s+1} \int_{\partial\Omega} A_{ijj_1 \dots i_m j_1 \dots j_m}^m \frac{\partial^{m+s} v_i}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m} \partial x_{j_1} \dots \partial x_{j_s}} \frac{\partial^{m-s-1} w_j}{\partial x_{j_{s+2}} \dots \partial x_{j_m}} \nu_{j_{s+1}} dS,$$

which has to be satisfied for every  $w \in V \cap W^{2k,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Further we put

$$\langle \langle v, w \rangle \rangle = \tau_{ij}^V(v) e_{ij}(w) + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\tau_{ii_1 \dots i_m j}^V(v) \frac{\partial^m w_i}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}}).$$

It follows from (2.4) that the form  $\langle \langle v, w \rangle \rangle$  contains the derivatives of  $v$  resp.  $w$  at most of order  $k$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N = 2, 3$ ) with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . We denote  $I = (0, T)$ . We shall study in the time cylinder  $Q_T = I \times \Omega$  the following system of partial differential equations for density  $\rho$ , temperature  $\theta$  and velocity  $v$  given by continuity equation

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho v_i) = 0,$$

momentum equations

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho v_i) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho v_i v_j + p(\rho, \theta) \delta_{ij} - \tau_{ij}^V(v)) = \rho F_i$$

and energy equation

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (c_v \rho \theta + \frac{1}{2} \rho |v|^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (c_p \rho \theta v_j + \frac{1}{2} \rho |v|^2 v_j) - \\ & - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\tau_{ij}^V(v) v_i + \sum_{m=1}^k \tau_{ii_1 \dots i_m j}^V(v) \frac{\partial^m v_i}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}}) = \\ & = \lambda \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} + \rho F_i v_i \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (c_v \rho \theta) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (c_v \rho \theta v_j) + R \rho \theta \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_j} - \lambda \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} = \langle \langle v, v \rangle \rangle.$$

The coefficients  $c_v$  (specific heat at constant volume),  $c_p$  (specific heat at constant pressure),  $\lambda$  (heat conductivity) are positive constants.

Besides initial conditions

$$(2.14) \quad \rho(0) = \rho_0, \quad v(0) = v_0, \quad \theta(0) = \theta_0,$$

we consider boundary conditions

$$(2.15) \quad v = 0, \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } I \times \partial\Omega$$

( $\nu$  denotes the outer normal to  $\partial\Omega$ ) and

$$(2.16) \quad [[v, w]] = 0 \quad \text{on } I \times \partial\Omega \text{ for every } w \in V \cap W^{2k,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N).$$

Due to the strong ellipticity of the form  $((v, w))$  (see (2.6)) we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad ((w, w)) \geq \beta \|w\|_{W^{k,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)}^2, \quad \beta > 0;$$

hence  $((v, w))$  is a  $V$ -coercive bilinear form on  $V$ . We can give the weak formulation of (2.11), (2.13) as follows

$$(2.18) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho v_i) w_i dx dt - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\rho v_i v_j + p(\rho, \theta) \delta_{ij}) \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial x_j} dx dt + \int_0^T ((v, w)) dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \rho F_i w_i dx dt$$

for every  $w \in L^2(I, V)$ ;

$$(2.19) \quad -c_v \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \rho \theta \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} dx dt - c_v \int_{\Omega} \rho_0 \theta_0 \eta(0) dx + \lambda \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_j} dx dt - c_v \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \rho \theta v_j \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_j} dx dt + R \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \rho \theta \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_j} \eta dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\langle v, v \rangle) \eta dx dt$$

for every  $\eta \in C^\infty(\bar{Q}_T)$ ,  $\eta(T) = 0$ .

We recall one very useful lemma on regularity to the elliptic systems.

**2.20 Lemma.** *The problem*

$$((v, w)) = \int_{\Omega} f_i w_i dx \quad \text{for every } w \in V, f \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$$

has a solution  $v \in W^{2k,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$\|v\|_{W^{2k,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)}, c > 0.$$

For the proof see [4], [7].

**2.21 Example.** We give one example of viscous multipolar fluid compatible with thermodynamics, which satisfies (2.4), (2.7). We consider ideal gas, e.g. the state equation (2.1) holds. We introduce internal energy and entropy per unit volume

$$(2.22) \quad e(\rho, \theta) = c_v \rho \theta$$

$$(2.23) \quad \kappa(\rho, \theta) = c_v \ln \theta - R \ln \rho$$

and stress tensors

$$(2.24) \quad \tau_{ii_1 \dots i_m j} = \tau_{ii_1 \dots i_m j}^E + \tau_{ii_1 \dots i_m j}^V; \quad m = 0, \dots, k,$$

where

$$(2.25) \quad \tau_{ij}^E(\rho, \theta) = -R\rho\theta\delta_{ij}, \quad \tau_{ii_1 \dots i_m j}^E = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq k$$

and

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_{ij i_1 \dots i_m j}^V(v) &= \sum_{r=m}^{k-1} (-1)^{r+m} \Delta^{r-m} \frac{\partial^m q_{ij}^r}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}}, \\ 0 \leq m \leq k-1, \quad \tau_{ij i_1 \dots i_k}^V &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $q_{ij}^r = \kappa_r \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} \delta_{ij} + 2\mu_r e_{ij}(v); \kappa_s, \mu_s (s = 0, \dots, k-1)$  are constants such that  $\kappa_r \geq -\frac{2}{3}\mu_r, \mu_r > 0 (r = 0, \dots, k-2)$  and  $\kappa_{k-1} > -\frac{2}{3}\mu_{k-1}, \mu_{k-1} > 0$ .

It is only a subject of standard computation to verify that the fluid described by the constitution laws (2.1), (2.22)-(2.26) satisfies (2.4), (2.7) with

$$((v, w)) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{\partial^m q_{ij}^m(v)}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}} \frac{\partial^m e_{ij}(w)}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}},$$

consequently Clausius–Duhem inequality is satisfied (see [6]).

### III. Modified Galerkin method.

Let  $\{w^r\}_{r=1}^{+\infty}$  be an orthonormal system in  $V$  given by solving the following eigenvalue problem

$$(3.1) \quad ((v, w^r)) = \lambda_r \int_{\Omega} v_i w_i^r dx \quad \text{for every } v \in V \quad (\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots).$$

From the regularity to the elliptic systems (see 2.20)

$$(3.2) \quad w^r \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}, R^N)$$

Let  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in C^1(\bar{I})$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and put  $v^n(t) = \sum_{r=1}^n \gamma_r(t)w^r$ . Let

$$(3.3) \quad \rho_0 \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}), \rho_0 > 0 \text{ in } \bar{\Omega}.$$

Let  $\rho^n \in C^1(\bar{Q}_T)$  be a solution to the equation

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\partial \rho^n}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho^n v_i^n) = 0$$

with initial condition (3.3). It reads

$$(3.5) \quad \rho^n(t, x) = \rho_0(y) \exp\left(-\int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} v_j^n(\tau, x^n(\tau)) d\tau\right),$$

where  $y = x^n(0)$ ,  $x = x^n(t)$ ; the characteristics  $x^n(t)$  are solutions to the problem

$$(3.6) \quad x^n(t) = v^n(t, x^n(t)), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad x^n(0) = y \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

For every  $t \in \bar{I}$ ,  $y \rightarrow x^n(t)$  is a diffeomorphism of  $\bar{\Omega}$  onto  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

Let

$$(3.7) \quad \theta_0 \in L^2(\Omega), \theta_0 \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$$

and  $\theta_0^n \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\frac{\partial \theta_0^n}{\partial \nu} = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\theta_0^n > 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ ,  $\theta_0^n \rightarrow \theta_0$  strongly in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . We look for  $\theta^n \in C^1(\bar{Q}_T) \cap C^0(\bar{I}, C^2(\bar{\Omega}))$  the classical solution to the following parabolic problem

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(c_v \rho^n \theta^n) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(c_v \rho^n \theta^n v_j^n) + R \rho^n \theta^n \frac{\partial v_j^n}{\partial x_j} - \lambda \frac{\partial^2 \theta^n}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} = \langle \langle v^n, v^n \rangle \rangle,$$

$$(3.9) \quad \theta^n(0) = \theta_0^n, \quad \frac{\partial \theta^n}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{in } I \times \partial\Omega.$$

Due to Ladyzhenskaya [2] such solution exists.

Let  $\bar{v}^n$  be the Galerkin solution to the problem

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left( \rho^n \frac{\partial \bar{v}_i^n}{\partial t} + \rho^n v_j^n \frac{\partial \bar{v}_i^n}{\partial x_j} + R \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\rho^n \theta^n) - \rho^n F_i \right) w_i^r dx = \\ = -\langle \langle \bar{v}^n, w^r \rangle \rangle; \quad r = 1, \dots, n; \quad \bar{v}^n(t) = \sum_{r=1}^n \bar{\gamma}_r(t) w^r. \end{aligned}$$

We suppose

$$(3.11) \quad v_0 \in L^2(\Omega, R^N),$$

$$(3.12) \quad F \in L^\infty(Q_T, R^N),$$

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{\Omega} \bar{v}_i^n(0) w_i^r dx = \int_{\Omega} v_{0i} w_i^r dx.$$

If we start in the sphere

$$(3.14) \quad \max_{[0,\sigma]} |\gamma_r(t) - \gamma_r(0)| \leq 1, \quad r = 1, \dots, n,$$

we get

$$(3.15) \quad \max_{[0,\sigma]} |\bar{\gamma}_r(t) - \gamma_r(0)| \leq 1, \quad r = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$(3.16) \quad \max_{[0,\sigma]} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \bar{\gamma}_r(t) \right| \leq K(\sigma), \quad K(\sigma) > 0$$

provided  $\sigma > 0$  is sufficiently small. The mapping  $\bar{\Gamma} = (\bar{\gamma}_1, \dots, \bar{\gamma}_n) \rightarrow \Gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$  is continuous and compact in  $C^0([0, \sigma], R^n)$ ; hence according to Schauder fixed point theorem one gets  $\bar{\Gamma} = \Gamma$ . For more detailed proof see [8].

**Lemma 3.17.** For  $0 \leq t < \sigma$

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_t} \rho^n |v^n|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho_0 |v_0|^2 dx + \\ & + c_v \int_{\Omega_t} \rho^n \theta^n dx - c_v \int_{\Omega} \rho_0 \theta_0^n dx = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \rho^n F_i v_i^n dx d\tau, \\ (3.19) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_t} \rho^n |v^n|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho_0 |v_0|^2 dx + \int_0^t ((v^n, v^n)) d\tau = \\ & = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \rho^n F_i v_i^n dx d\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} R \rho^n \theta^n \frac{\partial v_j^n}{\partial x_j} dx d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.20) \quad \int_{\Omega_t} \rho^n dx = \int_{\Omega} \rho_0 dx.$$

**3.21 Consequence.** The solution  $(\rho^n, v^n, \theta^n)$  is uniquely defined in  $\bar{\Gamma} \times \bar{\Omega}$  and 3.17 holds for every  $t \in [0, T]$ .

**3.22 Lemma.**  $\theta^n \geq 0$  in  $\bar{Q}_T$ .

**PROOF :** Put  $\hat{\theta}^n(t, x) = (\exp(-\Lambda t))\theta^n(t, x)$ ,  $\Lambda > 0$ . Let us suppose that  $\hat{\theta}^n$  is minimal in  $(t_0, x_0) \in \bar{Q}_T$ , hence  $\frac{\partial \hat{\theta}^n}{\partial x_j}(t_0, x_0) = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2 \hat{\theta}^n}{\partial x_j \partial x_j}(t_0, x_0) \geq 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial \hat{\theta}^n}{\partial t}(t_0, x_0) \leq 0$ . From (3.8) we get  $\rho^n \hat{\theta}^n (\Lambda c_v + R \frac{\partial v_j^n}{\partial x_j})(t_0, x_0) \geq 0$ , hence  $\theta^n(t_0, x_0) \geq 0$ . ■

**3.23 Lemma.** Let  $k \geq 4$ ,  $\rho_0 \in C^{k-3}(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\rho_0 > 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Then

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \rho_0(x)) \exp(-c_1 t^{\frac{1}{k}}) \leq \rho^n(t, x) \leq \\ & \leq (\min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \rho_0(x)) \exp(+c_1 t^{\frac{1}{k}}), \quad c_1 > 0, \quad (t, x) \in \bar{Q}_T, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.25) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial^s \rho^n}{\partial x_1^{s_1} \dots \partial x_N^{s_N}} \right\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \leq c_2, \quad c_2 > 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq k-3.$$

and any multiindex  $(s^1, \dots, s^N)$  such that  $s = s^1 + \dots + s^N$ ,

$$(3.26) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial^{s+1} \rho^n}{\partial t \partial x_1^{s^1} \dots \partial x_N^{s^N}} \right\|_{L^2(I, L^\infty(\Omega))} \leq c_2 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq k-4.$$

**PROOF :** The assertions (3.24)-(3.26) follow from (3.5), 3.17. For details see the paper by Nečas, Novotný, Šilhavý [4]. ■

**3.27 Remark.** It is a consequence of 3.17 and 3.23 that

$$(3.28) \quad \|\rho^n |v^n|^2\|_{L^\infty(I, L^1(\Omega))} \leq K, \quad K > 0,$$

$$(3.29) \quad \|\rho^n \theta^n\|_{L^\infty(I, L^1(\Omega))} \leq K,$$

$$(3.30) \quad \|v^n\|_{L^2(I, W^{k,2}(\Omega, R^N))} \leq K,$$

$$(3.31) \quad \|v^n\|_{L^\infty(I, L^2(\Omega, R^N))} \leq K.$$

**3.32 Lemma.** Let  $v_0 \in W^{k,2}(\Omega, R^N) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, R^N)$ . Then

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial v^n}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(Q_T, R^N)} + \sup_{[0,T]} (\langle v^n, v^n \rangle) &\leq \\ &\leq c_3 (1 + \|\theta^n\|_{L^2(I, W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^2), \quad c_3 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.34) \quad \|v^n\|_{L^2(I, W^{2k,2}(\Omega, R^N))} \leq c_4 (1 + \|\theta^n\|_{L^2(I, W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^2), \quad c_4 > 0.$$

**PROOF :** We use in (3.10) the test function  $\frac{\partial v^n}{\partial t}$ ; after some computation applying 3.23, (3.30), (3.31) we get (3.33). Due to 2.20 one gets (3.34), too. ■

**3.35 Lemma.**

$$\|\theta^n\|_{L^2(I, W^{1,2}(\Omega, R^N))} + \|\theta^n\|_{L^\infty(I, L^2(\Omega))} \leq c_5, \quad c_5 > 0.$$

**PROOF :** We multiply (3.8) by  $\theta^n$  and integrate over  $\Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{1}{2} c_v \int_\Omega \rho^n (\theta^n)^2 dx \right) + \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{\partial \theta^n}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \theta^n}{\partial x_j} dx &= \\ &= -R \int_\Omega \rho^n \frac{\partial v_j^n}{\partial x_j} (\theta^n)^2 dx + \int_\Omega \langle \langle v^n, v^n \rangle \rangle \theta^n dx. \end{aligned}$$

R.h.s. of this equation is bounded by

$$(3.36) \quad a_1(t) \|\theta^n(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left( \int_{\Omega_t} \langle \langle v^n, v^n \rangle \rangle^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta^n(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

where  $a_1(t) = R \|\rho^n\|_{L^\infty(Q_t)} \|v^n(t)\|_{W^{k,2}(\Omega, R^N)}$ .

Due to

$$(3.37) \quad \|v^n\|_{C^k(\bar{\Omega}, R^N)} \leq \varepsilon_1 \|v^n\|_{W^{2k,2}(\Omega, R^N)} + K_1(\varepsilon_1) \|v^n\|_{L^2(\Omega, R^N)}$$

for every  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ ,  $K_1(\varepsilon_1) > 0$  (see e.g. Lions [3])

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\Omega_t} \langle (v^n, v^n) \rangle^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq c_6 \varepsilon_1 \|v^n(t)\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega, R^N)} \|v^n(t)\|_{W^{2k,2}(\Omega, R^N)} + \\ &+ K_2(\varepsilon_1) \|v^n(t)\|_{W^{k,2}(\Omega, R^N)}, c_6, K_2(\varepsilon_1) > 0; \end{aligned}$$

hence according to 3.32 and Young inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (3.38) \quad \left( \int_{\Omega_t} \langle (v^n, v^n) \rangle^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta^n(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq \\ &\leq c_7 \|v^n(t)\|_{W^{k,2}(\Omega, R^N)}^2 \|\theta^n(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon_1 \|\theta^n(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \\ &+ K_3(\varepsilon_1), \quad c_7, K_3(\varepsilon_1) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Of course  $(a_1 + c_7 \|v^n(t)\|_{W^{k,2}(\Omega, R^N)}^2) \in L^1(I)$ ; thus 3.35 holds by Grönwall lemma. ■

### 3.39 Lemma.

$$(3.40) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho^n \theta^n) \right\|_{L^2(I, W^{-1,2}(\Omega))} \leq c_8,$$

$$(3.41) \quad \|\rho^n \theta^n\|_{L^2(I, W^{1,2}(\Omega))} \leq c_8, \quad c_8 > 0.$$

PROOF : (3.40) follows from (3.8). (3.41) is consequence of (3.25), 3.35. ■

## IV. Limit process.

**4.1 Lemma.** *Let the assumptions 3.23, 3.32, (3.7) be satisfied. Then one can choose a subsequence of  $\{(\rho^n, v^n, \theta^n)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  (denoted  $\{(\rho^n, v^n, \theta^n)\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  again) such that*

$$(i) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho^n &\rightarrow \rho \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(Q_T), 1 < p < +\infty, \\ \rho &> \varepsilon > 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \quad v^n &\rightarrow v \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(I, W^{2k-1,2}(\Omega, R^N)), \\ v^n &\rightarrow v \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(I, W^{k-1,2}(\Omega, R^N)), 1 < p < +\infty, \\ D^i v^n &\rightarrow D^i v \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(Q_T, R^N) \quad (i = 1, \dots, 2k) \end{aligned}$$

( $D^i$  denotes any differentiation of  $i$ -th order with respect to the space variables);

$$(iii) \quad D^i \theta^n \rightarrow D^i \theta \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(Q_T) \quad (i = 0, 1);$$

$$(iv) \quad \rho^n \theta^n \rightarrow \rho \theta \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(Q_T), \\ \theta \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T;$$

$$(v) \quad \frac{\partial \rho^n}{\partial t} \rightarrow \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(Q_T);$$

$$(vi) \quad \frac{\partial v^n}{\partial t} \rightarrow \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(Q_T, R^N);$$

$$(vii) \quad \rho^n v^n \rightarrow \rho v \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(Q_T, R^N);$$

$$(viii) \quad \rho^n v_i^n v_j^n \rightarrow \rho v_i v_j \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(Q_T);$$

$$(ix) \quad \rho^n \theta^n \frac{\partial v_j^n}{\partial x_j} \rightarrow \rho \theta \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_j} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(Q_T);$$

$$(x) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \langle v^n, v^n \rangle \rangle \phi \, dx \, dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \langle \langle v, v \rangle \rangle \phi \, dx \, dt \text{ for every } \phi \in C^\infty(\bar{Q}_T).$$

PROOF : First we recall one assertion called Lions lemma, see e.g. [3]. Let  $B_0, B, B_1$  be Banach spaces,  $B_0, B_1$  reflexive such that  $B_0 \subset\subset B \subset B_1$  ( $\subset\subset$  is compact imbedding). Let  $1 < p_0, p_1 < +\infty$ . Then

$$L^{p_0}(I, B) \subset\subset \{g; g \in L^{p_0}(I, B_0), \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \in L^{p_1}(I, B_1)\}.$$

- i) First assertion follows directly from Lions lemma; we put  $B_0 = W^{k-3,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $B = L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $B_1 = L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $1 < p < +\infty$ ,  $p_0 = p$ ,  $p_1 = 2$ . Second assertion follows from (3.24).
- ii) First two assertions hold due to Lions lemma with  $B_0 = W^{2k,2}(\Omega, R^N)$ ,  $B = W^{2k-1,2}(\Omega, R^N)$ ,  $B_1 = L^2(\Omega, R^N)$ ,  $p_0 = p_1 = 2$  (resp.  $B_0 = W^{k,2}(\Omega, R^N)$ ,  $B = W^{k-1,2}(\Omega, R^N)$ ,  $B_1 = L^2(\Omega, R^N)$ ,  $p_0 = p$ ,  $1 < p < +\infty$ ,  $p_1 = 2$ ). Last assertion ii) follows from the boundedness of  $\{v^n\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  in  $L^2(I, W^{2k,2}(\Omega, R^N))$ .
- iii) holds due to 3.35.
- iv) Strong convergence  $\rho^n \theta^n \rightarrow a$  in  $L^2(Q_T)$  is consequence of Lions lemma with  $B_0 = W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ ,  $B = L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $B_1 = W^{-1,2}(\Omega)$ ,  $p_0 = p_1 = 2$ ;  $a = \rho \theta$  due to i), iii).  $\theta \geq 0$  a.e. in  $Q_T$  due to 3.22.
- v) resp. vi) follow directly from (3.26) resp. (3.33) and 3.35. vii), viii) are consequence of i), ii); ix) is consequence of iv), ii) and x) follows from ii). ■

Due to lemma 4.1 we can pass to the limit in (3.4), (3.8), (3.10). We get the following theorem

**4.2 Theorem.** Let  $k \geq 4$ ,  $\rho_0 \in C^{k-3}(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\rho_0 > 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ ,  $\theta_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\theta_0 > 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,  $v_0 \in W^{k,2}(\Omega, R^N) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, R^N)$ . Then there exists  $(\rho, v, \theta)$

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\partial^s \rho}{\partial x_1^{s_1} \dots \partial x_N^{s_N}} \in L^\infty(Q_T) \text{ for any multiindex } (s^1, \dots, s^N), \\ s = s^1 + \dots + s^N, \quad 0 \leq s \leq k-3, \\ \rho > \epsilon \text{ a.e. in } Q_T \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0,$$

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\partial^{s+1} \rho^n}{\partial t \partial x_1^{s1} \dots \partial x_N^{sN}} \in L^2(I, L^\infty(\Omega)) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq k-4,$$

$$(4.5) \quad v \in L^\infty(I, W^{k,2}(\Omega, R^N) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega, R^N)) \cap L^2(I, W^{2k,2}(\Omega, R^N)),$$

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^2(Q_T, R^N),$$

$$(4.7) \quad \theta \in L^\infty(I, L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(I, W^{1,2}(\Omega)), \theta \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } Q_T$$

such that (2.10), (2.11) hold a.e. in  $Q_T$  and (2.19) is fulfilled.

## V. Strong solutions.

First we improve the estimates of  $\rho^n$ . Due to 3.32, 3.35, 3.23 we get from (3.4), (3.5)

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let the assumptions of 3.23, 3.32 be satisfied. Then*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^{s+1} \rho^n}{\partial t \partial x_1^{s1} \dots \partial x_N^{sN}} \right\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \leq c_9 \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq k-4, \quad c_9 > 0.$$

Moreover, let  $\rho_0 \in C^{2k-3}(\bar{\Omega})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial^s \rho^n}{\partial x_1^{s1} \dots \partial x_N^{sN}} \right\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} &\leq c_9 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 2k-3, \\ \left\| \frac{\partial^{s+1} \rho^n}{\partial t \partial x_1^{s1} \dots \partial x_N^{sN}} \right\|_{L^2(I, L^\infty(\Omega))} &\leq c_9 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 2k-4. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (3.8) by  $\frac{\partial \theta^n}{\partial t}$  and integrating over  $\Omega$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} (5.2) \quad &c_v \int_\Omega \rho^n \left( \frac{\partial \theta^n}{\partial t} \right)^2 dx + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_\Omega \frac{\partial \theta^n}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \theta^n}{\partial x_j} dx = \\ &= -c_v \int_\Omega \rho^n v_j^n \frac{\partial \theta^n}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \theta^n}{\partial t} dx - \int_\Omega R \rho^n \theta^n \frac{\partial v_j^n}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \theta^n}{\partial t} dx + \\ &\quad + \int_\Omega (\langle v^n, v^n \rangle) \frac{\partial \theta^n}{\partial t} dx. \end{aligned}$$

But r.h.s. of (5.2) is bounded by  $c_{10} \left\| \frac{\partial \theta^n}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ . Applying Young and Gronwall inequality we verify

**5.3 Lemma.** *Let  $\theta_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ ,  $\theta_0^n \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\frac{\partial \theta_0^n}{\partial \nu} = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\theta_0^n > 0$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ ,  $\theta_0^n \rightarrow \theta_0$  strongly in  $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ . Then*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \theta^n}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^2(Q_T)} + \|\theta^n\|_{L^\infty(I, W^{1,2}(\Omega))} \leq c_{10}, \quad c_{10} > 0.$$

We can rewrite (3.8)

$$(5.4) \quad -\lambda \frac{\partial^2 \theta^n}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(c_v \rho^n \theta^n) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(c_v \rho^n \theta^n v_j^n) - R \rho^n \theta^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} v_j^n + (\langle v^n, v^n \rangle).$$

R.h.s. of (5.4) is bounded in  $L^2(Q_T)$ ; hence, due to the regularity to the elliptic systems (Neuman boundary conditions are considered)

$$(5.5) \quad \|\theta^n\|_{L^2(I, W^{2,2}(\Omega))} \leq c_{11}, \quad c_{11} > 0.$$

Thus , following theorem holds

### 5.6. Theorem.

a) Let the assumptions of 4.2 be satisfied and let  $\theta_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ . Then there exists  $(\rho, v, \theta)$  satisfying (4.9)-(4.7) and

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{\partial^{s+1} \rho}{\partial t \partial x_1^{s1} \dots \partial x_N^{sN}} \in L^\infty(Q_T) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq k-4,$$

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \in L^2(Q_T), \quad \theta \in L^2(I, W^{2,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(I, W^{1,2}(\Omega))$$

such that the equations (2.10), (2.11), (2.13) are fullfiled a.e. in  $Q_T$

b) If moreover  $\rho_0 \in C^{2k-3}(\bar{\Omega})$  then

$$(5.9) \quad \frac{\partial^s \rho}{\partial x_1^{s1} \dots \partial x_N^{sN}} \in L^\infty(Q_T) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 2k-3,$$

$$(5.10) \quad \frac{\partial^{s+1} \rho}{\partial t \partial x_1^{s1} \dots \partial x_N^{sN}} \in L^2(I, L^\infty(\Omega)) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 2k-4.$$

## VI. Uniqueness.

Our aim in this section is to prove the following theorem.

**6.1 Theorem.** Let the assumptions os theorem 5.6 a) be satisfied. Then in the class (4.9)- (4.7), (5.7), (5.8) there exists at most one solution  $(\rho, v, \theta)$  satisfying initial and boundary conditions (2.14)-(2.16) and equations (2.10), (2.11), (2.13) a.e. in  $Q_T$ .

**PROOF :** Let  $(\rho, v, \theta), (\bar{\rho}, \bar{v}, \bar{\theta})$  be two solutions with the same initial condition. Then  $(\xi, w, \eta) = (\rho - \bar{\rho}, v - \bar{v}, \theta - \bar{\theta})$  satisfies

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\xi v_j) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\bar{\rho} w_j) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T,$$

$$(6.3) \quad \bar{\rho} \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial t} + \xi v_j \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} + \bar{\rho} w_j \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} + \bar{\rho} v_j \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\tau_{ij}^V(w)) + \\ + R \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\xi \theta) + R \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\bar{\rho} \eta) + \xi \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial t} = \xi F_i \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_T,$$

$$(6.4) \quad c_v \bar{\rho} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + c_v \xi v_j \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_j} + c_v \bar{\rho} v_j \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_j} + c_v \bar{\rho} \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}}{\partial x_j} w_j - \lambda \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} + \\ + R\theta \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_j} \xi + R\bar{\rho} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_j} \eta + R\bar{\rho} \bar{\theta} \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial x_j} + c_v \xi \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \\ = \langle \langle v, v \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle \bar{v}, \bar{v} \rangle \rangle.$$

From (6.2) one obtains the estimates

$$(6.5) \quad \|\xi(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 \leq K_4(\varepsilon_1) \|\xi\|_{L^2((0,t), W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^2 + \\ + \varepsilon_1 \|w\|_{L^2((0,t), W^{k,2}(\Omega, R^N))}^2,$$

which holds for every  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  ( $K_4(\varepsilon_1) > 0$ ). From (6.3) we get

$$(6.6) \quad \int_{\Omega_t} \bar{\rho}|w|^2 dx + \|w\|_{L^2((0,t), W^{k,2}(\Omega, R^N))}^2 \leq \\ \leq K_5(\varepsilon_1) \int_0^t b(\tau) (\|\xi(\tau)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|w(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega, R^N)}^2 + \\ + \|\eta(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) d\tau + \\ + \varepsilon_1 (\|w\|_{L^2((0,t), W^{k,2}(\Omega, R^N))}^2 + \|\eta\|_{L^2((0,t), W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^2)$$

for a.e.  $t \in I$  and every  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  ( $K_5(\varepsilon_1) > 0$ ), where

$$b = c_{12}(1 + \|\frac{\partial \bar{\rho}}{\partial t}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|\frac{\partial \bar{\rho}}{\partial x_i}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)})^2 \\ (1 + \|\bar{v}\|_{W^{2k,2}(\Omega, R^N)} + \|v\|_{W^{2k,2}(\Omega, R^N)} + \|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\|_{L^2(Q_T, R^N)} + \|\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}\|_{L^2(\Omega)})^2 \\ (1 + \|\bar{\theta}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + \|\theta\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)})^2,$$

$c_{12} > 0$ , hence  $b \in L^1(I)$ .

We multiply (6.4) by  $\eta$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ . Due to (2.4)  $\langle \langle v, v \rangle \rangle = \mathcal{L}v \mathcal{L}v$ , where  $\mathcal{L}v$  is some linear combination of

$$e_{ij}(v), \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial x_{i_1} \partial x_{i_2}}, \dots, \frac{\partial^k v_i}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_k}},$$

hence r.h.s. of (6.4) is equal to  $\mathcal{L}w(\mathcal{L}v + \mathcal{L}\bar{v})$ .

After some laborious computation we get the estimate

$$(6.7) \quad \int_{\Omega_t} \bar{\rho}\eta^2 dx + \|\eta\|_{L^2((0,t), W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^2 \leq \\ \leq K_6(\varepsilon_1) \int_0^t b(\gamma) (\|\xi(\tau)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|w(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega, R^N)}^2 + \\ + \|\eta(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) d\tau + \varepsilon_1 (\|w\|_{L^2((0,t), W^{k,2}(\Omega, R^N))}^2 + \|\eta\|_{L^2((0,t), W^{1,2}(\Omega))}^2)$$

for a.e.  $t \in I$  and every  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  ( $K_6(\varepsilon_1) > 0$ ).

We take into consideration that  $\int_{\Omega} \bar{\rho}|w|^2 dx$  resp.  $\int_{\Omega} \bar{\rho}\eta^2 dx$  are equivalent norms in  $L^2(\Omega, R^N)$  resp.  $L^2(\Omega)$ ; hence due to (6.5), (6.6), (6.7) and Gronwall lemma  $\xi = 0, w = 0, \eta = 0$  a.e. in  $I$ . The proof is finished. ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] Kufner A., John O., Fučík S., "Functional spaces," Academia Prague, 1977.
- [2] Ladyzhenskaya O.A., Uralceva V.A., Solonnikov N.N., "Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type," Nauka Moskva, 1976 (in Russian).
- [3] Lions J.L., "Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires," Dunod Paris, 1969.
- [4] Nečas J., Novotný A., Šilhavý M., *Global solution to the compressible isothermal multipolar fluid*, to appear.
- [5] Nečas J., Novotný A., Šilhavý M., *Global solution to the compressible barotropic multipolar fluid*, to appear.
- [6] Nečas J., Šilhavý M., *Multipolar viscous fluids*, to appear.
- [7] Nečas J., "Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques," Academia Prague, 1967.
- [8] Neustupa J., Novotný A., *Global weak solvability to the regularized viscous heat conductive compressible flow*, to appear.

J.Nečas: Math.Phys.Faculty , Charles University , Sokolovská 83, 186 00 Praha 8, Czechoslovakia  
A. Novotný: Institute of Physics of the Atmosphere Cz.Acad.Sci., Boční II,1401, 141 31 Praha 4,  
Czechoslovakia

M. Šilhavý: Math.Institute Cz.Acad.Sci, Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1, Czechoslovakia

(Received June 28, 1989)