

Sergio Invernizzi

A note on nonuniform nonresonance for jumping nonlinearities

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 27 (1986), No. 2, 285--291

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106451>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

**A NOTE ON NONUNIFORM NONRESONANCE
FOR JUMPING NONLINEARITIES**
Sergio INVERNIZZI

Abstract: We prove some lemmas as technical bases for existence results for nonlinear noncoercive problems with jumping nonlinearities and nonuniform nonresonance conditions.

Key words: jumping nonlinearities, nonuniform nonresonance, BVP's for ODE's.

Classification: 34 B 15, 34 C 25, 47 H 12.

0. We consider a positively homogeneous scalar real ODE:

$$u'' + g_+(t)u^+ - g_-(t)u^- = 0, \quad (1)$$

a.e. on an interval $[0, T]$, $T > 0$, where $' = d/dt$, $u^\pm = \max(\pm u, 0)$, and where g_\pm are measurable mappings from $[0, T]$ into the realline \mathbb{R} . Equ.(1) is one of the simplest examples of an ODE with jumping nonlinearity. We recall Fučík's classical book [4] as main reference for nonlinear noncoercive problems with jumping nonlinearities. See Drábek [2] for a survey of recent results in this field. We confine here our attention to (1) because, in the framework of the so-called nonlinear Fredholm alternative, the problem of the existence of solutions for the periodic BVP on $[0, T]$ for an ODE like

$$u'' + cu' + f(t, u) = h(t), \quad (2)$$

where f is jumping (in the sense that there are measurable functions α_+ , α_- , β_+ , β_- such that the inequalities

$$\alpha_\pm(t) \leq \liminf_{u \rightarrow \pm\infty} f(t, u)/u \leq \limsup_{u \rightarrow \pm\infty} f(t, u)/u \leq \beta_\pm(t) \quad (3)$$

hold uniformly a.e. on $[0, T]$), can be reduced by degree arguments to the uniqueness of the trivial solution of (1) joint with the following boundary conditions:

$$u(0) = u(T) = 0, \quad \text{sign } u'(0) = \text{sign } u'(T). \quad (4)$$

See Dancer [1] for a particular case; see Drábek and the author [3] for a more general one. In the last mentioned paper the authors prove the uniqueness for (1)-(4) assuming that the range of the map $g = (g_+, g_-): [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is contained into some compact subset having empty intersection with a closed set A_{-1} .

This set A_{-1} is the set of all pairs (μ, ν) such that the problem $u'' + \mu u' - \nu u = 0$, joint with boundary conditions (4), has nontrivial solutions: it can be completely described; see [4], or [3]. Thus the main result of [3] is based on a uniform nonresonance condition.

Therefore, the recent successful application of nonresonance conditions of nonuniform type (Mawhin and Ward [5-6], Mawhin [7], ...) to existence problems for BVP's, suggests the study of (1)-(4) allowing, in a controlled way, nonempty intersection of the range of g with A_{-1} . We give our pertinent result in Sect.1. In Sect.2. we exemplify the possible applications of the preceding results considering the periodic BVP for equation $u'' + f(t, u) = h(t)$ on $[0, T]$. However, it is possible to give existence results, using the same methods, for the periodic BVP for (2), and for some BVP's for suitable PDE's, as the periodic-Dirichlet problem for the telegraph equation, as well. We will not discuss here in details these further applications.

Acknowledgement. This paper was written during a stay at the International School for Advanced Studies, in Trieste, and it was presented at the 6th Czechoslovak Conference on Differential Equations and their Applications 'Equadiff 6', held at the J.E. Purkyně University, Brno, August 26-30, 1985. The author is grateful to both Institutions for their pleasant hospitality.

1. Let m be the Lebesgue measure on the real line \mathbb{R} . Let K and $H \subseteq K$ be closed subsets of \mathbb{R}^N , and let $g: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be a measurable map. We shall write $g(t) \in K \sim H$ on $[0, T]$

when: (*) $g(t) \in K$ a.e. on $[0, T]$, but there is a subset J of $[0, T]$ with $m(J) > 0$ such that $g(t) \in K \setminus H$ for every $t \in J$. It is important to remark that condition (*) imply that an inequality $\text{dist}(g(t), H) \geq \epsilon$ holds true for some $\epsilon > 0$ and for all t in a subset of J having positive measure too. In fact, (*) implies $J_n = \{t \in J \mid \text{dist}(g(t), H) \geq 1/n\} \uparrow J$; the continuity of m from below gives $m(J_n) > 0$ for sufficiently large n . In the sequel, for short, $I = [0, T]$.

The condition (*) with $N=1$ was first introduced in the study of BVP's for differential equations by Mawhin and Ward [5-6]. See also Mawhin [7]. In these cases a typical choice for K is a compact interval $[\lambda_k, \lambda_{k+1}]$, or a closed half-line $(-\infty, \lambda_1]$, where $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots$ are the distinct eigenvalues of a linear problem associated to the considered BVP, and H is the boundary ∂K of K . Assuming the terminology of these authors, we will call (*) a nonuniform nonresonance con-

dition. We will apply a condition of this type to a case where $N=2$. Let us consider the BVP (1)-(4). We introduce a 'singular set' A_{-1} (corresponding to the spectrum $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots\}$ in the 1-dimensional case), defined as the union of a sequence $\{C_1, C_2, \dots\}$ of curves, where, for any $k \geq 1$,

$$C_k = \{(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \mu\nu > 0, 2\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{\nu}/(\sqrt{\mu}+\sqrt{\nu}) = k(2\pi/T)\}.$$

Then we introduce the set K , closed and with nonempty interior, of three possibly different types: the product of two compact intervals, of a compact interval and a closed half-line, of two closed half lines. We fix the position of K in \mathbb{R}^2 in such a way it intersects A_{-1} only at some of its vertexes. We define H as the set of all boundary points of K having at least one coordinate in common with some of these vertexes. Then we prove that the condition $g(t) \in K \sim H$ on I (provided g is integrable) implies that (1)-(4) admits only the trivial solution. We will consider separately each possible form of K in the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $R = [r_+, s_+] \times [r_-, s_-]$, $r_+ < s_+$, $(r_+, r_-) \in C_k$, $(s_+, s_-) \in C_{k+1}$, for some fixed $k \geq 1$. Let $g = (g_+, g_-)$ be a measurable map $I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$g(t) \in R \sim \partial R \text{ on } I.$$

Then the BVP

$$\begin{aligned} u'' + g_+(t)u^+ - g_-(t)u^- &= 0 \text{ a.e. on } I, \\ u(0) = u(T) &= 0, \quad \text{sign } u'(0) = \text{sign } u'(T), \end{aligned}$$

admits only the trivial solution.

Proof. Let u be a possible nontrivial solution. Then (by Uniqueness) u vanishes only at a finite number of points. Let $I_+^{(i)}$ ($i=1, \dots, P$) (resp. $I_-^{(i)}$ ($i=1, \dots, M$)) be all the different connected components (open intervals) - if any - of the subset of I where $u > 0$ (resp. $u < 0$). Then the boundary conditions imply $P=M$. We claim that the $2P$ relations

$$\pi/\sqrt{s_+} \leq m(I_+^{(i)}) \leq \pi/\sqrt{r_+} \quad (i=1, \dots, P) \quad (5)$$

$$\pi/\sqrt{s_-} \leq m(I_-^{(i)}) \leq \pi/\sqrt{r_-} \quad (i=1, \dots, P) \quad (6)$$

hold, and that there are strict inequality signs in at least one of them (more precisely in any relation corresponding to an interval $I_{\pm}^{(i)}$ having intersection of positive measure with a subset of I where $\text{dist}(g(t), \partial R) \geq \varepsilon > 0$ holds). This is sufficient to get a contradiction. Namely, adding (5) and (6) and taking into account of the strict inequality signs in at least one relation, we get

$$P(\pi/\sqrt{s_+} + \pi/\sqrt{s_-}) < T < P(\pi/\sqrt{r_+} + \pi/\sqrt{r_-}).$$

But the definition of C_k and C_{k+1} gives

$$k(\pi/\sqrt{s_+} + \pi/\sqrt{s_-}) = T = (k+1)(\pi/\sqrt{r_+} + \pi/\sqrt{r_-}).$$

Thus we deduce $P > k$ and $P < k+1$.

To prove the claim we consider only the inequality $m(I_+^{(i)}) \leq \pi/\sqrt{r_+}$ for some value of i , since the remaining inequalities can be proved in the same way. Suppose $I_+^{(i)} =]a, b[$, so that $m(I_+^{(i)}) = b-a = \rho$. Assume $\rho > \pi/\sqrt{r_+}$, i.e. $r_+ > (\pi/\rho)^2$. Define the sphere

$$\Sigma = \{w \in W_0^{1,2}(a, b; \mathbb{R}) \mid \int_a^b |w'|^2 = 1\},$$

and let w^* be a non-negative eigenfunction for the Picard problem

$$w'' + (\pi/\rho)^2 w = 0, \quad w(a) = w(b) = 0.$$

We can assume that for all t in a set J with $m(J) > 0$ the inequalities

$$r_{\pm} + \epsilon \leq g_{\pm}(t) \leq s_{\pm} - \epsilon \tag{7}$$

hold with some $\epsilon > 0$. To simplify the notations, let $A =]a, b[\cap J$,

$B =]a, b[\setminus J$. The minimum principle for eigenvalues implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sup_{w \in \Sigma} \int_a^b g_+ |w|^2 \geq \int_a^b g_+ |w^*|^2 = \int_A g_+ |w^*|^2 + \int_B g_+ |w^*|^2 \\ &\geq \int_A (r_+ + \epsilon) |w^*|^2 + \int_B r_+ |w^*|^2 = \int_A r_+ |w^*|^2 + \epsilon \int_A |w^*|^2 \\ &> \int_a^b (\pi/\rho)^2 |w^*|^2 + \epsilon \int_A |w^*|^2 = 1 + \epsilon \int_A |w^*|^2, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, even if $m(A) = 0$. If $r_+ = (\pi/\rho)^2$, we get a contradiction as soon as $m(A) > 0$.

In a similar manner one can prove the following

Lemma 2. Let $R = (-\infty, s_+] \times (-\infty, s_-]$, with $(s_+, s_-) \in C_1$. If $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is integrable and $g(t) \in R \cap \partial R$ on I , then the conclusion of Lemma 1 holds.

One easily realizes that the nonresonance condition considered in Lemma 1 (resp. in Lemma 2) corresponds to a situation 'between two consecutive eigenvalues' (resp. 'on the left of the spectrum') for the case $N=1$. Here, being $N > 1$, a slightly different situation can occur. Let (μ, ν) be the generic point in \mathbb{R}^2 . Each C_k ($k \geq 1$) intersect the asymptotes $\mu = a_{k+1}^2$, $\nu = a_{k+1}^2$ ($a_{k+1} = (k+1)\pi/T$ for short) of C_{k+1} at points $(k^2 a_{k+1}^2, a_{k+1}^2)$, $(a_{k+1}^2, k^2 a_{k+1}^2)$. Let us consider the case $\mu > \nu$ only (for $\mu < \nu$ we have symmetric results). Let (r_+, r_-) be any point

in C_k with 1st coordinate so large that $r_+ > k^2 a_{k+1}^2$. Then the unbounded strip $S = [r_+, +\infty) \times [r_-, a_{k+1}^2]$ intersects the singular set A_{-1} only at (r_+, r_-) . Moreover, let $\partial_1 S$ be the set of all boundary points of S having at least one coordinate in common with (r_+, r_-) , i.e. let

$$\partial_1 S = ([r_+] \times [r_-, a_{k+1}^2]) \cup ([r_+, +\infty) \times \{r_-\}).$$

We have the following

Lemma 3. Let (r_+, r_-) , S , $\partial_1 S$ be given as above. If $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is integrable and $g(t) \in S \sim \partial_1 S$ on I , then the conclusion of Lemma 1 holds.

Proof. Let u be a possible nontrivial solution to (1)-(4). Following the proof of Lemma 1 we get the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} T/(k+1) = \pi/a_{k+1} &\leq m(I_-^{(i)}) \leq \pi/\sqrt{\varepsilon_-} & (i=1, \dots, P), \\ m(I_+^{(i)}) &\leq \pi/\sqrt{\varepsilon_+} & (i=1, \dots, P), \end{aligned}$$

where a strict inequality sign holds at the right hand side in at least one case.

Therefore we get $P > k \geq 1$, i.e. $P \geq 2$. But evaluating the measure of the subset of I where u is negative we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m\{u < 0\} &= \sum_{i=1, P} m(I_-^{(i)}) \geq \sum_{i=1, P} T/(k+1) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1, k+1} T/(k+1) = T, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. u is negative a.e., and so $P=1$, a contradiction.

2. To illustrate the results of Sect. 1., we consider the periodic BVP

$$u'' + f(t, u) = h(t) \quad \text{a.e. on } I, \quad (8)$$

$$u(0) = u(T), \quad u'(0) = u'(T). \quad (9)$$

The right-hand side h in (8) is arbitrary in $L^1(I; \mathbb{R})$. The map $f: I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the usual Carathéodory conditions, and has linear growth, i.e. we have $|f(t, u)| \leq A(t) + B|u|$ a.e. on I with $A \in L^1(I; \mathbb{R})$ and $B \geq 0$. We assume that there are measurable mappings $\alpha_+, \alpha_-, \beta_+, \beta_-: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, a.e. on I ,

$$\alpha_{\pm}(t) \leq \liminf_{u \rightarrow \pm\infty} f(t, u)/u \leq \limsup_{u \rightarrow \pm\infty} f(t, u)/u \leq \beta_{\pm}(t).$$

Theorem 1. Suppose that there are real numbers $r_+ < s_+$, $r_- < s_-$ such that $r_{\pm} \leq \alpha_{\pm}(t)$ and $\beta_{\pm}(t) \leq s_{\pm}$ a.e. on I with strict inequality signs for t in a subset of positive measure. Assume either (i) $(r_+, r_-) \in C_k$ and $(s_+, s_-) \in C_{k+1}$ for a fixed $k \geq 1$, or (ii) $r_{\pm} \geq 0$ and $(s_+, s_-) \in C_1$, or (iii) $s_{\pm} \leq 0$.

Then the BVP (8)-(9) has a solution.

Theorem 2. Suppose that there are real numbers $r_+ > k^2(k+1)^2\pi^2/T^2$, and r_- such that $r_{\pm} \leq \alpha_{\pm}(t)$ a.e. on I with strict inequality signs for t in a subset of positive measure. Suppose also $\beta_- \leq (k+1)^2\pi^2/T^2$ a.e. on I . Assume for some $k \geq 1$, that $(r_+, r_-) \in C_k$. Then, provided α_{\pm} and β_{\pm} are integrable, the BVP (8)-(9) has a solution.

We will only outline the proof of Theorem 1. The proof of Theorem 2 is similar. To prove Theorem 1. we follow the argument in [3]. Let R be the rectangle $[r_+, s_+] \times [r_-, s_-]$, and let (c_+, c_-) be the centre of R . Consider the homotopy

$$u'' + \lambda f(t, u) + (1-\lambda)(c_+ u^+ - c_- u^-) = \lambda h(t) \quad (10)$$

($0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$). If (10)-(9) possesses an unbounded set of solutions, then there exists a nontrivial solution v of the BVP

$$\begin{aligned} v'' + g_+(t)v^+ - g_-(t)v^- &= 0 \text{ a.e. on } I, \\ v(0) = v(T) = 0, \quad v'(0) = v'(T) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $g = (g_+, g_-)$ is a suitable map which verifies $g(t) \in R \cup \partial R$ on I . This can be proved by a mainly technical modification of the argument used in [3], and it is a contradiction with the results of Lemma 1. and Lemma 2.

Since (10)-(9) can be rewritten as a homotopy of compact perturbations of the identity on a ball with centre 0 in $L^1(I; \mathbb{R})$, the Leray-Schauder degree is defined for our problem. We can see directly that this degree is odd when $\lambda = 0$.

The reader can easily obtain versions of the preceding theorems for BVP (2)-(9) following, for example, the method used in [3] to 'eliminate' the first derivative u' from the linear part of the equation.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] E.N. DANCER: On the Dirichlet problem for weakly nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 76(1977), 283-300.
- [2] P. DRÁBEK: Remarks on nonlinear noncoercive problems with jumping nonlinearities, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 25(1984), 373-399.
- [3] P. DRÁBEK and S. INVERNIZZI: On the periodic BVP for the forced Duffing equation with jumping nonlinearity, Nonlinear Anal., to appear.
- [4] S. FUČÍK: Solvability of nonlinear equations and boundary value problems, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, 1980.
- [5] J. MAWHIN and J.R. WARD: Nonresonance and existence for nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems, Nonlinear Anal. 6(1981), 677-684.

- [6] J. MAWHIN and J.R. WARD: Nonuniform nonresonance conditions at the two first eigenvalues for periodic solutions of forced Liénard and Duffing equations, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 12(1982), 643-654.
- [7] J. MAWHIN, Compacité, monotonie et convexité dans l'étude de problèmes aux limites semi-linéaires, Séminaire d'Analyse moderne N° 19, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, 1981.

Istituto di matematica
Università di Trieste
I-34100 Trieste (TS)
Italy

(Oblatum 13.9. 1985)