

Karel Čuda; Blanka Vojtášková  
Monads in basic equivalences

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 24 (1983), No. 3, 437--452

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106243>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

**MONADS IN BASIC EQUIVALENCES**  
**K. ČUDA, B. KUSSOVÁ**

**Abstract:** In this paper we prove that all sets from the universe of sets can be defined from both any arbitrary proper  $Sd_\omega$  class and a suitable monad in any equivalence of indiscernibility. We also show that there is a monad  $\mu$  such that  $Def_\mu \neq V$ . These facts are further used for proving some interesting assertions concerning similarities; e.g. it is shown here that some special cases of similarities have to be parts of identity.

**Key words:** Alternative set theory, basic equivalence, monad, definability, similarity, endomorphism.

**Classification:** Primary 03E70  
 Secondary 54J05

There is a question: How large has to be the class of parameters  $X$  in order that one can define from it all sets from the universe of sets? More precisely, we shall ask here how to choose  $X$  so that  $Def_X = V$  and  $X$  is as small as possible at the same time.

By means of Peano arithmetic one can prove:

(1) For each proper class  $X \in Sd_\omega$  there is a function  $F \in Sd_0$  such that  $V = F \cdot X^2$  (and therefore  $Def_X = V$ ).

Unfortunately, such a function  $F$  depends on  $X$ .

Our question is whether it is possible to go "lower". If  $X$  is a semiset, then obviously  $Def_X \neq V$ . That is why such a

class  $X$  for which  $\text{Def}_X = F$  has to be very large.

In the first part of this paper (see Theorem 1) we prove that in the alternative set theory, the following statement holds:

There exists a function  $F \in \text{Sd}_0$  such that in any basic equivalence  $\frac{0}{\mathfrak{A}}$ , there is a monad  $\{\mu_{\mathfrak{A}}\}$  for which  $V = F^* \mu_{\mathfrak{A}}^2$ .

This implies  $\text{Def}_{\{\mu_{\mathfrak{A}}\}} = V$ .

The main difficulty in proving such an assertion in non-standard models of Peano arithmetic lies in the fact that it is not possible to define the relation  $\frac{0}{\mathfrak{A}}$  by internal means of the theory.

At the end of the first paragraph we show still one interesting property of the above mentioned monad  $\{\mu_{\mathfrak{A}}\}$ , its construction is not at all one-aimed.

In [Č-K 1] we proved that there is no function  $F \in \text{Sd}_{\mathfrak{A}}$  and no monad  $\mu$  in  $\frac{0}{\mathfrak{A}}$  such that  $V = F^* \mu$  (see Theorem 5). We also showed there that it is not possible to immerse any proper class  $X \in \text{Sd}_V$  into any monad. Therefore, the further mentioned theorem 1 is not a consequence of the assertion (1) since one cannot proceed in such a way that he chooses a monad  $\mu$  which contains a proper class  $X \in \text{Sd}_V$  and then creates  $\mu^2$ .

The first section includes, moreover, a theorem which assures that there is a monad  $\mu$  for which  $\text{Def}_\mu \neq V$ .

In the second paragraph we shall deal with several consequences of the theorem on identity from [Č-K 1]. Results of § 1 will be substantially applied here.

In the book [V], there are investigated similarities, endomorphisms and automorphisms. For proving the existence of

these objects there are used strong axioms of the alternative set theory (axiom of choice, axiom of cardinalities). This fact suggests that we have to treat with rather "delicate" objects. This "delicacy" will be more closely specified here. We show namely that such proper classes which are similarities and simultaneously "simpler" have to be identities. For the criteria of simplicity we shall take set-definability, reality, eventually the property to be a  $\pi$ -class.

We also prove here that the condition: the investigated similarities are proper classes, is essential. In other words, we give the example of an infinite set similarity which is not identical in any point.

Still two remarks:

When we speak about ordering on  $V$  we bear in mind the natural ordering on the class (see [V], ch. II, § 1).

Further, we remind the notion  $\text{Def}_X$  (see [V 1]).

The set  $y$  is said to be definable using parameters from the class  $X$  iff there is a set formula  $\varphi(z)$  of the language  $FL_X$  such that the formulas  $(\exists !z) \varphi(z)$  and  $\varphi(y)$  hold. We use the notation  $\text{Def}_X$  for the class of all sets definable using parameters from the class  $X$ .

The authors thank P. Vopěnka for discussions concerning the problems studied.

§ 1. The following theorem may remind us of the Leibniz's statement "... each created monad represents the whole universe".

Theorem 1. It is possible to define a function  $Cd \in Sd_0$  (so-called a coding function) such that

$$(\forall \alpha)(\exists \mu_{\alpha}) \quad \forall = \text{Cd}^{\omega} \mu_{\alpha}^2.$$

Firstly we prove the following assertion.

**Lemma 1.** There is a function  $f$  such that for every proper class  $X \in \text{Sd}_{\alpha}$  the conditions

- (1)  $(\forall x) (x \in \text{dom}(f) \Rightarrow f(x) < x)$ ;
- (2)  $\text{Def}_{\alpha} \subseteq f^*X$

hold.

**Proof.** Let us enumerate all classes of  $\text{Sd}_{\alpha}$  and let  $\{X_i, i \in \text{FN}\}$  denote such an enumeration. Let further  $\text{Def}_{\alpha} = \{a_i, i \in \text{FN}\}$ .

At first we shall construct a countable sequence of functions  $\{f_i, i \in \text{FN}\}$  such that  $\text{dom}(f_i)$  are mutually disjoint, each  $f_i$  satisfies (1) and for each proper class  $X \in \text{Sd}_{\alpha}$  the condition  $f_i^*X = \{a_i\}$  holds.

Functions  $f_i$  will be constructed by induction. For creating  $f_1$  we shall at first construct an auxiliary (countable) function  $F_1$ ; then  $f_1$  will be obtained from  $F_1$  by a convenient prolongation.

The function  $F_1$  is defined as follows:

$$F_1 = \{ \langle a_1, x_1 \rangle; x_1 \text{ is the smallest element in } X_1 - \text{Seg}^{\leftarrow}(a_1) \},$$

where  $X_1 \in \text{Sd}_{\alpha}$ . Let  $f_1$  be such a prolongation of  $F_1$  for which

$$(\forall x \in \text{dom}(f_1)) \quad f_1(x) = a_1 < x.$$

We construct the function  $f_{n+1}$  analogously, only with the difference that we put

$$F_{n+1} = \{ \langle a_{n+1}, x_1 \rangle; x_1 \text{ is the smallest element in } [(X_1 - \bigcup_{k < n+1} \text{dom}(f_k)) - \text{Seg}^{\leftarrow}(a_{n+1})] \}.$$

Now we prolong the sequence  $\{f_i, i \in \text{FN}\}$  in such a way

that the condition on disjointness of domains and the property (1) are preserved. Let  $\{f_\gamma; \gamma \in \alpha\}$  denote the prolonged sequence. Put further  $f = \cup \{f_\gamma; \gamma \in \alpha\}$ . The function  $f$  fulfils obviously both conditions (1) and (2).

Proof of Theorem 1. Let us define a function of two variables  $Cd \in Sd_0$  in this way: if  $y(x) < x$ , then  $Cd(x, y) = y(x)$ ; for  $y(x) \geq x$  or  $y$  is not a function, the function  $Cd(x, y)$  is not defined. Let further  $f$  be the function from Lemma 1. We shall create the class  $\mu_{tc}^2(f)$  and prove that

$$V = Cd^n \mu_{tc}^2(f).$$

For abbreviation, we write  $Cd^n \mu_{tc}^2(f) = Y$ .

At first we prove that  $Y$  is a figure in  $\mu_{tc}^0$ . In [Č-K 1] it is shown (see Theorem 3) that the image of a monad in any  $Sd_{tc}$  relation is a closed figure in  $\mu_{tc}^0$ . From this it follows that the image of each figure is a figure (in the investigated relation  $\mu_{tc}^0$ ). Put  $G(\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle) = Cd(z_1, z_2)$ . Then  $Y = G^n \mu_{tc}^2(f)$ . Therefore it is enough to prove that  $\mu_{tc}^2(f)$  is a figure in  $\mu_{tc}^0$ . This assertion is the consequence of Theorem 2 from [Č-K 1] which says that the domain and the range of a monad is a monad, too.

Moreover, the class  $Y$  is also a  $\mathcal{N}$ -class. This fact follows from the following consideration: Each monad is a  $\mathcal{N}$ -class and its Cartesian square is a  $\mathcal{N}$ -class, too. In addition, we know that the image of a  $\mathcal{N}$ -class under a set-definable mapping ( $Cd \in Sd_0$ ) is also a  $\mathcal{N}$ -class.

Thus  $Y$  is a closed class (see [V], ch. III, § 2) containing  $Def_{tc}$  which is dense in  $V$  (see [V], ch. V, § 1) and therefore  $V \subseteq Y$ . The inclusion  $Y \subseteq V$  is obvious. This completes

the proof.

Corollary. In each equivalence of indiscernibility  $\approx$  there is a monad  $\mu$  such that

$$\text{Def}_{\mu} = V.$$

Proof. In [V 1], it is proved that for every equivalence  $\approx$  there exists  $c$  such that  $\{\frac{c}{c}\}$  is finer than  $\approx$ . Thus it suffices to prove the assertion for  $\{\frac{c}{c}\}$ . For this we take the monad  $\mu_{\{c\}}$  from the proof of Theorem 1 and realize that for each monad  $\mu$  it is true that  $\text{Def}_{\mu} = \text{Def}_{\mu^2}$ .

There exists such a monad  $\mu$  in  $\underline{2}$  for which  $\text{Def}_{\mu} \neq V$ . Before proving the assertion we shall recall several facts.

In [S-Ve 1], the notion of the class of indiscernibles is introduced. Let us note here that the definition of "A class  $X$  is the class of indiscernibles" mentioned in the above paper is equivalent with the statement: "For each  $n \in \text{FN}$  the class  $P_n(X)$  is the part of a monad in  $\underline{2}$ ", where  $P_n(X) = \{x; x \subseteq X \ \& \ x \hat{\approx} \alpha\}$ . If we denote by  $\text{Ind}$  the class of indiscernibles which is a proper  $\sigma$ -class, then  $\text{Ind}$  is a monad in  $\underline{2}$  (for the proof see [Č-K 1]).

Theorem 2.  $\text{Def}_{\text{Ind}} \neq V$ .

Proof. Let  $y \in \text{Def}_{\text{Ind}}$ . Then there is a function  $F \in \text{Sd}_0$  and elements  $x, k$  such that  $x \in P_k(\text{Ind})$  and  $F(x) = y$ . Thus each element of  $\text{Def}_{\text{Ind}}$  belongs to the image of a class  $P_k(\text{Ind})$ . Since there are only countably many classes  $P_k(\text{Ind})$  and the same is valid for functions of  $\text{Sd}_0$  ( $F \in \text{Sd}_0$ ) and since for each  $k \in \text{FN}$  the class  $P_k(\text{Ind})$  is a monad ( $P_k(\text{Ind})$  is the part of a monad and a  $\sigma$ -class without parameters), we obtain that  $\text{Def}_{\text{Ind}}$  con-

sists only from countably many monads. Hence  $\text{Def}_{\text{Ind}} \neq V$ .

In the preceding it was proved that for each  $c$  there exists a monad  $\mu$  in  $\frac{0}{c}$ ; such that  $\text{Def}_{\mu} = V$ . We shall show further that for each proper class  $X \in \text{Sd}_{\{c\}}$  we have  $\text{Def}_X = V$ . We shall use this assertion substantially in the second paragraph.

Firstly we prove the following statement.

Lemma 2. Let  $X \in \text{Sd}_{\{c\}}$  be a proper class. Then  $c \in \text{Def}_X$ .

Proof. Since  $X \in \text{Sd}_{\{c\}}$ , we can write  $X = \{t; \varphi(t, c)\}$ . Put  $Y = \{t, c; \varphi(t, c)\}$ . Then  $Y \in \text{Sd}_0$  and  $X = Y \setminus \{c\}$ . Obviously,  $Y \subseteq V \times V$  where the natural ordering is defined. Let us define (by induction) a function  $F \in \text{Sd}_0$  by

$$F(u) = \min(Y \setminus \{u\} - F \circ \text{Seg} \langle u \rangle).$$

If  $Y \setminus \{u\}$  is a proper class then there exists  $\min(Y \setminus \{u\} - F \circ \text{Seg} \langle u \rangle)$ . The function  $F$  is a one-one partial function. There exists therefore the function  $F^{-1}$  and  $F^{-1} \in \text{Sd}_0$ . Since  $F(x) \in c$ , we have  $c = F^{-1}(F(c))$ . Thus  $c \in (F^{-1}) \circ X$  and hence  $c \in \text{Def}_X$ .

We shall still prove that in the case that  $X \in \text{Sd}_{\{c\}}$  is a set, the previous assertion is not generally valid.

Theorem 3.  $(\exists a) a \notin \text{Def}_a$ .

Proof. From Theorem 2 we know that  $\text{Def}_{\text{Ind}} \neq V$ . This implies that there exists  $x$  for which  $x \notin \text{Def}_{\text{Ind}}$ . Since  $\text{Ind}$  is a proper class, it contains sets of any great cardinalities. Let  $a$  be such a part of  $\text{Ind}$  for which  $\text{card}(a) = \aleph$ , where  $\aleph$  is the number of  $x$  in the natural ordering.

Suppose  $a \in \text{Def}_a$ . Then  $\aleph \in \text{Def}_a$ . From  $a \in \text{Ind}$  it follows  $\text{Def}_a \subseteq \text{Def}_{\text{Ind}}$ . Thus  $\aleph \in \text{Def}_{\text{Ind}}$ . But  $\aleph$  is the number of  $x$  and



therefore also  $x \in \text{Def}_{\text{Ind}}$ . This is a contradiction with our assumption  $x \notin \text{Def}_{\text{Ind}}$ . Hence  $a \notin \text{Def}_a$ .

Now we come to the theorem promised above.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $X \in \text{Sd}_V$  be a proper class, then

$$\text{Def}_X = V.$$

**Proof.** Since  $X \in \text{Sd}_V$ , there exists  $c$  such that  $X \in \text{Sd}_{\{c\}}$  (in the formula which defines  $V$  there appears only a finite number of parameters). According to Lemma 2 we have  $c \in \text{Def}_X$ . But then there is a function  $F \in \text{Sd}_{\{c\}}$  which is a one-one mapping of  $X$  onto  $V$ . From  $F \in \text{Sd}_{\{c\}}$  it follows that there is a formula  $\varphi(y, x, c)$  which describes  $F$ . Thus one can define each  $y \in V$  from  $x \in X$  and the parameter  $c$ . But  $c \in \text{Def}_X$ . Therefore  $\text{Def}_X = V$ .

Further, we shall use the monad  $\mu_{\{c\}}(f)$  constructed in the proof of Theorem 1 for solving the problem formulated by A. Vencovská.

At first we recall a notion.

**Definition.** A class  $X \subseteq Y$  is homogeneous for a partition  $T$  on  $P_2(Y)$  iff there is  $Z \in T$  such that  $P_2(X) \subseteq Z$ . Especially, a class  $X$  is homogeneous for an equivalence  $\{ \frac{\circ}{c} \}$  iff there is a monad  $\mu$  in  $\{ \frac{\circ}{c} \}$  such that  $P_2(X) \subseteq \mu$ .

We prove now that there is a monad in  $\{ \frac{\circ}{c} \}$  containing a triple of elements which is not homogeneous for this equivalence and that, in addition, one of the elements of the triple can be chosen quite arbitrarily.

**Theorem 5.** For each  $c$  there is a monad  $\mu_{\{c\}}$  (in  $\{ \frac{\circ}{c} \}$ ) such that

$(\forall x \in \mu_{\{c\}})(\exists y \in \mu_{\{c\}} \& y \neq x)(\forall z \in \mu_{\{c\}} \& z \neq x \& z \neq y)$   
 $\{x, y, z\}$  is not homogeneous for  $\{c\}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $Cd$  be the function from Theorem 1 and let  $\mu_{\{c\}}$  denote the monad  $\mu_{\{c\}}(f)$  from the proof of this theorem.

Define a function  $H$ :

$$H(\{t, u\}) = Cd(t, u) \quad \text{for } t < u.$$

Theorem 1 implies that

$$(\forall y)(\exists \{t, u\} \in P_2(\mu_{\{c\}})) \quad y = H(\{t, u\}).$$

The unordered pair  $\{t, u\}$  is called a code of  $y$ . For such  $t, u, y$  the following inequality

$$(2) \quad (\forall z \in y) \quad z < y < t < u$$

holds since from  $z \in y$  we obtain  $z < y$  and the remaining inequalities follow from our construction.

Let further  $x$  be a non-empty set such that  $x \subseteq \mu_{\{c\}}$  and let  $\{t_1, u_1\}$  be a code of  $x$ ; i.e.  $H(\{t_1, u_1\}) = x$ .

Denote  $t_2$  the smallest element of  $x$  and choose  $u_2$  in such a way that  $\{t_2, u_2\} \{c\} \{t_1, u_1\}$ ; this choice is possible (see [Č-K 1], Theorem 5). We show that  $\{t_2, u_2\}$  is just the element which prevents homogeneity; i.e. we prove that the pair  $\{\{t_1, u_1\}, \{t_2, u_2\}\}$  cannot be enlarged to a homogeneous triple.

Assume that one can extend the above pair homogeneously into a triple. Then there is an element  $\{t_3, u_3\}$  such that the triple  $\{\{t_1, u_1\}, \{t_2, u_2\}, \{t_3, u_3\}\}$  is homogeneous. We should investigate now several cases (from the point of view of the natural ordering).

Let for example  $\{t_3, u_3\} < \{t_2, u_2\} < \{t_1, u_1\}$ . Then from homogeneity it follows that

$$\langle \{t_3, u_3\}, \{t_1, u_1\} \rangle \{c\} \langle \{t_2, u_2\}, \{t_1, u_1\} \rangle$$

because they belong to the same monad. Since  $t_2$  is the smallest element in  $x = H(\{t_1, u_1\})$ , the element  $t_3$  is the smallest one in  $x$ , too. Therefore  $t_3 = t_2$ . Similarly (from homogeneity) we have  $t_3 = t_1$ . But (2) implies that

$$t_1 = t_2 < H(\{t_1, u_1\}) < t_1,$$

which is a contradiction. Analogously, we eliminate all other cases.

Now, putting  $\mu_{\{a\}} = \mu_{\{a\}}(\{t_1, u_1\})$ ;  $x = \{t_1, u_1\}$ ,  $y = \{t_2, u_2\}$ ;  $z = \{t_3, u_3\}$  in the text of the proved theorem, the proof is complete.

Remark. The number of monads with properties mentioned in Theorem 5 is not too small. It is not difficult to show that there are uncountably many monads like these. We can even prove that there is a set-definable function  $G$  (without parameters) such that  $\text{rng}(G) = N - \{0\}$  and for each  $\alpha \in \text{rng}(G)$  there is a monad  $\nu$  of the above mentioned properties for which  $G^*\nu = \mu(\alpha)$ . We define the function  $G$  as follows:

$$G(\nu) = \text{card}(H(\nu)),$$

where  $H$  is the function from the proof of Theorem 5.

Considering that it is possible to transform the universal class  $V$  by a one-one mapping (which is set-definable) onto  $N - \{0\}$  (see [V], ch. II, § 1) we proved in fact that we can claim  $\text{rng}(G) = V$ . If we realize now what properties have the monads  $\mu_{\{a\}}(f)$  from the proof of Theorem 1 and recall the fact that there is a set-definable function which is a one-one mapping between  $V$  and  $N - \{0, 1\}$ , we can improve our result in this sense: For each  $a \in V$  there are uncountably many monads with properties

described in Theorem 5.

§ 2. In this section we shall formulate at first several assertions which follow from the theorem on identity (see [Č-K 1], Th. 1). For the reader's convenience we shall repeat it here explicitly:

(3) Let  $F \in \text{Sd}_{\{c\}}$ ,  $F$  be a function. Then

$$(\forall x)[F(x) \stackrel{\cong}{=} x \rightarrow (\exists I \in \text{Sd}_{\{c\}})(F \wedge I = \text{Id} \wedge I \& \mu_{\{c\}}(x) \subseteq I).$$

**Theorem 6.** Let  $F$  be a similarity,  $a \subseteq \text{dom}(F)$ ,  $a \in \text{Fin}$ . Then

$$F \wedge a \subseteq \text{Def}_a \rightarrow F \wedge a = \text{Id} \wedge a.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\text{card } a = n \in \text{FN}$ . At first we shall investigate the case  $n = 1$ . Then there is  $b$  such that  $a = \{b\}$ . Since  $F$  is a similarity and  $b \in \text{dom}(F)$ , we have  $F(b) \stackrel{\cong}{=} b$  (see [V], ch. V, § 1). According to the assumption,  $F(b) \in \text{Def}_{\{b\}}$  holds. Therefore there exists a function  $G \in \text{Sd}_0$  for which  $G(b) = F(b)$ . Hence also  $G(b) \stackrel{\cong}{=} b$ . From the above mentioned theorem (3), it then follows  $G(b) = b$ .

Let further  $n > 1$ . Let us create an ordered  $n$ -tuple  $\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle$ ,  $b_i \in a$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  according to the natural ordering. The function  $F$  will be enlarged on  $\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle$  and the first step of this proof will be applied. We perform the enlargement of  $F$  in this way: If  $x \in \text{Def}_{\text{dom}(F)}$ , then there are a function  $G \in \text{Sd}_0$  and elements  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \text{dom}(F)$  such that  $G(t_1, \dots, t_n) = x$ . Since  $F$  is a similarity, there is a function  $F_1$ :

$$F_1(x) = G(F(t_1), \dots, F(t_n)),$$

which enlarges  $F$  in the required manner and is a similarity, too (see [Ve 2]). Thus  $\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle \in \text{dom}(F_1)$ . But then

$F_1(\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle) \in \text{Def}_{\text{dom}(F_1)}$ , since according to the assumption, the condition  $F(b_i) \in \text{Def}_{\text{dom}(F)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  holds. From the first part of this proof it follows  $F_1(\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle) = \langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle$  and since  $F_1$  is a similarity, we obtain  $F_1(b_i) = b_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence the function  $F_1$  is identical.

Lemma 3. Let a function  $F$  be a monad in  $\mathcal{S}_{\{c\}}$ . Then there is a function  $G \in \text{Sd}_{\{c\}}$  such that  $F \subseteq G$ .

Proof. Since  $F$  is a monad, there is a descending sequence of classes  $X_n \in \text{Sd}_{\{c\}}$  such that  $F = \bigcap \{X_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

We prove that there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $X_k$  is a function. Assume that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $x_n \in \text{dom}(X_n)$  such that  $X_n \setminus \{x_n\}$  has at least two elements. We prolong the sequence  $\{x_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  by the axiom of prolongation. Let  $\alpha_1$  be the largest element such that for each  $\beta$ ,  $1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha_1$  the class  $X_1 \setminus \{x_\beta\}$  has at least two elements. Evidently  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . The sequence  $\{\alpha_i\}$  is a descending one. Therefore, there exists  $\gamma$  such that for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $i \leq \gamma \leq \alpha_i$ . By a consequence of the axiom of prolongation, the class  $(\bigcap \{X_i; i \in \mathbb{N}\}) \setminus \{x_\gamma\}$  has at least two elements, too. At the same time, however,  $\bigcap \{X_i; i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq F$  and  $F$  is a function - a contradiction.

Put now  $G = X_k$ ; this completes the proof.

Theorem 7. Let  $F$  be a similarity which is a monad in  $\mathcal{S}_{\{c\}}$  and let  $c \in \text{Def}_{\text{dom}(F)}$ . Then  $F \subseteq \text{Id}$ .

Proof. From  $c \in \text{Def}_{\text{dom}(F)}$  it follows that there exists  $a \in \text{dom}(F)$ ,  $a \in \text{Fin}$  such that  $c \in \text{Def}_a$ . Let  $G \in \text{Sd}_{\{c\}}$  be such a function for which  $F \subseteq G$  (the existence of  $G$  follows from the previous lemma). Since  $G \in \text{Sd}_{\{c\}}$  and  $c \in \text{Def}_a$ , we have  $G \setminus a \subseteq \text{Def}_a$ .

Considering further  $F^*a = G^*a$ , we obtain  $F^*a \subseteq \text{Def}_a$ . Theorem 6 implies then  $F^*a = \text{Id}^*a$ .

We have to prove that  $F \subseteq \text{Id}$ . Since  $F$  is a monad in  $\{\frac{0}{c}\}$ ,  $\text{dom}(F)$  is also a monad in this equivalence (see [Č-K 1]). Let us denote  $T = \{t; G(t) = t\}$ ; then  $T \in \text{Sd}_{\{c\}}$  (since  $G \in \text{Sd}_{\{c\}}$ ). Further, the result  $F^*a = G^*a$  implies  $G^*a = \text{Id}^*a$ . Hence for each  $u \in a$  we have  $G(u) = u$ . Thus  $u \in T$  and therefore

$\mu_{\{c\}}(u) \subseteq T$ . Hence  $G \wedge \mu_{\{c\}}(u)$  is an identity. But  $G \wedge \mu_{\{c\}}(u) = F$  and consequently  $F \subseteq \text{Id}$ .

Corollary. Each similarity which is a  $\pi$ -class without parameters is a part of an identity.

Proof. Let the similarity  $F$  satisfy the assumptions. Then  $F$  is a figure in  $\frac{0}{c}$  (since each set-definable without parameters class is a figure in  $\frac{0}{c}$  and the intersection of such figures is a figure in  $\frac{0}{c}$ , too - see [V]). Let further  $G$  be a monad in  $\frac{0}{c}$ ,  $G \subseteq F$ . Then  $G$  is also a similarity. Therefore, the assumptions of Theorem 7 are satisfied for  $c = 0$ . Hence  $G \subseteq \text{Id}$  and also  $F \subseteq \text{Id}$ .

Theorem 8. Every real endomorphism resp. automorphism is an identity.

Proof. Let  $F$  be a real endomorphism or automorphism. Then (from reality)  $F$  is a figure in an equivalence  $\{\frac{0}{c}\}$  (see [Č-V]).

In the first section we proved that there is a monad  $\mu$  for which  $\text{Def}_\mu = V$ . Take such a monad  $\mu$  and put  $G = F \wedge \mu$ . Then  $G$  is a similarity (since  $F$  is a similarity). Further  $c \in \text{Def}_\mu$ . Applying now Theorem 7 to the function  $G$ , we obtain  $G \subseteq \text{Id}$ . Since on  $\text{Def}_{\text{dom}(G)}$  the function  $G$  enlarges canonically (see [Ve 2])

and since  $\text{Def}_{\text{dom}(G)} = V$ , we obtain from here that  $F = \text{Id}$ .

**Theorem 9.** Each similarity which is a proper  $\text{Sd}_V$  class is an identity.

**Proof.** Let  $F$  be a similarity which is a proper  $\text{Sd}_V$  class. Then also  $\text{dom}(F)$  is a proper class from  $\text{Sd}_V$ . By Theorem 4 we know that  $\text{Def}_{\text{dom}(F)} = V$ . Let us enlarge  $F$  canonically on  $\text{Def}_{\text{dom}(F)}$ ; denote  $F_1$  such an enlargement. From [Ve 2] it follows that  $F_1$  is a similarity which is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -class. Therefore  $F_1$  is a real class (see [Č-V]). Further, since  $\text{dom}(F_1) = V$ , the similarity  $F_1$  is a real endomorphism and from Theorem 8 it follows that  $F_1$  is an identity.

**Corollary.** Each endomorphism resp. automorphism which contains a proper  $\text{Sd}_V$  class is an identity.

**Proof.** The assertion follows directly from Theorem 9.

Finally, we show that the condition "being a proper class" - claimed in the previous theorems - is essential.

**Theorem 10.** There is an infinite similarity  $f$  such that  $\text{dom}(f) \cap \text{rng}(f) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** It is shown in [V], ch. 5, § 2 that there is an endomorphic universe which is a semiset - let us denote it  $A$ .

Let  $F$  be such an endomorphism that  $F:V \leftrightarrow A$ . Let further  $X$  be a countable class for which  $X \subseteq V - A$ . Then  $F_1 = F \wedge X$  is a countable function which is a similarity (since  $F$  is a similarity) and  $\text{dom}(F_1) \cap \text{rng}(F_1) = \emptyset$ .

Let us enumerate  $F_1$  by numbers from  $\mathbb{N}$ ; denote the enumeration by  $G$ . Then  $G:\mathbb{N} \leftrightarrow F_1$ . Since there is only a countable

amount of all formulas of the language FL, we can enumerate them by FN, too.

From the definition of similarity it follows:

$$(\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \text{dom}(F_1)) \mathcal{G}_1(x_1, \dots, x_k) \equiv \mathcal{G}_1(F_1(x_1), \dots, F_1(x_k))$$

for each  $\mathcal{G}_1 \in \text{FL}$ .

Let  $g$  be a prolongation of  $G$  and let  $\alpha \in N - \text{FN}$  be such an element that  $\text{dom}(g^n \alpha) \cap \text{rng}(g^n \alpha) = \emptyset$ .

Denote

$$\Psi_1 H \equiv [(\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \text{dom}(H)) \mathcal{G}_1(x_1, \dots, x_k) \equiv \mathcal{G}_1(H(x_1), \dots, \dots, H(x_k))].$$

Put further  $\alpha_1 = \max \{ \beta \in \alpha ; \Psi_1(g^n \beta) \}$ . Then since for each  $n \in \text{FN}$  the formula  $\Psi_1(g^n n)$  holds ( $g^n n$  is a similarity), we obtain that  $\alpha_1 \notin \text{FN}$ . If we put

$$\alpha_{i+1} = \max \{ \beta \in \alpha_i + 1 ; \Psi_{i+1}(g^n \beta) \},$$

then also  $\alpha_{i+1} \notin \text{FN}$ .

Hence  $\{ \alpha_i \}_{i \in \text{FN}}$  is a descending sequence of elements which do not belong to FN. This implies that there is  $\bar{\alpha}$  such that  $\bar{\alpha} \notin \text{FN}$  and  $\bar{\alpha} \leq \alpha_i, i \in \text{FN}$ .

Put now  $f = g^n \bar{\alpha}$ . The function  $f$  is obviously the required similarity.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [V] P. VOPĚNKA: Mathematics in the Alternative Set Theory, Teubner-Texte, Leipzig 1979.
- [Č-K 1] K. ČUDA and B. KUSSOVÁ: Basic equivalences in the alternative set theory, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 23(1982), 629-644.
- [V 1] P. VOPĚNKA: The lattice of indiscernibility equivalences,



Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 20(1979),  
631-638.

[Č-V] K. ČUDA and P. VOPĚNKA: Real and imaginary classes in  
the alternative set theory, Comment. Math.  
Univ. Carolinae 20(1979), 639-653.

[S-Ve 1] A. SOCHOR and A. VENCOVSKÁ: Indiscernibles in the al-  
ternative set theory, Comment. Math. Univ.  
Carolinae 22(1981), 785-798.

[Ve 2] A. VENCOVSKÁ: Constructions of endomorphic universes  
and similarities, Comment. Math. Univ.  
Carolinae 23(1982), 557-577.

Matematický ústav, Univerzita Karlova, Sokolovská 83, 18600  
Praha 8, Czechoslovakia

(Oblatum 22.6. 1983)