

Vladimír Müller

A note on the joint spectrum in commutative Banach algebras

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 23 (1982), No. 2, 351--354

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106157>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A NOTE ON THE JOINT SPECTRUM IN COMMUTATIVE  
BANACH ALGEBRAS

Vladimir MULLER

**Abstract:** We characterize the part of the joint spectrum in a commutative Banach algebra which is always contained in the joint approximative spectrum.

**Key words:** Banach algebras, joint spectrum, joint approximative spectrum.

Classification: 46J20

---

Let  $A$  be a commutative Banach algebra with unit,  $x_1, \dots, \dots, x_n \in A$  a finite family of elements of  $A$ . As usual, the joint spectrum of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is defined by

$$\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{[\hat{x}_1(M), \dots, \hat{x}_n(M)] \in \mathbb{C}^n, M \in \mathcal{M}(A)\}$$

where  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  is the maximal ideal space of  $A$  and  $\hat{x}$  is the Gelfand transform of  $x \in A$ . It is easy to see that  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  if and only if there exists a proper ideal in  $A$  containing  $x_i - \lambda_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ). As in [1] we define the joint approximative spectrum of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  by  $\tau(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n, \text{ there exists a sequence } \{b_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset A \text{ such that } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n |b_k(x_i - \lambda_i)| = 0\}$ . Obviously  $\tau(x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

For  $n=1$ , it is well known that the topological boundary of the spectrum is always contained in the approximative spectrum,  $\partial \sigma(x_1) \subset \tau(x_1)$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , this is no longer true. The

simplest example is the algebra  $B$  of all functions holomorphic in the open bidisc  $D_2 = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2, |\lambda_1| < 1, |\lambda_2| < 1\}$  and continuous on the boundary. If we take  $x_1, x_2 \in B$ ,  $x_1(t_1, t_2) = t_1$ ,  $x_2(t_1, t_2) = t_2$  then it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{G}(x_1, x_2) = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2, |\lambda_1| \leq 1, |\lambda_2| \leq 1\}$ ,  $\partial \mathcal{G}(x_1, x_2) = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2, \text{either } |\lambda_1| = 1 \text{ or } |\lambda_2| = 1\}$  but  $\tau(x_1, x_2) = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2, |\lambda_1| = 1 \text{ and } |\lambda_2| = 1\}$ .

In this paper we give an answer to a natural question which part of the joint spectrum is always contained in the joint approximative spectrum. This question was investigated already in [3]. The present result, however, differs from that of [3] in two points: 1) the proof is different, 2) in [3] it is explicitly stated only that the joint approximative spectrum is always non-empty (it is possible, however, to obtain in the same way the result which we present here).

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the result of [2] (in an equivalent formulation): Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ ,  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \notin \tau_A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Then there exists a commutative superalgebra  $B \supset A$  such that  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \notin \mathcal{G}_B(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  i.e.  $\tau_A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigcap_{B \supset A} \mathcal{G}_B(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

Let  $K$  be a non-empty compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Denote  $\tilde{A}_K$  the norm closure of the algebra of all functions holomorphic in some neighbourhood of the set  $K$  with the norm  $\|f\| = \sup_{\mu \in K} |f(\mu)|$  (we identify two functions whenever they coincide on  $K$ ). Then the Shilov boundary  $\Gamma(\tilde{A}_K)$  of the function algebra  $\tilde{A}_K$  may be identified with a subset of  $K$ ,  $\Gamma(\tilde{A}_K) \subset K \subset \mathcal{M}(\tilde{A}_K)$  (see e.g. [4]) and for any  $n$ -tuple  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Gamma(\tilde{A}_K) \subset K$  and any

neighbourhood  $U$  of  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  in  $K$  there exists a function  $f \in \tilde{A}_K$  satisfying  $\sup_{\mu \in U} |f(\mu)| > \sup_{\mu \in K-U} |f(\mu)|$ .

**Theorem 1:** Let  $B$  be a commutative Banach algebra with unit,  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B$ ,  $\sigma_B(x_1, \dots, x_n) = K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then  $\tau(x_1, \dots, x_n) \supset \Gamma(\tilde{A}_K)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose on the contrary  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Gamma(\tilde{A}_K) \subset K$  and  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \notin \tau_B(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . By [2] there exists a commutative superalgebra  $C \supset B$  such that  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \notin \sigma_C(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . As the joint spectrum is a compact set there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  such that  $U \cap \sigma_C(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \emptyset$ . Since  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Gamma(\tilde{A}_K)$  there exists a function  $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{A}_K$  satisfying  $\sup_{\mu \in U} |\tilde{f}(\mu)| > \sup_{\mu \in K-U} |\tilde{f}(\mu)|$ . So we can find also a function  $f$  holomorphic in some neighbourhood of  $K$  such that  $\sup_{\mu \in U} |f(\mu)| > \sup_{\mu \in K-U} |f(\mu)|$ .

Consider the element  $y = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in B \subset C$ . By the spectral mapping theorem (see e.g. [4]) we have for the spectral radii of  $y$  in the Banach algebras  $B$  and  $C$

$$\begin{aligned} r_B(y) &= \sup_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \sigma_B(x_1, \dots, x_n)} |f(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)| = \sup_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in K} |f(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)| > \\ &> \sup_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in K-U} |f(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)| \geq \sup_{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \sigma_C(x_1, \dots, x_n)} |f(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)| = \\ &= r_C(y). \end{aligned}$$

So we have  $r_B(y) > r_C(y)$ , a contradiction with the fact that the spectral radius does not depend on the considered algebra,  $r_B(y) = r_C(y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |y^k|^{1/k}$ .

**Corollary:** Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be elements of a subalgebra  $A$  of a commutative Banach algebra  $B$ . Then

$\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_B(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  where  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  denotes the polynomially convex hull of the joint spectrum.

Proof: We have  $\tau_A(x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset \tau_B(x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset \mathcal{G}_B(x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset \mathcal{G}_A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and the polynomially convex hulls of  $\tau_A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  coincide by Theorem 1.

Remark: For  $n=1$ ,  $\Gamma(\widetilde{A}_K) = \partial K$ . So the well-known inclusion  $\partial \mathcal{G}(x_1) \subset \tau(x_1)$  follows from Theorem 1.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] R. HARTE: Spectral mapping theorems, Proc. Roy. Irish Ac. ser. A vol. 72(1972), 89-107.
- [2] V. MÜLLER: Non-removable ideals in commutative Banach algebras, Studia Math. 74 (in print).
- [3] Z. SZODKOWSKI, W. ŻELAZKO: On joint spectra of commuting families of operators, Studia Math. 50(1974), 127-148.
- [4] W. ŻELAZKO: Banach algebras, Elsevier/PWN 1973.

Matematický ústav ČSAV, Žitná 25, Praha 1, Czechoslovakia

(Obstatum 21.1. 1982)