

Jan Reiterman

Small-fibred semitopological functors without small-fibred initial completions

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 20 (1979), No. 3, 539--546

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105950>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

SMALL-FIBRED SEMITOPOLOGICAL FUNCTORS WITHOUT  
SMALL-FIBRED INITIAL COMPLETIONS

Jan REITERMAN

**Abstract:** The first example of a semitopological functor  $U: \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  which is small-fibred but has no small fibred initial completion appeared in Herrlich [3] (as a negative solution of a problem of J. Adámek); there  $\underline{X}$  was an artificial category (in fact, a preordered class) and the question whether there exists such a  $U: \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{X}$ , say, for  $\underline{X} = \text{Set}$ , remained open. In this note, we construct such an example for any category  $\underline{X}$  which is cocomplete, has a terminal object and is not a preordered class.

**Key words:** Semitopological functor, initial completion, Mac Neille completion, small-fibred functor, strongly small-fibred functor.

Classification: 18D30, 18A35

-----

0. Introduction

0.1. The present note has three parts. In the first one we prove that natural functors between comma-categories over a cocomplete category are semitopological. In the second part we present a general construction which yields a semitopological functor starting from a chain of semitopological functors. The third part contains the counterexample in question.

0.2. Recall that a functor  $U: \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  is semitopological if any U-sink  $\{UA \xrightarrow{f_i} X; i \in I\}$  in  $\underline{X}$  has a semifinal solution;

by a solution is meant any  $\underline{X}$ -map  $X \xrightarrow{g} UA$  such that for every  $i \in I$  there is  $g_i: A_i \rightarrow A$  in  $\underline{A}$  with  $Ug_i = g f_i$ . The solution is semifinal if for any other solution  $X \xrightarrow{g'} UA'$  there is a unique  $h: A \rightarrow A'$  in  $\underline{A}$  with  $Uh = g'$ .

0.3. Further, recall from [1] that a functor  $U: \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  admits a small-fibred initial completion iff it has a small-fibred Mac Neille completion; this is the case iff  $U: \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  is strongly small-fibred, that is, iff there is no proper class  $\{X \xrightarrow{g_{\sigma'}} UA_{\sigma'}; \sigma' \in I\}$  of  $\underline{X}$ -maps such that the following holds: if  $\sigma', \varepsilon \in I, \sigma' \neq \varepsilon$ , then there exists an  $\underline{X}$ -map  $UA \xrightarrow{f} X$  such that  $g_{\sigma'} f = Uh$  for some  $h: A \rightarrow A_{\sigma'}$  in  $\underline{A}$  while  $g_{\varepsilon} f \neq Uh$  for all  $h: A \rightarrow A_{\varepsilon}$  in  $\underline{A}$ , or conversely.

### 1. Comma-categories

1.1. If  $\Omega$  is a fixed object of a category  $\underline{X}$ , consider the comma-category  $(\Omega \downarrow \underline{X})$ ; objects of  $(\Omega \downarrow \underline{X})$  are  $\underline{X}$ -maps  $\Omega \xrightarrow{\omega} X$ ; maps from  $\Omega \xrightarrow{\omega} X$  to  $\Omega \xrightarrow{\omega'} X'$  in  $(\Omega \downarrow \underline{X})$  are those  $f: X \rightarrow X'$  in  $\underline{X}$  with  $f\omega = \omega'$ . The category  $(\Omega \downarrow \underline{X})$  will be considered as a category over  $\underline{X}$ : the underlying functor  $U: (\Omega \downarrow \underline{X}) \rightarrow \underline{X}$  is defined by  $U(\Omega \xrightarrow{\omega} X) = X, Uf = f$ .

Each  $\underline{X}$ -map  $u: \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$  in  $\underline{X}$  induces a functor  $(u \downarrow \underline{X}): (\Omega \downarrow \underline{X}) \rightarrow (\Omega' \downarrow \underline{X})$  over  $\underline{X}$  defined by  $(u \downarrow \underline{X})(\Omega \xrightarrow{\omega} X) = \Omega' \xrightarrow{u} \Omega \xrightarrow{\omega} X$ .

1.2. Proposition. If  $\underline{X}$  is cocomplete then the functor  $(u \downarrow \underline{X}): (\Omega \downarrow \underline{X}) \rightarrow (\Omega' \downarrow \underline{X})$  is semitopological for every  $\underline{X}$ -map  $u: \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega$ .

Proof. Let  $\{(u \downarrow \underline{X})A_i \xrightarrow{f_i} A; b_i \in I\}$  be a non-void  $(u \downarrow \underline{X})$ -sink in  $(\Omega' \downarrow \underline{X})$ ,  $A_i = (\Omega \xrightarrow{\omega_i} X_i), A = (\Omega' \xrightarrow{\omega} X)$ .

Thus  $f_i: X_i \rightarrow X$  and the triangle in the following diagram commutes for every  $i \in I$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \Omega' & & \\
 & u & \swarrow & \searrow & \alpha \\
 \Omega & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} & X_i & \xrightarrow{f_i} & X \xrightarrow{c} Y
 \end{array}$$

Let  $c: X \rightarrow Y$  be a coequalizer of the set  $\{f_i \alpha_i: \Omega \rightarrow X; i \in I\}$  in  $\underline{X}$ . Put  $B = (\Omega \xrightarrow{\beta} Y)$  where  $\beta$  is the common value of the composites  $c f_i \alpha_i$ . Then  $c: A \rightarrow (u \downarrow \underline{X})B$  in  $(\Omega' \downarrow \underline{X})$  because  $c \alpha = c f_i \alpha_i u = \beta u$ . It is routine to prove, using the universal property of the pushout, that it is a semifinal solution of the sink in question.

The existence of a semifinal solution for the void sinks in  $(\Omega' \downarrow \underline{X})$  is equivalent to the existence of free objects over  $(\Omega' \downarrow \underline{X})$ -objects w.r.t.  $(u \downarrow \underline{X})$ . So, if  $A = (\Omega' \xrightarrow{\alpha'} X)$  is a  $(\Omega' \downarrow \underline{X})$ -object, consider the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Omega' & \xrightarrow{u} & \Omega \\
 \alpha' \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha' \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X'
 \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that  $B = (\Omega \xrightarrow{\alpha'} X')$  together with  $\rho: A \rightarrow (u \downarrow \underline{X})B$  is a free object over  $A$ .

1.3. Corollary.  $(u \downarrow \underline{X})$  has a left adjoint.

1.4. Corollary. The forgetful functor  $U: (\Omega \downarrow \underline{X}) \rightarrow \underline{X}$  is semitopological.

Proof.  $U = (\emptyset \downarrow \underline{X})$  where  $\emptyset$  is the map from the initial object of  $\underline{X}$  to  $\Omega$ .

## 2. A general construction

2.1. Let  $L$  be a large lattice such that 1) each bounded

subset of  $L$  has a least upper bound, 2) for every  $\varepsilon \in L$ , the class  $\{\sigma \in L; \sigma < \varepsilon\}$  is a set.

Let  $\underline{A}_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in L$ ) be categories over a category  $\underline{X}$  and  $U_\alpha : \underline{A}_\alpha \rightarrow \underline{X}$  their underlying functors. Let  $U_{\alpha\beta} : \underline{A}_\beta \rightarrow \underline{A}_\alpha$  ( $\alpha, \beta \in L$ ,  $\alpha \leq \beta$ ) be functors over  $\underline{X}$  such that  $U_{\alpha\alpha}$  is identical for every  $\alpha$  and  $U_{\alpha\beta} U_{\beta\gamma} = U_{\alpha\gamma}$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$ .

Define a category  $\underline{A} = \sum_L \underline{A}_\alpha$  with an underlying functor  $U = \sum_L U_\alpha : \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  as follows:

- (i)  $\text{obj } \underline{A}$  is a disjoint union of  $\text{obj } \underline{A}_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in L$ );
- (ii) if  $A \in \text{obj } \underline{A}_\alpha$ ,  $B \in \text{obj } \underline{A}_\beta$  then  $\underline{A}(A, B) = \underline{A}_\alpha(A, U_{\alpha\beta} B)$  if  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and  $\underline{A}(A, B) = \emptyset$  otherwise;
- (iii) the composition is defined in an obvious way;
- (iv)  $UA = U_\alpha A$  for  $A$  in  $\underline{A}_\alpha$ ,  $Uf = U_\alpha f$  for  $f \in \underline{A}(A, B)$ ,  $A \in \text{obj } \underline{A}_\alpha$ .

**2.2. Proposition.** Let  $\underline{X}$  be cocomplete. Let all  $U_\alpha : \underline{A}_\alpha \rightarrow \underline{X}$  ( $\alpha \in L$ ) be semitopological and let each  $U_{\alpha\beta} : \underline{A}_\beta \rightarrow \underline{A}_\alpha$  have a left adjoint  $F_{\alpha\beta} : \underline{A}_\alpha \rightarrow \underline{A}_\beta$ . Then  $U : \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  is almost semitopological in the sense that if a  $U$ -sink has a solution then it has a semifinal solution.

**2.3. Corollary.** Suppose that  $\underline{X}$  has, in addition, a terminal object  $\underline{1}$ . Let  $\underline{A}^*$  be the category obtained from  $\underline{A}$  by adding a formal terminal object  $\infty$  with maps  $A \rightarrow \infty$  ( $A \in \text{obj } \underline{A}^*$ ). Then the extension  $U^* : \underline{A}^* \rightarrow \underline{X}$  of  $U : \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  defined by  $U^* \infty = \underline{1}$  is semitopological.

**Proof of 2.2.** Let  $\{UA_i \xrightarrow{f_i} X; i \in I\}$  be a  $U$ -sink in  $\underline{X}$ . For every  $i \in I$ , let  $A_i \in \text{obj } \underline{A}_{\lambda(i)}$ . If the sink has a solution then the class  $J = \{\lambda(i); i \in I\}$  is bounded; so it is a set; denote  $\sigma$  its least upper bound. For each  $i \leq \sigma$ , let  $X \xrightarrow{g_i} U_i B_i$  be a semifinal solution of the  $U_i$ -sink

$\{UA_i \xrightarrow{f_i} X; \lambda(i) = \iota\}$  in  $\underline{A}_2$ . Consider the natural maps  $B_2 \xrightarrow{\eta_\iota} U_{\iota\sigma} F_{\iota\sigma} B_2$ . As  $U_\iota U_{\iota\sigma} F_{\iota\sigma} B_2 = UF_{\iota\sigma} B_2$  and  $U_\iota B_2 = UB_2$ , we can form a multiple pushout  $\{UF_{\iota\sigma} B_2 \xrightarrow{g_\iota} C; \iota \in J\}$  of the set  $\{X \xrightarrow{g_\iota} UB \xrightarrow{U\eta_\iota} UF_{\iota\sigma} B_2; \iota \in J\}$ . As  $U$  coincides with  $U_\sigma$  on  $\underline{A}_\sigma$ , maps  $g_\iota$  form a  $U_\sigma$ -sink  $\{U_\sigma F_{\iota\sigma} B_2 \xrightarrow{g_\iota} C; \iota \in J\}$  in  $\underline{A}_\sigma$  which has a semifinal solution  $C \xrightarrow{\psi} U_\sigma B$ . Now it is easy to see that  $C \xrightarrow{\psi} UB$  serves as a semifinal solution of the original  $U$ -sink in  $\underline{X}$ .

### 3. The counterexample

3.1. Let  $\underline{X}$  be cocomplete with a terminal object  $\underline{1}$  and let  $\underline{X}$  be not a preordered class; the latter means that there is  $\Omega \in \text{obj } \underline{X}$  such that  $\text{card } \underline{X}(\Omega, \Omega') > 1$  for some  $\Omega'$ ; the object  $\Omega$  will be fixed in what follows.

Let  $\underline{A}$  be the category over  $\underline{X}$  whose objects are of the form  $A = (X, (h_{ij})_{i \in n, j \in \sigma'})$ , for various ordinals  $\sigma'$  and various finite ordinals  $n$ , where  $X \in \text{obj } \underline{X}$ ,  $h_{ij}: \Omega \rightarrow X$  in  $\underline{X}$ . Morphisms in  $\underline{A}$  from  $A$  to  $B = (X', (h'_{ij})_{i \in n', j \in \sigma'})$  are those  $f: X \rightarrow X'$  in  $\underline{X}$  with  $fh_{ij} = h'_{ij}$  if  $n \leq n'$  and  $\sigma' \leq \sigma''$ ; otherwise we put  $\underline{A}(A, B) = \emptyset$ . The underlying functor  $U: \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  is defined by  $U(X, (h_{ij})) = X$ ,  $Uf = f$ .

Let  $U^*: \underline{A}^* \rightarrow \underline{X}$  be obtained from  $U: \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  by adding a formal terminal object  $\infty$  as in 2.3.

3.2.  $U^*: \underline{A}^* \rightarrow \underline{X}$  is semitopological.

**Proof.** Each  $\underline{A}$ -object  $(X, (h_{ij})_{i \in n, j \in \sigma'})$  can be naturally identified with  $\Omega_{n\sigma'} \xrightarrow{h} X$  where  $h$  is the  $\underline{X}$ -map from the coproduct  $\Omega_{n\sigma'}$  of  $(n+1) \times (\sigma'+1)$  copies of  $\Omega$  defined by  $h \nu_{ij}^n = h_{ij}$ ,  $i \in n$ ,  $j \in \sigma'$ ; here  $\nu_{ij}^n: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega_{n\sigma'}$  are the coproduct

injections. Thus  $\underline{A} = \sum_L \underline{A}_\alpha$  (see 2.1), where

- (i)  $L = \omega \times \text{Ord}$ ;
- (ii) for  $\alpha \in L$ ,  $\alpha = (n, \sigma)$ ,  $\underline{A}_\alpha = (\Omega_{n, \sigma} \downarrow \underline{X})$ ;
- (iii) for  $\alpha \in L$ , the functor  $U_\alpha: \underline{A}_\alpha \rightarrow \underline{X}$  is defined to be the restriction of  $U: \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{X}$ ; it is semitopological by 1.4;
- (iv) for  $\alpha, \beta \in L$ ,  $\alpha = (n, \sigma)$ ,  $\beta = (n', \sigma')$ , the functor  $U_{\alpha\beta}: \underline{A}_\beta \rightarrow \underline{A}_\alpha$  is defined to be  $(u_{\alpha\beta} \downarrow \underline{X})$  where  $u_{\alpha\beta}: \Omega_{n, \sigma} \rightarrow \Omega_{n', \sigma'}$  is induced by the inclusion  $(n+1) \times (\sigma+1) \hookrightarrow (n'+1) \times (\sigma'+1)$ ; the functor  $U_{\alpha\beta}$  has a left adjoint by 1.3.

So  $U^*$  is semitopological by 2.3.

3.3. Let  $\underline{B}$  be the full subcategory of  $\underline{A}$  such that  $\text{obj } \underline{B}$  consists of  $\infty$  and of those  $(X, (h_{ij})_{i \leq n, j \leq \sigma})$  such that all  $h_{nj} (j \leq \sigma)$  are pairwise distinct. Let  $V: \underline{B} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  be the restriction of  $U$ . Clearly,

3.4.  $V: \underline{B} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  is small-fibred.

3.5.  $\underline{B}$  is reflective in  $\underline{A}$ . Thus,  $V: \underline{B} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  is semitopological, too.

Proof. Let  $A \in \text{obj } \underline{A}$  be not in  $\text{obj } \underline{B}$ .

a) If the only  $\underline{B}$ -object  $B$  such that there exists a map  $A \rightarrow B$  is  $B = \infty$  then the  $\underline{B}$ -reflection of  $A$  is  $\infty$ .

b) Let  $A = (X, (h_{ij})_{i \leq n, j \leq \sigma})$  admit a morphism  $f: A \rightarrow B$ ,  $B \in \text{obj } \underline{B}$ ,  $B = (X', (h'_{ij})_{i \leq m, j \leq \sigma'})$ . Then  $m \geq n$ ,  $\sigma' \geq \sigma$ . Even  $m > n$  for all these  $f$ ; indeed, as  $A \notin \text{obj } \underline{B}$ ,  $h_{ns} = h_{nr}$  for some  $r \neq s$ ; then  $h'_{nr} = fh_{nr} = fh_{ns} = h'_{ns}$ ; so the  $h'_{nj}$ 's are not pairwise distinct; it follows  $m \neq n$ .

c) Put  $\bar{A} = (\bar{X}, (\bar{h}_{ij})_{i \leq n+1, j \leq \sigma})$  where  $\bar{X}$  is a coproduct of  $X$  and  $\sigma+1$  copies of  $\Omega$ ,

$$(i) \quad \bar{h}_{ij} = \nu h_{ij} \quad (i \leq n, j \leq \sigma'),$$

$$\bar{h}_{n+1,j} = \nu_j \quad (j \leq \sigma')$$

where  $\nu: X \rightarrow \bar{X}$ ,  $\nu_j: \Omega \rightarrow \bar{X}$  ( $j \leq \sigma'$ ) are the coproduct injections.

d) We are going to prove that  $\bar{A} \in \text{obj } \underline{B}$ , i.e. that the  $\nu_j$ 's are pairwise distinct ( $j \leq \sigma'$ ).

Consider any  $f$  as in b). As  $\nu, \nu_j$  ( $j \leq \sigma'$ ) are coproduct injections, there is  $h: \bar{X} \rightarrow X'$  with

$$(ii) \quad h \nu_j = h'_{mj} \quad (j \leq \sigma'),$$

$$h \nu = f.$$

As  $B \in \text{obj } \underline{B}$ , the  $h'_{mj}$ 's ( $j \leq \sigma'$ ) are pairwise distinct. By virtue of (ii), so are the  $\nu_j$ 's.

e) Let us prove that  $\nu: A \rightarrow \bar{A}$  is a  $\underline{B}$ -reflection of  $A$ .

Indeed,  $\nu$  is a morphism from  $A$  to  $\bar{A}$  by (i). Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be as in b). We are to find  $f': A \rightarrow B$  with

$$(iii) \quad f' \nu = f.$$

The map  $f'$ , being an  $\underline{A}$ -map from  $A$  to  $B$ , should satisfy  $f' \bar{h}_{ij} = h'_{ij}$  ( $i \leq n+1, j \leq \sigma'$ ), that is,

$$(iv) \quad f' \nu h_{ij} = h'_{ij} \quad (i \leq n, j \leq \sigma'),$$

$$(v) \quad f' \nu_j = h'_{n+1,j} \quad (j \leq \sigma').$$

As  $\nu, \nu_j$  are coproduct injections, there is a unique  $f'$  satisfying (iii), (iv), (v), viz  $f'$  determined by (iii), (v).

3.6.  $V: \underline{B} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  is not strongly small-fibred.

Proof. For every ordinal  $\sigma'$ , let  $X_{\sigma'}$  be the coproduct of  $\sigma'+1$  copies of  $\Omega$ . It follows easily from the fact that  $\text{card } \underline{X}(\Omega, \Omega') > 1$  for some  $\Omega'$  that all coproduct injections  $\nu_j: \Omega \rightarrow X_{\sigma'}$  ( $j \leq \sigma'$ ) are pairwise distinct. So  $A_{\sigma'} = (X_{\sigma'}, (h_{ij})_{i \leq 0, j \leq \sigma'}) \in \text{obj } \underline{B}$  where  $h_{0j} = \nu_j$  ( $j \leq \sigma'$ ). Let  $f_{\sigma'}: X_{\sigma'} \rightarrow \Omega$



be the codiagonal map. Further, let  $Y_{\sigma}$  be the coproduct of  $X_{\sigma}$  and of  $\Omega$ , and let  $h_{\sigma}: X_{\sigma} \rightarrow Y_{\sigma}$ ,  $g_{\sigma}: \Omega \rightarrow Y_{\sigma}$  be the coproduct injections. Put  $B_{\sigma} = (Y_{\sigma}, (h'_{ij})_{i \neq 1, j \neq \sigma})$  where  $h'_{0j} = g_{\sigma}$ ,  $h'_{1j} = h_{\sigma} h_{0j}$  ( $j \neq \sigma$ ). Again,  $B_{\sigma} \in \text{obj } \underline{B}$ . Then for  $\sigma \neq \epsilon$ , say  $\sigma > \epsilon$ , the map  $g_{\sigma} f_{\epsilon+1}$  is a  $\underline{B}$ -map from  $A_{\epsilon+1}$  to  $B_{\sigma}$ , while  $g_{\epsilon} f_{\epsilon+1}$  is not a  $\underline{B}$ -map from  $A_{\epsilon+1}$  to  $B_{\epsilon}$ . The proof is finished.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] J. ADÁMEK, H. HERRLICH, G.E. STRECKER: Least and largest initial completions I, II, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 20(1979), 43-77.
- [2] H. HERRLICH: Initial completions, Math. Z. 150(1976), 101-110.
- [3] H. HERRLICH: Reflective Mac Neille completions of fibre-small categories need not be fibre small, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 19(1978), 147-149.

Katedra matematiky

F J F I

Husova 5, Praha 1

Československo

(Oblatum 30.3. 1979)