# Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Zdeněk Dostál Polynomials of the eigenvalues and powers of matrices

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 19 (1978), No. 3, 459--469

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105869

### Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

#### COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAT

19.3 (1978)

#### POLYNOMIALS OF THE EIGENVALUES AND POWERS OF MATRICES

## Zdeněk DOSTÁL, Ostrava

Abstract: Explicit formulae are derived for the entries of any power of the companion matrix of a polynomial p, regarded as functions of the roots of p. The formulae are applied to yield an upper bound for the norm of a power of any matrix in terms of its spectral radius.

<u>Key words</u>: Eigenvalue, recurrence relation, critical exponent, norms of matrices.

AMS: Primary 15 Secondary 12

l. <u>Introductiom</u>. It is well known that the m-th power for mxn of an nxn matrix A can be represented as a linear combination of the lower powers of A. The coefficients in this combination are known polynomials of the coefficients appearing in the characteristic equation of A (cf. [1,3,6,8]). The last coefficients being elementary symmetric polynomials of the eigenvalues of A, we can write

$$A^{m} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i,m} A^{i-1},$$

where  $w_{i,m}$  are polynomials of the eigenvalues of A. The polynomials  $w_{i,m}$  proved to be useful in studying the relations between the norm of iterates and the spectral radius ([2,4]).

Professor V. Pták conjectured that the sign of the coefficients w<sub>i,k</sub> (k≥n) depends on i only; at his request, the late Professor V. Knichal supplied a proof of this conjecture. This result was used in an essential manner by V. Pták to characterize contractions A on an n-dimensional Hilbert space which maximize |A<sup>n</sup>| under the condition |A|<sub>G</sub> ≤ r. Another application of this result was given by the present author [2]. Knichal's proof was not published. Quite recently three independent proofs were given by N.J. Young [91, V. Pták [5] and the present author which also yield explicit expressions for the w<sub>i,k</sub>.

It is the purpose of the present paper to give such explicit formulae and to apply them to obtain estimates for the norm of iterates of contractions on an n-dimensional normed space; these estimates are independent of the choice of the norm.

2. <u>Definitions and preliminaries</u>. Let n be an arbitrary but fixed positive integer. For i = 1,...,n, we shall define the polynomials

$$\mathbf{E_i} = \mathbf{E_i}(\mathbf{x_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x_n}) = \sum_{e_i \in \{0, 1\}} \mathbf{x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_n^{e_n}}$$

and

$$a_i = a_i(x_1,...,x_n) = (-1)^{n-i}E_{n-i+1}(x_1,...,x_n),$$

where  $x_1, ..., x_n$  are considered as indeterminates. Hence

(1) 
$$(x-x_1)(x-x_2)...(x-x_n) = x^n -a_1-a_2x - ... -a_nx^{n-1}$$
  
and

(2) 
$$(1-x_1x)(1-x_2x)...(1-x_nx) = 1-a_nx-a_{n-1}x^2 - ... -a_1x^n$$

For each i,  $1 \le i \le n$ , and  $k \ge 0$ , we shall define the pelynomials  $w_{ik} = w_{ik}(x_1, ..., x_n)$  by the recursive relations

(3) 
$$w_{i,k+n} = a_1 w_{i,k} + a_2 w_{i,k+1} + \dots + w_{i,k+n-1}$$

with initial conditions

(4) 
$$w_{i,k}(x_1,x_2,...,x_n) = \sigma_{i,k+1}, 0 \le k \le n-1.$$

To avoid exceptions, we put  $w_{i,k} = 0$  for i < 1.

To prove that  $w_{i,k}$  are the polynomials spoken about in the introduction, suppose that A is a linear operator on an n-dimensional linear space, and that the eigenvalues of A are  $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$ . Note that the polynomial

$$p(x) = x^{n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(\varphi_{1}, ..., \varphi_{n})x^{i-1}$$

is the characteristic polynomial of A and that, for i = 1, ... ..., n,  $w_{i,n} = a_i$ . Hence we have, by the Cayley-Hamilton theorem,

(5) 
$$A^{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(\rho_{1}, ..., \rho_{n})A^{i-1}$$

and

(6) 
$$A^{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i,k} (\varphi_{1}, ..., \varphi_{n}) A^{i-1}$$

for k = 0, 1, ..., n.

To prove (6) for k>n by induction, suppose that m>n and that (6) is satisfied for k=0,1,...,m-1. Put s=m-n,  $\alpha_i=a_i(\varphi_1,...,\varphi_n)$  and  $\nu_{i,k}=w_{i,k}(\varphi_1,...,\varphi_n)$ . If we

multiply (5) by  $A^8$  and use the induction hypothesis, we successively get

$$A^{m} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} A^{s+i-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu_{j,s+i-1} A^{j-1} =$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \nu_{j,s+i-1}) A^{j-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu_{j,m} A^{j-1}.$$

Ιſ

$$W_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{1,k} & w_{2,k} & \cdots & w_{n,k} \\ w_{1,k+1} & w_{2,k+1} & \cdots & w_{n,k+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{1,k+n-1} & w_{2,k+n-1} & \cdots & w_{n,k+n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

(7) 
$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \vdots \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix},$$

we have

$$\mathbf{W}_{k+1} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{W}_{k}$$
.

Since  $W_e = (\sigma_{i,j})$ , we have

$$W_k = T^k$$

and

(8) 
$$W_{k+1} = T^{k+1} = T^k T = W_k T$$
.

Lemma 1. If 1≤i≤n and k≥1, then

(9) 
$$w_{i k+1} = w_{i-1,k} + a_i w_{n,k}$$

Proof: To obtain (9), it is enough to compare entries in the first row and i-th column of the matrices  $W_{k+1}$  and  $W_kT$  in (8).

Lemma 2. Let 1 ≤ i ≤ n and k ≥ i - 1. Then

(10) 
$$w_{i,k} = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a_{j+1} w_{n,k-i+j}$$

Proof: We get (10) by repeated application of (9).

3. General expression. For k≥0, put

$$h_k = h_k(x_1, ..., x_n) = \sum_{\substack{e_1 + ... + e_n = k \\ e_1 \in \{0, 1, ..., k\}}} x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} ... x_n^{e_n}.$$

Lemma 3. Let k≥n - 1. Then

$$w_{n,k} = h_{k-n+1}$$

Proof: Define the generating function (cf.[7]) for  $\mathbf{w}_{n,k}$  by

$$f(z) = w_{n,0} + w_{n,1}z + w_{n,2}z^2 + \cdots$$

If we multiply this equation by  $1,-a_n z,...,-a_1 z^n$  and sum up, we get, with help of (3) and (4), that

$$f(z)(1 - a_n z - ... - a_1 z^n) = z^{n-1}$$
.

Thus

$$f(z) = z^{n-1}(1 - a_n z - \dots - a_1 z^n)^{-1} =$$

$$= z^{n-1}(1 - x_1 z)^{-1} \dots (1 - x_n z)^{-1} =$$

$$= z^{n-1}(1 + x_1 z + x_1^2 z^2 + \dots) \dots (1 + x_n z + x_n^2 z^2 + \dots) =$$

$$= z^{n-1}(h_0 + h_1 z + h_2 z^2 + ...) =$$

$$= h_2 z^{n-1} + h_1 z^n + h_2 z^{n+1} + ....$$

We complete the proof by comparing the coefficients at the corresponding powers of z.

Lemma 4. Let k≥0, 1≤i≤n. Then

(11) 
$$E_{i}h_{k} = \sum_{\substack{e_{1}+e_{2}+\ldots+e_{n}=i+k\\e_{j}\in\{0,1,\ldots,i+k\}}} (q^{(e_{1},\ldots,e_{n})}) x_{1}e_{1}\ldots x_{n}^{e_{n}},$$

where  $q(e_1, ..., e_n)$  denotes the number of  $e_j$  different from zero.

Proof: If we multiply  $E_i$  and  $h_k$ , then the result is the sum of products, the first factor of which is the term of  $E_i$ , the second one is the term of  $h_k$ . Each such product may be written in the form

(12) 
$$x_1^{e_1}x_2^{e_2} \dots x_n^{e_n},$$

where the exponents are non-negative integers whose sum is equal to i + k. A product (12) with given exponents  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  whose sum equals i + k is obtained by multiplying a term of  $E_i$  by a term of  $h_k$ . The number of terms of  $E_i$  which yield the given product is exactly  $(q(e_1, \ldots, e_n))$ .

Theorem 1. Let  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $k \ge n - 1$ . Then

(13) 
$$w_{i,k} = (-1)^{n-i} \sum_{\substack{e_1 + \dots + e_n = k-i+1 \\ e_j \in \{0,1,2,\dots\}}} (q^{(e_1,\dots,e_n)-1}) x_1^{e_1} \dots x_n^{e_n}.$$

Proof: Applying successively (10) and (11), we get

$$w_{i,k} = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a_{j+1} w_{n,k-i+j} = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{n-j-1} x_{n-j} h_{k-i+j-n+1} =$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{n-j-1} \sum_{\substack{e_1 + \dots + e_n = k-i+1 \\ e_j \in \{0,1,2,\dots, \}}} (q^{(e_1,\dots,e_n)}) x_1^{e_1} \dots x_n^{e_n} =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{e_1 + \dots + e_n = k-i+1 \\ e_j \notin \{0,1,2,\dots\}}} (-1)^{n-i} (\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{i-j-1} (q^{(e_1,\dots,e_n)}) x_1^{e_1} \dots x_n^{e_n} =$$

$$= (-1)^{n-i} \sum_{\substack{e_1 + \dots + e_n = k-i+1 \\ e_1 + \dots + e_n = k-i+1}} (q^{(e_1,\dots,e_n)-1}) x_1^{e_1} \dots x_n^{e_n} .$$

In the last step, we have used the identity .

$$\binom{s-1}{i} = \binom{s}{i} - \binom{s}{i-1} + \binom{s}{i-2} - \dots \pm \binom{s}{i-i},$$

which is an immediate consequence of

$$\binom{s}{i} = \binom{s-1}{i} + \binom{s-1}{i-1}$$
.

4. Evaluation of  $w_{i,k}(r,...,r)$ . Having obtained Theorem 1 it is now comparatively easy to compute  $w_{i,k}(r,...,r)$ . First, counting the number of terms in the sum (13), we have for  $k \ge n$ 

$$(14) \quad w_{i,k}(r,...,r) = (-1)^{n-i} r^{k-i+1} \sum_{q=n-i+1}^{\min(n,k-i+1)} {n \choose q} {k-i \choose q-1} {q-1 \choose n-i}.$$

Since [7]

$$\binom{n}{m}\binom{m}{p} = \binom{n}{p}\binom{n-p}{n-m},$$

we have

$$\binom{k-i}{q-1}\binom{q-1}{n-i} = \binom{k-i}{n-i}\binom{k-n}{k-i+1-q}$$

and

$$w_{i,k}(r,...,r) = (-1)^{n-1}r^{k-i+1}\binom{k-i}{n-i}\sum_{q=n-i+1}^{\min(n,k-i+1)}\binom{n}{q}\binom{k-n}{k-i+1-q}.$$

The last sum may be simplified by use of a variant of the Vandermonde convolution formula [7]

$$\binom{n+p}{m} = \sum_{k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{p}{m-k}$$
.

Thus

(15) 
$$\mathbf{w}_{i,k}(\mathbf{r},\ldots,\mathbf{r}) = (-1)^{n-1} \binom{k-i}{n-i} \binom{k}{i-1} \mathbf{r}^{k-i+1}$$
.

5. <u>Applications</u>. Let  $X_n$  be an n-dimensional Banach space, let  $L(X_n)$  denote the algebra of all linear operators on  $X_n$  and let the operator norm and the spectral radius of  $A \in L(X_n)$  be denoted by |A| and  $|A|_6$  respectively.

Theorem 2. Let 0 < r < 1. If  $A \in L(X_n)$ ,  $|A| \le 1$  and  $|A| \le r$ , then for each  $k \ge n$ 

(16) 
$$|\mathbf{A}^{k}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} {k-i \choose n-i} {k \choose i-1} r^{k-i+1}$$

and

(17) 
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} {k-i \choose n-i} {k \choose i-1} r^{k-i+1} = 0.$$

Proof: Let r, k and A satisfy the assumptions of the theorem. All the eigenvalues  $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$  of A being less than or equal to r in absolute value, we have by (13)

$$|w_{i,k}(\varphi_1,...,\varphi_n)| \le |w_{i,k}(r,...,r)|, i = 1,...,n.$$

Hence

$$|\mathbf{A}^{k}| = |\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{w}_{i,k}(\varphi_{1}, \dots, \varphi_{n}) \mathbf{A}^{i-1}| \le$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mathbf{w}_{i,k}(\mathbf{r}, \dots, \mathbf{r})| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} {\binom{k-i}{n-i}} {\binom{k}{i-1}} \mathbf{r}^{k-i+1}.$$

To prove (17), note that  $|T(r,...,r)|_{6} = r$ , where the matrix T is defined by (7), and that

$$(w_{i,k}(r,...,r),...,w_{n,k}(r,...,r))$$

is the first row of the matrix  $T(r,...,r)^k$  (cf. (8)). For an nxn matrix  $(a_{i,j})$  put

$$|(\mathbf{a}_{ij})|_{\infty} = \max_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mathbf{a}_{ij}|$$
.

Now, relation (17) simply follows from

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |w_{i,k}(r,...,r)| \leq |T(r,...,r)^{k}|_{\infty}$$

and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}|T(r,\ldots,r)^k|_{\infty}=0.$$

The point of the Theorem 2 is that there is an upper bound for  $|A^k|$  independent of the choice of the norm.

Penete by  $B_{n,\omega}$  the complex n-dimensional vector space, the nerminal  $x_1,\dots,x_n$  being defined by the fermula.

$$|x|_{\infty} = \max_{i=1,\ldots,n} |x_i|,$$

and for 0< r<1 and k≥0 put

$$C(B_{n_{\infty}}, r, k) = \sup\{|A^k|_{\infty} : A \in L(B_{n_{\infty}}), |A|_{\infty} \le 1 \text{ and } |A| \le r\},$$

In [2] we gave a partial answer to the question of Professor V. Pták [4] about the values of  $C(B_{n,\omega},r,k)$ ; now we are able to give an explicit formula for the case studied in [2].

Theorem 3. Let 0< r≤21/n-1 and k≥n. Then

(18) 
$$C(B_{\mathbf{x},\omega},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} {k-i \choose n-i} {k \choose i-1} r^{k-i+1}$$

Proof: We have proved in [2] that under the assumptions

$$C(B_{n,\infty},r,k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} | w_{i,k}(r,...,r) |$$
.

Theorem 3 shows that for small r, the formula (17) gives the best possible bound.

I wish to thank Professor Vlastimil Pták for reading this manuscript and suggesting some improvements.

# References

- [1] R. BARAKAT, E. BAUMAN: Mth power of an nxm matrix and its connection with the generalized Lucas polynomials, J. Math. Phys. 10(1969), 1474-6.
- [2] Z. DOSTÁL: 1-norm of iterates and the spectral radius of matrices, to be published.
- [3] J.L. IAVOIE: The m-th power of an nxn matrix and the Bell polynomials, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 29(1975), 511-514.
- [4] V. PTÁK: Spectral radius, norms of iterates, and the critical exponent, Linear Algebra Appl. 1(1968), 245-260.
- [5] V. PTÁK: An infinite companion matrix, Comment. Math. .
  Univ. Carolinae 19(1978), 447-458.

- [6] M.A. RASHID: Powers of a matrix, ZAMM 55(1975), 271-2.
- [7] J. RIORDAN: Combinatorial Identities, John Wiley, New York (1968).
- [8] H.C. WILLIAMS: Some properties of the general Lucas polynomials, Matrix Tensor Quart. 21(1971), 91-93.
- [9] N.J. YOUNG: Norms of matrix powers, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 19(1978), 415-430.

Vysoká škola báňská 708 33 Ostrava Československo

(Oblatum 23.5. 1978)