

Petr Simon

A somewhat surprising subspace of  $\beta\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 19 (1978), No. 2, 383--388

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105861>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

19,2 (1978)

A SOMEWHAT SURPRISING SUBSPACE OF  $\beta N - N$

Petr SIMON, Praha

Abstract: The purpose of this short note is to show that under some assumption on set theory there exists a linearly ordered topological space which can be densely embedded into  $\beta N - N$ .

Key words and phrases: Čech-Stone compactification, linearly ordered topological space, base matrix, Novák number.

AMS: 54F05, 54D35

---

Denote, as usual, by  $N^*$  the space of all uniform ultrafilters on the countable discrete set, i.e.  $N^* = \beta N - N$ , the remainder of integers to their Čech-Stone compactification.

If  $P$  is a dense-in-itself topological space, call  $n(P)$ , the Novák number of  $P$ , the least cardinality of a family of nowhere dense sets which covers  $P$ .

Writing  $c$  for the cardinality  $2^\omega$ , we can state the main result of the present paper as follows:

Theorem. Suppose  $n(N^*) > c$ . Then there exists a linearly ordered topological space which can be embedded as a dense subspace into  $N^*$ .

The proof of this theorem turns out to be an easy exercise on a machinery developed in [EPS]. Let us summarize

several notions and facts from that paper, which will be needed further on.

Definition. A family  $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{Open}(N^*)$  is an almost-partition of  $N^*$ , if  $\mathcal{G}$  is pairwise disjoint and  $\bigcup \mathcal{G}$  is dense in  $N^*$ . If  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  are almost-partitions of  $N^*$ , then  $\mathcal{G}$  refines  $\mathcal{H}$  ( $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ ) if for each  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  there is an  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $G \subset H$ .

The family  $\Theta \subset \mathcal{P}(\text{Open}(N^*))$  is called a matrix, if each member of  $\Theta$  is an almost-partition of  $N^*$ .

A matrix  $\Theta$  is shattering, if for each non-void open set  $U \subset N^*$  there is some  $\mathcal{G} \in \Theta$  such that  $U$  meets at least two members of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

A matrix  $\Theta$  is a base-matrix, if the ordering  $\prec$  well-orders the whole  $\Theta$  and if  $\bigcup \Theta$  is a  $\sigma$ -base for  $N^*$ .

Given two matrices  $\Theta$  and  $\Theta'$ , we shall say that  $\Theta'$  strongly refines  $\Theta$  ( $\Theta' \rightarrow \Theta$ ) if there is a bijection  $b: \Theta \rightarrow \Theta'$  such that  $b(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  for each  $\mathcal{G} \in \Theta$ .

If  $\Theta$  is a matrix, call a family  $\mathcal{C}$  to be a chain in  $\Theta$ , if  $\mathcal{C}$  is centered, contained in  $\bigcup \Theta$  and maximal with respect to those two properties. If  $|\mathcal{C}| = |\Theta|$ , then the chain  $\mathcal{C}$  is called long.

The cardinal number  $\aleph(N^*)$  is defined as  $\min \{ |\Theta| : \Theta \text{ is a shattering matrix in } N^* \}$ .

Fact 1. ([BPS], 2.11(c)) For each shattering matrix  $\Theta$  with  $|\Theta| = \aleph(N^*)$  there exists a base-matrix  $\Theta'$  such that  $|\Theta'| = \aleph(N^*)$ ,  $\Theta' \rightarrow \Theta$  and  $\bigcup \Theta' \subset \text{Clopen}(N^*)$ .

Fact 2. ([BPS], 3.5(iii))  $\aleph(N^*) > c$  if and only if  $\aleph(N^*) = c$  and each shattering matrix  $\Theta$ ,  $|\Theta| = c$ , con-

tains a long chain.

Proof of the Theorem. Well-order the family of all clopen subsets of  $N^*$  :  $\text{Clopen}(N^*) = \{H_\xi : \xi < c\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_\xi = \{H_\xi, N^* - H_\xi\}$ . The matrix  $\Theta = \{\mathcal{C}_\xi : \xi < c\}$  is clearly shattering. Assuming  $n(N^*) > c$ , we have  $|\Theta| = c = \kappa(N^*)$  by Fact 2, hence according to Fact 1, there is a base-matrix  $\Theta'$  with  $|\Theta'| = c$ ,  $\Theta' \prec \Theta$ ,  $\cup \Theta' \subset \text{Clopen}(N^*)$ .

Let us write  $\Theta' = \{\mathcal{V}_\xi : \xi < c\}$ ; we may assume without any loss of generality that  $\mathcal{V}_0$  is infinite,  $\mathcal{V}_\xi \prec \mathcal{V}_\eta$  whenever  $\eta < \xi < c$  and that  $|\{W \in \mathcal{V}_\xi : W \subset V\}| \geq \omega$  whenever  $\eta < \xi < c$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{V}_\eta$ .

Using Fact 2, we know that there are long chains in  $\Theta'$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is such a chain and if  $H$  is a clopen subset of  $N^*$ , then by the choice of  $\Theta$  there is some  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  such that either  $C \subset H$  or  $C \subset N^* - H$  holds. Thus  $|\cap \mathcal{C}| = 1$ . We shall show that the set

$$D = \{x \in \cap \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{C} \text{ is a long chain in } \Theta'\}$$

is the desired subspace.

D is dense in  $N^*$ . Let  $U$  be a non-void open subset of  $N^*$ . Since  $\cup \Theta'$  is a  $\pi$ -base for  $N^*$ , there is some  $\xi < c$  and some non-void  $V \in \mathcal{V}_\xi$  with  $V \subset U$ . Consider the family  $\Theta_V$  consisting of all  $\mathcal{W}_\eta$  ( $\xi < \eta < c$ ), where  $\mathcal{W}_\eta = \{W \in \mathcal{V}_\eta : W \subset V\}$ . Obviously  $\Theta_V$  is a shattering matrix for  $V$ , but  $V$  being a clopen subset of  $N^*$  is homeomorphic to  $N^*$ , hence  $\Theta_V$  contains a long chain  $\mathcal{C}_V$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a maximal chain in  $\Theta'$  such that  $\mathcal{C} \supset \mathcal{C}_V$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is long and  $\cap \mathcal{C} \subset V \subset U$ . We have proved that  $U$  meets  $D$ , but  $U$  was chosen arbitrarily, thus  $D$  is dense in  $N^*$ .

D can be linearly ordered. (The basic idea of the following technique was first used in [M].) For  $x \in D$ , denote by  $\mathcal{C}_x$  the long chain in  $\Theta'$  with  $\bigcap \mathcal{C}_x = \{x\}$ . Before defining an order for  $D$ , we shall order each  $\mathcal{V}_\xi$  as follows:

Let  $<_0$  be a linear ordering of  $\mathcal{V}_0$  without the first or the last element. Proceeding by the transfinite induction, let  $\xi < c$  and suppose that every  $\mathcal{V}_\eta$  ( $\eta < \xi$ ) is ordered by  $<_\eta$  in such a manner that if  $\xi < \eta < \xi$ ,  $V, W \in \mathcal{V}_\xi$ ,  $V', W' \in \mathcal{V}_\eta$ ,  $V' \subset V$ ,  $W' \subset W$  and if  $V <_\xi W$ , then  $V' <_\eta W'$ .

Call two members  $V, W$  of  $\mathcal{V}_\xi$  to be equivalent if for each  $\eta < \xi$  there is some  $U \in \mathcal{V}_\eta$  such that  $V \cup W \subset U$ . Order every equivalence class  $E$  by  $<_E$  such that  $(E, <_E)$  has neither the first nor the last element. Having done this, we may define an order  $<_\xi$  by the rule

$V <_\xi W$  iff either  $V$  is equivalent to  $W$  and  $V <_E W$  or there is some  $\eta < \xi$  and  $V', W' \in \mathcal{V}_\eta$  such that  $V \subset V'$ ,  $W \subset W'$  and  $V' <_\eta W'$ .

Finally, for  $x, y \in D$  define  $x < y$  iff for some  $\xi < c$ ,  $V_x \in \mathcal{C}_x \cap \mathcal{V}_\xi$ ,  $V_y \in \mathcal{C}_y \cap \mathcal{V}_\xi$ ,  $V_x <_\xi V_y$  holds.

It is easy to check that  $<$  is a linear ordering of  $D$ .

If  $]x, y[$  is an interval-neighborhood of a point  $z \in D$ , then there is some  $\xi < c$  such that the sets  $\mathcal{C}_x \cap \mathcal{V}_\xi$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_y \cap \mathcal{V}_\xi$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_z \cap \mathcal{V}_\xi$  are distinct. Let  $V \in \mathcal{C}_z \cap \mathcal{V}_\xi$ . Then  $z \in V$  and  $V \cap D \subset ]x, y[$ .

If  $U$  is a neighborhood of a point  $z \in D$ , then there is some  $\xi < c$  such that for  $V \in \mathcal{C}_z \cap \mathcal{V}_\xi$ ,  $V$  is contained in  $U$ . Obviously the family  $\{W \in \mathcal{V}_{\xi+1} : W \subset V\}$  is an equivalence class in  $\mathcal{V}_{\xi+1}$  and the order  $<_E$  has not the first and

the last element. It follows that one can choose three clopen sets  $W_1, W_2, W_3 \in \{W \in \mathcal{U}_{\xi+1} : W \subset V\}$  such that  $W_1 \prec_{\xi+1} W_2 \prec_{\xi+1} W_3$  and  $W_2 \in \mathcal{C}_2 \cap \mathcal{U}_{\xi+1}$ . Pick two long chains  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_3$  with  $W_1 \in \mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_{\xi+1}$  and  $W_3 \in \mathcal{C}_3 \cap \mathcal{U}_{\xi+1}$ , let  $\{x\} = \bigcap \mathcal{C}_1, \{y\} = \bigcap \mathcal{C}_3$ . Then  $z \in ]x, y[ \subset V \cap D \subset U \cap D$ .

We have proved that the order-topology of  $D$  coincides with its subspace topology, which completes the proof.

Remarks. (a) The assumption  $n(N^*) > c$  holds e.g. if  $V = L$ , if CH holds or if MA is true. The situation under the assumption of MA is somewhat simpler, since then all chains in  $\Theta'$  have to be long. By a simple modification of the given proof (use well-ordering in the induction on each stage where the linear ordering without the first and last element was needed) one can show that under MA,  $N^*$  contains a densely embedded copy of  ${}^c c$  with the lexicographical order.

(b) Each point of the linearly ordered subset constructed in the proof was a  $P(c)$ -point in  $N^*$ . One can moreover require it to be selective. This is possible, but it is necessary to start the proof with a more careful choice of the matrix  $\Theta$ .

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [BPS] B. BALCAR, J. PELANT, P. SIMON: The space of ultrafilters on  $N$  covered by nowhere dense sets, to appear.
- [CN] W.W. COMFORT, S. NEGREPONTIS: The Theory of Ultrafilters, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1974.

[M] E.W. MILLER: A note on Souslin's problem, Amer. J.  
Math. 65(1943), 674-678.

Matematický ústav  
Universita Karlova  
Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8  
Československo

(Oblatum 21.2. 1978)