

Pavol Brunovský

On one-parameter families of diffeomorphisms. II: Generic branching in higher dimensions

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 12 (1971), No. 4, 765--784

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105383>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON ONE-PARAMETER FAMILIES OF DIFFEOMORPHISMS II: GENERIC
BRANCHING IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS

Pavol BRUNOVSKÝ, Bratislava

§ 1

In [1], we have studied the generic nature of the loci of periodic points of a diffeomorphism of a finite dimensional manifold M , depending on a parameter with values in a one dimensional manifold P , in $P \times M$. A part of the results (those concerning the branching of periodic points), we have proved for two dimensional M only. It is the purpose of this paper to extend these results for M of arbitrary finite dimension.

Since this paper is a direct continuation of [1], we shall frequently refer to [1] for results of technical character as well as techniques of proof. Nevertheless, for the sake of the reader's convenience, we re-introduce those concepts and results of [1] which are necessary for the understanding of this paper, in the rest of this section. The main results of this paper and their proofs are given in § 3. § 2 has an auxiliary character; it establishes certain generic properties of maps of an interval into the

AMS: Primary 54H20
Secondary 57D50

Ref. Ž. 7.977.3

set of matrices.

Denote \mathcal{F} the space of C^κ mappings ($1 < \kappa \leq \infty$) $x)$
 $f: P \times M \rightarrow M$, where P, M are C^κ second countable manifolds of dimension l , $m < \infty$ respectively, such that for every $p \in P$ the map $f_p: M \rightarrow M$, given by
 $f_p(m) = f(p, m)$ is a diffeomorphism, endowed with the C^κ Whitney topology.

Let us note that, although this topology is not metrizable, it has the property that a residual set in \mathcal{F} (i.e. a countable intersection of open dense sets) is dense in \mathcal{F} (this can be proved similarly as the analogous statement for vector fields is proved in [2], using the openness of \mathcal{F} in the set of all C^κ mappings $P \times M \rightarrow M$).

Denote by $Z_{\mathcal{R}} = Z_{\mathcal{R}}(f)$ the set of \mathcal{R} -periodic points of f , i.e. $Z_{\mathcal{R}}(f) = \{(p, m) \mid f_p^{\mathcal{R}}(m) = m, f_p^j(m) \neq m \text{ for } 0 < j < \mathcal{R}\}$. In [1, Theorem 1] a residual subset \mathcal{F}_1 of \mathcal{F} was defined and it was shown that for every $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$, $Z_{\mathcal{R}}$ are one dimensional submanifolds of $P \times M$ (Z_1 being closed) and, if an eigenvalue of $df_p^{\mathcal{R}}(m)$ at some point $(p, m) \in Z_{\mathcal{R}}$ is 1 (we denote the set of such points by $X_{\mathcal{R}}$), then it meets the unit circle S in the complex plain transversally at (p, m) (in the sense of Remark 3) and the remaining eigenvalues of $df_p^{\mathcal{R}}(m)$ do not lie on S . Also, it was shown that the subset $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{R}}$ of maps from \mathcal{F} , having the

x) In [1] we have assumed $1 < \kappa < \infty$, but Theorems 1 - 4 of [1] are trivially true for the C^∞ case.

above properties for $1 \leq k \leq n$, is open dense in \mathcal{F} .

§ 2

Denote by \mathcal{U} the set of all $n \times n$ matrices with the differential structure induced by its natural identification with \mathbb{R}^{n^2} . Further, denote by \mathcal{U}_1 the set of matrices having an eigenvalue of multiplicity ≥ 2 on S , $\mathcal{F}_{2\ell}$ the set of matrices having an ℓ -th root of unity different from ± 1 as eigenvalue, $\mathcal{U}_2 = \bigcup_{\ell=3}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_{2\ell}$.

Let I be a closed interval on \mathbb{R} . Denote by Φ the space of all C^n mappings $I \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ endowed with the C^n uniform topology.

Proposition 1. Let $J \subset I$ be a closed interval, $J \subset \text{int } I$. Then, for every $\ell = 3, 4, \dots$ the set $\Psi_\ell(J)$ of all $F \in \Phi$ such that $F(J) \cap (\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2) = \emptyset$ is open dense in Φ .

Corollary 1. Given J as in Proposition 1, the set $\Psi(J)$ of all $F \in \Phi$ such that $F(J) \cap (\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2) = \emptyset$ is residual in Φ .

For the proof of Proposition 1 we shall need to prove several lemmas.

Consider the sets $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1 = \{(A, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \mid P_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_1'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_2'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = 0, \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 = 1\}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2(\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20}) = \{(A, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mid P_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = 0, \lambda_1 = \lambda_{10}, \lambda_2 = \lambda_{20}\}$, where $P(\lambda_1) = P_1(\text{Re } \lambda, \text{Im } \lambda) + iP_2(\text{Re } \lambda, \text{Im } \lambda)$ is the characteristic polynomial of

$$A, P'_1 + iP'_2 = P' = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \lambda} .$$

Being defined by polynomial equalities, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2(\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20})$ are real algebraic varieties and the sets $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_{2,\ell}$ are the projections of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1$ and $\cup \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2(\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20})$ into \mathcal{U} respectively, where the union is taken over all $\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20}$ such that $(\lambda_{10} + i\lambda_{20})^\ell = 1$ and $\lambda_{20} \neq 0$.

By [3, splitting (b) of § 11)], $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2$ can be written as a finite disjoint union of submanifolds of strictly decreasing dimensions, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^n M_j, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2(\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^b N_j$ such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^n M_j, \bigcup_{j=1}^b N_j$ is closed for all $0 < \varphi \leq n, 0 < \sigma \leq b$.

Lemma 1. $\text{codim } M_j \geq 4$ for all j .

For the proof of this lemma we need some more lemmas.

Lemma 2. For any $A \in \mathcal{U}$, the set of all matrices similar to A is an immersed submanifold of \mathcal{U} of codimension $\geq n$.

Proof. Consider the group $GL(n)$, whose action ψ on \mathcal{U} is given by $\psi(T, A) = T^{-1}AT$ for $T \in GL(n), A \in \mathcal{U}$. The set of matrices similar to A is the orbit of A under this group action and, according to [4, 2.2, Proposition 2], is an immersed submanifold of \mathcal{U} of codimension equal to the dimension of the closed Lie subgroup $\mathcal{H} = \{T \in GL(n) \mid \psi(T, A) = A\}$. It is easy to show that \mathcal{H} is identical with the subset of $GL(n)$ of matrices that commute with A . It follows from [5, VIII, §2, Theorem 2] that \mathcal{H} has the dimension $\geq n$, q.e.d.

Corollary 2. Denote by ρ the map $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ assigning to every matrix from \mathcal{U} the m -tuple of coefficients of its characteristic polynomial and $\tilde{\rho} : \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ as $\tilde{\rho} = \rho \times id$. Then, for any point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$, $\rho^{-1}(x)$ is a finite disjoint union of immersed submanifolds of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ of codimension $\geq m$.

Denote by $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ the set of points $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_1 + i\lambda_2 \in S$ and is a root of the polynomial $P(\lambda) = \lambda^m + \alpha_1 \lambda^{m-1} + \dots + \alpha_m$ of multiplicity ≥ 2 . Obviously, $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1) = V$.

Lemma 3. The map $\tilde{\rho}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1} : \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1 \rightarrow V$ is open (in the topologies on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1, V$ induced by their imbedding into $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ respectively).

Proof. Obviously, it suffices to prove that $\rho|_{\mathcal{U}_1} : \mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow \hat{V}$, where \hat{V} is the projection $(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m)$ of V into \mathbb{R}^m , is open. That is, we have to prove that given a neighbourhood U of $A \in \mathcal{U}_1$, for any $P \in \hat{V}$ sufficiently close to $\rho(A)$, there is a $B \in U$ such that $\rho(B) = P$.

This statement is obvious if A has the real canonical form; its extension for A not in canonical form follows from $\rho(A) = \rho(T^{-1}AT)$ for $T \in GL(m)$.

Proof of Lemma 1. V is an algebraic variety in \mathbb{R}^{m+2} , defined by the polynomial identities $P_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_1'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_2'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - 1 = 0$, where $P_1'(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \text{Re } P(\lambda_1 + i\lambda_2)$ etc. Therefore, it can be written as a finite disjoint union of submani-

folds of \mathbb{R}^{m+2} of decreasing dimension, $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^2 V_i$.

We prove $\dim V_1 \leq m-2$. To do this, we note that $\text{codim } V_1 \geq \text{rank}_x V$ for any $x \in V_1$ (cf. [3]), where $\text{rank}_x V$ is the dimension of the linear space spanned by the differentials at x of the polynomials of the ideal associated with V . Since V_1 is open in V it suffices to prove that the set of those x for which $\text{rank}_x V \geq 4$ is dense in V .

For $x \in V$, $x = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} dP_1 &= (\dots, \lambda_1, 1, 0, 0), \\ (1) \quad dP_1' &= (\dots, 1, 0, \frac{\partial P_1'}{\partial \lambda_1}, \frac{\partial P_1'}{\partial \lambda_2}), \\ dP_2' &= (\dots, 0, 0, \frac{\partial P_2'}{\partial \lambda_1}, \frac{\partial P_2'}{\partial \lambda_2}), \\ d(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - 1) &= (\dots, 0, 0, 2\lambda_1, 2\lambda_2), \end{aligned}$$

and, since

$$\begin{aligned} - \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{\partial P_1'}{\partial \lambda_1} & \frac{\partial P_1'}{\partial \lambda_2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial P_2'}{\partial \lambda_1} & \frac{\partial P_2'}{\partial \lambda_2} \\ 0 & 0 & 2\lambda_1 & 2\lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} &= 2 \left[\lambda_2 \frac{\partial P_2'}{\partial \lambda_1} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial P_2'}{\partial \lambda_2} \right] = \\ &= 2 \left[\lambda_2 \frac{\partial P_2'}{\partial \lambda_1} + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial P_1'}{\partial \lambda_1} \right] = 2 \text{Re} (\lambda^{-1} P''(\lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that for a dense subset of V ,

$$\text{Re} (\lambda^{-1} P''(\lambda)) \neq 0.$$

It is obvious that the set of those $x \in V$ for which $P''(\lambda) \neq 0$ is dense in V . If λ is real and $\lambda \in S$,

$P''(\lambda) \neq 0$, then also $\lambda^{-1}P''(\lambda) = \operatorname{Re} \lambda^{-1}P''(\lambda) \neq 0$.

Assume that λ is not real, $\lambda \in S$ and $P''(\lambda) \neq 0$. Then $\lambda^{-1}P''(\lambda) = \bar{\lambda}P''(\lambda) = \bar{\lambda}(\lambda - \bar{\lambda})^2 R(\lambda)$,

where $R(\mu)$ is real for μ real. For ε real denote

$$P_\varepsilon(\mu) = (\mu - \lambda)^2(\mu - \bar{\lambda})^2[R(\mu) + \varepsilon] = \mu^m + \alpha_{1\varepsilon}\mu^{m-1} + \dots + \alpha_{m\varepsilon}$$

$P_\varepsilon(\mu)$ is real for μ real and $(\alpha_{1\varepsilon}, \dots, \alpha_{m\varepsilon}, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in V$.

We have $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{\lambda}P_\varepsilon''(\lambda)) - \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\lambda}P''(\lambda)) = \varepsilon \operatorname{Re}[\bar{\lambda}(\lambda - \bar{\lambda})^2] = -4\varepsilon\lambda_1\lambda_2$. Since both $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ and $\lambda_2 \neq 0$, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small such that $\operatorname{Re}[\bar{\lambda}P_\varepsilon''(\lambda)] \neq 0$. This proves the density in V of the set of points x for which $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda^{-1}P''(\lambda)) \neq 0$.

Let i be such that $\tilde{\pi}(M_1) \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$, $\tilde{\pi}(M_1) \cap V_j = \emptyset$ for $j < i$. Since $\bigcup_{j=1}^i V_j$ is open, $M = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(V_i) = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\bigcup_{j=1}^i V_j)$ is open in M_1 and, by Lemma 3, $\pi(M_0)$ is open in V_i . From this and the Sard's theorem ([6, Theorem 15.1]) it follows that there is a point $\tilde{A} \in M_0$ at which $\tilde{\pi}$ is regular. Thus, locally $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{A}))$ is an imbedded submanifold of the dimension $\dim M_1 - \dim V_i \geq \dim M_1 - n + 2$. On the other hand, from Corollary 2 it follows $\dim \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{A})) \leq m^2 - m$. Consequently, $\dim M_1 \leq m^2 - 2$, q.e.d.

Lemma 4. If $\lambda_{20} \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{codim} N_1 \geq 4$.

The proof of this lemma is similar to that of Lemma 1, with V replaced by the set $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ of points $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20})$ for which $\lambda_0 = \lambda_{10} + i\lambda_{20}$ is a root of $P(\lambda) = \lambda^m + \alpha_1\lambda^{m-1} + \dots + \alpha_m$.

This is again an algebraic variety defined by the equations

$$\lambda_1 - \lambda_{10} = \lambda_2 - \lambda_{20} = 0, P_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = 0.$$

The differentials of the polynomials at the points of W are

$$dP_1 = (\dots, \lambda_{10}, 1, \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \lambda_1}, \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \lambda_2}) ,$$

$$dP_2 = (\dots, \lambda_{20}, 0, \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \lambda_1}, \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial \lambda_2}) ,$$

$$d(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{10}) = (\dots, 0, 0, 1, 0) ,$$

$$d(\lambda_2 - \lambda_{20}) = (\dots, 0, 0, 0, 1) .$$

Obviously, they are independent if $\lambda_{20} \neq 0$. The rest of the proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 1.

Proof of Proposition 1. Openness follows from the fact that both \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 are closed.

For the proof of density we consider the sets

$\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_2(\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20})$ with $\lambda_{20} \neq 0$ and the space $\tilde{\Phi}$ of maps $F: \text{int } I \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, defined by $\tilde{F} = F|_{\text{int } I \times id}$, $F \in \tilde{\Phi}$, endowed with the C^n uniform topology. Further, we denote by $\tilde{\Psi}_i = \{ \tilde{F} \mid \tilde{F}(I) \cap \bigcap_{j=i+1}^n M_j = \emptyset \}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\tilde{\Psi}_{n+i} = \{ \tilde{F} \mid \tilde{F}(I) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1 \cap \bigcap_{j=n-i+1}^n N_j = \emptyset \}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\tilde{\Psi}_2$ is the intersection of the projections of $\tilde{\Psi}_{n+n}$ taken over all nonreal l -th roots of unity, it suffices to prove that $\tilde{\Psi}_{n+n}$ is dense in $\tilde{\Phi}$. We prove this by induction showing that every $\tilde{F} \in \tilde{\Psi}_i$ can be approximated arbitrarily closely by an $\tilde{F}' \in \tilde{\Psi}_{i+1}$. Without loss

of generality we assume $1 < i < n$.

The map $\varphi : \Phi \rightarrow \tilde{\Phi}$ given by $\varphi(F) = \tilde{F}$ is a C^∞ -representation (here and further in this proof we use the terminology of [6]) and the evaluation map meets M_{n-i} transversally. Due to the dimension estimates of Lemma 1 and Lemma 4, the existence of the approximation of F not intersecting M_{n-i} follows from the transversality theorem [6, Theorem 19.1] and the openness of \tilde{Y}_i , q.e.d.

Denote \mathcal{U}_3 the subset of \mathcal{U} consisting of matrices having an eigenvalue on S . Again, we associate with \mathcal{U}_3 the algebraic variety $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, defined by $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3 = \{ (A, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mid P_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - 1 = 0 \}$ whose projection is \mathcal{U}_3 . Thus, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3 = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{K}_i$, where \mathcal{K}_i are mutually disjoint manifolds of decreasing dimension and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{K}_i$ is closed in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$ for every i .

Lemma 5. $\text{codim } \mathcal{K}_1 = 3$.

Proof. The proof of the inequality $\dim \mathcal{K}_1 \geq 3$ is analogous to that of Lemma 1. We only note that the differentials of the defining polynomials $P_1, P_2, \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - 1$ of $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ ($\tilde{\pi}$ defined as in Corollary 2) are independent if $\text{Re}(\lambda P'(\lambda)) \neq 0$; it can be shown similarly as in the proof of Lemma 1 that this is true for a dense subset of $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3)$.

To prove the opposite inequality assume $I = [0, 2]$ and consider the map $F(t) = \text{diag} \{t, 0, \dots, 0\}$. If

$\text{codim } \mathcal{K}_1 < 3$ then it would follow from the transversality argument used in the proof of Proposition 1 that there should exist a small C^∞ perturbation \hat{F} of F no value of which would have an eigenvalue on S . This, however, is obviously impossible.

Proposition 2. Let $J \subset I$ be a closed interval, $J \subset \text{int } I$. Then, for every $l > 2$ the subset $\Psi_l^0(J) \subset \Psi_l(J)$ of all F such that F meets $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$ transversally (i.e. F meets transversally \mathcal{K}_1 and does not meet \mathcal{K}_i for $i > 1$ at all) is open dense in $\Psi_l(J)$, and, thus, in Φ .

The proof is analogous to that of Proposition 1.

Corollary 3. Given J as in Proposition 2, the set $\Psi^0(J)$ of maps $F \in \Phi$ such that $F(J) \cap (\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2) = \emptyset$ and F meets $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$ transversally over J is residual in Φ .

Lemma 6. Let $F \in \Phi$ and let λ_0 be a simple eigenvalue of $F(t_0)$, where $t_0 \in I$. Then there is a neighbourhood N of t_0 in I and a unique function $\lambda : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda(t_0) = \lambda_0$ and $\lambda(t)$ is an eigenvalue of $F(t)$ for $t \in N$. Further, there is a nonsingular C^∞ matrix $C(t)$ on N such that $C^{-1}FC = B$, where the first column of $B(t)$ is the transpose of $(\lambda(t), 0, \dots, 0)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $F(t_0)$ is in the Jordan canonical form with λ_0 in the first column. Choose $C(t_0) = E$ (the unity matrix) and $C(t) = (c_1(t), \dots, c_m(t))$, $\lambda(t)$ as the solution of

the set of equations $F(t)c_1(t) = \lambda(t)c_1(t)$,

$c_i(t) = c_i(t_0), i > 1, |c_1(t)| = 1$ ($|\cdot|$ being the Euclidean norm). It is easy to check that the Jacobian of this set of equations at t_0 is not zero. The implicit function theorem completes the proof.

Remark 1. Under the assumptions of Lemma 6, for λ_0 not real, starting from the real canonical form of $F(t_0)$, one can similarly prove that there is a C^n real matrix $C(t)$ in some neighbourhood of t_0 in I that brings $F(t)$ into the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_1(t), B_2(t) \\ 0, B_3(t) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } B_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \lambda(t), \operatorname{Im} \lambda(t) \\ -\operatorname{Im} \lambda(t), \operatorname{Re} \lambda(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Corollary 4. Let $F \in \Phi$, $t_0 \in I$ and let $\lambda_{i0}, \dots, \lambda_{k0}$ be simple eigenvalues of $F(t_0)$. Then, there is a neighbourhood N of t_0 in I and unique C^n functions $\lambda_i : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda_i(t_0) = \lambda_{i0}$ and $\lambda_i(t)$ are eigenvalues of $F(t)$ for $t \in N$. Further, there is a C^n matrix $C(t)$ on N such that $C^{-1}AC = B$, where B has the form $\begin{pmatrix} B_1, B_2 \\ 0, B_3 \end{pmatrix}$ and B_1 is triangular with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ on the diagonal. Also, there is a real C^n matrix $\hat{C}(t)$ on N that brings $F(t)$ into the form $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}_1(t), \hat{B}_2(t) \\ 0, \hat{B}_3(t) \end{pmatrix}$, where $\hat{B}_1(t)$ is block diagonal with blocks as in Remark 1.

Proposition 3. Let $F \in \Psi_2^0(J)$ for some $l > 2$. Then, the eigenvalues of F meet S transversally.

By this proposition we mean that the functions λ , defined in Lemma 6 for $\lambda_0 \in S$ (note that such λ_0 are simple) meet S transversally.

Proof. Let $\lambda(t_0) \in S$ be an eigenvalue of $F(t_0)$. By Lemma 6, there is a nonsingular C^n matrix $C(t)$ such that $C^{-1}(t)F(t)C(t) = B(t)$, where $B(t)$ has the form specified in Lemma 6. Denote $B(t, \mu)$ the matrix obtained from $B(t)$ by replacing in the first column $\lambda(t)$ by μ . Denote by $\mu(t)$ the orthogonal projection of $\lambda(t)$ on S , φ the Euclidean distance. Since $C(t)B(t, \mu(t))C^{-1}(t) \in \mathcal{U}_3$ and \mathcal{K}_1 is open in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_3$, $(C(t)B(t, \mu(t))C^{-1}(t), \mu_1(t), \mu_2(t)) \in \mathcal{K}_1$, for t sufficiently close to t_0 , where $\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2$. We have $|\lambda(t)| - 1 = |\lambda(t) - \mu(t)| = \varphi(B(t), B(t, \mu(t))) \geq |C(t)|^{-1}$, $|C(t)^{-1}|^{-1} \varphi(F(t), C(t)B(t, \mu(t))C^{-1}(t)) \geq \kappa_1 \varphi(\tilde{F}(t), \mathcal{K}_1)$, where $\kappa_1 > 0$ is a suitable constant. If \tilde{F} meets \mathcal{K}_1 transversally, then obviously $\varphi(\tilde{F}(t), \mathcal{K}_1) \geq \kappa_2 |t - t_0|$ for some $\kappa_2 > 0$. Consequently, $\left. \frac{d|\lambda(t)|}{dt} \right|_{t=t_0} \neq 0$, q.e.d.

Corollary 5. The number of such $t \in J$ for which an eigenvalue of $F(t)$ is on S , is finite for every $F \in \mathcal{F}_2^0(J)$.

Theorem 1. Let $J \subset \text{int } I$ be a closed interval. Then, the set $\Phi_{1,2}(J)$ of those $F \in \Phi$, satisfying

- (i) $F(t)$ has no double eigenvalue on S ,
- (ii) $F(t)$ has no non-real l -th root of unity as ei-

genvalue,

- (iii) the eigenvalues of $F(t)$ meet S transversally,
- (iv) if an eigenvalue of $F(t)$ lies on S , then no other eigenvalue of $F(t)$ lies on S except, of its complex conjugate,

for every $t \in J$, is open dense in Φ .

Corollary 6. The set $\Phi_1(J)$ of those $F \in \Phi$ satisfying (i), (iii), (iv) of Theorem 1 and such that for every $t \in J$, $F(t)$ has no non-real root of unity as eigenvalue, is residual in Φ .

Proof. Openness is obvious. From Propositions 1 - 3 it follows that the set of maps from Φ , satisfying (i) - (iii) (i.e. the set $\Psi_2^0(J)$), is open dense in Φ . Therefore, it suffices to prove that every $F \in \Psi_2^0(J)$ can be arbitrarily closely approximated by an $\hat{F} \in \Psi_2^0(J)$ satisfying (iv). In virtue of Corollary 4 it suffices to show that if for some t_0 (iv) is not satisfied it is possible to perturb F in an arbitrary small neighbourhood N of t_0 by an arbitrary small perturbation, without changing it outside N , in such a way that (i) - (iv) will be true for the perturbation of F for every $t \in N$.

Assume that for some $t_0 \in J$, κ pairs of conjugate eigenvalues $\lambda_j^0, \overline{\lambda_j^0}$, $j = 1, \dots, \kappa$ lie on S (the modification of the proof for the case of some eigenvalue being real is straightforward). Let α be so small that the functions λ_j , defined by λ_j^0, t_0 as in Lemma 6 exist and do not meet S except at t_0 and no other eigenvalue of $F(t)$ lies on S on $K \cap J$, where

$K = [t_0 - \alpha, t_0 + \alpha]$, and that there is a C^n matrix C such that $C^{-1}(t)F(t)C(t) = B(t)$ has the form

$$B = \text{diag} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{11} & \lambda_{12} \\ -\lambda_{21} & \lambda_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{n_1} & \lambda_{n_2} \\ -\lambda_{n_2} & \lambda_{n_1} \end{pmatrix}, B_1 \right\}$$

where $\lambda_j = \lambda_{j1} + i \lambda_{j2}$ (cf. Remark 1). Choose an

$\varepsilon < \frac{\alpha}{2}$, n real mutually distinct numbers τ_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$ such that $|\tau_j| < \varepsilon$ and a bump function $\chi: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\chi(t) = 0$ outside K , $\chi(t) = 1$ for $t \in K_\rho = [t_0 - \frac{\alpha}{2}, t_0 + \frac{\alpha}{2}]$, $\hat{\lambda}_j(t) = \lambda_j(t + \tau_j \chi(t))$,

$$\hat{B}(t) = \text{diag} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\lambda}_{11}(t) & \hat{\lambda}_{12}(t) \\ -\hat{\lambda}_{21}(t) & \hat{\lambda}_{11}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\lambda}_{n_1}(t) & \hat{\lambda}_{n_2}(t) \\ -\hat{\lambda}_{n_2}(t) & \hat{\lambda}_{n_1}(t) \end{pmatrix}, B_1(t) \right\},$$

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} F(t) & \text{for } t \notin K, \\ C(t)\hat{B}(t)C^{-1}(t) & \text{for } t \in K. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that $\hat{F} \in \Psi_\varepsilon^0$ and, in $K \cap J$, $\hat{\lambda}_j$ meets S exclusively at the point $t_0 - \tau_j$. If τ_j are chosen small enough, F will be arbitrarily close to F , q.e.d.

§ 3

In [1, § 2] it was shown that for $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$, each point of $\bar{Z} \setminus Z_n$ (such points have been called branching points) is contained in some set Z_ℓ with ℓ being a di-

visor of k and that some eigenvalue of df_{ρ}^k at such point has to be a root of unity different from 1 .

Theorem 2. There is a subset \mathcal{F}_2 of \mathcal{F}_1 , residual in \mathcal{F} such that for every $f \in \mathcal{F}_2$, the following is true for every $(\rho_0, m_0) \in Z_k(f)$, $k \geq 1$:

- (i) $df_{\rho_0}^k(m_0)$ has no double eigenvalue on S ,
- (ii) $df_{\rho_0}^k(m_0)$ has no non-real root of 1 as an eigenvalue .
- (iii) The eigenvalues of $df_{\rho}^k(m)$ meet S transversally at (ρ_0, m_0) .
- (iv) If an eigenvalue of $df_{\rho_0}^k(m_0)$ lies on S , then there is no other eigenvalue of $df_{\rho_0}^k(m_0)$ on S except of its complex conjugate.

Corollary 7. For $f \in \mathcal{F}_2$, $(\rho, m) \in Z_k(f)$ can be a branching point only if one of the eigenvalues of $df_{\rho}(m)$ is -1 , the other being outside S .

Remark 2. Denote $\mathcal{F}_{2k,l}$ the subset of \mathcal{F}_{1k} of those mappings, satisfying (i),(iii),(iv) for $1 \leq k \leq h$ and (ii) with "roots" replaced by " l -th roots" for $1 \leq k \leq h$. Then, $\mathcal{F}_{2k,l}$ is open dense in \mathcal{F} .

Remark 3. (iii) should be understood as follows: If an eigenvalue λ_0 of $df_{\rho_0}^k(m_0)$ is on S , then in some neighbourhood N of (ρ_0, m_0) in Z_k , there is a unique C^k function $\lambda : N \rightarrow C$ such that $\lambda(\rho, m)$ is

an eigenvalue of $df_{r_0}^{h_0}(m)$ for $(r, m) \in N$ and

$\lambda(r_0, m_0) = \lambda_0$. This λ meets S transversally.

Proof. It suffices to prove Remark 2, from which Theorem 2 follows. We carry out the proof for $h = 1$, i.e. we prove that $\mathcal{F}_{21, \ell}$ is open dense for any ℓ ; the extension for $h > 1$ is similar as in the proof of [1, Theorem 1].

The openness of \mathcal{F}_{21} is obvious. To prove density, assume $f \in \mathcal{F}_{11}$. Then, by [1, Theorem 1], there is an open set U containing $X_1(f)$ such that for every $(r_0, m_0) \in U$, (i) - (iv) is trivially satisfied.

$Z_1 \setminus U$ can be covered locally finitely by a countable family $(W_\alpha, (\mu_\alpha \times \nu_\alpha))$, $W_\alpha = U_\alpha \times V_\alpha$ of coordinate neighbourhoods in such a way that for any $K \in P \times M$ compact, $W_\alpha \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for a finite number of α 's only and $(W_\alpha, (\mu_\alpha \times \nu_\alpha))$ satisfy (iv) of [1, Theorem 1] (i.e. $W_\alpha \cap Z_1$ is the graph of a C^h function $\varphi_\alpha: U \rightarrow V$). We show how for any open W'_α , $\overline{W'_\alpha} \subset \overline{W_\alpha} = U'_\alpha \times V'_\alpha$, f can be approximated by \hat{f} such that \hat{f} coincides with f outside W'_α and satisfies (i) - (iv) of Theorem 2 for every $(r_0, m) \in Z_1 \cap W'_\alpha$. The construction of an approximation of f satisfying (i) - (iv) for any $(r_0, m_0) \in Z_1$ is then standard. In the rest of the proof we drop the subscript α .

In the coordinates $(r, m) \mapsto (\mu, \nu)$, $\nu = x - x_0 \circ \varphi(r)$, \hat{f} can be represented by

$$\nu'_j = A(\mu) \nu_j + Y(\mu, \nu)$$

where the primed coordinates are those of the image,

$$Y(\mu, 0) = 0, \quad dY(\mu, 0) = 0.$$

By Theorem 1, we can approximate $A: \mu(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ by a map $\hat{A}: \mu(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ such that A satisfies (i) - (iv) of Theorem 1 on U .

Let $\psi: (\mu \times x)(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞ bump function such that $\psi = 1$ on $(\mu \times x)(\overline{W'})$ and $\psi = 0$ outside $(\mu \times x)(W)$. Denote by \hat{f} the map which coincides with f outside W and is given in W by the coordinate representation

$$\eta' = [A(\mu) + \psi(\mu, x)(\hat{A}(\mu) - A(\mu))]y + Y(\mu, x).$$

If we choose A sufficiently close to \hat{A} , \hat{f} will be arbitrarily close to f and will satisfy (i) - (iv) for every $(\mu_0, m_0) \in W'$.

Denote by Y_{2k} the set of points $(\mu, m) \in Z_{2k}$ for which one eigenvalue of $df_{\mu}^{2k}(m)$ is -1 . For $(\mu, m) \in Z_{2k}$ denote $\mathcal{N}(\mu, m)$ the number of eigenvalues of $df_{\mu}^{2k}(m)$ with modulus less than 1.

Theorem 3. Assume $k > 2$. Then, there is a subset \mathcal{F}_3 of \mathcal{F}_2 , residual in \mathcal{F} , such that every $f \in \mathcal{F}_3$ has the following properties:

(i) Y_{2k} coincides with the set of $2k$ -periodic branching points,

(ii) for every $(\mu_0, m_0) \in Y_{2k}$, there is a coordinate neighbourhood $(W, (\mu \times x))$, $W = U \times V$ of (μ_0, m_0) such that $\mu(\mu_0) = 0$, $x(m_0) = 0$, $Z_{2k} \cap W = U \times \{0\}$ and

(a) $Z_{2k} \cap W$ consists of two components, separa-

ted by (μ_0, m_0) ; all points $(\mu, m) \in Z_{2k} \cap W$ satisfy $\mu(\mu) > 0$ and $Z_{2k} \cap W \cup \{(\mu_0, m_0)\}$ is a C^1 (but not C^2) submanifold of W .

(b) No eigenvalue of $[(Z_k \cup Z_{2k}) \cap W] \setminus \{(\mu_0, m_0)\}$ is on S ; either $h(\mu, m) = h(\mu', m') = h(\mu'', m'') + 1$ or $h(\mu, m) = h(\mu', m') = h(\mu'', m'') - 1$ for any $(\mu, m) \in Z_k \cap W$, $\mu(\mu) < 0$, $(\mu', m') \in Z_{2k} \cap W$, $(\mu'', m'') \in Z_k \cap W$, $\mu(\mu'') > 0$,

(c) $W \setminus (Z_k \cup Z_{2k})$ contains no invariant set.

Proof. Again, we carry out the proof for $k = 1$, the proof of its extension for $k > 1$ being as in [1, Theorem 1].

Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{2,1,2}$. Then, $Y_1(f)$ is discrete and, if $(\mu_0, m_0) \in Y_1$, one eigenvalue of $df_{\mu_0}(m_0)$ is -1 and the remaining ones can be divided into two groups according to whether their moduli are < 1 or > 1 , the number of the former ones being $h(\mu_0, m_0)$. Thus, using [6, Appendix 3] as in [1, Lemma 4], it follows that we can choose the coordinates (μ, x) in such a way that $x = (x_1, y, z)$, $\dim x_1 = 1$, $\dim y = h(\mu_0, m_0)$ and the coordinate representation of f in these coordinates is as follows:

$$x_1 = -x_1 + \alpha(\mu x_1 + \beta x_1^2 + \gamma x_1^3) + \omega(\mu, x_1, y, z),$$

$$(3) \quad y = Ay + Y(\mu, x_1, y, z),$$

$$z = Cz + Z(\mu, x_1, y, z),$$

where ω, Y, Z are C^2 and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega, Y, Z & \text{ are } C^{\infty} \text{ and } Y(\mu, x_1, 0, x) = 0, Z(\mu, x_1, y, 0) = 0, \\ \omega(\mu, x_1, y, x) & = O(|x_1^3| + |\mu x_1| + |y| + |x|), \\ d\omega(0, 0, 0, 0) & = 0, \\ dY(0, 0, 0, 0) & = 0, dZ(0, 0, 0, 0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by \mathcal{F}_{31} the subset of \mathcal{F}_{11} of those maps in the coordinate representation (3) of which $\beta^2 + \gamma \neq 0$ for every $(\mu_0, m_0) \in Y_1(f)$. The definition of \mathcal{F}_{31} does not depend on the choice of particular coordinates and the set \mathcal{F}_{31} is open dense in \mathcal{F} . The proof of this as well as the proof that the maps of \mathcal{F}_{31} satisfy (i), (ii) for $k=1$ does not differ from the corresponding part of the proof of [1, Theorem 3], except of the proof of (ii)(c), where, because of the possible presence of the eigenvalues of moduli both < 1 and > 1 one has to use the argumentation of the proof of [1, Lemma 4].

As a corollary of [1, Theorem 1] and Theorem 3 we obtain

Theorem 4. Assume $\kappa > 2$. Then, for every $f \in \mathcal{F}_3$:

- (i) for k odd, Z_{k_2} is a closed submanifold of $P \times M$,
- (ii) for k even, either Z_{k_2} is closed and $Y_{k/2}$ is empty, or Z_{k_2} is a C^1 (but not C^2) submanifold of $P \times M$ and $\bar{Z}_{k_2} \setminus Z_{k_2}$ is discrete and coincides with $Y_{k/2}$.

Remark 4. This theorem corrects the erroneous formulation of its two dimensional version [1, Theorem 4], in which the possibility of Z_{k_2} being closed was omitted.

R e f e r e n c e s :

- [1] P. BRUNOVSKÝ: On one-parameter families of diffeomorph-

- isms, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 11(1970),
559-581.
- [2] M.M. PEIXOTO: On an approximation theorem of Kupka and
Smale, Journal of Differential Equations 3
(1966), 214-227.
- [3] H. WHITNEY: Elementary structure of real algebraic va-
rieties, Annals of Mathematics 66(1957),
545-556.
- [4] R. THOM, H. LEVINE: Singularities of differentiable
mappings, Russian translation, Mir, Moscow,
1969.
- [5] F.R. GANTMACHER: Teorija matric, Nauka, Moscow, 1966.
- [6] R. ABRAHAM, J. ROBBIN: Transversal mappings and flows,
Benjamin, 1967.

Matematický ústav SAV
Bratislava
Československo

(Oblatum 28.4. 1971)